

1 Lepton Flavour Universality Tests at LHCb

2 S. REICHERT ON BEHALF OF THE LHCb COLLABORATION

3 *Technische Universität Dortmund, Germany*

Summary. — Over the past years, tensions between lepton flavour universality as predicted in the Standard Model and experimental measurements have been observed. Results of lepton flavour universality tests in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$, $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \tau^+ \nu_\tau$ as well as in $W \rightarrow \ell \nu_\ell$ decays by the LHCb collaboration are summarised in these proceedings.

PACS 11.30.Hv - Flavor symmetries.

PACS 13.20.He - Decays of bottom mesons.

PACS 13.38.Be - Decays of W bosons.

5 1. – Introduction

6 In the Standard Model of particle physics, the couplings of the weak interaction
 7 to leptons are predicted to be universal, wherefore a violation of this so-called lepton
 8 flavour universality would be a clear sign of physics beyond the Standard Model. Lepton
 9 flavour universality has been probed not only by the B-factories but also by the LHCb
 10 collaboration by studying theoretically clean observables. Over the past years, several
 11 tensions between Standard Model predictions and experimental measurements have been
 12 observed in $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell$ decays [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], which are sensitive to new scalar or vector
 13 particles such as models with leptoquarks [7] or models with Z' bosons [8]. By analysing
 14 processes involving tau leptons in the final state, models with enhanced couplings to the
 15 third lepton generation can be scrutinised.

16 2. – R_K

17 A stringent test of lepton flavour universality is the measurement of the ratio of the
 18 differential branching fractions of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays, R_K , in a given range of q^2

$$(1a) \quad R_K = \frac{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} \frac{d\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{dq^2} dq^2}{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} \frac{d\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)}{dq^2} dq^2}.$$

19 The Standard Model prediction of R_K is 1.00030 with an uncertainty of $\Delta R_K = +3\%$
 20 arising from QED corrections [9]. The LHCb collaboration has measured R_K [1] in the
 21 theoretically preferred range $1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2$ as a double ratio

$$(2a) \quad R_K = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}}{\mathcal{N}_{K^+ e^+ e^-}} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{J/\Psi(e^+ e^-) K^+}}{\mathcal{N}_{J/\Psi(\mu^+ \mu^-) K^+}} \frac{\varepsilon_{K^+ e^+ e^-}}{\varepsilon_{K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-}} \frac{\varepsilon_{J/\Psi(\mu^+ \mu^-) K^+}}{\varepsilon_{J/\Psi(e^+ e^-) K^+}},$$

22 with respect to the normalisation channel $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\Psi$, where the J/Ψ decays
 23 either to a di-muon or di-electron final state, to minimise the effect of systematic un-
 24 certainties. The efficiencies comprise effects from each step of the analysis. Overall, the
 25 efficiency to reconstruct, select and identify a muon is two times higher than for an elec-
 26 tron. The R_K measurement is performed on the 3 fb^{-1} dataset recorded in 2011 (2012) at
 27 centre-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 7(8) \text{ TeV}$. The mass shape of the B meson candidate in
 28 the di-electron mode is found to be dependent on the number of bremsstrahlung photons
 29 associated to the electrons as well as the transverse momentum of the electrons and the
 30 detector occupancy, wherefore R_K is measured in categories depending on whether the
 31 event was triggered by the electron, kaon or any other particle in the event. The signal
 32 yields in the normalisation and signal channels are extracted from unbinned maximum
 33 likelihood fits to the invariant mass of the kaon and di-lepton pair. The results of these
 34 fits to the di-electron mode are illustrated in fig. 1.

35
 36 In the non-resonant $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ channel, 1126 ± 41 candidates are observed
 37 and the yields obtained in the different trigger categories of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-$ candidates
 38 as well as the corresponding values of R_K are given in Table I, where the dominant
 39 systematic uncertainties arise from the mass shape of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-$ candidates and
 40 trigger efficiencies.

TABLE I. – *Signal yields of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-$ candidates triggered by one of the two electrons, kaon and any other particle in the event with the corresponding value of R_K . The uncertainties correspond to statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.*

Triggered by	Electron	Kaon	Other
Yield	172_{-19}^{+20}	20_{-14}^{+16}	62 ± 13
R_K	$0.72_{-0.08}^{+0.09} \pm 0.04$	$1.84_{-0.82}^{+1.15} \pm 0.04$	$0.61_{-0.07}^{+0.17} \pm 0.04$

41 The combined results yield $R_K = 0.745_{-0.074}^{+0.090} \pm 0.036$, which corresponds to a 2.6σ
 42 deviation from the Standard Model prediction [9]. A comparison with previous mea-
 43 surements from the B-factories is shown in fig. 2. The Belle collaboration has published

a measurement of R_K in the full q^2 range, $R_K^{\text{Belle}} = 1.03 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.06$ [10], whereas the BaBar collaboration has studied the low and high q^2 regions, where R_K was found to be $R_K^{\text{BaBar}} = 0.74_{-0.31}^{+0.40} \pm 0.06$ and $R_K^{\text{BaBar}} = 1.43_{-0.44}^{+0.65} \pm 0.12$ [11], respectively. The measurements from the B-factories are compatible with the Standard Model prediction within less than one standard deviation.




Fig. 1. – Mass distributions with fit projections overlaid of selected $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\Psi (\rightarrow e^+ e^-)$ (top) and $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-$ candidates (bottom). Figs. (a) and (d) refer to candidates triggered by one of the two electrons, figs. (b) and (e) to candidates triggered by the kaon and figs. (c) and (f) to candidates triggered by any other particle in the event. Backgrounds from random combinations are illustrated in dark grey and from partially reconstructed decays in light grey.




Fig. 2. – Comparison of R_K measurements in different ranges of q^2 from the LHCb collaboration and the B-factories. For details, see text.

3. – R_{D^*}

Beyond the Standard Model physics could appear not only in loops to which R_K is sensitive but also at tree level. The latter has been studied at LHCb with $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays [12] through the branching fraction ratio

$$(3a) \quad R_{D^*} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)},$$

which constitutes the first measurement of R_{D^*} at a hadron collider. In addition to the aforementioned hints of lepton flavour non-universality in R_K , the BaBar collaboration had previously observed deviations from the Standard Model prediction [13] in R_{D^*} and the analogously defined R_D corresponding to a combined significance of 3.4σ [14], where neutral as well as charged B meson decays were studied. In addition to muons, the BaBar measurement includes electrons in the final state of the signal and normalisation channels. In the LHCb analysis on the dataset of 3fb^{-1} recorded in 2011 and 2012, the decay $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ is reconstructed with $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$ decays, resulting in the same visible finale state for the signal and normalisation channel. Hence, the selection is chosen to preserve distinct kinematic features of the signal and normalisation channel caused by the mass difference between the τ and μ as well as the different numbers of neutrinos present in the final state. The most discriminating variables are the missing mass squared, m_{miss}^2 , the squared four-momentum transfer to the lepton system q^2 and the muon energy E_μ^* , which are computed in the rest frame of the B meson candidate. This approach relies on the estimation of the B meson candidate's momentum resulting in a sufficient resolution of $15 - 20\%$ of the rest frame variables. The yields of the signal and normalisation channels are extracted from a binned maximum likelihood fit using three-dimensional templates in m_{miss}^2 , q^2 , E_μ^* for the signal, normalisation and background contributions, where the templates are derived from simulations. An exemplary fit projection in the q^2 range $-0.40 < q^2 < 2.85 \text{ GeV}^2$ is shown in fig. 3.




Fig. 3. – Distributions of m_{miss}^2 (left) and E_μ^* (right) of selected candidates for various ranges of q^2 . The projections of the template fits are overlaid (colour online).

Dominant systematics arise from the template shape of background from misidentified muons and the simulated sample size as well as the trigger efficiencies. The uncorrected ratio of signal to normalisation channel yields amounts to $\mathcal{N}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \mathcal{N}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) = (4.54 \pm 0.46)\%$, which results in $R_{D^*} = 0.336 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.030$, after accounting

for efficiencies and $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau)$. This measurement corresponds to a 2.1σ deviation from the Standard Model prediction [13], and deepens the tension observed by the BaBar collaboration [14]. The result of the combination of various R_D and R_D^* measurements is illustrated in fig. 4 and exhibits a tension of 3.9σ between the combined average and the Standard Model prediction.




Fig. 4. – Combination of R_D and R_D^* exhibiting a tension of 3.9σ with respect to the Standard Model predictions (colour online). Figure from [15].

4. – $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$

A further test of lepton flavour universality on tree level processes is performed with $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ decays utilised for a measurement of the forward production cross section [16] on the 2fb^{-1} dataset recorded in 2012 at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{TeV}$. The combination of the two separate analyses of $W \rightarrow e\nu$ [16] and $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ [17] allow to extract the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow e\nu)/\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow \mu\nu)$ for both lepton charges and to compute an average. The analysis strategy in both final states is similar: the cross section is measured in eight bins of pseudo-rapidity per lepton charge from a binned maximum likelihood template fit to the transverse momentum of the lepton. The templates are derived from simulations for the signal and for backgrounds from Z and W decays, $t\bar{t}$ production and decays in flight as well as from prompt $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ production relevant for the electron channel. Background templates for misidentified hadrons and heavy flavour decays are derived from data. Whenever possible, efficiencies are calculated from data or corrected with data-driven techniques. The fit to the electron p_T for the full dataset combining both electron charges is illustrated in fig. 5.

The forward production cross sections are determined to be

$$(4a) \quad \sigma_{W^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}} = (809.0 \pm \pm 1.9 \pm 18.1 \pm 7.0 \pm 9.4)\text{pb},$$

$$(4b) \quad \sigma_{W^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu} = (1124.4 \pm \pm 2.1 \pm 21.5 \pm 11.2 \pm 13.0)\text{pb},$$

$$(4c) \quad \sigma_{W^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}} = (818.4 \pm \pm 1.9 \pm 5.0 \pm 7.0 \pm 9.5)\text{pb},$$

$$(4d) \quad \sigma_{W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu} = (1093.6 \pm \pm 2.1 \pm 7.2 \pm 10.9 \pm 12.7)\text{pb},$$

where the uncertainties are statistical, systematic, from the LHC beam energy and the luminosity. The dominant systematic uncertainties arise from the templates and the efficiencies.




Fig. 5. – Fit to the electron’s transverse momentum distribution for the full dataset combining both electron charges (colour online).

No hint of a violation of lepton flavour universality is observed as is *e.g.* indicated by the W^+ to W^- production cross section ratio, R_{W^\pm} , illustrated in fig. 6. From the production cross sections, the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow e\nu)/\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow \mu\nu)$ is computed. As the upper kinematic bounds in pseudorapidity η^ℓ differ for $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ and $W \rightarrow e\nu$, the test of lepton flavour universality is restricted to $2.00 < \eta^\ell < 3.50$ and the ratios are found to be

$$(5a) \quad \frac{\mathcal{B}(W^+ \rightarrow e^+\nu_e)}{\mathcal{B}(W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu)} = 1.024 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.019,$$

$$(5b) \quad \frac{\mathcal{B}(W^- \rightarrow e^-\bar{\nu}_e)}{\mathcal{B}(W^- \rightarrow \mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu)} = 1.014 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.022,$$

$$(5c) \quad \frac{\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow e\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow \mu\nu)} = 1.020 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.019,$$

where the uncertainties are statistical and systematic. A comparison of the result with previous results is shown in fig. 7. The ATLAS collaboration recently published a measurement finding $\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow e\nu)/\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow \mu\nu) = 0.9967 \pm 0.0004 \pm 0.0101$ [18], which exceeds the previous ATLAS measurements in precision. All measurements are in good agreement and no evidence of lepton flavour non-universality is observed.




Fig. 6. – The cross section ratio in bins of lepton pseudorapidity. The bottom panel displays the ratio of R_{W^\pm} obtained from the muon and electron final states (colour online).




Fig. 7. – Comparison of the $\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow e\nu)/\mathcal{B}(W \rightarrow \mu\nu)$ measurements. Details on the latest ATLAS measurement, which is not included in the comparison, can be found in the text.

114 5. – Summary

115 In these proceedings, the status of lepton flavour universality tests at LHCb were
 116 discussed as of *Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste 2017*. No hints of
 117 lepton flavour non-universality at tree level are seen in $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ decays, but the LHCb
 118 collaboration finds a tension of 2.1σ in studies of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays. Furthermore, a
 119 tension of similar size of 2.6σ is observed in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decays. The latter anomaly
 120 is supported by a preliminary LHCb result presented at a recent CERN seminar [19]
 121 finding the ratio of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\ell^+\ell^-$, $R_{K^{*0}}$ to be

$$(6a) \quad R_{K^*0} = 0.660^{+1.10}_{-0.070} \pm 0.024 \quad \text{for} \quad 0.045 < q^2 < 1.1 \text{GeV}^2,$$

$$(6b) \quad R_{K^*0} = 0.685^{+0.113}_{-0.069} \pm 0.047 \quad \text{for} \quad 1.1 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{GeV}^2,$$

corresponding to deviations from the Standard Model of $2.2 - 2.4\sigma$ and of $2.4 - 2.5\sigma$, respectively, depending on the Standard Model calculation. These latest results point in the same direction as R_K and further analyses will shed light onto the nature of these anomalies.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **113** (2014) 151601, arXiv:1406.6482 [hep-ex].
- [2] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *JHEP*, **06** (2014) 133, arXiv:1403.8044 [hep-ex].
- [3] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *JHEP*, **09** (2015) 133, arXiv:1506.08777 [hep-ex].
- [4] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *JHEP*, **06** (2015) 115, arXiv:1503.07138 [hep-ex].
- [5] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *JHEP*, **02** (2016) 104, arXiv:1512.04442 [hep-ex].
- [6] S. WEHLE *et al.* (BELLE COLLABORATION), arXiv:1612.05014 [hep-ex].
- [7] D. BEČIREVIĆ, S. FAJFER, N. KOŠNIK, and O. SUMENSARI, *Phys. Rev.*, **D94** (2016) 115021, arXiv:1608.08501 [hep-ph], A. CRIVELLIN, D. MÜLLER and T. OTA, arXiv:1703.09226 [hep-ph].
- [8] S. DESCOTES-GENON, J. MATIAS and J. VIRTO, *Phys. Rev.*, **D88** (2013) 074002, arXiv:1307.5683 [hep-ph], R. GAULD, F. GOERTZ and U. HAISCH, *JHEP*, **01** (2014) 069, arXiv:1310.1082 [hep-ph], A. J. BURAS, F. DE FAZIO, J. GIRRBACH and M. V. CARLUCCI, *JHEP*, **01** (2013) 023, arXiv:1211.1237 [hep-ph], W. ALTMANNSHOFER and D. M. STRAUB, *Phys. Rev.*, **D89** (2014) 095033, arXiv:1403.1269 [hep-ph], W. ALTMANNSHOFER, S. GORI, S. PROFUMO and F. S. QUEIROZ, *JHEP*, **12** (2016) 106, arXiv:1609.04026 [hep-ph].
- [9] C. BOBETH, G. HILLER and G. OIRANISHVILI, *JHEP*, **12** (2007) 040, arXiv:0709.4174 [hep-ph], M. BORDONE, G. ISIDORI and A. PATTORI, *Eur. Phys. J.*, **C76** (2016) 440, arXiv:1605.07633 [hep-ph].
- [10] J.-T. WEI *et al.* (BELLE COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **103** (2009) 171801, arXiv:0904.0770 [hep-ex].
- [11] J. P. LEES *et al.* (BABAR COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev.*, **D86** (2012) 032012, arXiv:1204.3933 [hep-ex].
- [12] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **115** (2015) 111803, arXiv:1506.08614 [hep-ex].
- [13] S. FAJFER, J. F. KAMENIK and IVAN NIŠANDŽIĆ, *Phys. Rev.*, **D85** (2012) 094025, arXiv:1203.2654 [hep-ph], S. AOKI *et al.*, arXiv:1607.00299 [hep-lat].
- [14] J. P. LEES *et al.* (BABAR COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **109** (2012) 101802, arXiv:1205.5442 [hep-ex].
- [15] Y. AMHIS *et al.* (HFAG), arXiv:1612.07233 [hep-ex] and online update at <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/>
- [16] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *JHEP*, **10** (2016) 030, arXiv:1608.01484 [hep-ex].
- [17] R. AAJ *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *JHEP*, **01** (2016) 155, arXiv:1511.08039 [hep-ex].
- [18] M. AABOUD *et al.* (ATLAS COLLABORATION), arXiv:1612.03016 [hep-ex].
- [19] S. BIFANI ON BEHALF OF THE LHCb COLLABORATION, <https://indico.cern.ch/event/580620/>