High energy neutrino astronomy
What we have learned and the way forward

Eli Waxman
Weizmann Institute of Science

The main driver of HE ν astronomy: The origin of Cosmic Rays



Detection: Space (direct) Ground (Air-showers indirect)

Composition: Protons Heavier (C,N,O,Fe) Lighter (Heavier?)

Confinement: Galactic X-Galactic

Sources: SN remnants(?) ?? ??

$$\frac{dn}{dE} = \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} t_{\mathrm{conf.}}(E)$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_E = E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} \approx Const.$$

Open Q's

Composition?

G/XG transition?

Sources?

Acceleration?

[Reviews: Helder, et al. 12; Lemoine 13]

The acceleration challenge

- EM acceleration: $L > 10^{12} \frac{\Gamma^2}{v/c} \left(\frac{E/Z}{10^{11}\mathrm{GeV}} \right)^2 L_{\mathrm{sun}}$.

[Lovelace 76; EW 95; Norman et al. 95; Lemoine & EW 09]

- $Z > 10$ - Multiple candidates,
- P - Transient $L > 10^{12} L_{\mathrm{sun}}$ sources

(No steady $L > 10^{12} L_{\mathrm{sun}}$ at d<300Mpc):
Relativistic jets driven by
mass accretion onto BHs.

  - Gamma-ray bursts (GRB), newly formed solar mass BHs; [Vietri 95, Milgrom & Usov 95, EW 95]
  - Tidal disruption of stars (TDE) by massive BHs at galaxy centers, may produce "GRB-like" jets. [Gruzinov & Farrar 09]

At the focus of HE Astro.
Radio- γ-ray observations



Particle acceleration

(- Young, ms, 10^{13}G Neutron Stars? If they exist… [Arons 03,… Lemoine et al. 15].)

UHE, $>10^{10}$GeV, CRs





$J(>10^{11}\mathrm{GeV}) \sim 1\ /\ 100\ \mathrm{km}^2\ \mathrm{year}\ 2\pi\ \mathrm{sr}$

Auger:
3000 km^2

Fluorescence detector

Ground array



UHE: Air shower composition constraints

- Discrepancy between experiments.

- Air-shower analyses inconclusive:
  - Models inconsistent with data (X_{max} dist., muons);
  - Large uncertainties within used models;
  - ~25% uncertainty at E_{CM}>100TeV corresponds to N ←→ H.

[e.g. Ulrich, Engel & Unger 11]

HiRes Stereo 2010: p



Auger 2015: p/He/N [??]



🟥 25% σ & elasticity uncertainty

🟦 exp. sys. uncertainty



>10^{10}GeV spectrum: a hint to p's

- p + γ[CMB] → N + π, above $10^{19.7}$eV.
  t_{eff}<1Gyr, d<300Mpc.

- Observed spectrum consistent with
  - A flat generation spectrum of p's

$$E^2\frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} = Const.$$

$$= (0.5 \pm 0.2)10^{44}\,\frac{\text{erg}}{\text{Mpc}^3\text{yr}},$$

[EW 95, Bahcall & EW 03, Katz & EW 09]

  - Modified by p-GZK suppression.

- G-XG transition @ ~10^{10}GeV.

- $1/E^2$ spectrum:
  - Observed in a wide range of systems,
  - Obtained in EM acceleration in collision-less shocks (the only predictive acceleration model).

[e.g. Sironi et al. 15, Park et al. 15]

$$\frac{dn}{dE} = \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE}t_{\text{eff}}(E)$$





High energy ν telescopes

- Detect HE ν's from
  p(A)-p/p(A)-γ → charged pions → ν's,
  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \overline{\nu_\mu} + \nu_\mu$,
  $E_\nu/(E_A/A) \sim 0.05$.

- Goals:
  - Identify the sources (no delay or deflection with respect to EM),
  - Identify the particles,
  - Study source/acceleration physics,
  - Study ν/fundamental physics.

HE ν: predictions

For cosmological proton sources,

$$E^2\frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} = Const. = (0.5 \pm 0.2)10^{44}\,\frac{\mathrm{erg}}{\mathrm{Mpc^3yr}}\,.$$

- An upper bound to the ν intensity (all p→π):

$$E^2\frac{dj_\nu}{dE} \le E^2\Phi_{\mathrm{WB}} = \frac{3}{8}\frac{ct_H}{4\pi}\zeta\left(E^2\frac{d\dot{n}}{dE}\right) = 10^{-8}\zeta\,\frac{\mathrm{GeV}}{\mathrm{cm^2s\;sr}},$$
$$\zeta = 0.6{,}3 \text{ for } f(z) = 1, (1+z)^3.$$

[EW & Bahcall 99; Bahcall & EW 01]

- Saturation of the bound.
  - $\sim 10^{10}$GeV -If- Cosmological p's. [Berezinsky & Zatsepin 69]
  - $<\sim 10^{6}$GeV -If- Cosmological p's & CR ~ star-formation activity.

    Most stars formed in rapidly star-forming galaxies,
    which are p "calorimeters" for $E_p<\sim 10^6$GeV,
    all p→π by pp in the inter-stellar gas, $t_{pp} < t_{\mathrm{conf}}(E < 10^6\mathrm{GeV})$.

[Loeb & EW 06]

HE ν: predictions



$$Q_E = E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE}$$

HE p's lose their energy in star-forming host galaxy

$\tau_{\gamma p(pp)}>1$

UHE p's escaping their sources

Observed UHE CRs

"Calorimetric" ν's

WB Bound

CMB interaction ν's

Bound implications: >1Gton detector (natural, transparent)



2 flavors,

$$\frac{E^2 d\dot{n}/dE}{10^{44}\,\text{erg/Mpc}^3\text{yr}} = 0.5$$

Rate ~ (EΦ)N_nσ(E), σ~E → Rate ~ (E^2Φ)M

AMANDA & IceCube





IceTop
Air shower detector
80 pairs of ice
Cherenkov tanks
Threshold ~ 300 TeV

InIce
Goal of 80 strings of 60
optical modules each

17 m between modules
125 m string separation

2008/09: add 18 strings and
tank stations

2006-2007:
13 strings deployed

2007-2008
18 strings deployed

2005-2006: 8 strings

2004-2005 : 1 string

AMANDA-II
19 strings
677 modules

Current configuration:
40 strings,
40 IceTop stations
plus AMANDA

Completed Dec 2010



IceCube: 37 events at 50Tev-2PeV

~6σ above atmo. bgnd. [02Sep14 PRL]



$E^2\Phi_\nu$ =(2.85+-0.9)x10^{-8}GeV/cm^{2}sr s =$E^2\Phi_{WB}$= 3.4x10^{-8}GeV/cm^{2}sr s (2PeV cutoff?).

Consistent with Isotropy,

ν_e:ν_μ:ν_τ=1:1:1 (π deacy + cosmological prop.).

IceCube: 37 events at 50Tev-2PeV

$\sim 6\sigma$ above atmo. bgnd. [02Sep14 PRL]



A new era in ν astronomy

$E^2\Phi_\nu = (2.85 +- 0.9) x 10^{-8}$ GeV/cm^{2}sr s $= E^2\Phi_{WB} = 3.4 x 10^{-8}$ GeV/cm^{2}sr s (2PeV cutoff?).

Consistent with Isotropy,

$\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau = 1:1:1$ (π deacy + cosmological prop.).

Astrophysical neutrino telescopes

A. B. McDonald[a)]
SNO Institute, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada K7L 3N6

C. Spiering
DESY Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

S. Schönert
Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

E. T. Kearns
Boston University, Department of Ph

T. Kajita
Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, U
Chiba 277-8582, Japan

(Received 3 June 2003; accepted

[Rev. Sci. Inst.]



Status: Flux, spectrum



PRL 115, 081102 (2015)



ApJ 833, 3 (2016)



PRD 91, 022001 (2015)

- Excess below ~50TeV.
  If real, likely a new low E component
  (rather than a soft Γ=2.5 spectrum).
  [e.g. Palladino & Vissani 16]

- However, note:
  - $\Phi \sim 0.01\, \Phi_{\rm Atm.}$ at low E,
  - N/S assymetry?
  - Veto efficiency decreasing at low E,
  - Tension with Fermi data.

IceCube's (>50TeV) ν sources

- DM decay? Unlikely- chance coincidence with Φ_{WB}.
- Galactic? Unlikely - Isotropy.
- A natural explanation
  (= no free parameters, no ad-hoc new sources postulated):
  XG UHE p sources, Q_E=Const., residing in (starburst) "calorimeters".
  Main open question: properties of star-forming galaxies at z~1.



$$Q_E = E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE}$$

HE p's lose their energy in star-forming host galaxy

$\tau_{\gamma p(pp)} > 1$

UHE p's escaping their sources

Have we already seen the “calorimeters”?

In γ’s: $L_\gamma \sim (2/3) L_\nu$

- Predicted γ-flux from nearby starbursts (M82, NGC253)

  $E^2\phi_\gamma \approx 10^{-9.5}\mathrm{GeV/cm^2s}$ Below $10^4\mathrm{GeV}$.
- Detected by Fermi, HESS, VERITAS @ $10^{1-3}\mathrm{GeV}$.

In ν’s: No sources with multiple-ν_μ-events

$$N(\text{multiple}\ \nu_\mu\ \text{events}){=}1\left(\frac{\zeta}{3}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{n_s}{10^{-7}\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{A}{1\mathrm{km}^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow n_s > \frac{10^{-7}}{\mathrm{Mpc}^3}\left(\frac{A}{1\mathrm{km}^2}\right)^3, \qquad N(\text{all sky}){>}10^6$$

$$, \qquad L_\nu < 10^{42.5}\mathrm{erg/s}{=}10^9 L_{\mathrm{Sun}}.$$

[Kowalski 14, Ahlers & Halzen 14, Murase & EW 16]

- Rare bright sources: Ruled out (eg “blazars”, $n<10^{-8.5}/\mathrm{Mpc}^3$).
- Detection of multiple events from few nearby sources requires A →A x 5 for $n\sim10^{-5}/\mathrm{Mpc}^3$ (eg starbursts).

Fermi's XG γ-ray background [EGB]

- $L_\gamma \sim (2/3) L_\nu$.

- The ν sources (starbursts?) produce a significant fraction of the unresolved γ–background.

[Thompson, Quataert & EW 06]

- $\frac{d \log n}{d \log E} > -2.2$

- The ~50TeV neutrino "excess" is in tension with Fermi's EGB.

  If real: "hidden" sources?



Based on [Murase & EW 16]



Model predictions vs. observations

Model: UHE CR flux dominated by shock accelerated p's,
+ $L_{CR} \propto$ SFR.

Single parameter: $E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} \approx Const. = Q = 0.5 \times 10^{44} \mathrm{erg/Mpc^3yr}$

UHE (>10^9GeV)		VHE		Galactic	
Prediction	Obs.	Prediction	Obs.	Prediction	Obs.
CR suppression above $10^{19.7}$eV	✓	$\phi_\nu = \phi_{WB}$ Below $10^6\mathrm{GeV}$	$\phi_\nu = \phi_{WB}$ @ $10^{5-6.5}\mathrm{GeV}$ ✓	G-XG transition at $10^{10}\mathrm{GeV}$	?
$\frac{d\log n}{d\log E} \approx -2$	✓	ϕ_ν suppressed above $10^6\mathrm{GeV}$	(low statistical significance) ✓	10 GeV CR production $\geq Q$	10 GeV CR production $Q \sim 10Q$ ✓
$\phi_\nu \approx \phi_{WB}$ @ $10^9\mathrm{GeV}$	? $\phi_\nu \leq \phi_{WB}$ (90% CL)	XG $\phi_\gamma \approx \phi_\nu \approx \phi_{WB}$ @ $10^2\mathrm{GeV}$	(source subtraction uncertainty) ✓		
(weak) LSS anisotropy	?	Nearby star-bursts (M82, NGC253) $\phi_\gamma \approx \phi_\nu$ $\approx 10^{-9.5}\mathrm{GeV/cm^2s}$ Below $10^4\mathrm{GeV}$	γ @ $10^{1-3}\mathrm{GeV}$ ✓ $\gamma \sim 10^4\mathrm{GeV}$? ν ?		

A single cosmic ray source across the spectrum?

Observed spectrum



[From Helder et al., SSR 12]

Generation spectrum



[Katz, EW, Thompson & Loeb 14]

A single cosmic ray source across the spectrum?

Observed spectrum



Cosmic background

From a past transient in the MW

[From Helder et al., SSR 12]

Generation spectrum



MW CRs,
Starbursts
$L_{CR} \propto SFR$

XG ν's

XG CRs

[Katz, EW, Thompson & Loeb 14]

Identifying the sources

- IC's ν's are likely produced by the "calorimeters" surrounding the sources.
  Prompt emission from the source, $\Phi \ll \Phi_{WB}$.
  E.g. "classical GRB" $\Phi_{grb} \approx 10^{-2}(10^{-1})\Phi_{WB}$ at 10^5GeV (10^6GeV). [EW & Bahcall 97]

- UHECRs are likely produced by transient "bursting" sources.

- Detection of prompt ν's from transient CR sources,
  temporal ν–γ association, requires:
  - Wide field EM monitoring,
  - Real time alerts for follow-up of high E ν events,

  and
  - Significant [x10] increase of the ν detector mass at ~100TeV.

- GRBs: ν-γ timing (10s over Hubble distance)
  → LI to 1:10^{16}; WEP to 1:10^6 .

[EW & Bahcall 97; Amelino-Camelia,et al.98;
Coleman &.Glashow 99; Jacob & Piran 07, Wei et al 16]

The way forward: I. GZK ν's

- Significant p fraction @ $10^{10.7}\mathrm{GeV}$
  → $\phi_\nu(10^9\mathrm{GeV}) \approx 10^{-8}\mathrm{GeV/cm^2 s\ sr}$

- Detector with
  $10^{-9}\mathrm{GeV/cm^2 s\ sr}$ @ $10^8 - 10^{10}\mathrm{GeV}$
  Will test p @ GZK,
  Measure p fraction down to 10%.

- Feasible (~5 yr) using the coherent radio Cerenkov technique,
  ARA & ARIANNA
  (unite at south pole).


ARIANNA radio station

The way forward: II. VHE ν's

- M_{eff}~10 Gton @ $10^5 - 10^8$GeV

  - Reduce uncertainties in ν flux, spectrum, isotropy, flavor ratio. [A different ν source at <50TeV? A cutoff >3PeV?]

  - Detect the nearest CR/ν "calorimeters".

  - Possible identification of the CR sources by temporal ν–γ association (Φ_ν~0.1 Φ_{WB}). [Requires: Wide field EM monitoring, real time alerts, X/γ telescopes.]

- Feasible with IceCube Gen 2, KM3NeT (< 10yr).

IC Gen 2



KM3NeT



Future constraints from flavor ratios



- Without "new physics", nearly single parameter ($\sim f_e$ @ source).
- Few % flavor ratio accuracy [requires x10 M_{eff} @ ~100 TeV]

→ Relevant ν physics constraints [even with current mixing uncertainties].

E.g. (for π decay)

[Capozzi et al. 13]

$\mu/(e+\tau) = 0.49\ (1-0.05\ \mathrm{Cos}\ \delta_{CP})$,

$e/\tau = 1.04\ (1+0.08\ \mathrm{Cos}\ \delta_{CP})$.

[Blum et al. 05; Seprico & Kachelriess 05; Lipari et al . 07; Winter 10; Pakvasa 10; Meloni & Ohlsson 12; Ng & Beacom 14; Ioka & Murase 14; Ibe & Kaneta 14; Blum et al. 14; Marfatia et al. 15; Bustamante et al. 15…]

Future constraints from flavor ratios



ν's have done it before!

- Without "new physics", nearly single parameter (~f_e @ source).
- Few % flavor ratio accuracy [requires x10 M_{eff} @ ~100 TeV]

→ Relevant ν physics constraints [even with current mixing uncertainties].

E.g. (for π decay)

[Capozzi et al. 13]

$\mu/(e+\tau) = 0.49\ (1-0.05\ \mathrm{Cos}\ \delta_{CP})$,

$e/\tau = 1.04\ (1+0.08\ \mathrm{Cos}\ \delta_{CP})$.

[Blum et al. 05; Seprico & Kachelriess 05; Lipari et al . 07; Winter 10; Pakvasa 10; Meloni & Ohlsson 12; Ng & Beacom 14; Ioka & Murase 14; Ibe & Kaneta 14; Blum et al. 14; Marfatia et al. 15; Bustamante et al. 15…]

Summary

- IceCube detects extra-Galactic ν's: The beginning of XG ν astronomy.

  * The flux is as high as could be hoped for.

  * $\Phi_\nu \sim \Phi_{WB}$ suggests a connection with UHECRs:

  >10^{19}eV CRs and PeV ν's from

  Transient XG p sources, $E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} \approx Const.$, $L_{CR} \propto$ SFR;

  >1PeV (>1GeV?) Galactic CRs – from a past transient.

  Consistent with XG γ-background & nearby starburst γ emission.

- What is missing?

  - Reliable measurement of the p-fraction at UHE.
  - Identification of the PeV ν "calorimeters".
  - Identification of the (transient) CR sources.

- Can be addressed by next generation ν telescopes.

  - $10^{-9}\mathrm{GeV/cm^2 s\ sr}$ @ $10^8 - 10^{10}\mathrm{GeV}$ (ARA, ARIANNA, [Auger data]).
  - $M_{eff} \sim 10$ Gton @ $10^5 - 10^8\mathrm{GeV}$ (IceCube Gen 2, KM3NeT).

  Wide field EM monitoring, real time alerts.

  "Multi-messenger": point source sensitivity ~ advanced γ telescopes (CTA).

Backup Slides

10^{11}GeV: The acceleration challenge

- Many accelerators suggested,
  none evades the simple constraint:

$$V=\frac{1}{c}\dot{\Phi}\approx\frac{1}{c}\frac{BR^2}{\frac{R}{v}}=\frac{v}{c}BR\quad\Rightarrow\quad E<\frac{\beta ZeBR}{\Gamma}\,,$$

$$L>4\pi R^2\Gamma^2\frac{B^2}{8\pi}\beta c>\frac{\Gamma^2}{\beta}\left(\frac{E/Z}{10^{11}\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^2 10^{12}L_{\mathrm{Sun}}.$$

[Lovelace 76; EW 95; Norman et al. 95; Lemoine & EW 09]

- If UHE CR are p's- few candidate sources,
  if Z>10- many candidates.



UHE: Air shower composition constraints

Auger 2010



HiRes Stereo 2010



UHE: Air shower composition constraints Inconclusive

- Auger/HiRes discrepancy.

- Uncertainties in extrapolation to E_{CM}>100TeV
  (not spanned by models),

— 25% cross-section & elasticity [Ulrich, Engel & Unger 11]]

— Exp. sys. uncertainty.

- Primary mass & Extrapolation to >100TeV effects are degenerate.

- Discrepancies between shower models and data.



[Auger Dec 16]

A mixed composition?

- Model:
  - "Cutoff" @ $\frac{E}{Z/10} = 5 \times 10^{10}\mathrm{GeV}$,
  - Acceleration: $E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} \propto E$,
  - Composition @ source:
    H : He : N = 10% : 60% : 30%.

- Challenges:
  -The suppression at $10^{19.5}$eV is due to the acceleration process, a coincidence with p-GZK.
  - Unknown acceleration process.
  - Unexpected plasma composition.

- But, cannot be ruled out.



[Auger Dec 16]



Where is the G-XG transition?

- A flat p generation spectrum,

  $Q_E = E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} = Const.$

  Implies:

  - Transition at ~ 10^{19}eV;
  - Small XG contribution at 10^{18}eV (no "dip" model").

- Transition at 10^{18}eV implies
  - Fine tuning of G/XG components;
  - Spectrum softer than $1/E^2$;
  - $Q^{XG} \gg Q(>10^{19}\text{eV})$.


[Katz & EW 09]

UHE: Do we learn from (an)isotropy?

- No significant anisotropy >4×10^{10}GeV.
  Not a significant result:
  P(reject isotropy @ 95% CL
  with 600 events)=50%.

[Kashti & EW 08]

- Significant strong dipole at ~ 8×10^{9}GeV.
  Near the Galactic plane.

Galaxy density integrated to 75Mpc



CR intensity map (ρ_{source}~ρ_{gal})





[Auger, Kampert 17]

UHE: Do we learn from (an)isotropy?

Biased ($\rho_{source}\sim\rho_{gal}$ for $\rho_{gal}>\overline{\rho_{gal}}$)

CR intensity map ($\rho_{source}\sim\rho_{gal}$)



[Kashti & EW 08]

Galaxy density integrated to 75Mpc



[EW, Fisher & Piran 97]

- Anisotropy @ 98% CL; Consistent with LSS

[Kotera & Lemoine 08; Abraham et al. 08… Oikonomou et al. 13]

- TA 3(?)σ 20-degree "hotspot"? [Abbasi et al. 14]

- Anisotropy of Z at $10^{19.7}$eV implies
    Stronger aniso. signal due to p at ($10^{19.7}$/Z) eV, since acceleration & propagation of p(E/Z)= Z(E).

Not observed → No high Z at $10^{19.7}$eV

[Lemoine & EW 09]

UHE p-sources & GRB's

UHE p source requirements	GRBs' characteristics
Transient	1-100s long ✓
$L > 10^{12}\Gamma^2 L_{\text{Sun}}$	$\Gamma \sim 10^{2.5}$ & $L \sim 10^{19}\, L_{\text{Sun}}$ ✓
$E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} = 5 \times 10^{43} \frac{\text{erg}}{\text{Mpc}^3\text{yr}}$	$Q(\text{MeV}\,\gamma, z = 0) = 10^{43.3\pm1} \frac{\text{erg}}{\text{Mpc}^3\text{yr}}$ ✓

[EW 95]

π production: p/A—p/γ

- π decay → $\nu_e:\nu_\mu:\nu_\tau$ = 1:2:0 (propagation)→ $\nu_e:\nu_\mu:\nu_\tau$ = 1:1:1

- p(A)-p: $\varepsilon_\nu/\varepsilon_p \sim 1/(2\times3\times4) \sim 0.04$ ($\varepsilon_p \rightarrow \varepsilon_A/A$);
  - IR photo dissociation of A does not modify Γ;
  - Comparable particle/anti-particle content.

- p(A)-γ: $\varepsilon_\nu/\varepsilon_p \sim (0.1\text{—}0.5)\times(1/4) \sim 0.05$;
  - Requires intense radiation at $\varepsilon_\gamma > A$ keV;
  - Comparable particle/anti-particle content,
    ν_e excess if dominated by Δ resonance ($d\log n_\gamma / d\log \varepsilon_\gamma < -1$).

Looking up: Vetoing atmospheric neutrinos

[Schoenert, Gaisser et. al 2009]

- Look for: Events starting within the detector, not accompanied by shower muons.
- Sensitive to all flavors
  (for 1:1:1, ν_μ induced μ~20%).
- Observe 4π.
- Rule out atmospheric charmed meson decay excess:
  Anisotropy due to downward events removal (vs isotropic astrophysical intensity).



[Cartoon: N. Whitehorn]



400TeV



1100TeV

Status: Isotropy, flavor ratio





Auger's UHE limit [<2013/6 data]



IceCube's detection: XG CR pion production

(a) UHE CR sources reside in ($<10^{17}$eV) "Calorimeters": Starbursts.
Implications:
G-XG transition @ 10^{19}eV;
The (G) $>10^{6.5}$eV flux is suppressed due to propagation.

or

(b) $Q \gg Q_{UHE}$ sources (unknown) with $\tau_{\gamma p(pp)} << 1$ (ad hoc, fine tuning)
& Coincidence over a wide energy range:
- AGN jets in Galaxy clusters,
  $dQ/d\log\varepsilon \sim 10^{47}$erg/Mpc^{3}yr, $\tau_{pp} \sim 10^{-2}$ [Murase, Inoue & Nagataki 2008]
- BL Lacs [“obtained through a fine-tuning with the data”, Tavecchio & Ghisellini 2015]
- Low L GRBs

.

.

.

IceCube's (>50TeV) ν sources

(a) Most natural (and predicted):

XG UHE p sources, Q_E=Const., residing in (starburst) "calorimeters".

Sources & calorimeters known to exit, no free model parameters.

Main open question: properties of star-forming galaxies at z~1.

(b) $Q \gg Q_{UHE}$ sources with $\tau_{\gamma p(pp)} \ll 1$, ad-hoc $Q/Q_{UHE} \gg 1$ & $\tau_{\gamma p(pp)} \ll 1$,

to give $(Q/Q_{UHE}) * \tau_{\gamma p(pp)} = 1$ over a wide energy range.



$$Q_E = E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE}$$

The way forward: UHE CR experiments

- Telescope-Array x 4
  (hybrid, ~Auger at the North).

- Auger' :
  Add scintillators for e/μ to
  Identify primary mass for all
  events (not only hybrid),
  Use p fraction for "astronomy"
  (anisotropy, sources).

- Complete deployment by 2020.



The way forward: III. HE ν's

- M_{eff}~10 Gton @ $10^4 - 10^5\mathrm{GeV}$

  - Point source sensitivity ~ advance γ-ray telescopes = CTA's (construction starts 2017).

  - "Multi-messenger" γ-ν astronomy, γ-ray detection of ν sources (L_γ~L_ν).
  - Search for Steady Galactic "Pevatrons".

10 Gton ν detector point source sensitivity



	$E_{\mu,\mathrm{min}}$ (TeV)			
Ψ_{med} (°)	0.1	1	10	100
Flux (10^{-13} TeV cm^{-2} s^{-1})				
0.1	1.11	1.12	1.25	2.03
0.2	1.66	1.67	1.78	2.63
0.3	2.13	2.13	2.24	3.13
0.5	2.95	2.96	3.06	4.02
1.0	4.76	4.76	4.87	5.94

[van Santen 2017]

γ ray telescopes' sensitivity



[Ohm 2017]

Short GRBs: multi-messenger prospects

- The jets of short GRBs are believed to be driven by Neutron star mergers.

- Prospects for detection in
  Gravitational waves,
  Photons,
  Neutrinos.

[Bartos et al. 11, 13; Bartos, Brady & Marka 13]

- Study
  Nuclear density matter,
  Jet “engines”,
  Particle acceleration.

Neutron star mergers



Radio to gamma-ray “Afterglow”



A note on prompt GRB ν's

- $Q_\gamma(z{=}0)$ by long GRBs ~ Q(UHE p):

- $R_{z=0}\overline{E_\gamma} = \frac{10^{52.3\pm0.7}\text{erg}}{\sim 1\text{Gpc}^3\text{yr}} = 10^{43.3\pm1}\frac{\text{erg}}{\text{Mpc}^3\text{yr}}$

- $UHE\ p\text{:}\ E^2\frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} = 10^{43.7\pm0.2}\frac{\text{erg}}{\text{Mpc}^3\text{yr}}$

→ $\frac{Q(\text{CR}-\text{p})}{Q(\text{GRB}-\text{e})} \sim 2.5\frac{\#\text{ p decades}}{\#\text{ e decades}} \sim \frac{20}{\#\text{ e decades}}$

[EW 95] .

- Prompt ν: 0.01—0.1 Φ_{WB}.

[EW & Bahcall 97; Hummer, Baerwald, and Winter 12;

Li 12; He et al 12 …Tamborra & Ando 15]

- IC has achieved relevant sensitivity: constraining model parameters.

- LLGRBs/Chocked GRBs have been suggested to dominate IceCube's signal [e.g. Senno, Murase, and Mészáros 16].



[EW & Bahcall 97]

[IceCube 16]



Low Energy, ~10GeV

$$Q_E \approx \frac{(Q_E)_{\text{Galaxy}}}{(SFR)_{\text{Galaxy}}} \times < SFR/V >_{z=0}$$

- Our Galaxy- using "grammage", local SN rate

$$Q_E \sim [3--15] \times 10^{44} \left(\frac{E}{10Z\ \text{GeV}} \right)^{-\delta} \text{erg}/\text{Mpc}^3\text{yr}, \quad \delta \approx 0.1\text{-}0.2$$

- Starbursts- using radio to γ observations

$$Q_E(E \sim 10\text{GeV}, z=0) \approx 5 \left(\frac{0.3}{f_{synch.}} \right) \times 10^{44}\, \text{erg}/\text{Mpc}^3\text{yr}$$

→ Q/SFR similar for different galaxy types,
dQ/dlog ε ~Const. at all ε.

[Katz, EW, Thompson & Loeb 14]

Are SNRs the sources of E<1PeV CRs?

π^0 decay signature [Ackermann et al. 13].



- So far, no direct evidence.

- EM observations- ambiguous.
- Modelling complex (interaction with molecular clouds).

- π^0 interpretation → E_p<100 GeV.



Acceleration: Collisionless shocks



A (collisionless) shock

slow, hot (non-thermal)

fast, cold, un-magnetized plasma

Collective plasma mediated scattering

Energetic particle

- No complete basic principles theory. Challenge:
  Self-consistent particle/B,
  Non linear with a wide range of temporal/physical scales.

- Analytic (test-particle) approx. yields

$$E^2 \frac{d\dot{n}}{dE} \approx Const.\ ,$$

[Krymsky 77; Kehset & EW 05]

as observed in a wide range of sources (lower energy p's in the Galaxy, radiation from accelerated e^-).

- Supported by basic principles plasma simulations.

[Sironi et al 15, Park et al. 15]

- [The only predictive model.]

Collisionless shocks: Plasma simulations

3D, $m_p/m_e=1$



$$R_L(\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{thermal}) \approx \frac{c}{\omega_p}, \quad R_L \propto \varepsilon$$

1D, $m_p/m_e=100$, $L=10^3c/\omega_p$

[Park et al. 15]



Particle acceleration in collisionless shocks

- No basic principles theory.
- Challenges:

  Self-consistent particle/B,

  Non linear with a wide range of

  temporal/physical scales.





[Sironi, Spitkovsky & Arons 13]

Star forming galaxies: candidate CR calorimeters

- Starbursts: $(n,B,SFR)/(n,B,SFR)_{MW}$ ~ 100-1000; SFR ~100 M_{sun}/yr.
- Radio, IR & γ-ray (GeV-TeV) observations

→ Starbursts are calorimeters for E/Z reaching (at least) 10TeV.

- Theoretical estimates of $f(p\rightarrow\pi)$:

  Scaling from the MW→ f=1 to E> 1PeV for $\Sigma_{disk} > 0.03\ \mathrm{g/cm^2} \equiv$ "starburst".

- Most of the stars in the universe were formed in galaxies with high SFR.

  If Q_{CR}~SFR Then $\Phi_\nu(\varepsilon_\nu<1\mathrm{PeV})\sim\Phi_{WB}$ [Loeb & EW 06; He 13; Liu 14; Senno et al. 15] .

- Main contribution: z=1--2 star-forming galaxies.

  Main Uncertainty: Fraction of stars formed in calorimetric environments.

  CO observations of z=1.5 'average' galaxies [e.g. Daddi et al 10]:

  SFR~ 100 M_{sun}/yr, molecular disks with Σ~0.1 $\mathrm{g/cm^2}$,

  supportive but with large uncertainties.

Astrophysical neutrino telescopes

A. B. McDonald[a]
SNO Institute, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada K7L 3N6

C. Spiering
DESY Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

S. Schönert
Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

E. T. Kearns
Boston University, Department of Physics, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02215

T. Kajita
Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-no-ha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8582, Japan

(Received 3 June 2003; accepted 23 November 2003)

MeV- GeV Achievements:

Detection of solar and SN ν's,
Tests of stellar structure and explosion models,
ν mass and oscillations.

>100 TeV Achievements:

Detection of extra-Galactic ν's.
More to come…

Nobel prizes:

- 2002 Davis (Cl) & Koshiba (Kamiokande)

"*for pioneering contributions to … detection of cosmic ν's*";

- 2015 McDonald (SNO) and Kajita (Super-K)

"*for the discovery of ν oscillations, which shows that ν's have mass*".