The calorimeter-seeded track reconstruction in Mu2e

G. Pezzullo INFN of Pisa, Italy

Experimental setup



• Production Solenoid:

Proton beam strikes target, producing mostly pions

 Graded magnetic field contains backwards pions/muons and reflects slow forward pions/muons

• Detector Solenoid:

- ➡ Capture muons on Al target
- \Rightarrow Measure p in the tracker and E, t, x in the calorimeter
- ➡ Graded field increases CE detector acceptance: ~70%



• Transport Solenoid:

➡ Select low momentum, negative muons



Tracker design



- 18 stations with straws transverse to the beam
- Straw technology employed:
 - \checkmark 5 mm diameter, 15 μm Mylar walls
 - \checkmark 25 μm Au-plated W sense wire
 - \checkmark 80/20 Ar/CO₂ with HV ~ 1500 V
- Inner 38 cm un-instrumented:
 - \checkmark blind to beam flash
 - ✓ blind to >99% of the DIO spectrum

straw tube





3

MUSE Mu2e signal - ideal case



• Our signal: e^- with $p \sim 105 < MeV/c$ coming from the AI target



MUSE Mu2e signal - real case



• µ- beam produces secondaries via brems, nuclear capture, etc



1 bunch event = 1.7 μ s

MUSE Track search in Mu2e



- Hits from background sources complicate the track search
- Calorimeter information helps the track search



Hit pre-selection I



Cluster time and position are used for filtering the straw hits:
 ✓ time window of ~ 80 ns
 ✓ spatial correlation





Hit pre-selection 2



- Cluster time and position are used for filtering the straw hits:
 ✓ time window of ~ 100 ns
 - \checkmark spatial correlation



 black crosses = straw hits, red circle = calorimeter cluster, green line = CE track

G. Pezzullo (INFN of Pisa)

MUSE Helix search - scheme

To identify the right pattern of hit we apply the following procedure:

EVENT :

800

600

400

200

-200

-400

-600

-800

y [mm]

- I. Select a triplet with the calo cluster position, one tracker hit and the solenoid center
- 2. calculate helix parameters (dashed line is the reco circle, red one is the true trajectory)
- 3. loop over all the hits and includes those which are close to the helix
- As soon as the second straw hit is found, the solenoid center is dropped in step I and the procedure re-starts.
- 5. adjust the helix parameters as the search progresses





×...



Helix search - scheme

To identify the right pattern of hit we apply the following procedure:

- I. Select a triplet with the calo cluster position, one tracker hit and the solenoid center
- 2. calculate helix parameters (dashed line is the reco circle, red one is the true trajectory)
- 3. loop over all the hits and includes those which are close to the helix
- 4. As soon as the second straw hit is found, the solenoid center is dropped in step I and the procedure re-starts.
- 5. adjust the helix parameters as the search progresses
- At the end the helix with the largest number of hits is selected



EVENT :





Δr fit result



- Reduced Least Square Method for performing the circle fit
- Errors are projected along the radial direction of the trajectory



MUSE Track search: efficiency



- Resulting radius resolution $\sigma_r/\langle r \rangle \sim 3.6$ % for CE
- Efficiency normalized to events with E>50 MeV & $N_{\text{straw-hit}} \geq \! I5$
- Resulting efficiency ~ 99%



Signal momentum





ISE_



- E evaluated using tracks passing quality cuts & 103.85 <p<105.1 MeV/c
- E improved by ~ 15% (relative) and is more robust wrt the background
- An additional 5% (relative) by optimizing LR ambiguity algorithm



Summary



- The calorimeter improves the track reconstruction efficiency and makes it more robust
- The calo-seeded pattern recognition shows good performance at the trigger level (see Stefano Di Falco's talk)
- The algorithm is well integrated within the Mu2e reconstruction code
- Paper under internal review
- Furthermore improvements are under discussion in the tracking group:
 - merging the standalone and calo-seeded hit pre-selection algorithms
 - ⇒ including the calorimeter cluster info in the Kalman filter

backup slides







G. Pezzullo (INFN of Pisa)



- Average tof from middle of the tracker to the calorimeter ~ 8 ns
- Mean drift time ~ 20 ns
- Difference of these two numbers is consistent with the peak position

G. Pezzullo (INFN of Pisa)

MUSE Cluster positon selection





- Graded magnetic field between the stopping target and the tracker limits the CE pT
- Cluster position identifies the semi-plane where the CE track relies



Δr fit result



- Reduced Least Square Method for performing the circle fit
- Errors are assigned using the straw orientation wrt the helix center











G. Pezzullo (INFN of Pisa)





• Resulting $d\phi/dz$ resolution $\sigma_{d\phi/dz}/\langle d\phi/dz \rangle \sim 1.45$ % for CE

MUSE Momentum resol tails

- Crucial in the final reconstruction is the "left-right" ambiguity resolution
- Drift sign mis-assignment impacts on the high-side tail





Doublet-based AR



- The tracker is composed of 2-layers panels
- With ~80% probability a track has 2 or more hits in a panel (doublet)
- Drift radii define 4 possible local track slopes that are used to find the correct drift directions



MUSE- Momentum resol improvements



• Events with Δp >IMeV/c reduced by a factor 5

NFN





 10^{-7}

 10^{-8}

 10^{-9}

 10^{-10}

103

103.5

108

107

107.5

p [MeV/c]

104 104.5 105 105.5 106 106.5



opposite signs

same signs



MUSE CE momentum spectrum



I N F N



MUSE Mu2e track reconstruction



The Mu2e track reconstruction has several specific features:

- a CE makes 2-3 full turns in the tracker
- time dependence of the track-hit position:

 $r_{\rm drift} = v_{\rm drift} \cdot (t_{\rm measured} - T_0 - t_{\rm flight})$

The track reconstruction is factorized into 2 main steps:

- I. Track finding: provides a set of straw hits consistent with a track candidate
- 2. Kalman based fitter: performs the final reconstruction

The track finding uses two algorithms:

- A. Standalone: relies only on the tracker information
- B. Calorimeter-seeded: seeds the track search using the reco cluster