The search for a stochastic background of gravitational waves





Giancarlo Cella – INFN Pisa & Virgo collaboration

Workshop «String Theory and Inflation» 20 September 2016 Physics Department, University of Rome Tor Vergata

The Gravitational Wave Detectors Network



- ♦ Currently LIGO-H & LIGO-V operative (first scientific run ended, now stopped until the end of the year for upgrade)
- ♦ Virgo will join at the next scientific run.
- ♦ This will be important to improve sentitivity, coverage and estimation of parameters

The detector principle

PAST LIGHT CONE

OBSERVER

PAST LIGHT CONE

- ♦ Description can be coordinate dependent
- ♦ Physical observable is not
- ♦ Intuitive picture (when $\lambda_{GW} \gg \mathcal{F}L$): tidal force $F_i = \frac{1}{2}m\frac{d^2 n_{ij}}{dt^2}$

 L_{j}

on the end mirrors.

The observing scenario

Advanced LIGO



- ♦ Plan: a series of scientific runs with intermediate commissioning interruptions
- Sensitivity will increase in steps \otimes toward the design one
- Quite successful until now.... \otimes http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2016-1



Stochastic background in a nutshell

A stochastic background can be seen as

- a GW field which evolves from an initially random configuration
- the result of a superposition of many uncorrelated and unresolved sources

Two different kinds:

- Cosmological:
 - signature of the early Universe: coupling of gravitational field is small!
 - *inflation, cosmic strings, phase transitions...*
- Astrophysical:
 - sources since the beginning of stellar activity
 - compact binaries, supernovae, rotating NSs, core-collapse to NSs or BHs, supermassive BHs...

Typical «first approximations» :

- 1) Gaussian, because sum of many contributions
- 2) Stationary, because physical time scales much larger than observational ones
- 3) Isotropic (at least for cosmological backgrounds)
- 4) Unpolarized

If these are true, SB is completely described by its power spectrum



Description (simplest model)

1. Correlation between GW modes

$$< h_A^{\star}(f,\hat{\Omega},\psi)h_B(f',\hat{\Omega}',\psi') > = \delta_{AB}\delta(f-f')\frac{\delta^2(\hat{\Omega},\hat{\Omega}')}{4\pi}\frac{\delta(\psi-\psi')}{2\pi}\frac{1}{2}S_h(f)$$

2. Connection with GW energy density

$$h_0^2 \Omega_{gw}(f) = \frac{1}{\rho_c} \frac{d\rho_{gw}}{d\log f} = \frac{4\pi^2 h_0^2}{3H_0^2} f^3 S_h(f)$$

3. Strain at the detector: sum over modes

$$h_{ij}(t,\vec{r}) = \sum_{P=+,\times} \int_{S^2} d\hat{\Omega} \,\varepsilon_{ij}^P(\hat{\Omega}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \,\tilde{h}_P(f,\hat{\Omega}) e^{i2\pi f(t-\hat{\Omega}\cdot\vec{r})}$$

4. Signal at the detector: projection on the detector's tensor

$$h(t,r) = D^{ij}h^{ij}(t,r)$$

How it is possible to (directly) detect it

♦ We have a vector-valued Gaussian stochastic process

$$\stackrel{\diamond}{\underline{x}}_{i} = \left(x_{i}^{\text{Virgo}}, x_{i}^{\text{Hanford}}, \dots \right) \qquad dP = \mathcal{N} \prod_{f} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\underline{x}}_{f}^{+} C^{-1}(f) \tilde{\underline{x}}_{f} \right) d\underline{\tilde{x}}_{f}$$
$$\underline{x}_{i} = \underline{h}_{i} + \underline{n}_{i} \qquad \qquad \left\langle \underline{n}_{i} \otimes \underline{n}_{j} \right\rangle = Ic_{ij}$$

♦ We must discriminate between two hypothesis:

$$\mathcal{H}_{0} \atop C = C_{N} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{N}^{\text{Virgo}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & S_{N}^{\text{Hanford}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{N}^{\text{Kagra}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{1} \atop C = C_{N} + S_{h} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{V,V} & \gamma_{V,H} & \cdots & \gamma_{V,K} \\ \gamma_{V,H} & \gamma_{H,H} & \cdots & \gamma_{H,K} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots \\ \gamma_{V,K} & \gamma_{H,K} & \cdots & \gamma_{K,K} \end{pmatrix}$$

Optimal statistic (two detectors):

$$Y = \lambda \int df \frac{\tilde{x}_1^{\star}(f)\tilde{x}_2(f)\gamma_{12}(f)S_h(f)}{S_{n,1}(f)S_{n,2}(f)}$$

Overlap reduction function (a.k.a. coherence)

 $\mathsf{SNR}_Y^2 := \frac{\mu_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} = 2T \int_0^\infty S_h^2(f) \frac{\gamma_{12}^2(f)}{S_{n,1}(f)S_{n,2}(f)} df$



Overlap reduction function (a.k.a. coherence)

 $\mathsf{SNR}_Y^2 := \frac{\mu_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} = 2T \int_0^\infty S_h^2(f) \frac{\gamma_{12}^2(f)}{S_{n,1}(f)S_{n,2}(f)} df$



Upper limits & expected sensitivities

- **Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis model and observations** constrain the total GW energy at the time of BBN (integral bound)
- Similar bound from CMB observations
- Too much GW gives too much large angle anisotropy by the Sachs Wolfe effect
- Signal from millisecond pulsars works as a (big) interferometer:



Inflation

- Parametric amplification of vacuum quantum fluctuations
- Standard inflationary models are weakly dependent on frequency
- Tight bound: CMB large angle anisotropy
- Out of reach of advanced detectors by 5 orders of magnitude





Resonant preheating



- During a resonant preheating phase at the end of the inflation, inflaton energy can be transferred efficiently to other particles
- Can produce a significant GW background
- Spectrum peak depends on energy scale (here 10 GeV, higher frequencies for a larger scale)

Easther & Lim, JCAP 0604, 010 (2006) Easther et al., PLR 99, 221301 (2007) Easther, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 194, 33 (2009)



Cosmic (super)string models

- Dynamical network:
 - Strings entering in the horizon
 - Interconnection: loops generation
 - Radiation (GW and other fields): loop destruction
- Most efficient emission mechanisms: cusps and kinks
- Integrating over the whole universe leads to a GW background
- Large parameter space



Damour & Vilenkin, PRL 85, 3761 (2000) Siemens et al., PRL 98, 111101 (2007) Olmez et al., PRD 81, 104028 (2010)



- Axion-based inflation models
- Models include axion-gauge couplings
- Gauge backreaction on the inflaton extends inflation
- The late inflationary phase increases GW production at high frequencies

Barnaby, Pajer and Peloso - Phys. Rev. D 85, 023525



Pre-BB models

- Alternative cosmologies
- Evade the CMB large angle anisotropy bound
- Evade the BBN-CMB integral bound
- Can be significant at Virgo/LIGO frequencies

Gasperini & Veneziano, Phys. Rep. 373, 1 (2003) Buonanno et al., PRD 55, 3330 (1997)



Isotropic upper limits

$$\Omega_{GW}(f) = \Omega_{\alpha} \left(\frac{f}{f_{ref}}\right)^{\alpha}$$

Best current upper limit

| Frequency (Hz) | $f_{\rm ref}~({\rm Hz})$ | α | Ω_{lpha} | 95% C.L. upper limit | Previous limits |
|----------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 41.5-169.25 | | 0 | $(-1.8 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.6 	imes 10^{-6}$ | 7.7×10^{-6} |
| 170-600 | | 0 | $(9.6 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.8×10^{-4} | |
| 600-1000 | 900 | 3 | 0.026 ± 0.052 | 0.14 | 0.35 |
| 1000–1726 | 1300 | 3 | -0.077 ± 0.53 | 1.0 | |

PRL 113, 231101 (2014)

Colocated interferometers

| Band (Hz) | 95% C.L. UL (×10 ⁻³) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| 460-1000 | 0.77 |
| 460–537 | 1.11 |
| 537-628 | 2.12 |
| 628–733 | 1.18 |
| 733-856 | 2.53 |
| 856-1000 | 2.61 |

 O_1 analysis (advanced detectors) is in progress.

Phys. Rev. D 91, 022003 (20015)

Constraint on early universe state equation

Spectrum parameterization:

 $\Omega_{GW}(f) = A f^{\hat{\alpha}(f)} f^{\hat{n}(f)} r$ $\hat{\alpha}(f) = 2 \frac{3\hat{w}(f) - 1}{3\hat{w}(f) + 1}$

- $\hat{n}_t(f)$ effective tensor tilt parameter
- r ratio of tensor and scalar perturbation amplitudes (here r = 0.1)
- $\widehat{w}(f)$ equation of state parameter



LSC/Virgo Collaboration, Nature 460, 990-994 (2009) PRD 78, 043531 (2008)

Constraint on cosmic strings models

- Network of cosmic strings parameterized by:
- String tension µ
- Reconnection probability
 p
- Loop size
 (parameterized by ε)
- Gµ<10⁻⁶ (CMB observations)
- ε unconstrained
- 10^{-4} (p = 10⁻³ here)



Region excluded: entire plane will be probed by advanced detectors.

LSC/Virgo Collaboration, Nature 460, 990-994 (2009) PRD 80, 062002 (2009) PRL 98, 111101 (2007)

Constraints on pre-Big-Bang models

Spectrum:

 $\Omega_{GW}(f) \propto f^3$

below ${
m f_s}$, ${
m f_s}$ = 30 Hz here $\Omega_{GW}(f) \propto f^{3-2\mu}$

above f_s , $f_s = 30$ Hz here

 f_1 : cut off frequency (a factor 10 from 4.3×10^{10})



LSC/Virgo Collaboration, Nature 460, 990-994 (2009) PRD 73, 063008 (2006)





Astrophysical Stochastic Background

• Core collapse supernovae

- Neutron star formation: Blair & Ju 1996, Coward et al. 2001-02, Howell et al. 2004, Buonanno et al. 2005
- Stellar Black Hole formation: Ferrari et al. 1999, de Araujo et al. 2000-04

• Neutron stars

- tri-axial emission: *Regimbau & de F.* Pacheco 2001-06
- **bar or r-modes:** Owen et al. 1998, Ferrari et al. 1999, Regimbau 2001
- phase transitions: Sigl 2006

 $\Omega_{gw}(f,\underline{\theta}) = \frac{J}{\rho_c} \int$

$$\Omega_{gw}(f) = \int P(\underline{\theta}) \Omega_{gw}(f, \underline{\theta}) d\underline{\theta}$$



Stellar Compact Binaries

- near coalescence (NS, BH): Regimbau et al. 2006-07, Coward et al. 2005 (BNS), Howell et al. 2007 (BBH)
- **low frequency inspiral phase:** Ferrari et al. 2002, Farmer & Phinney 2002, Cooray 2004 (WD-NS)
- Capture of compact objects by SMBHs :

Barack & Cutler 2004

 $\frac{1}{dz}(z,\underline{\theta})$ $(\underline{ heta},f(1+z))\,dz$

Astrophysical Stochastic Background

Duty cycle: ratio between the observed typical duration of the event and the average time between events.

- $D \ll 1$: resolved sources
 - Burst data analysis, optimal filtering
- $D \simeq 1$: «popcorn noise»
 - Maximum likelihood statistic (Drasco et al. 2003)
 - Probability event horizon (Coward et al. 2005)
- $D \gg 1$: Gaussian stochastic background
 - Cross correlation statistic (isotropic/anisotropic)

 $au\left(1+
ight)$



Non standard polarizations

- Looking at alternative theories of gravity
- Indirect constraints on scalar modes
 - Binary pulsars
 - WMAP
- Need many detector pairs to disentangle different contributions







Targeted search: reconstruct a map of the gravitational wave luminosity in the sky

- Correct the directiondependent modulation
- Cross-Correlate

 $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$

- At least 3 detectors needed to close the inverse problem.
- Angular resolution limited by λ/D



3000km

$$\Omega_{gw}(f) = \frac{2\pi^2}{3H_0^2} f^2 S_h(f) \int_{S^2} d\hat{\Omega} \,\mathcal{P}(\hat{\Omega})$$

 $(\Omega^{\prime},\Omega^{\prime})d\Omega^{\prime}$

«radiometer» search

«SA» search



 $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{\Omega}) \equiv \overline{\sum c_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}}(\hat{\Omega})$

PRD76, 082003, 2007

Virgo+WA 200 Hz

Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 271102





 $\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 \lesssim 0.36$ $d \gtrsim 180 \mathrm{km}$ $R_s \sim 90 \mathrm{km}$

Implications of LIGO first detection



Perspectives





Expectations for future runs

The median value and 90% credible interval for the expected number of highly significant events (FARs <1/century) as a function of surveyed time-volume in an observation



Signal-to-noise ratio vs z for $30 M_{\odot}$ BBH

What next? The third generation detectors







What next? Space detectors

eLISA Space Based GW Detector

- Laser Interferometer in Space Antenna, LISA, provides unique capabilities
 - Immune to seismic noise
 - Long baseline provides 0.001 1Hz GW spectrum sensitivity needed for observing massive black hole mergers
- Multiple identical or similar detectors to improve detection confidence



LISA: a mission to detect and observe gravitational waves, O Jennrich, in Gravitational Wave and Particle Astrophysics, Proc SPIE v5500

Big Bang Observer



- ♦ Fill the gap between (<u>e)LISA</u> & earthbound detectors
- Designed to detect SB produced by inflation
- ♦ Space based
- ♦ Shorter arm than (e)LISA

- Higher laser power
- Improved acceleration noise
- Two phases





Thank you for your attention....