# Study of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> annihilation to hadrons at low energies at BABAR



V.Druzhinin Novosibirsk State University, BINP, Novosibirsk

on behalf of the BABAR Collaboration

International Symposium Advances in Dark Matter and Particle Physics Messina (Italy) - October 24-27, 2016

# Motivation

□ The total cross section of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> annihilation into hadrons is used in Standard Model (SM) calculation of  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  and  $\alpha_{QED}$ . □ Currently, about 3.5  $\sigma$  difference is observed between experiment and the SM prediction for  $(g-2)\mu$ . New experiments are planned. □ The low energies (E < 2 GeV) give dominant contribution into  $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$ , where the total cross section is calculated as a sum of exclusive channels.



Individual SM contributions  $\times$  10<sup>-11</sup>

$a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}}$	$116584718.951 \pm 0.080$
$a_{\mu}^{EW}$	153.6 ± 1.0
$a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$	6923 ± 42
$a_{\mu}^{had,HO-VP}$	-98.4 ± 0.7
$a_{\mu}^{had,LbLs}$	105 ± 26

#### Comparison with measurement

$a_{\mu}^{\ total-SM}$	116591802 ± 49
$a_{\mu}^{BNL-E821}$	116592089 ± 63
Data - SM	287 ± 80

M. Davier et al., EPJ C71, 1515 (2011)

# Motivation

At E < 2 GeV the total cross section is calculated as a sum of exclusive channels.</li>
 The exclusive data are incomplete in the region 1.6<E<2.0 GeV.</li>

■ BABAR goal is to measure all significant exclusive channels below 2 GeV, and perform comparison with inclusive measurements and pQCD prediction.

□ Study of hadronization at low energies (dynamics of exclusive processes).

- Properties of excited vector mesons
- Development of MC events generator for
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons below 2 GeV.$



KEDR(2016): arXiv:1610.02827 BES(2001): Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 101802 (2002) Exclusive sum (2007): http://pdg.lbl.gov

#### **BABAR Experiment**

PEP-II asymmetric  $e^+e^-$  collider at SLAC (9 GeV  $e^-$  and 3.1 GeV  $e^+$ )

Data, about 500 fb<sup>-1</sup>, were collected in 1999-2008



For ISR analyses, a data sample of 469 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected near or at a c.m. energy of 10.58 GeV (at and near Y(4S)) is used.

### ISR method

The mass spectrum of the hadronic system in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\gamma$  reaction is related to the cross section of the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f$ .

$$\frac{d\sigma(s,x)}{dxd(\cos\theta)} = W(s,x,\theta) \cdot \sigma_0(s(1-x)), \quad x = \frac{2E_y}{\sqrt{s}}$$





The ISR photon is emitted predominantly along the beam axis. The produced hadronic system is boosted against the ISR photon. Due to limited detector acceptance the mass region below 2 GeV can be studied only with detected photon (about 10% of ISR events).

# **BABAR tagged ISR analyses**

Fully exclusive measurement  $\checkmark$  Photon with E<sub>CM</sub> > 3 GeV, which is

assumed to be the ISR photon✓ All final hadrons are detected and identified

Large-angle ISR forces the hadronic system into the detector fiducial region

✓ A weak dependence of the detection efficiency on dynamics of the hadronic system (angular and momentum distributions in the hadron rest frame)  $\Rightarrow$ smaller model uncertainty

✓ A weak dependence of the detection efficiency on hadron invariant mass  $\Rightarrow$ measurement near and above threshold with the same selection criteria.

Kinematic fit with requirement of energy and momentum balance

- ✓ excellent mass resolution
- ✓ background suppression



Can access a wide range of energy in a single experiment: from threshold to ~5 GeV

### **BABAR tagged ISR analyses**

17 final states were studied, 18 papers on low energy ISR studies were published

Four analyses are discussed in this talk



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ 

One of the least known cross sections important for  $(g-2)_{\mu}$ 

- Exactly 2 opposite-charge tracks with p > 100 MeV originating from the interaction region
- ISR photon candidate: highest energy photon with E<sub>CM</sub> > 3 GeV
- At least 4 other photons with E > 50 MeV
- Perform a kinematic fit to the e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>π<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup> γ hypothesis with requirements of energy and momentum balance and two π<sup>0</sup>mass constraints
- Select a four-photon combination with the smallest  $\chi_{4\pi\gamma}^2$ , requiring  $\chi_{4\pi\gamma}^2 < 30$
- Difference between the  $\chi_{4\pi\gamma}^{2}$  distributions in data and signal MC is due to background



### $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

- ISR background from  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta\gamma$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-2\eta\gamma$  is suppressed by rejecting events with kinematic fits consistent with those hypotheses; e.g., require  $\chi_{3\pi\nu}^2 > 25$
- The process with kaons (K+K- $\eta\gamma$ , K+K- $\pi^0\eta\gamma$ , ...) are suppressed by requiring none of the charged particles to be identified as a kaon
- Largest remaining background is from non-ISR qq continuum events, arising from the misidentification of a photon from  $\pi^0$  decay as an ISR photon. It is subtracted using MC simulation normalized to the  $\pi^0$  peak in  $\gamma_{ISR}\gamma$  combinations.
- Residual ISR background is subtracted using MC simulation normalized to the existing measurements (rate and shape).
- The largest ISR background process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^ \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$  is not well measured; the  $\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$ mass spectrum used to reweight simulation is extracted from BABAR data.





 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  cross section



- BABAR results are most precise and covers wider energy range
- Contribution to a<sub>μ</sub> for the range 1.02<E<sub>CM</sub><1.8 GeV is measured to be [175 ± 6 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup> (3.4% precision)
- Previous result including the preliminary BABAR data from 2007 is [180 ± 12 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup> (6.7% precision)



This reaction is expected to proceed via the  $\rho\eta$  intermediate state. It is important for spectroscopy of excited  $\rho$ -like states.

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ 

- The e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  process is studied in the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay mode.
- Event selection is based on  $\chi^2$  of the kinematic fit using requirements of energy and momentum balance.



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ 



- The number of signal events is determined from the fit to the two-photon mass distribution for the  $\eta$ -candidate.
- The fit removes background from the processes not containing η.
- Peaked background from ISR (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow$ K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>  $\eta\gamma$ ,  $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}\eta\gamma$ ) and non-ISR processes (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}\eta$ ) is subtracted using corrected MC simulation.

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  cross section



13

 $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass spectrum



The observed shift of the peak may be result of the interference with other mechanism, for example,  $\rho(1450)\eta$ 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ : VMD fits



### $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ : CVC test

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to e^- \bar{\nu_e} \nu_\tau)} = \int_{(2m_\pi + m_\eta)^2}^{m_\tau^2} dq^2$$
$$\sigma_{e^+e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \eta}^{I=1} (q^2) \frac{3|V_{ud}|^2 S_{EW}}{2\pi\alpha^2} \frac{q^2}{m_\tau^2} (1 - \frac{q^2}{m_\tau^2})^2 (1 + 2\frac{q^2}{m_\tau^2})^2$$

CVC-prediction based on BABAR data: B( $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \eta v_{\tau}$ ) = (0.162 ± 0.008)% The conserved vector current (CVC) hypothesis and isospin symmetry allow to predict the hadronic mass spectrum and branching fraction for the decay  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$  from data on the e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^{--} \eta$  cross section.

CVC-prediction based on the SND data: B( $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \eta v_{\tau}$ ) = (0.156 ± 0.011)%

The difference between the CVC prediction and experimental value, about 15%, is too large to be explained by isospin-breaking corrections.

PDG14 value: B( $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \eta v_{\tau}$ ) = (0.139 ± 0.010)% CVC-experiment difference is 1.8 $\sigma$ .

The PDG value is dominated by the Belle measurement:  $B(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \eta v_{\tau}) = (0.135 \pm 0.007)\%$ CVC-experiment difference is 2.4 $\sigma$ .

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_s K_I \pi^0$ 

- ISR photon = highest energy g with E<sub>CM</sub> > 3 GeV
- At least one  $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  candidate consistent with the interaction point
- At least two additional photons, with  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  consistent with  $m_{\pi^0}$

•  $K_L$  candidates identified as an isolated calorimeter clusters with E > 0.2 GeV; the detection efficiency as a function of the  $K_L$  energy and direction was measured in data from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\gamma \rightarrow K_S K_L\gamma$  events

• Perform the kinematic fit to fit the  $K_S K_L \pi^0 \gamma$  hypothesis; combination with the lowest respective  $\chi^2$  is chosen.

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K_I \pi^0$ 

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

 ✓ First measurement of this process

✓ Systematic uncertainty is
 10% near the peak, increase up
 to 30% at 3.0 GeV

✓ The dominant intermediate state (>95%) is K\*(892) $\overline{K}$ . The K<sub>2</sub>\*(1430) $\overline{K}$  and  $\phi\pi^0$  are seen.

Total  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi$  cross section

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K^+\pi^-$  and  $K^+K^-\pi^0$ cross sections were measured previously [Phys. Rev. D 77, 092002 (2008)].

Summing the cross sections for three charge configurations we obtain the total  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi$ cross section.

5 The e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → KKπ cross section is about 12% of the total hadronic cross section at 1.65 GeV. There are six charge combinations in the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi\pi$  process. Four were measured previously.

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow KK\pi\pi$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Phys. Rev. D 89, 092002 (2014)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow KK\pi\pi$ 

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

- First measurement
- Systematic uncertainty is 25% at the peak, grows to 60% at 2 GeV
- Dominant K\*(892) $\overline{K}\pi$  intermediate state.
- Correlated K\*(892)K\*(892)
   production is small.

- First measurement
- Systematic uncertainty is 6-7% below 3
   GeV
- Dominant K\*(892) $\overline{K}\pi$ , K<sub>S</sub>K+ $\rho^-$ (770) intermediate state.
- A correlated K\*(892) $\overline{K}$ \*(892) production is small (< 15%), and dominated by K\*+ $\overline{K}$ \*-.

# Total $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi\pi$ cross section

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

The cross section is calculated without any model assumptions.

The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi\pi$  cross section is about 25% of the total hadronic cross section at 2 GeV.

### Processes with kaons and $\eta$

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- First measurement
- Dominant intermediate state is  $\phi(1020)\eta$ .
- The cross section agrees with previous BABAR measurements in the K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> $\eta$  mode with  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  and  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

- First measurement
- Dominant intermediate state is K\*(892) K
  η.

### Summary

✓ Precise low-energy e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> hadronic cross section data are needed to obtain an accurate SM prediction for a<sup>had,LO-VP</sup>
 ✓ New results on from BABAR reduce the respective uncertainty in a<sup>had,LO-Vp</sup> due to

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi$ ,  $K\overline{K}\pi\pi$

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Several previously unmeasured processes contributed to the total hadronic cross section below 2 GeV have been observed
- Besides the implications for a<sup>had,LO-VP</sup>, the BABAR ISR program has provided new information about hadronization at low energies and properties of low-mass resonances.

**BABAR ISR references** 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$ 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K_L$ ,  $K_S K_L \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $K_S K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $K_S K_S K^+ K^$  $e^+e^- \rightarrow p$  anti-p

 $\begin{array}{l} e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \text{ anti-}\Lambda, \ \Sigma^0 \text{ anti-}\Sigma^0, \ \Lambda \text{ anti-}\Sigma^0 \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta, \ K_SK^+\pi^- \ K^+K^-\pi^0 \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^- \end{array}$ 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0, K^+K^-K^+K^-$ 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0, 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta, K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-), 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0), K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ 

Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 231801 (2009) Phys. Rev. D 86, 032013 (2012) Phys. Rev. D 88, 032013 (2013) Phys. Rev. D 92, 072008 (2015) Phys. Rev. D 89, 092002 (2014) Phys. Rev. D 73, 012005 (2006) Phys. Rev. D 87, 092005 (2013) Phys. Rev. D 88, 072009 (2013) Phys. Rev. D 76, 092006 (2007) Phys. Rev. D 70, 072004 (2004) Phys. Rev. D 77, 092002 (2008) Phys. Rev. D 71, 052001 (2005) Phys. Rev. D 85, 112009 (2012) Phys. Rev. D 74, 091103 (2006) Phys. Rev. D 76, 012008 (2007) Phys. Rev. D 86, 012008 (2012) Phys. Rev. D 76, 092005 (2007) Phys. Rev. D 73, 052003 (2006)