Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics with Borexino

Scintillator:
270 t PC+PPO (1.5 g/l)
in a 150 μm thick
inner nylon vessel (R = 4.25 m)

Buffer region:
PC+DMP quencher (5 g/l)
4.25 m < R < 6.75 m

Outer nylon vessel:
R = 5.50 m
(^{222}Rn barrier)

Carbon steel plates

Stainless Steel Sphere:
R = 6.75 m
2212 PMTs
1350 m^3

Water Tank:
γ and n shield
μ water _ detector
208 PMTs in water
2100 m^3

20 steel legs

The choice of the liquid scintillation technique was dictated by the high light-yield of the scintillator (50 times more than in the Cherenkov technique), and then a good energy resolution – in Bx 500 pe/ MeV

Borexino data taking history in four lines: start up-May 2007

phase 1-2007-2010

further purification 2010-2011

since Dec. 2011- phase 2

| Radio-Isotope		Concentration or Flux		Achieved	Achieved
Name	Source	Typical	Required	phase 1	Phase 2
^{14}C	intrinsic scintillator	$\sim 10^{-12}$ $^{14}C/^{12}C$	$\sim 10^{-18}$ $^{14}C/^{12}C$	$\sim 2x10^{-18}$ $^{14}C/^{12}C$	
^{238}U ^{232}Th equiv.	dust, particulate, all materials	$10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$ g/g	$<10^{-16}$ g/g	$(5.0\pm0.9)10^{-18}$ g/g $(3.0\pm1.0)10^{-18}$ g/g	$< 9.5\ 10^{-20}$ g/g $< 7.2\ 10^{-19}$ g/g
^{7}Be	cosmogenic	$\sim 3x10^{-2}$ Bq/t	$<10^{-6}$ Bq/t	not observed	
^{40}K	dust, PPO	$\sim 2x10^{-6}$ g/g (dust)	$<10^{-18}$ g/g	not observed	
^{210}Po	surface contamination.	Decaying with a half time of ~ 138 days	<700 cpd/100t	500.-20.cpd/100t	~20 cpd/100t
^{222}Rn	emanation from materials, rock	10Bq/l air, water 100-1000 Bq/kg rock	<10 cpd/100t	<1 cpd /100 t	
^{39}Ar	air, cosmogenic	17mBq/m^3 (air)	<1 cpd/100 t	$<< 1$ cpd/100 t	
^{85}Kr	air, nuclear weapons	~ 1 Bq/m^3 (air)	<1 cpd/100 t	30$\pm$5 cpd/100 t	<6.4 cpd/100 t fit consistent with 0

unprecedented radio-purity, never reached by any other experiment until now

The Sun functioning is based on the pp chain:

pp

pep

$p + p \rightarrow {}^2H + e^+ + \nu_e$ —99.76%

0.24%— $p + e^- + p \rightarrow {}^2H + \nu_e$

83.30% $\quad {}^2H + p \rightarrow {}^3He + \gamma \quad$ 16.70%

${}^3He + {}^3He \rightarrow {}^4He + 2p$

0.12% $\quad {}^3He + {}^4He \rightarrow {}^7Be + \gamma$

${}^7Be + p \rightarrow {}^8B + \gamma$

99.88% $\quad$ **${}^7Be$**

${}^7Be$

${}^8B$

${}^8B$

${}^8B \rightarrow {}^8Be^* + e^+ + \nu_e$

${}^7Be + e^- \rightarrow {}^7Li + \nu_e$

${}^7Li + p \rightarrow {}^4He + {}^4He$

${}^8Be^* \rightarrow {}^4He + {}^4He$

4

Solar Neutrino Spectra

pp

^{7}Be

Main problem ^{14}C (end point: 156keV)
pp- end point: 264 keV

pile up: ^{14}C-^{14}C and ^{14}C-pp (data driven method)

fit range: 165-590 keV

$\Phi_{pp}=(6.6\pm0.7) \, 10^{10} \, cm^{-2} \, s^{-1}$

Compton-like shoulder at 660 keV
fit range: 200-1270 keV

$\Phi_{7Be}=(4.43\pm0.22) \, 10^{9} \, cm^{-2} \, s^{-1}$

**pep/ CNO**

pep signal is ten time lower than ^{7}Be
- About 3cpd/100t
- CNO: rate similar to pep

Most important background
- cosmogenic ^{11}C :
- ^{210}Bi :
- External background (γ from PMT):

Spectrum of events in FV
Spectrum after TFC veto
^{11}C rate = 27 ^{11}C rate = 2.5
pep ν rate = 3.1   CNO ν limit = 7.9
^{210}Bi rate = 55   (95% C.L.)

Residual signal energy spectrum
e⁻ recoils from pep ν

TFC: incident muon
e⁺ annihilation from ^{11}C decay (prompt signal)
p capture of the thermalized neutron
(delayed signal-~240 μs)

Pulse shape discrimination: in 50% of the cases the formation of ortho-positronium the e⁺ annihilation is delayed

Fit simultaneously
1) Energy spectra after ^{11}C subtraction
2) Energy spectra of the subtracted events
3) Radial distribution of the events

CNO energy distribution shows a shape very similar to the ^{210}Bi spectrum (~ 15-20 cpd/100 t).

$\Phi_{pep} = (1.63\pm0.35)10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

$\Phi_{CNO} < 7.7 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}.$

**8B** not monochromatic, then no fit on the energy spectrum, but on the radial distribution- main background ^{208}Tl, subtracted via ^{212}Bi-^{212}Po coincidence -threshold down to 3.2 MeV ν energy

$$\Phi_{8B}=(2.4\pm0.4\pm0.1)\ 10^6\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$$

good agreement with SNO and SK

seasonal modulation

eccentricity of the Earth orbit- 6.7%

Northern spring/
Southern fall

21. March

Equinox

147 mill. km

Periapsis
3. January

Northern winter/
Southern summer

21. June

Line of Solstice

Line of apsides

21. December

152 mill. km

Apoapsis
3. July

23. September

Northern summer/
Southern winter

Northern fall/
Southern spring

@Borexino phase 2 until December 2015-
1456 astronomical days of data
@counting in the energy range:215-715 keV (^{7}Be region)
@very efficient α/β discrimination: 99.98% −scintillator p. sh.- learning machine method
@ high detector stability- background stability

Modulation analysis
- *sinusoidal fit*
- *Lomb-Scargle method*- an extension of the Fourier Transform Approach- can treat data sets not evenly distributed in time

Sinusoidal fit

30 day bins- 4 years data cumulated

20 days bins-

Lomb-Scargle analysis

Max at $f=1$ y^{-1}

L-S Normalized Spectrum Power Density $(f=1y^{-1}) \cong 8$

Null hypothesis rejected at 3.91σ (99.99% C.L.)
modulation amplitude (6.8±1.9)%
best-t period is T = 369. 0 ±11 days.
phase=-12±28 days (to be compared with January 3th).

From Lomb Scargle analysis:
Period 1 year
Absence of modulation rejected
at 99.99% C.L (>3.5 σ).

Solar neutrino flux	GS98 (cm⁻² s⁻¹) High metallicity Z/X=0.0229***	AGS09 (cm⁻² s⁻¹) Low metallicity Z/X=0.0178***	Experimental results (Borexino)	Global fit including solar, reactor, accel. data (cm⁻² s⁻¹)**
pp	$5.99 (1\pm0.006)$ x 10^{10}	$6.04 (1\pm0.005)$ x 10^{10}	$6.6 (1\pm0.106)$ x 10^{10}	$5.97^{+0.037}_{-0.033}$ x 10^{10}
^{7}Be	$4.80 (1\pm0.06)$ [4.93]^* x 10^9	$4.38 (1\pm0.06)$ [4.50]^* x 10^9	4.94 ± 0.22 x 10^9 *	$4.80^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$ x 10^9
pep	$1.44 (1\pm0.01)$ x10^8	$1.47 (1\pm0.009)$ x10^8	1.63 ± 0.35 x 10^8	1.448 ± 0.013 x 10^8
^{13}N	$2.78 (1\pm0.15)$ x10^8	$2.05 (1\pm0.14)$ x10^8		≤ 13.7 $x10^8$
^{15}O	$2.05 (1\pm0.17)$ x10^8	$1.44 (1\pm0.16)$ x10^8	<7.7 x 10^8 total CNO	≤ 2.8 x 10^8
^{17}F	$5.30 (1\pm0.20)$ x10^8	$3.26 (1\pm0.18)$ x10^8		≤ 8.5 x 10^7
^{8}B	$5.32 (1\pm0.12)$ [5.46]^* x10^6	$4.37 (1\pm0.12)$ [4.50]^*x10^6	5.2 ± 0.3 x 10^6	$5.16^{+0.13}_{-0.09}\;^{+0.30}_{-0.26}$ $x10^6$

- This is the ^{7}Be flux for both the ^{7}Be lines, extrapolated from the higher energy line flux measured by Borexino (96% of the total) in phase 1; the preliminary results of the phase 2 are in agreement with this quoted value and with a total error reduced to 3-3.5%

** from J. Bergstroem et al., arXiv: 1601.00972v1 [hep-ph] 5 jan 2016

*** from A. Serenelli , F. Villante et al. –paper in preparation- They review the uncertainties of the opacities and up to date the cross section factors: S_{11} (pp), S_{34} (^{3}He+^{4}He), S_{17} (^{7}Be+p), S_{114} (^{14}N+p)-in these calculations S_{34} is assumed by de Boer et al. 2014

^* These different numbers are obtained assuming for S_{34} what Iliadis et al. (very recent) and Adelberger et al. 2011 have quoted (F. Villante-private communication)----

heliosysmology

**CNO cycle
in the Sun only 1%**

Reactions of the CNO Cycle

**hypothesized as the primary cycle
in the massive stars and then for
hydrogen burning in the Universe**

astr

$$^{16}O + p \rightarrow {}^{17}F + \gamma$$
$$^{17}F \rightarrow {}^{17}O + e^+ + \nu_e$$
$$^{17}O + p \rightarrow {}^{14}N + {}^4He$$

$$^{12}C + p \rightarrow {}^{13}N + \gamma$$
$$^{13}N \rightarrow {}^{13}C + e^+ + \nu_e$$
$$^{13}C + p \rightarrow {}^{14}N + \gamma$$

$$^{15}N + p \rightarrow {}^{16}O + \gamma$$
$$^{15}N + p \rightarrow {}^{12}C + {}^4He$$
$$^{15}O \rightarrow {}^{15}N + e^+ + \nu_e$$
$$^{14}N + p \rightarrow {}^{15}O + \gamma$$

strategy: need of an independent constraint on ^{210}Bi rate (remove degeneracy with CNO spectrum)

from ^{210}Po: two components:
- embedded on the lines (138.376 days half time)
- ^{210}Pb $\rightarrow$ ^{210}Bi (β) $\rightarrow$ ^{210}Po (α)
- easily identified via pulse shape discrimination

Example
— Total rate
— Unsupported ^{210}Po
— Supported ^{210}Po

Borexino reached fundamental achievements in Sun Physics

Borexino has demonstrated experimentally that the Sun shines via the proton-proton nuclear reaction chain in the solar interior: **pp**, pep, ^{7}Be, ^{8}B***

* already measured also by SNO and SuperK
** the radiochemical exp. measured the whole integrated solar flux above 233 keV

The agreement between the solar luminosity in neutrinos, as measure by Borexino, and in photons demonstrates the Sun stability on ~10^5 years time scale

Borexino has the chance to give the first experimental evidence of the CNO cycle and to provide a contribution to the solution of the metallicity puzzle in the SSM

NEUTRINO PHYSICS

Neutrino oscillation

In two neutrino scenario

IN VACUUM

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu) = \sin^2 2\theta \cdot \sin^2\left(\Delta m^2 \frac{L}{4E}\right)$$

IN MATTER

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu) = \sin^2 2\theta_M \cdot \sin^2\left(\Delta m_M^2 \frac{L}{4E}\right)$$

If X=cos2θ -maximum mixing

$$\sin^2 2\theta_M = \frac{\sin^2 2\theta}{\sin^2 2\theta + (\cos 2\theta - X)^2}$$

$4\pi E/\Delta m^2$

$$L_M = \frac{4\pi E}{\Delta m_M^2} = \frac{L_V}{\sqrt{\sin^2 2\theta + (\cos 2\theta - X)^2}}$$

$$X = \frac{2\sqrt{2}G_F n_e E}{\Delta m^2}$$

The best way to understand the Borexino contribution to the study of the neutrino oscillation is to compare **the electron-neutrino survival probability** before and after Borexino.

before Borexino

after Borexino

Borexino succeeded to observe for the first time the oscillation in vacuum measuring the pp neutrino survival probability (0.64±0.12) and to determine the ratio between the survival probabilities in vacuum and in matter, using pp and ^{8}B, this last measured by Borexino above 3 MeV. This ratio turns out to be =1.75±0.86.

• regeneration of the elecron neutrinos crossing the Earth
•D/N effect is a consequence of MSW
•not expected for ^{7}Be in the LMA-MSW model
•large effect expected in the "LOW" solution (excluded by solar exp + Kamland)
•no contradiction with the recent SK results

$$A_{DN} = \frac{N-D}{(N+D)/2} = 0.001 \pm 0.012\,(stat) \pm 0.007(sys)$$

Solar data alone select the LMA-MSW if one includes the Borexino D/N result-8.5 σ C.L. (no use of CPT invariance)

All solar ν
without Bx
without Kamland

All solar ν
with Bx
without Kamland

Transition region between the vacuum and matter regime

Maltoni & Smirnov, Eur. Phys. J. 2016

Recent SuperK paper (June 2016) does not change substantially the situation—measurements down to ~4 MeV

Subleading effects (beyond the S.M.):

1-very light sterile mixes very weakly with active neutrinos, which have the smallest mass splitting (solar) (Smirnov & De Hollande, Phys. Rev. 2011).

The green curve is calculated for

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.5 \bullet 10^{-5} eV^2 \quad \Delta m_{01}^2 = 1.2 \bullet 10^{-5} eV^2 \quad \sin^2 \alpha = 0.005 \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.31$$

2. NSI- The NSI can be described at low energy by effective four fermion interactions

$$\ell_{NSI} = -2\sqrt{2} G_F \varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}^{e,u,d} \left(\bar{v}_\alpha \gamma^\mu P_L v_\beta \right)\left(\bar{f} \gamma_\mu P_C f' \right)$$ where G_F is the Fermi constant, α and β are the neutrino flavors, f and f' the electron or the light quarks, L and R indicate the projection of the operator P (two chiralities), and finally ε parameterizes the strength of the NS interaction. The curves are calculated for $\varepsilon_D^u = -0.22, \varepsilon_N^u = -0.30; \; \varepsilon_D^d = -0.12, \varepsilon_N^d = -0.16$ (ε_D and ε_N are linear combination of ε)

A test of these subleading effects can be successful if a measurement is carried out in the range 2.-5. MeV. **Borexino phase 2 is measuring an experimental point for ⁸B between 3.-5. MeV.**

The study of a possible NSI can be done more easily analyzing the energy spectrum of the recoiled electron from the ν-e scattering, in particular for the ^{7}Be neutrinos, which is monoenergetic and then does not need of convolution with the incident neutrino spectrum, as for instance is the case of ^{8}B.

Non−Standard Interactions on cross−section: ϵ_L and ϵ_R and μ_ν

$$\frac{d\bar{\sigma}(T)}{dT} = \frac{2G_F^2 m_e}{\pi} \left[\bar{g}_{eL}^2 + \bar{g}_{eR}^2 \left(1 - \frac{T}{E_{\nu_e}}\right) - \bar{g}_{eL}\bar{g}_{eR}\frac{m_e T}{E_{\nu_e}} \right]$$

T$_{max}$=0.665 MeV for ^{7}Be

----- $\varepsilon_{eL.}$; ε_{eR} = 0.01, 0.2; = -0.02,0.05

Analysis of the phase 1 data-the contaminants ^{85}Kr and ^{210}Bi influence the analysis as far as the uncertainty in the ^{7}Be flux

Phase 2:
Kr from 10±3 to ~0. cpd/100 t
Bi from ~40 to ~15 cpd/100t
^{7}Be flux uncert. from 5% to <3.5%

Bx phase 1

Bx phase 2 (foreseen)

SOX: Short distance neutrino Oscillations with BoreXino

Artificial external neutrino source is allocated in a tunnel present under the Borexino detector, at 8.25 m from the detector center. The source will be a ^{144}Ce-^{144}Pr activated at ~ 5 PBq; it emits antineutrinos with a continuous energy distribution up to 3 MeV. Borexino can study the very short distance neutrino oscillations in the standard *disappearance* technique, but it is possible to observe directly the oscillation waves in the hypothesis of very short baseline oscillations . E/L allows to explore the region around $\Delta m^2 \sim 1 e\bar{V}^2$ and the typical oscillation length of a few m (detector diameter ~6 m.)

see talk of Lea Di Noto

WHY:
LNSD and MiniBooNE :both observe excess of $\tilde{\nu}_e$ from $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ beam and MiniBooNE also of ν_e from ν_μ beam $\Delta m^2 \sim 1 eV^2$ --3.8σ: a fourth ν needed. E/L agrees with SOX
claimed reactor problem : ~3% deficit--2.5σ Gallex and Sage source anomaly -Deficit of the detected ν_e- R= 0.76+-0.09- 2.8σ

Geoneutrinos

Detection method

$$\bar{\nu} + p \rightarrow n + e^+ \qquad E_\nu > 1.8 \text{ MeV}$$

- "prompt signal"
e+: energy loss + annihilation
    (2 γ, 511 KeV each)
- "delayed signal"
n capture after thermalization, 2.2 γ
- Space and time coincidence

$$^{238}_{92}\text{U} \longrightarrow ^{206}_{82}\text{Pb} + 8\alpha + 6e^- + 6\bar{\nu}_e + 51.698\,\text{MeV}$$

$$^{235}_{92}\text{U} \longrightarrow ^{207}_{82}\text{Pb} + 7\alpha + 4e^- + 4\bar{\nu}_e + 46.402\,\text{MeV}$$

$$^{232}_{90}\text{Th} \longrightarrow ^{208}_{82}\text{Pb} + 6\alpha + 4e^- + 4\bar{\nu}_e + 42.652\,\text{MeV}$$

$$^{40}_{19}\text{K} \xrightarrow{89.3\%} ^{40}_{20}\text{Ca} + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + 1.311\,\text{MeV}$$

$$^{40}_{19}\text{K} + e^- \xrightarrow{10.7\%} ^{40}_{18}\text{Ar} + \nu_e + 1.505\,\text{MeV}$$

Fit on the selected antineutrino spectrum:
  black points = data
-------------- = best fit U+Th with fixed
mass chondritic ratio (Th/U=3.9):

$$N^{events}_{geo} = 23.7^{+6.5}_{-5.7}(stat.)^{+0.9}_{-0.6}(syst.)$$

$$N^{events}_{reactor} = 52.7^{+8.5}_{-7.7}(stat)^{+0.7}_{-0.9}(syst)$$

blue area=U free parameter
light blue area= U+Th free parameters
---------------- = Reactor neutrinos

$$S_{geo} = 43.5^{+11.8}_{-10.4}(stat)^{+2.7}_{-2.4}(syst)\ TNU$$

TNU= Terrestrial neutrino Unit= 1 event/year/10^{32}
protons, 100% efficiency

Borexino reached an evidence at 5.9 σ C.L. and a null hypothesis with a probability of ~ 3.6×10^{-9}

Some hints already reached (also with the Kamland data):

1. The evaluation of the geo-neutrino signal from the mantle is obtained by subtracting the crust contribution from the measured signal. At the Gran Sasso site the crust signal has been evaluated to be S_{crust}= 23.4±2.8 *TNU* and then
   S_{mantle}=20.9+15.1-10.3 TNU

2. The radiogenic heat represents an important part of the total Earth's energy budget. From the Borexino data, If the chondritic mass ratio and the ratio m(K)/m(U) =10^4 are assumed, the radiogenic heat is =33^{+28}_{-20} TW. The large range is due to the various assumptions on the radioactive nuclide distribution in the mantle: either homogenously diffused or accumulated close the border mantle-core..
   The radiogenic contribution has to be compared with the evaluated total Earth's heat, which ranges from 31 to 47 TW.

3. For the first time it is possible to observe two well separated peaks in the geo-neutrinos energy range, produced by ^{232}Th+^{238}U and by ^{238}U.

In the mean time Borexino reached the **following limits**:

neutrino effective magnetic moment. Study of the shape of the electron recoil, especially at low energy where it is enhance at ~$1/E_e$ $\quad \mu_{eff} \leq 5.4 \cdot 10^{-10} B_\mu \ (90\% \ C.L.)$

Test of Pauli exclusion principle. New limits on non paullian transitions of nucleons from $1P_{3/2}$ shell to $1S_{1/2}$ shell in ^{12}C with the emission of γ, n, p and $\beta^\pm$ particles: $\tau(^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{12}C^{NP}+\gamma) \geq 5.0.10^{31}y$, $\tau(^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{11}B^{NP}+p) \geq 8.9.10^{29}y$, $\tau(^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{11}C^{NP}+n) \geq 3.4.10^{30} y$, $\tau(^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{12}N^{NP}+e+v) \geq 3.1.10^{30}y$, $\tau(^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{12}B^{NP}+e++v) \geq 2.1.10^{30}y$, **BEST LIMITS**

Stability of the electron: $e^- \rightarrow \gamma + v$ - search for 256 keV γ peak – consistent with zero- $\quad \tau \geq 6.4 \cdot 10^{28} \ years \ (90\% \ C.L.)$ **BEST LIMIT**

Low energy neutrino and antineutrino signals correlated with gamma-ray bursts. Dec. 2007-Nov. 1015 (2302 live days)- 2350 GRBs from the IceCube database- Δt (sign)$\pm$ 1000 s for the elastic scattering on electron and 5000 s for antinu events range 1.-15. MeV-separate analysis for electron $\overline{v}$ over 1.8 MeV (inv. beta decay events-well tagged) and the elastic scattering at any energy (neutrinos and antineutrinos)

electron antineutrinos IBD

(anti) neutrino elastic scattering on electron

Conclusions:

1. Borexino is still a **unique** detector to study the low energy neutrinos
2. Its results found the **direct experimental evidence** that the nuclear reactions supporting the Sun shining belong to the **pp cycle**
3. For the first time the **oscillation in vacuum** has been observed experimentally and the related ν_e **survival probability** has been measured
4. The Borexino data reached **(5.9 σ)** evidence of the existence of **geo-neutrinos**
5. As byproduct several best **limits** have been obtained
6. Borexino will take data until 2020-2022 doing an effort to measure the **CNO flux** (very challenging) and to check the possible existence of VSB oscillation and of a sterile neutrino

Borexino Collaboration

Thank you for your attention