# Preparazione per il Run 2017: Muon POG

**C. Battilana** (Università and INFN Bologna) per il Muon POG





ALMA MATER STUDIORUM Università di Bologna



Riunione CMS Italia 2016 14-16 Dicembre 2016, Perugia, Italia

# Outline

#### Summary of Italian contributions to Muon POG

#### □ Highlights on muon performance in 2016

Not the main topic, mostly exploited to frame road ahead for 2017

#### □ Ongoing (or planned) updates for 2017

- Muon HLT
- Reconstruction
- Identification and isolation
- Analysis tools

#### Person-power coverage

Plus open tasks and opportunities for joining existing efforts

#### □ Summary

### Muon POG core team and "Italians" contributing to MUO



#### **Muon Object Contacts with PAGs**

▶ J. Pazzini (PD), R. Castello (CERN) (1+1 out of 15 MOCs)

#### Other "italian" contributors in 2016

(piked from EPR tables + browsing this year's presentation, not being exhaustive)

- G. Abbiendi (BO), P. Traczyk (TO), R. Radogna (BA), S. Chhibra (BO), N. Trevisani (IFCA), G. Miniello (BA), E. Manca (PI),
  - L. Cristella (BA), D. Trocino (Northeastern Univ.), A. Magitteri (UCL), L. Benato (PD), C. Battilana (BO) ...

# **Muon HLT**

# Muon HLT in 2016 "one slide summary"



# Updates for 2017: new L3 reconstruction overview

#### Motivation and "history"

- ▶ Initially developed during LS1 + 2015 aiming to:
  - Simplify code and reduce duplication, fully exploit iterative tracking for muons at HLT
  - Overcome some original muon L3 ("cascade") limits and improve performance (e.g. on specific signatures, as displaced muons)
- Code baseline available since ~1 year (B. Radburn-Smith)
- Not deployed until now due to lack of a complete tuning/testing
- Critical for 2017: simplifies transition to new pixel geometry

#### Algorithm strategy

- Starting point: L2 muons updated at vertex, used to seed:
- One Outside-In (OI) inner tracking algo: based on offline trk iter10 + custom trajectory seeder code
- One Inside-Out (IO) inner tracking algo: regional iterative tracking with pixel triplet + pixel pair seeding
- Plus code for combination OI/IO results: apply quality cuts for track selection to each sequence, cleanup of L2 candidates building OI tracks when seeding IO, "final" combination of OI and IO candidates
- ▶ Plus: potential inclusion of tracking algorithms starting from L1 candidates (a-la TkMu), at least for specific triggers

# Updates for 2017: new L3 reconstruction overview

#### Motivation and "history"

- ▶ Initially developed during LS1 + 2015 aiming to:
  - Simplify code and reduce duplication, fully exploit iterative tracking for muons at HLT
  - Overcome some original muon L3 ("cascade") limits and improve performance (e.g. on specific signatures, as displaced muons)
- Code baseline available since ~1 year (B. Radburn-Smith)
- Not deployed until now due to lack of a complete tuning/testing
- Critical for 2017: simplifies transition to new pixel geometry

#### Algorithm strategy

- **Starting point:** L2 muons updated at vertex, used to seed:
- One Outside-In (OI) inner tracking algo: based on offline trk iter10 + custom trajectory seeder code
- One Inside-Out (IO) inner tracking algo: regional iterative tracking with pixel triplet + pixel pair seeding
- Plus code for combination OI/IO results: apply quality cuts for track selection to each sequence, cleanup of L2 candidates building OI tracks when seeding IO, "final" combination of OI and IO candidates
- ▶ Plus: potential inclusion of tracking algorithms starting from L1 candidates (a-la TkMu), at least for specific triggers

#### Status of developments

- A significant update: needs clear milestone definition
- + must proceed in parallel with geometry updates
- Presently running "just" a couple of weeks late w.r.t. initial planning

#### **Milestones and timeline**

Machinery setup, migration of configs to 80X and recent menus End of summer

Tuning of IO sequence: 31st Oct

Tuning of the OI sequences: 6th Dec

Tuning of the combination step: + Eventual further IO/OI tuning beginning-of-2017

Check the performance with new geometry (+ release code to PAGs): Feb 2017(?)

Explore possibility/need to merge new L3 with TkMu reconstruction: late Feb 2017 (?)

> S. Folgueras, N. Neumister [<u>1] [2]</u>

### **Updates for 2017:** new L3 reconstruction performance highlights

#### Algorithm strategy

- **Starting point:** L2 muons updated at vertex, used to seed:
- One Outside-In (OI) inner tracking algo: based on offline trk iter10 + custom trajectory seeder code
- One Inside-Out (IO) inner tracking algo: regional iterative tracking with pixel triplet + pixel pair seeding
- > Plus code for combination OI/IO results: apply quality cuts for track selection to each sequence, cleanup of L2 candidates building OI tracks when seeding IO, "final" combination of OI and IO candidates
- ▶ Plus: potential inclusion of tracking algorithms starting from L1 candidates (a-la TkMu), at least for specific triggers

#### Optimization studies and performance highlights

- Examples of parameters probed during tuning:
  - ▶ IO: tuning of tracking regions of interests (ROIs)
    - Also comparing dynamically computed vs static ROIs
  - OI: tuning of seeding
    - ▶ Use of trackerless L2 or L2 + Outer Tracker hit to initiate seeding
    - Number of trk layes used by seeding, number of seeds per tk layer
- "Per muon" efficiency in DY+Jets MC (present tuning vs"true" PU [20:70])
- Computed w.r.t. GEN muons matched with L2 candidates
- Slightly higher efficiency of each step
- ▶ For a present 5-30% timing penalty for "new L3" sequences
  - Anyhow: need to wait for combination code for a "complete" review



Neumister [1] [2]

S. Folgueras, N.

### Updates for 2017: new L3 reconstruction performance highlights

#### Algorithm strategy

- **Starting point:** L2 muons updated at vertex, used to seed:
- One Outside-In (OI) inner tracking algo: based on offline trk iter10 + custom trajectory seeder code
- One Inside-Out (IO) inner tracking algo: regional iterative tracking with pixel triplet + pixel pair seeding
- Plus code for combination OI/IO results: apply quality cuts for track selection to each sequence, cleanup of L2 candidates building OI tracks when seeding IO, "final" combination of OI and IO candidates
- Plus: potential inclusion of tracking algorithms starting from L1 candidates (a-la TkMu), at least for specific triggers

#### Optimization studies and performance highlights

- Examples of parameters probed during tuning:
  - ▶ IO: tuning of tracking regions of interests (ROIs)
    - Also comparing dynamically computed vs static ROIs
  - OI: tuning of seeding
    - ▶ Use of trackerless L2 or L2 + Outer Tracker hit to initiate seeding
    - Number of trk layes used by seeding, number of seeds per tk layer
- "Per muon" efficiency in DY+Jets MC (present tuning vs"true" PU [20:70])
- Computed w.r.t. GEN muons matched with L2 candidates
- Slightly higher efficiency of each step
- ▶ For a present 5-30% timing penalty for "new L3" sequences
- Anyhow: need to wait for combination code for a "complete" review



S. Folgueras, N. Neumister [<u>1</u>] [<u>2</u>]



# **Updates for 2017: isolation strategy**



- Prime goal: recover stability v
- Also: aim at achieving (present strategy, applied to 27 G)
- NOTE: All this to be studied
- Plus the usual maintenance
  - Make algorithms work with new I
  - Perform final retuning targeting 2
    - Also considering L1 thresholds
- In theory a covered task, but a on multiple fronts in parallel on





# Muon HLT u double muor

Anyhow:

#### 2016F before HIP fix 0.5 2016F after HIP fix 0.4<sup>[]</sup> 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 # offline vertices dZ efficiency for Mu17\_Mu8 0.9 No major updates fores 0.8 Most troublesome issue c 0.7 ► HLT operated without dZ 0.6 + 2016F before HIP fix ties 0.5 2016F after HIP fix 0.4<sup>L</sup> 5 6 9 inst. lumi [1E30] hs

# uon triggers



Three flavours of double muon triggers (Mu+Mu, Mu+TkMu, TkMu+TkMu) presently deployed

- They exploit different tracking algorithms
- Can live with "replicas", but a simplification would be desirable

0.6

Any decision contingent to:

Inefficiency understood to

▶ Got "fixed" with update

Main threshold bottlenec

After enabling dZ cuts do

around  $2.0 \cdot 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

Main pending action co

- Performance of "new L3" reconstruction
- Decision about inclusion of TkMu sequences within the new L3

Besides potential inclusion into new L3, presently no planned activity on TkMu triggers beyond maintenance

#### 11

# **Offline muon reconstruction**

Actual work to include updates from tracker/HCAL geometry into muon reconstruction does not significantly exceed code maintenance

- Improvements from tracking propagate, in general, rather transparently to offline muons
- Reconstruction being monitored with RelVals waiting for larger 81X samples for more in depth checks



Actual work to include updates from tracker/HCAL geometry into muon reconstruction does not significantly exceed code maintenance

- Improvements from tracking propagate, in general, rather transparently to offline muons
- Reconstruction being monitored with RelVals waiting for larger 81X samples for more in depth checks

#### Nevertheless few improvements are in MUO "wish-list" for 2017

- Increase standalone reconstruction performance for close by muons (I. Kratschmer)
  - By attempting a tuning of seeding configuration (90X)
  - Trying to improve seeding/reconstruction code logic (later in 2017)



Actual work to include updates from tracker/HCAL geometry into muon reconstruction does not significantly exceed code maintenance

- Improvements from tracking propagate, in general, rather transparently to offline muons
- Reconstruction being monitored with RelVals waiting for larger 81X samples for more in depth checks

#### Nevertheless few improvements are in MUO "wish-list" for 2017

- Increase standalone reconstruction performance for close by muons (I. Kratschmer)
  - By attempting a tuning of seeding configuration (90X)
  - Trying to improve seeding/reconstruction code logic (later in 2017)
- Retuning of muon specific tracking iterations (nobody on the task at present)
  - ▶ About lowering 10 GeV p<sub>T</sub> thresholds used by standalone seeded tracking iteration
  - Measure performance improvements and + monitor CPU timing increase
    - Tune/add cuts (e.g. muon time) on STA tracks used to initiate seeding if needed



Actual work to include updates from tracker/HCAL geometry into muon reconstruction does not significantly exceed code maintenance

- Improvements from tracking propagate, in general, rather transparently to offline muons
- Reconstruction being monitored with RelVals waiting for larger 81X samples for more in depth checks

#### Nevertheless few improvements are in MUO "wish-list" for 2017

- Increase standalone reconstruction performance for close by muons (I. Kratschmer)
  - By attempting a tuning of seeding configuration (90X)
  - Trying to improve seeding/reconstruction code logic (later in 2017)
- Retuning of muon specific tracking iterations (nobody on the task at present)
  - ▶ About lowering 10 GeV p<sub>T</sub> thresholds used by standalone seeded tracking iteration
  - Measure performance improvements and + monitor CPU timing increase
    - Tune/add cuts (e.g. muon time) on STA tracks used to initiate seeding if needed
- Revise/improve performance of particle flow muon identification (D. Trocino, A. Hortiangtham)
  - Attempt more regular reviews of muons in PF, increase pool of MUO PF experts
  - > Daniele's and Apichart's activity focusing on improving PF ID is specific scenarios (e.g. close-by muons) for 90X
  - ▶ Possibility to add contributor(s) to cover in parallel other aspects (e.g. PF momentum assignment at high p<sub>T</sub>)

Actual work to include updates from tracker/HCAL geometry into muon reconstruction does not significantly exceed code maintenance

- Improvements from tracking propagate, in general, rather transparently to offline muons
- Reconstruction being monitored with RelVals waiting for larger 81X samples for more in depth checks

#### Nevertheless few improvements are in MUO "wish-list" for 2017

- Increase standalone reconstruction performance for close by muons (I. Kratschmer)
  - By attempting a tuning of seeding configuration (90X)
  - Trying to improve seeding/reconstruction code logic (later in 2017)
- Retuning of muon specific tracking iterations (nobody on the task at present)
  - ▶ About lowering 10 GeV p<sub>T</sub> thresholds used by standalone seeded tracking iteration
  - Measure performance improvements and + monitor CPU timing increase
    - Tune/add cuts (e.g. muon time) on STA tracks used to initiate seeding if needed
- Revise/improve performance of particle flow muon identification (D. Trocino, A. Hortiangtham)
  - Attempt more regular reviews of muons in PF, increase pool of MUO PF experts
  - > Daniele's and Apichart's activity focusing on improving PF ID is specific scenarios (e.g. close-by muons) for 90X
  - ▶ Possibility to add contributor(s) to cover in parallel other aspects (e.g. PF momentum assignment at high p<sub>T</sub>)

#### Plus a few other, medium size, "technical" activities

E.g. cleanup of unused isolation algorithms/dataset/validation sequences from reconstruction (T. J. Kim - 90X)

### **Offline reconstruction Alignment Position Errors and high-p**<sub>T</sub> **muon refits**



#### Non-zero APEs deployed in muon reconstruction in 2016

- For the first time, after a complete performance review (G. Abbiendi and S Chhibra)
- Starting from code implemented during LS1 (A. Sviatkosky)
  - Impact more significant for startup alignment (both at HLT and offline)
  - But smaller, positive, effects expected also for asymptotic conditions
  - Measurement in data mostly consistent with MC expectations
- Main missing ingredient: development of an APE calibration workflow
  - Present values based on MC estimations (asymptotic) or HW measurements (startup)
  - > To be finalized before the 2017 run together with "technical validation step"

# Also implies "physics" validation of each high- $p_T$ muon refit as well as fo cocktail used for muon momentum assignment (*tune-P*)

- Measured up to now in MC (R. Radogna) and with cosmics (J. Chaves, J. Tucker)
- Repetition of end-to-end physics performance studies after APE calibration is part of 2017 preparation/commissioning plans
  - Even though, from previous experience, no retuning of tune-P is expected

Identification, isolation and analysis tools

#### Main ID related challenge faced during 2016: tracker dynamic inefficiency

- Mitigated for ICHEP16 by applying "quick retuning" to most affected IDs, overcome by APV settings update
- Studies drained person-power committed to "smooth corners" on existing IDs (e.g. MediumID efficiency at high-η)
- In parallel tuning of some IDs started by/in-collaboration-with PAGs (e.g. on (Tk)HighPt-ID: B2G, EXO)

- > No big changes expected (when used) pixel hit cuts applied in muon ID are rather mild
- Anyhow, follow-up on "open points" about IDs performance can largely proceed in parallel
  - All this to be done directly on AOD/miniAOD



#### Main ID related challenge faced during 2016: tracker dynamic inefficiency

- Mitigated for ICHEP16 by applying "quick retuning" to most affected IDs, overcome by APV settings update
- Studies drained person-power committed to "smooth corners" on existing IDs (e.g. MediumID efficiency at high-η)
- In parallel tuning of some IDs started by/in-collaboration-with PAGs (e.g. on (Tk)HighPt-ID: B2G, EXO)

- > No big changes expected (when used) pixel hit cuts applied in muon ID are rather mild
- Anyhow, follow-up on "open points" about IDs performance can largely proceed in parallel
  - All this to be done directly on AOD/miniAOD



#### Main ID related challenge faced during 2016: tracker dynamic inefficiency

- Mitigated for ICHEP16 by applying "quick retuning" to most affected IDs, overcome by APV settings update
- Studies drained person-power committed to "smooth corners" on existing IDs (e.g. MediumID efficiency at high-η)
- In parallel tuning of some IDs started by/in-collaboration-with PAGs (e.g. on (Tk)HighPt-ID: B2G, EXO)

- > No big changes expected (when used) pixel hit cuts applied in muon ID are rather mild
- Anyhow, follow-up on "open points" about IDs performance can largely proceed in parallel
  - All this to be done directly on AOD/miniAOD



#### Main ID related challenge faced during 2016: tracker dynamic inefficiency

- Mitigated for ICHEP16 by applying "quick retuning" to most affected IDs, overcome by APV settings update
- Studies drained person-power committed to "smooth corners" on existing IDs (e.g. MediumID efficiency at high-η)
- In parallel tuning of some IDs started by/in-collaboration-with PAGs (e.g. on (Tk)HighPt-ID: B2G, EXO)

- > No big changes expected (when used) pixel hit cuts applied in muon ID are rather mild
- Anyhow, follow-up on "open points" about IDs performance can largely proceed in parallel
  - All this to be done directly on AOD/miniAOD



### Muon isolation status and plans for 2017

#### More intense roadmap for isolation tuning. A brief recap of the workflow:

- Present tuning performed in 2015 (L. Benato, S. Hasegawa) and cross checked at 2016 startup (J. Brochero)
  - For PF isolation tested different strategies ( $\Delta\beta / \rho$  corrections, PF weights, PUPPI) and cone sizes.
  - Outcome: though slightly worse in performance, central w.p.  $\Delta\beta$  based: simpler / implies less maintenance
    - Tight w.p. ( $\Delta\beta$ ) : ~95%(~11%) efficiency on DY(QCD) for Tight muons ( $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV/c}$ )
    - ▶ For <PU> slightly above 1.10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- Very good data/MC agreement (performance monitored along both runs)

#### All this need to be repeated for 2017

- Firstly exploiting high-PU corner from 2016 dataset (activity restarting)
- Then repeat studies regularly with coming datasets (81X, 90X) even if exact strategy not cleat (to me)
  - Though partly covered, a task where additional person-power would be welcome



# Monitoring and analysis tools overview

 $[\underline{X}] ==$  recent updates for Moriond17

#### Summary of workflows presently used to provide recommendations

- Tag-and-probe efficiency measurements
  - Z: ID (G. Perrin [1]), isolation (G. Perrin, P. F. Manteca [2]), Single Muon Trigger (K.P. Lee [3])
  - JPsi: ID (L. Cristella, T. Madlener)
- Double muon trigger efficiency:
  - ▶ Reference trigger method (H. Brun, Q. Wang L. Favart)
- ▶ Muon scale/resolution corrections (low, intermediate p<sub>T</sub>)
  - Rochester Method (A. Bodek, A. Khukhunaishvili)
  - Kalman Filter Based Method (G. Rolandi, M. Bachtis, E. Manca)
- ▶ Muon scale/resolution measurement (high-p<sub>T</sub>)
  - Resolution: by comparison of top and bottom legs in cosmics (J. Chaves, J. Tucker)
  - Scale: generalized endpoint method with collisions (R. Radogna, A. Escalante, R. Castello)
  - Cosmics endpoint method (J. Sturdy, P. Karchin, S. Zaleski) [presently under development]

#### Plus <u>DQM</u>, dedicated commissioning tools and analyses not run on regular bases, to name a few:

- <u>Common ntuple</u> based
  - Data/MC comparison, commissioning of ID/Isolation variables (L. Li)
  - APE Validation (G. Abbiendi, S. Chhibra)
- TnP trees based
  - ▶ High-p<sub>T</sub> triggers cut and count efficiency measurements (S. Chhibra)
  - Hadron mis identification analysis (J. Goh)

# Monitoring and analysis tools milestones achieved and next steps

Large effort n 2016 in terms centralization of "private" workflow into common frameworks and automatization of existing workflows

- E.g. porting of generalized end-point method to central ntuples (R. Radogna)
- Extension of TnP "additional" utilities (for skimming, automatized publication of plot and fit results ... S. Wusch)

#### Also significant reduction of memory footprint of TnP fitting code

- From code architecture, not simply a bug
- Limiting factor for the analysis of large volume of data
- Achieved in two "significant steps" (M. Calderon M. Marionneau)
- > A third one missing to ultimate technical performance optimization

#### General "decent task coverage" (AFAIK), but there are spots where additional person-power is desirable

- Right now, or, more likely, as part of the usual set of EOY task transitions
- Please contact directly Hugues and Alicia to get a more up-to-date overview



#### □ Brief of summary of present MUO performance results and plans for 2017 was presented

- □ Most significant activity on the trigger side
  - Major changes planned and started in advance, whenever possible
  - Some delays on the initial timeline, for now not "critic"

□ But also relevant developments for offline reconstruction, isolation and analysis tools

Very strong Italian contribution to MUO

Even if could be improved in terms links with PAGs

Except few holes, many tasks are "decently covered" for POG standard, anyhow additional person-power is highly desirable in some fronts

> Status of tasks coverage presented at the best of my knowledge, but I'm not fully aware of recent updates

In case of interest better to iterate directly with <u>cms-phys-conveners-MUO@cern.ch</u>



# **Trigger inefficiencies** "at high p<sub>T</sub>" (1)

### EMTF ISSUES [WAS: HIGH PT INEFFICIENCY]

S. Wunsch

- Efficiency decreasing with pT for L1 muons in the endcap/overlap region
- Three issues found in EMTF, affecting especially high pT muons
  - Configuration error: EMTF only assigned pT to highestquality track in a 60° sector - others got pT = 0
  - Firmware error: track in BX=0 was sometimes mis-assigned the pT from a track in the same sector in BX=-1
  - Algorithm tuning: showering muons can produce tracks with three LCTs in a straight line, plus one outlier LCT - EMTF assigns low pT

21

All the issues have been fixed by L1 side from Run 278167



# **Trigger inefficiencies** "at high p<sub>T</sub>" (2)

S. Wunsch

### PHI ISSUE AND HIGH PT INEFFICIENCY

- S. Wunsch performed some more studies on HLT\_Mu50 OR HLT\_TkMu50 efficiency, to understand the effects of the fix to the EMTF misconfiguration (bullet B.2 of the previous slide)
  - all details from Stefan <u>here</u>
  - the geometrical issue shows up especially for high pt muons due to their kinematic distribution
  - this geometrical issue was actually introducing a correlation between the tag and the probe muons used in the single muon efficiency calculation
    - single muon efficiency for analyses using one single muon should be evaluated by neglecting those events where the tag and probe muons cross the same endcap
    - in the plot on the left, neglecting same-endcap muon pairs if their offline phi difference dPhi is > 70 degrees
  - this issue was actually found to be the dominant one



