

Hiro Yamamoto LIGO Lab / Caltech






Detection of gravitational wave signals by Advanced LIGO: Past, Present and Future


Hiro Yamamoto LIGO lab/Caltech

- New Astronomy by gravitational wave signal at the 100th memorial year of general relativity
 - » Just the beginning ...
- How the GW signals look like
- Basics of interferometer or how to hear the GW signal?
- GW signal in advanced LIGO
- Scope for the future






LIGO What happened long long time ago - heavy black hole merger -




LIGO






Massive bodies wrap space-time


- General relativities view of gravity -





Black Hole Waves Simulation








How much energy emitted

- 36 M_☉ BH and 29 M_☉ BH merged to form 62 M_☉ BH
- Total energy released as GW : 3 M_☉ c²
- If all energy were released as light
 - » Peak luminosity of 3.6 x 10^{54} erg/s ~ 50 x EM energy output of all the stars in the observable universe
 - » The merger at 1.3 Bly away look as bright as a full moon, located only 1.3 light second away


- very very ... weak -






Distortion of space by GW

- Gravitational wave traveling into the picture
- Change separation
 (ΔL) proportional to
 initial separation (L)
- Expand in one direction and shrink in the orthogonal direction






How to detect small variation? Basic idea






How to detect small variation? Interferometer






Interferometer for GW detection



$$h = \frac{L_1 - L_2}{L_1 + L_2} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{h} \sim 10^{-21} \\ \text{L}_1 - \text{L}_2 \sim 10^{-18} \text{m} \end{array}$$




Noise sources of GW detectorsdisturbance of L1-L2 -




FAKE Event GW100916: FAKE

LIGO


http://www.ligo.org/science/GW100916/ on Sep.16,2010









Fundamental Sensitivity Limits in Advanced LIGO






Sensitivities during O1








The Chirp of Two Black Holes merger: GW150914 on 2015/9/14






LIGO's First Observing Run






Bandwidth of signals

(arXiv:1606.04856 Binary Black Hole Mergers in the first Advanced LIGO Observing Run)





O1 BBH search




Search for binary black holes systems with black holes larger than 2 M_{\odot} and total mass less than 100 M_{\odot} , in O1 (Sep 12, 2015-Jan 19, 2016, ~48 days of coincident data)

-			
Event	GW150914	GW151226	LVT151012
Signal-to-noise ratio ρ	23.7	13.0	9.7
False alarm rate FAR/yr^{-1}	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$	0.37
p-value	7.5×10^{-8}	7.5×10^{-8}	0.045
Significance	$> 5.3 \sigma$	$> 5.3 \sigma$	1.7σ
Primary mass $m_1^{ m source}/{ m M}_{\odot}$	$36.2^{+5.2}_{-3.8}$	$14.2_{-3.7}^{+8.3}$	23^{+18}_{-6}
Secondary mass $m_2^{\rm source}/{ m M}_{\odot}$	$29.1_{-4.4}^{+3.7}$	$7.5_{-2.3}^{+2.3}$	13^{+4}_{-5}
Chirp mass $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{source}}/\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$	$28.1_{-1.5}^{+1.8}$	$8.9_{-0.3}^{+0.3}$	$15.1_{-1.1}^{+1.4}$
Total mass $M^{\rm source}/{ m M}_{\odot}$	$65.3_{-3.4}^{+4.1}$	$21.8_{-1.7}^{+5.9}$	37^{+13}_{-4}
Effective inspiral spin $\chi_{\rm eff}$	$-0.06^{+0.14}_{-0.14}$	$0.21^{+0.20}_{-0.10}$	$0.0^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$
Final mass $M_{ m f}^{ m source}/{ m M}_{\odot}$	$62.3_{-3.1}^{+3.7}$	$20.8_{-1.7}^{+6.1}$	35^{+14}_{-4}
Final spin $a_{\rm f}$	$0.68^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$	$0.74^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$0.66^{+0.09}_{-0.10}$
Radiated energy $E_{\rm rad}/({ m M}_{\odot}c^2)$	$3.0_{-0.4}^{+0.5}$	$1.0_{-0.2}^{+0.1}$	$1.5^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$
Peak luminosity $\ell_{\text{peak}}/(\text{erg s}^{-1})$	$3.6^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \times 10^{56}$	$3.3^{+0.8}_{-1.6} \times 10^{56}$	$3.1^{+0.8}_{-1.8} \times 10^{56}$
Luminosity distance $D_{\rm L}/{ m Mpc}$	420^{+150}_{-180}	440^{+180}_{-190}	1000^{+500}_{-500}
Source redshift z	$0.09^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$0.09^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$0.20^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$
Sky localization $\Delta\Omega/{\rm deg}^2$	230	850	1600



Parameters of BBH systems




Top left: Component masses. Top right: The mass and dimensionless spin magnitude of the final black holes.

Bottom left: The effective spin and mass ratios of the binary components. Bottom right: The luminosity distance to the three events.




Filling in the black hole catalog







Planning for Advanced LIGO Science






Aiming for the future beyond advanced LIGO








Localization poor because of only 2 IFOs





28



Prospects for Observing and Localizing Gravitational-Wave Transients with Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo

Abbott, B. P. et al.

The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration (The full author list and affiliations are given at the end of paper.) email: lsc-spokesperson@ligo.org, virgo-spokesperson@ego-gw.it




Figure 1: aLIGO (left) and AdV (right) target strain sensitivity as a function of frequency. The binary neutron-star (BNS) range, the average distance to which these signals could be detected, is given in megaparsec. Current notions of the progression of sensitivity are given for early, mid and late commissioning phases, as well as the final design sensitivity target and the BNS-optimized sensitivity. While both dates and sensitivity curves are subject to change, the overall progression represents our best current estimates.


2015 – 2016 (O1) A four-month run (beginning 18 September 2015 and ending 12 January 2016) with the two-detector H1L1 network at early aLIGO sensitivity (40 – 80 Mpc BNS range).

2016-2017 (O2) A six-month run with H1L1 at 80-120 Mpc and V1 at 20-60 Mpc.

2017 - 2018 (O3) A nine-month run with H1L1 at 120-170 Mpc and V1 at 60-85 Mpc.




International network






KAGRA - Underground and Cryogenic -



Plausible world-wide observing scenario for the next decade

Observing time
Commissioning time
Downtime for upgrades





LIGO LIGO LAB (CIT, MIT, UFL) + LSC (LIGO Science Collaboration)

• 1006 members, 83 institutions, 15 countries






Multi-messenger astronomy collaborations with Groups Detecting other signals

- Discussions going toward the new astrophysical era
- Complementary alert system
- Complementary and supplemental information about the source
- Many MOUs exchanged with EM partners, covering the whole EM spectrum.











LIGO Open Science Center

LIGO is operated by California Institute of Technology and Massachusetts Institute of Technology and supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation.

Getting Started

Tutorials

Data

Events

Bulk Data

Timelines

My Sources

Software

GPS ↔ UTC

About LIGO

Data Analysis Projects

Acknowledgement

Welcome to the LIGO Open Science Center

About LIGO

Get Started with LIGO data Join the E-mail list for updates

For general information on LIGO, please visit ligo.org

If you have LSC credentials, you may go to the development site

More discoveries from LIGO!

Data Releases from two events and a candidate event

released 2016 June 15:

Event of December 26, GW151226: Chirp mass 9

released 2016 June 15:

Candidate event of October 12, LVT151012: Chirp mass 15

released 2016 Feb 11:

Event of September 14, GW150914: Chirp mass 30

The LIGO Laboratory's Data Management Plan describes the scope and timing of LIGO data releases.

Jupyter notebook

See the new tutorial on signal processing with LIGO data, as a Jupyter (iPython) notebook.

Tutorial on Binary Black Hole Signals in LIGO Open Data

.

Getting Started


Welcome! The LIGO Open Science Center (LOSC) provides access to LIGO data, as well as documentation, tutorials, and online tools for finding and viewing data.

What's LIGO!?



The LIGO Scientific Collaboration Home Page provides a general introduction to LIGO.

Where's the data?



The Data Page allows you to download LIGO data.

The main data are a time series sampled at 4096 Hz.

Data are calibrated so that gravitational wave signals have units of dimensionless strain ($\Delta L / L$).


How do I work with LIGO data?



The Tutorials Page gives examples of how to work with LIGO data. If you are a student, this is a great place to start.



LIGO Chronology idea to realization ~ 20 years



Weiss

Vogt

1970s	Feasibility studies and early work on laser interferometer gravitational-wave detectors
1979	National Science Foundation (NSF) funds Caltech and MIT for laser interferometer R&I

1984 Development of multiple pendulum Advanced LIGO Concept

1989 December Construction proposal for LIGO submitted to the NSF (\$365M as of 2002)

1990 May National Science Board approves LIGO construction proposal

Barish becomes the PI of LIGO

1999 LIGO Scientific Collaboration White Paper on a Advanced LIGO interferometer concept

2000 October Achieved "first lock" on Hanford 2-km interferometer in power-recycled configuration

2002 August First scientific operation of all three interferometers in S1 run

2003 Proposal for Advanced LIGO to the NSF (\$205 NSF + \$30 UK+Germany)

2004 October Approval by NSB of Advanced LIGO

2005 November Start of initial LIGO Science run, S5, with design sensitivity

2008 April Advanced LIGO Project start

2009 July Science run ("S6") starts with enhanced initial detectors

2014 May
 2015 March
 2015 September
 Advanced LIGO Livingston first two-hour lock
 Advanced LIGO all interferometers accepted
 2015 September
 Advanced LIGO observation run 1 scheduled



Thorn



Barish

Executive producer & consultant of movie "Intersteller"


37


Initial LIGO events

Advanced LIGO events

R&D of aLIGO using iLIGO facility

The real instrument is far more complex...






G1400628-v3 by P. Fritschel


Speedier commissioning

- Lock acquisition strategy designed in from the start, including a new Arm Length Stabilization system
 - Enables a controlled acquisition process
 - Better teams on handMore people and with more experience
 - » Observatory staff, including operators, involved from the beginning
- Better support structure in place
 - » Software tools in place
 - » Online web teels in place
- Having been there before helps a lot!






How could we see the signal - better understanding of IFO -



The Gravitational Wave Spectrum



Slide Credit: Matt Evans (MIT)



Summary

- First direct observation of gravitational signals.
 - Strict test of the general relativity, both weak field and strong field
 - » Discovery of heavy black holes, new theories of heavy steller mass BH formation
- New astronomy using GW signals, just beginning
 - » International network for better sky coverage
 - » Multi messenger astronomy
 - » Another revolutionary new detectors to have better sensitivities and to over different frequency ranges




End of slides

LIGO-G1601946




major issues Parametric Instabilities





major issues Squeeze film damping

- Small gap (5 mm) between ETM and its reaction mass increased damping from residual gas
 - » Current poor vacuum level at LLO end station means this is a significant thermal noise term below ~60 Hz
 - » At expected vacuum level, squeeze film damping noise will compete with radiation pressure noise at full power
- Beyond lower vacuum, the solution is a new, annular reaction mass (hole in the middle)
 - » Provides same amount of electro-static drive actuation
 - » Reduces damping force by a factor of 2.5x
 - » Working towards possible retrofit in early 2016



Squeezed Light in LIGO


suppressing quantum noise without increasing power


• Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle $\langle (\Delta \hat{X}_1)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta \hat{X}_2)^2 \rangle > 1$

Squeezed state

- Reduce noise in one quadrature at the expense of the other
- Shot noise phase, radiation pressure - amplitude

X₁ and X₂ associated with amplitude and phase






Aasi, et al., (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Nature Physics, 7, 962 (2011); Nature Photonics 7 613 (2013).

r at Pisa Univ. on September 23, 2016




Cryogenic in Voyger



LIGO Cosmic Explorer: Long is good

- Coating noise
 - » Gain: L^{1.5}
 - » Cryogenic/Crystal: no need
- Displacement noise
 - » Gain: L
 - » Newtonian N. irrelevant
- Radiation pressure
 - » Becomes irrelevant
- Shot noise
 - » Gain: ~sqrt(L)
 - » Freq. indep. Squeezing
- Vertical susp. Thermal
 - » Gain: constant





CONTROLLER

HORIZONTAL

PASS FILTER



In the beginning

- Rai Weiss of MIT was teaching a course on GR in the late '60s
- Wanted a good homework problem for the students
- Why not ask them to work out how to use laser interferometry to detect gravitational waves?

…led to the instruction book we have been following

ever since

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

APRIL 15, 1972

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

RESEARCH LABORATORY OF ELECTRONICS

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

- (V. GRAVITATION RESEARCH)
- B. ELECTROMAGNETICALLY COUPLED BROADBAND GRAVITATIONAL ANTENNA
- 1. Introduction

The prediction of gravitational radiation that travels at the speed of light has bee

