Longterm Development of SuperB Offline

David Brown

SuperB Offline Development

- Current Focus: facilitate TDR studies
- Must transition focus soon to long-term issues
 - computing TDR
- Development research must be tightly focused
 - comparisons between a few options
 - realistic evaluations based on BaBar use cases
- New manpower is required
 - CSG should organize well-defined research projects for new groups joining SuperB
 - code cleanup should be farmed out (undergrads?)

Major longterm comp. issues

- Multi-core processors (parallelism)
- Leveraging the BaBar code base
 - fix quality, performance, + maintenance issues
 - refine, reverse-engineer, or rewrite?
- Integration of external developments (LHC)
- Data storage
 - access model (grid, cloud, hierarchical tiers, ...)
 - Long-term data access (+ outreach)
- Reduced manpower (compared to BaBar)
 - simplification + consolidation
 - integration of validation and performance testing

Possible Guidelines

- Open-source tools
- Industry-standard solutions wherever possible
- gcc
- Linux+1 (Mac OS X?)
- Make major migrations early
 - Framework, persistence, analysis interface
- Try to avoid a 'rewrite' (CM2)
 - Make choices now looking to operation in 2020
- treat major development projects as branches
 - Integrate as necessary

Simulation

Generators

- EvtGen replacement
 - Code is unmaintainable, unsupported
 - switch to HepMC?
- Common output format
 - LTDA requirement to separate from Det. Sim.
 - FastSim example
- Digitization code
 - Common framework for subsystem contributions
- Luminosity bookkeeping in datastream

Framework

- Use existing LHC frameworks?
 - ties to LHC persistence, build system
 - multi-core compatibility?
 - Coordination/conflict with LHC development
 - Branch off now or later?
- Dynamic or static load?
- Scripting language
 - Python
- Re-evaluate state diagram
 - Make beginJob a real state?

Analysis Interface

- Protect users from memory management
 - Separate 'sandbox' functionality from event data
- Replace candidate implementation
 - separate bases for composites, det. based, MC, ...
 - explicit MC truth, matching interface
 - flexible 'overlaps' function
- Replace vertexing interface
 - clear separation of operator and data objects
 - replace inefficient 6X6 low-level implementation
- Replace quals with direct reco data access
- User code migration

Performance Optimization

- Parallelize where possible
 - multicore compatibility
- Rewrite/replace critical algorithms
 - POCA
 - calibration
 - matrix manipulation
 - geometry functions
- replace string comparisons with hashes (PDT)
- Performance monitoring
- Rewrite high-level reconstruction
 - DIRC, IFR, EMC, track-finding, ...

Persistence

- underlying technology
 - root, mdf5, ...
 - compatibility with LTDA, framework
- Data model
 - hierarchical structure as in BaBar?
 - integration of 'analysis' tuples?
- Compatibility with data distribution model
 - peer-to-peer or centralized?

QA

• Code cleanup

- fix all compile warnings
- fix all memory leaks
- Install unit tests as part of every package
 - flexible definition of success
- Nightly build of the trunk
 - find errors early
 - run unit tests, standard validation
- Release validation

Release Infrastructure

- Release system + scripts
- Build system
 - dependency management and monitoring
 - separate library granularity from packages
- Packaging
 - sub-directories for source, include, test, script, ...
- Amalgamation of repositories
 - framework, detector code, release, tools
- GIT for repository?
 - peer-to-peer vs client-server model
 - SVN interface for backwards-compatibility

Adaptive algorithms

- Useful where combinatorics are large and linear algorithms fail to find global optimum
 - pat. rec.
- Can be integrated with multi-core
 - many solutions explored in parallel
- Promise real improvement in physics output
 - track finding
 - clustering
 - BReco

Conclusions

- Lots of work is needed for SuperB offline
- clearly-defined projects will help attract new manpower