



Why Deep Learning rocks

A philosophical note

Andrey Ustyuzhanin, Maxim Borisyak, Mikhail Usvyatsov, Alexander Panin

Yandex School of Data Analysis Yandex Data Factory Laboratory of Methods for Big Data Analysys National Research University Higher School of Economics

No free lunch

Terminology

Machine Learning is about learning algorithms \boldsymbol{A} that:

- > defined on sample set $\mathcal X$ (e.g. $\mathbb R^n$) and targets $\mathcal Y$ (e.g. $\{0,1\}$);
- > take a problem (dataset) $D=(X,y)\subseteq \mathcal{X}\times \mathcal{Y};$
- > learn relation between $\mathcal X$ and $\mathcal Y$;
- > and return prediction function:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A(D) &=& f \\ f: \mathcal{X} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

No free lunch theorem

No free lunch theorem states that **on average by all datasets** all learning algorithms are equally bad at learning.

Examples:

> crazy algorithm:

$$f(x) = \left\lfloor \left(\left\lceil \sum_i x_i + \theta \right\rceil \mod 17 + 1027 \right)^{\pi} \right\rfloor \mod 2$$

> SVM

perform equally well on average.

IQ test: try to learn yourself!

First question from MENSA website:

Following the pattern shown in the number sequence below, what is the missing number?

1, 8, 27, ?, 125, 216

Possible answers:

- > 36
- > 45
- > 46
- > 64
- > 99

IQ test: try to learn yourself!

First question from MENSA website:

Following the pattern shown in the number sequence below, what is the missing number?

$$X_{\rm test} = (4,)$$

IQ test: try to learn yourself!

My solution:

$$y = \frac{1}{12}(91x^5 - 1519x^4 + 9449x^3 - 26705x^2 + 33588x - 14940)$$

> fits perfectly!

My answer:

> 99

No free lunch theorem



Possible learning algorithm behaviours in problem space:

- > + better than the average;
- > - worse than the average.

Are Machine Learning algorithms useless?

Are Machine Learning algorithms useless?

No.

Are Machine Learning algorithms useless?

- > No Free Lunch theorem applies to:
 - > one learning algorithm;
 - > against all possible problems.
- > in real world we have:
 - > data scientist with prior knowledge of the world;
 - > problem description;
 - > data description;
 - > a set of standard algorithms.

Traditional Machine Learning (simplified)

- > analyse the problem and make assumptions;
- > pick an algorithm from a toolkit (e.g. logistic regression);
- > provide assumptions suitable for the algorithm (feature engineering).





- > this approach works well for traditional datasets with a small number of features:
- > e.g. Titanic dataset:

passenger class	name	sex	age	fare	
-----------------	------	-----	-----	------	--

Essentially, performance of the algorithm depends on:

- > knowledge of the domain;
- > feature generation skills;
- > understanding of assumptions behind standard algorithms.

Kitten

Let's try to detect kittens!



Kitten seen by a machine

[22	25	28	32	29	•••,	58	36	35	34	34]
Ε	26	29	30	31	36	•••,	65	38	42	41	42]
Ε	27	28	31	30	40	•••,	84	58	51	52	44]
Ε	27	26	27	29	43	•••,	90	70	60	57	43]
Γ	20	26	28	28	31	•••,	83	73	62	52	45]
• • • •											
[173	187	180	183	184	•••,	170	227	244	219	199]
[193	199	194	188	185	•••,	181	197	201	209	187]
[175	177	156	166	171	•••,	226	215	194	185	182]
[161	159	160	187	178	•••,	216	193	220	211	200]
[]	178	180	177	185	164	•••,	190	184	212	216	189]

Solution?

- > edge detection;
- > image segmentation;
- > eyes, ears, nose models;
- > fit nose, ears, eyes;
- > average color of segments;
- > standard deviation of color segments;
- > goodness of fit for segments;
- > kitten's face model;
- > logistic regression.

Solution?





Perhaps, more <u>Machine</u> Learning and less Human Engineering?

Deep Learning



Let's learn features!

Deep Learning





Deep Learning





Kitten

Traditional approach:

- > edge detection;
- > image segmentation;
- > fit nose, ears, eyes;
- average, standard deviation of segment color;
- > fluffiness model;
- > kitten's face model;
- > logistic regression.

Deep Learning:

- > non-linear transformation;
- > another non-linear transformation;
- > non-linear transformation, again;
- > non-linear transformation, and again;
- > non-linear transformation (why not?);
- > logistic regression.

Deep Learning

- > is not a superior algorithm;
- > is not even a single algorithm;
- > is a <u>framework;</u>
- > allows to express our assumptions in much more general way.

Why DL rocks

- > can crack much harder problems;
 - > it is easier to formulate models for features than features itself;
- > easy to construct networks:
 - > merge together;
 - > bring new objectives;
 - > inject something inside network;
 - > build networks inside networks;
 - > any differentiable magic is allowed.

Example

A problem contains groups of features:

> image;

> sound features;

Prior knowledge:

 features from different group should not interact directly;

Example of a solution:

- build a subnetwork upon each group of features;
- > merge them together.



Almost Free Lunch

Machine Learning Algorithm

- > parametrized model how to produce predictions;
- > search procedure:
 - > initial guess for parameters;
 - > optimization procedure.

Hacking model

- > hacking layers:
 - > restrictions on weights: convolutions, ...;
 - > new operations: pooling, kernels, ...;
 - > specific unit behaviour: GRU, LSTM units;
- > combining layers, architecture of network;



Images show: U-net, ladder net, end-to-end memory network.

Hacking model

- > restrictions on search space:
 - > regularization, e.g.:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{cross-entropy}} + \alpha \| W \|_2^2$$

> regularization with respect to solution W_0 of a similar problem:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{cross-entropy}} + \alpha \| W - W_0 \|_2^2$$

Hacking search procedure

- > SGD-like methods:
 - > adam, adadelta, adamax, rmsprop;
 - > nesterov momentum;
- > quasi-Newton methods;



Hacking search procedure

- > data augmentation:
 - > shifts, rotations, ...:
 - searching for a network that labels shifted, rotated, ... samples the same way as original ones;
 - > random noise:
 - > pushing separation surface farther from samples;
- > interference with network:
 - > drop-out, drop-connect:
 - > searching for a robust network.

Hacking search procedure

> hacking objectives:

> introducing loss for each layer:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i \mathcal{L}_i$$

where:

>
$$\mathcal{L}_i$$
 - loss on *i*-th layer.

> Deeply Supervised Networks:

> searches for network that obtains good intermediate results.

Hacking initial guess

- > solution for a similar problem as initial guess for search;
- > pretraining on a similar dataset:
 - > unsupervised pretraining on unlabeled samples;
 - > supervised pretraining.

Almost Free Lunch

Any magic is allowed!

... almost any magic.





No Free Lunch theorem:

> Machine Learning is about using prior knowledge about the problem wisely.

Deep Learning:

- > a flexible framework;
- > allows to express prior knowledge ;
- > makes it easier to solves much harder problems.

References

No-Free-Lunch theorem:

- > Schaffer, Cullen. "A conservation law for generalization performance." Proceedings of the 11th international conference on machine learning. 1994.
- > Wolpert, David H. "The supervised learning no-free-lunch theorems." Soft computing and industry. Springer London, 2002. 25-42.
- > Wolpert, David H., and William G. Macready. "No free lunch theorems for optimization." IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation 1.1 (1997): 67-82.

References

Non-sequential network architecture examples:

- > U-network: Von Eicken, Thorsten, et al. "U-Net: A user-level network interface for parallel and distributed computing." ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review. Vol. 29. No. 5. ACM, 1995.
- > Ladder Network: Rasmus, Antti, et al. "Semi-supervised learning with ladder networks." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2015.
- End-to-end memory: Sukhbaatar, Sainbayar, Jason Weston, and Rob Fergus.
 "End-to-end memory networks." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2015.

More resources

A lot of useful links can be found in:

> Schmidhuber, Jürgen. "Deep learning in neural networks: An overview." Neural networks 61 (2015): 85-117.