#### SUBATOMIC "3D Parton Distributions: path to the LHC" INFN Frascati: 29/11 - 2/12/2016.

### "POLARIZATION EFFECTS IN HADRONIZATION"

Collaborators: A. Kotzinian and A.W. Thomas.





Hrayr Matevosyan

### Outlook

Introduction and Motivation.

Short Overview of models for polarized fragmentation functions.

Quark-jet model.

Recent Results from Monte Carlo Simulations: both single and dihadron FFs. Can we learn about hadronization mechanisms from polarized FFs?

#### Conclusions.

### **TMD FFs and Collins Fragmentation Function**

• Unpolarized TMD FF: number density for quark q to produce unpolarized hadron h carrying LC fraction z and TM  $P_{\perp}$ .



 Collins Effect: Azimuthal Modulation of Transversely Polarized Quark' FF.
 Fragmenting quark's transverse spin couples with produced hadron's TM!



$$\begin{split} D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z,P_{\perp}^{2},\varphi) &= D_{1}^{h/q}(z,P_{\perp}^{2}) - H_{1}^{\perp h/q}(z,P_{\perp}^{2}) \frac{P_{\perp}S_{q}}{zm_{h}} \sin(\varphi) \\ \\ \textbf{Unpolarized} \qquad \textbf{Collins} \end{split}$$

 <u>Collin FF</u> is Chiral-ODD: Should to be coupled with another chiral-odd PDF/FF in observables.

# TMD FFs for Spin-0 and Spin-1/2 Hadrons

\* The transverse momentum (TM) of the hadron can couple with both its own spin and the spin of the quark!



TMD Polarized Fragmentation Functions at LO.

Only two for unpolarised final state hadrons.

▶ 8 for spin 1/2 final state (including quark). Similar to TMD PDFs.

# Field-Theoretical Definitions

• The quark-quark correlator.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{[\Gamma]}(z,\vec{p}_{T}) &\equiv \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dp^{+}}{(2\pi)^{4}} Tr[\Delta\Gamma]|_{p^{-}=zk^{-}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4z} \sum_{X} \int \frac{d\xi^{+} d^{2}\vec{\xi}_{T}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i(p^{-}\xi^{+}/z - \vec{\xi}_{T} \cdot \vec{p}_{T})} \langle 0|\psi(\xi^{+},0,\vec{\xi}_{T})|p,S_{h},X\rangle \langle p,S_{h},X|\bar{\psi}(0)\Gamma|0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

• The definitions of FFs from the quark correlator  $\Delta^{[\gamma^+]} = D(z, p_\perp^2) - \frac{1}{M} \epsilon^{ij} k_{Ti} S_{Tj} D_T^\perp(z, p_\perp^2)$  $\Delta^{[\gamma^+\gamma_5]} = S_L G_L(z, p_\perp^2) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_T \cdot S_T}{M} \ G_T(z, p_\perp^2)$  $\Delta^{[i\sigma^{i+}\gamma_{5}]} = S_{T}^{i}H_{T}(z, p_{\perp}^{2}) + \frac{S_{L}}{M}k_{T}^{i}H_{L}^{\perp}(z, p_{\perp}^{2})$  $+ \frac{k_T^i(\boldsymbol{k}_T \cdot S_T)}{M^2} H_T^{\perp}(z, p_{\perp}^2) - \frac{\epsilon^{ij}k_{Tj}}{M} H^{\perp}(z, p_{\perp}^2)$ 

### **Current Challenges**

#### I) Phenomenological Extractions of TMD FFs.

- Still Large Uncertainties.
- Simplistic Approximations.
- Limited kinematic region.



#### 2) Full Event Generators:

- No Mainstream MC generator includes spin in Full Hadronization: PYTHIA, HERWIG, SHERPA...
- MC generators are needed to support mapping of the 3D structure of nucleon at JLab 12, BELLE II, EIC.

### Modelling Hadronization with Spin: The Objectives.

- I) Phenomenological Extractions of TMD FFs.
  - Quantitative extract. of fav. and unfav. polarised TMD FF.
     Provide guidance for empirical fits to data.
  - Both single and dihadron FFs in the same framework!

- 2) Interpretation in Full Event Generators:
  - Probabilistic Mechanism for Full Hadronization.
  - Iterative picture for MC framework: spin transfer!
  - Should not break any of the unpolarised observables! (PYTHIA fits to existing data, etc.)

### (SOME of the) MODELS FOR FRAGMENTATION

- Lund String Model
  - <u>Very Successful</u> implementation in JETSET, PYTHIA.
  - <u>Highly Tunable.</u>
  - Spin Effects see X. Artru's talk.
- Spectator Model
  - Quark model calculations with empirical form factors.
  - No unfavored fragmentations.
  - Need to <u>tune</u> parameters for small z dependence.
- NJL-jet Model
  - <u>Multi-hadron</u> emission framework with effective quark model input.
  - <u>Monte-Carlo framework</u> allows flexibility in including the transverse momentum, spin effects, two-hadron correlations, etc.







 $\exists$ 2 Jet exhaust is ignited at the afterburner, producing a second stage of combustion and a stream of powerful yet fuel inefficient thrust. Military combat aircraft use afterburner in short bursts during takeoff, climb, or The afterburner assembly is placed behind the core of combat maneuvers. the jet engine, at the front of the jet pipe. Additional fuel is sprayed into the jet pipe where it mixes with air from the jet engine. The mixture is ignited for combustion. The jet pipe houses jet engine The exhaust nozzle is adjustable for maximum exhaust exhaust gasses and the afterburner acceleration and to avoid back-pressure (pressure originating combustion process. from the rear end of the engine being exerted on forward engine parts).

### POLARISATION IN QUARK-JET FRAMEWORK

#### **COLLINS FRAGMENTATION FUNCTION IN QUARK-JET**

H.M., Bentz, Thomas, PRD.86:034025, (2012). H.M., Kotzinian, Thomas, PLB731 208-216 (2014).

• Extend Quark-jet Model to include Spin.



- Input Elementary Collins Function: Model or Parametrization
- Calc. Spin of the remnant quark: S' Previously: constant values for spin flip probability:  $\mathcal{P}_{SF}$



+ Use fit form to extract unpol. and Collins FFs from  $D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}$ .

$$F(c_0, c_1) \equiv c_0 - c_1 \sin(\varphi_C)$$

 $D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z, p_{\perp}^2, \varphi) = D^{h/q}(z, p_{\perp}^2) - H^{\perp h/q}(z, p_{\perp}^2) \frac{p_{\perp} s_T}{zm_h} \sin(\varphi_C)$ 

#### **COLLINS FRAGMENTATION FUNCTION IN QUARK-JET**

H.M., Bentz, Thomas, PRD.86:034025, (2012). H.M., Kotzinian, Thomas, PLB731 208-216 (2014).

• Extend Quark-jet Model to include Spin.



#### Input Elementary Collins Function: Model or Parametrization

• Calc. Spin of the remnant quark: S' Previously: constant values for spin flip probability:  $\mathcal{P}_{SF}$ • Use fit form to extract unpol. and Collins FFs from  $D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}$ .  $F(c_0, c_1) \equiv c_0 - c_1 \sin(\varphi_C)$  $D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z, p_{\perp}^2, \varphi) = D^{h/q}(z, p_{\perp}^2) - H^{\perp h/q}(z, p_{\perp}^2) \frac{p_{\perp}s_T}{zm_h} \sin(\varphi_C)$ 

# COLLINS EFFECT - NJL-jet MKII

MKII Model Assumptions:H.M., Kotzinian, Thomas, PLB731 208-216 (2014).

1. Allow for Collins Effect only in a SINGLE emission vertex ( $N_L^{-1}$  scaling of the resulting Collins function). 2. Use constant values for spin flip probability:  $\mathcal{P}_{SF}$ .



3. Extreme ansatz for the elem. Collins function:  $d_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) = d_1^{h/q}(z, p_{\perp}^2)(1 - 0.9 \sin \varphi)$ 

✓ First-ever model calc. for two-hadron modulations induced by Collins effect!



✓ NJL-jet model results are consistent with COMPASS data on interplay between one- and two- hadron SSAs.



**X** A self-consistent model is needed that naturally avoids complications with higher-order modulations: the need for  $N_L^{-1}$  scaling, etc.



Process probability is the same as transition to unpolarized state.  $F^{q \to Q}(z, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{0}) = \alpha_s$  **Example:** Pion production. • We can express the spin of the remnant quark  $S' = \frac{\beta_s}{\alpha_s}$ in terms of quark-to-quark TMD FFs.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{q} \equiv D(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) + (\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} \times \boldsymbol{s}_{T}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \frac{1}{z\mathcal{M}} H^{\perp}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ \beta_{q\parallel} \equiv s_{L} G_{L}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) - (\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{T}) \frac{1}{z\mathcal{M}} H_{L}^{\perp}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ \beta_{q\perp} \equiv \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{\prime} \frac{1}{z\mathcal{M}} D_{T}^{\perp}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) - \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} \frac{1}{z\mathcal{M}} s_{L} G_{T}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ + \boldsymbol{s}_{T} H_{T}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) + \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}(\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{T}) \frac{1}{z^{2}\mathcal{M}^{2}} H_{T}^{\perp}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$F^{q \rightarrow Q}(z, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}; \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{S})$$

$$Q/q \quad U \quad L \quad T$$

$$U \quad D_1 \quad H_1^{\perp}$$

$$L \quad G_{1L} \quad H_{1L}^{\perp}$$

$$T \quad D_{1T}^{\perp} \quad G_{1T} \quad H_{1T}H_{1T}^{\perp}$$

# Example: Pion prod. up to Rank 2

Only consider pion produced in the first two emission steps!

Then the polarised number density is

$$F^{(2)q \to \pi} = f^{q \to \pi} + f^{q \to Q} \otimes f^{Q \to \pi}$$

"Elementary" number densities: only favoured types are non-zero.

$$f^{q \to \pi} = d^{q \to \pi} - \frac{p_\perp}{zM_h} s_T h_1^{\perp q \to \pi}$$

$$f^{u \to \pi^-} = 0$$

It is shown <u>analytically</u> that only Collins modulations appear!

$$F^{(2)q\to\pi}(z,p_{\perp}^2,\varphi_C) = F_0^{(2)}(z,p_{\perp}^2) - \sin(\varphi_C)F_1^{(2)}(z,p_{\perp}^2)$$







# Integral Equations

♦ In the limit of infinite produced hadrons, we can derive integral equations for the FFs within quark-jet framework.

#### Unpolarized FF

$$D^{(q \to \pi)}(z, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{2}) = \hat{d}^{(q \to \pi)}(z, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{2}) + 2 \int \mathcal{D}^{2} \eta \int \mathcal{D}^{4} p_{\perp} \delta(z - \eta_{1} \eta_{2}) \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{p}_{\perp} - \mathbf{p}_{2\perp} - \eta_{2} \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}) \\ \times \left[ \hat{d}^{(q \to Q)}(\eta_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}^{2}) D^{(Q \to \pi)}(\eta_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2\perp}^{2}) + \frac{1}{Mm_{\pi}z} (\mathbf{p}_{1\perp} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{2\perp}) \hat{d}_{T}^{\perp(q \to Q)}(\eta_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}^{2}) H^{\perp(Q \to \pi)}(\eta_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2\perp}^{2}) \right]$$

#### Collins FF

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{p}_{\perp} \times \mathbf{s}_{T})^{3} H^{\perp(q \to \pi)}(z, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{2}) &= (\mathbf{p}_{\perp} \times \mathbf{s}_{T})^{3} \hat{h}^{\perp(q \to \pi)}(z, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{2}) + 2 \int \mathcal{D}^{2} \eta \int \mathcal{D}^{4} p_{\perp} \delta(z - \eta_{1} \eta_{2}) \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{p}_{\perp} - \mathbf{p}_{2\perp} - \eta_{2} \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}) \\ &\times \left[ \frac{m_{\pi}}{M} \eta_{2} (\mathbf{p}_{1\perp} \times \mathbf{s}_{T})^{3} \hat{h}^{\perp(q \to Q)}(\eta_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}^{2}) D^{(Q \to \pi)}(\eta_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2\perp}^{2}) \right. \\ &+ (\eta_{1} (\mathbf{p}_{2\perp} \times \mathbf{s}_{T})^{3} \hat{h}^{(q \to Q)}_{T}(\eta_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}^{2}) - \frac{1}{M^{2} \eta_{1}} (\mathbf{s}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}) \\ &\times (\mathbf{p}_{1\perp} \times \mathbf{p}_{2\perp})^{3} \hat{h}^{\perp(q \to Q)}_{T}(\eta_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1\perp}^{2})) H^{\perp(Q \to \pi)}(\eta_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2\perp}^{2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# MC Simulation of Full Hadronization

HM et al, arXiv:1610.05624

#### We can consider many hadron emissions.

q Q' Q''

• We can sample the  $h, z, p_{\perp}^2, \varphi_h$  using

$$f^{q \to h}(z, p_{\perp}^2, \varphi_h; \mathbf{S}_T)$$

Determine the momenta in the initial frame and calculate

 $D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z, P_{\perp}^{2}, \varphi) \Delta z \frac{\Delta P_{\perp}^{2}}{2} \Delta \varphi = \left\langle N_{q^{\uparrow}}^{h}(z, z + \Delta z; P_{\perp}^{2}, P_{\perp}^{2} + \Delta P^{2}; \varphi, \varphi + \Delta \varphi) \right\rangle$   $\bigstar Calculate the remnant quark's spin: S' = \frac{\beta_{s}}{\alpha_{s}}$  $\bigstar We only need the "elementary" splittings.$   $f^{q \to h} \qquad f^{q \to Q}$ 

# Model Calculations of $q \rightarrow Q$ Splittings

E.G. - Meissner et al, PLB 690, 296 (2010). **We can use the same "spectator" type calculations as for pion. T-even** T-odd







Positivity Constraints on TMD FFs:

Bacchetta et al, P.R.L. 85, 712 (2000).

$$(H_L^{\perp[1]})^2 + (D_T^{\perp[1]})^2 \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} (D + G_L) (D - G_L) \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} D^2$$
$$(G_T^{[1]})^2 + (H^{\perp[1]})^2 \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} (D + G_L) (D - G_L) \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} D^2$$

T-odd parts from previous models <u>violate positivity</u>!

$$(\hat{G}_T^{[1]})^2 = (\hat{H}_L^{\perp [1]})^2 = \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} (\hat{D} + \hat{G}_L) (\hat{D} - \hat{G}_L) \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} \hat{D}^2$$
$$(\hat{H}^{\perp}(z, p_\perp^2) = 0, \quad \hat{D}_T^{\perp}(z, p_\perp^2) = 0.)$$

# Model Calculations of $q \rightarrow Q$ Splittings

Simple Model that is positive-definite:

$$\hat{d}(z, p_{\perp}^2) = 1.1 \ \hat{d}_{tree}(z, p_{\perp}^2),$$

Use Collins-ansatz for T-odd

J. C. Collins, NPB 396, 161 (1993)

$$\frac{p_{\perp}}{zM} \frac{\hat{h}^{\perp(q \to h)}(z, p_{\perp}^2)}{\hat{d}^{(q \to h)}(z, p_{\perp}^2)} = 0.4 \frac{2 p_{\perp} M_Q}{p_{\perp}^2 + M_Q^2}$$

$$d_T^{\perp} = -h^{\perp}$$

Ensures the inequalities

$$(H_L^{\perp[1]})^2 + (D_T^{\perp[1]})^2 \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} (D + G_L) (D - G_L) \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} D^2$$
$$(G_T^{[1]})^2 + (H^{\perp[1]})^2 \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} (D + G_L) (D - G_L) \le \frac{p_\perp^2}{4z^2 M^2} D^2$$

**\* Also: Evolution - mimicking ansatz** 

$$\hat{d}'(z, p_{\perp}^2) = (1-z)^4 \hat{d}(z, p_{\perp}^2)$$



#### **VALIDATION TESTS**

# Recoil TM Contribution: Rank 2 Hadron

#### Full vs "Recoil TM" contributions:



Recoil TM contribution has <u>distinct</u> z dependence!

# Higher Order Modulations

✦ The FFs should be <u>linear functions</u> of S! This means linear dependence on sine of Collins angle  $\varphi_C$ .

$$F(c_0, c_1) \equiv c_0 - c_1 \sin(\varphi_C)$$

Also test a simple anstaz: spin Flip

 $\mathcal{P}_{SF} = 1 \qquad \qquad S'_T = -S_T$ 

- High precision tests: 10<sup>12</sup> events for 2 hadron emissions!
- Fit polarized FF for each z: ~ 300 fits.

Linearity on the transverse spin is confirmed at high precision !

X Simplistic spin flip ansatz results in unphysical results !



#### **RESULTS** COLLINS EFFECT IN QUARK-JET MODEL

# Saturations of FFs with h Rank

### FFs vs Rank of produced hadron.

NJL Model

Evolution-mimicking Ansatz.



✓ Hadrons of Rank > 4 are negligible for FFs at z > 0.1

# MC Simulation in Toy Model

HM et al, arXiv:1610.05624



# MC Simulation in Toy Model

HM et al, arXiv:1610.05624



◆ Opposite sign and similar size in mid-z range for charged pions. (Similar to empirical extractions).

Dependence on model inputs: can be tuned to data.



#### TWO HADRON CORRELATIONS: DIHADRON FRAGMENTATION FUNCTIONS

### TWO-HADRON FRAGMENTATION

A. Bacchetta, M. Radici: PRD 69, 074026 (2004).

#### Total and Relative TM of hadron pair.



+ Correlation of the <u>transverse polarisation</u> of quark and one of the momenta:

$$D_{q^{\uparrow}}^{h_1h_2}(\varphi_R) = D_q^{h_1h_2} + s_T \sin(\varphi_R - \varphi_S)\mathcal{F}[H^{\triangleleft}, H^{\perp}]$$
$$D_{q^{\uparrow}}^{h_1h_2}(\varphi_T) = D_q^{h_1h_2} + s_T \sin(\varphi_T - \varphi_S)\mathcal{F}'[H^{\triangleleft}, H^{\perp}]$$

Correlation of the <u>longitudinal polarisation</u> of quark and <u>both</u> momenta:

 $D_{q}^{h_{1}h_{2}}(\varphi_{R-T}) = D_{q}^{h_{1}h_{2}}[cos(\varphi_{R-T})] + s_{L}\sin(\varphi_{R-T})\mathcal{G}[cos(\varphi_{R-T})]$  $\varphi_{R-T} \equiv \varphi_{R} - \varphi_{T}$ 

### Transverse Spin

igstarrow Results for unpolarized DiFF and analysing power, impose cut  $z_{1,2} \geq 0.1$ 

NJL Model

• Evolution-mimicking Ansatz.



Destructive interference with increasing N<sub>L</sub>!

# **Collins and IFF**

Comparing the analysing powers for Collins effect and IFFs.



#### Evolution-mimicking Ansatz.







### Longitudinal Polarisation in DiHadron FFs

# Longitudinal Spin

igstarrow FF for longitudinally polarized quark:  $({f R} imes {f T}) \cdot {f s}_L$ 



• Proof of linear dependence on s<sub>L</sub>: 9 values of  $(s_L, \mathbf{s}_T)$  for  $N_L = 6$ .





1.6

1.4

# **Cross-check for unpolarized DiFF**

igstarrow Results for unpolarized DiFF and analysing power, impose cut  $z_{1,2} \geq 0.1$ 

NJL Model



#### Evolution-mimicking Ansatz.



 $igstarrow z_{1,2} \geq 0.1$  cut enhances the analysing power at high-z for larger N\_L  $!_{32}$ 

# Analysing Power for Longitudinal Spin

#### Comparing the analysing power for Collins effect and IFFs.

N/L Model

Evolution-mimicking Ansatz.





Might explain BELLE results.

Phys.Rev.Lett. 107 (2011) 072004 PoS DIS2015 (2015) 216

 $\sim H_a^{\triangleleft}(z_1, m_1^2) H_{\bar{a}}^{\triangleleft}(z_2, m_2^2)$ 









#### **FUTURE PLANS**
### THE EFFECT OF VECTOR MESONS (VM)

- A naive assumption:VMs should have modest contribution due to relatively small production probability  $P(\pi^+)/P(\rho^+) \approx 1.7$
- But: Combinatorial factors enhance VM contribution significantly!
- Let's consider only two hadron emission

Direct: 
$$u \to d + \pi^+ \to u + \pi^- + \pi^+$$
  
VM:  $u \to d + \pi^+ \to u + \rho^- + \pi^+$   
 $u \to u + \rho^0 \to u + \rho^0 + \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$   
 $u \to u + \rho^0 \to u + \rho^0 + \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$   
 $\pi^+ \pi^-$   
 $P_{Dir}(\pi^+ \pi^-)/P_{VM}(\pi^+ \pi^-) \approx \frac{1}{4}$ 

## Effect of Vector Mesons on Unpol. DiFFs



# Conclusions

- (Polarised) TMD FFs provide a wealth of information about the spin-spin and spin-momentum correlations in hadronisation.
- Hadronization Models are needed to calculate polarised FFs and study various correlations (Collins and IFF, etc).
- Polarised hadronisation in MC generators: support for future experiments to map the 3D structure of nucleon (COMPASS, JLab I 2, BELLE II, EIC).
- The <u>NJL-jet</u> model provides a robust and extendable framework for microscopic description of hadronization using MC: TMD, Collins, DiHadron.
- \* <u>All 3 Di-Hadron spin correlations</u> from single-hadron effects in quark-jet!
- \* The extension of the underlying <u>quark-jet</u> mechanism to include polarisation can be incorporated into mainstream MC frameworks.
- Inclusion of <u>vector mesons</u> in polarized hadronization is the next step to accurately describe di-hadron effects.





# **BACKUP SLIDES**

### **Fragmentation Functions**

The non-perturbative, universal functions encoding parton hadronization are the: <u>Fragmentation Functions (FF)</u>.

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{d}{dz}\sigma(e^-e^+ \to hX) = \sum_i \mathcal{C}_i(z,Q^2) \otimes D_i^h(z,Q^2)$$

Unpolarized FF is the number density for parton i to produce hadron h with LC momentum fraction z.



 $z = \frac{p^-}{k^-} \approx z_h = \frac{2E_h}{Q}$ 

> z is the light-cone mom. fraction of the parton carried by the hadron

 $a^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^0 \pm a^3)$ 

#### FACTORIZATION AND UNIVERSALITY

SEMI INCLUSIVE DIS (SIDIS)



 $\sigma^{eP \to ehX} = \sum f_q^P \otimes \sigma^{eq \to eq} \otimes D_q^h$  $\boldsymbol{q}$  $\cdot e^{+}e^{-}$  $\sigma^{e^+e^- \to hX} = \sum \sigma^{e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}} \otimes (D^h_q + D^h_{\bar{q}})$  DRELL-YAN (DY)  $\sigma^{PP \to l^+ l^- X} = \sum f_q^P \otimes f_{\bar{q}}^P \otimes \sigma^{q\bar{q} \to l^+ l^-}$ q,q' Hadron Production  $\sigma^{PP \to hX} = \sum f_q^P \otimes f_{q'}^P \otimes \sigma^{qq' \to qq'} \otimes D_q^h$ q,q'

# **3D Nucleon Structure with TMD PDFS**

**TMDs: Momentum Space GPDs: Impact Parameter** 

- The transverse momentum (TM) of the parton can couple with both its own spin and the spin of the nucleon!
- Leading Order TMD PDFs



# TMDs from SIDIS $e P \rightarrow e' h X$

A. Bacchetta et al., JHEP08 023 (2008).

• For polarized SIDIS crosssection there are **18 terms** in leading twist expansion:



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\,dy\,dz\,d\phi_S\,d\phi_h\,dP_{h\perp}^2} \sim F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L} + \dots$$

$$+ |\mathbf{S}_{\perp}| \left[ \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S) \left( F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} + \varepsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \right) + \varepsilon \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} + \dots \right]$$

Access the structure functions via specific modulations. LO Matching to convolutions of PDFs and FFs:  $P_T^2 \ll Q^2$  $F_{UU,T} \sim C[f_1 \ D_1]$   $F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} \sim C[h_1 \ H_1^{\perp}]$ 

• NEED Collins Fragmentation Function to access Transversity PDF from SIDIS! [BELLE (II), BaBar]

## TMDs from SIDIS $e P \rightarrow e' h X$

A. Bacchetta et al., JHEP08 023 (2008).

• For polarized SIDIS crosssection there are **18 terms** in leading twist expansion:





### **EMPIRICAL EXTRACTIONS OF TRANSVERSITY**

- SIDIS at HERMES PLB693 (2010) 11-16.
- $\langle \sin(\phi + \phi_S) \rangle_{UT}^h \sim \frac{\mathcal{C}[h_1^q \ H_{1q}^{\perp h/q}]}{\mathcal{C}[f_1^q \ D_1^{h/q}]}$

 $\pi^+$ 

- Opposite sign for the charged pions.
- Large positive signal for  $K^+$ .
- Consistent with 0 for  $\pi^0$  and  $K^-$ .
- Fits to HERMES, COMPASS and BELLE/BaBar: PRD 92, 114023 (2015).





- Still Large Uncertainties!
- **Simplistic Approximations !**

### Unfavored FFs NOT well known!

### Hadron Multiplicities



### Impact of FF uncertainties on extracted PDFs

### • $\Delta s$ puzzle: DIS vs SIDIS.

#### $10^{\frac{3}{2}}$ Platchkov: Talk in Chile, 2016. x



#### • Impact on extracted $\Delta s$ COMPASS: PLB 693 (2010) 227–235.

$$A_{1}^{h}(x,z) = \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2}(\Delta q(x)D_{q}^{h}(z) + \Delta \bar{q}(x)D_{\bar{q}}^{h}(z))}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2}(q(x)D_{q}^{h}(z) + \bar{q}(x)D_{\bar{q}}^{h}(z))}.$$
$$\int D_{d}^{K^{+}}(z) dz \qquad \int D_{\bar{q}}^{K^{+}}(z) dz$$

$$R_{UF} = \frac{\int D_d^K(z) \,\mathrm{d}z}{\int D_u^{K^+}(z) \,\mathrm{d}z},$$

$$R_{SF} = \frac{\int D_{\bar{s}}^{K^+}(z) \,\mathrm{d}z}{\int D_{u}^{K^+}(z) \,\mathrm{d}z}$$



**46** 

# RECENT COMPASS RESULTS

#### COMPASS, PLB736, 124-131 (2014).

**+**SIDIS with transversely polarized target.

Collins single spin asymmetry:

$$A_{Coll} = \frac{\sum_{q} e_q^2 h_1^q \otimes H_1^{\perp h/q}}{\sum_{q} e_q^2 f_1^q \otimes D_1^{h/q}}$$



Two hadron single spin asymmetry:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_{RS}} = \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}_1 - \boldsymbol{p}_2|}{2M_{h+h^-}} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \cdot h_1^q(x) \cdot H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft}(z, M_{h+h^-}^2, \cos\theta)}{\sum_q e_q^2 \cdot f_1^q(x) \cdot D_{1,q}(z, M_{h+h^-}^2, \cos\theta)}$$

Note the choice of the vector

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{Artru} = \frac{z_2 \boldsymbol{P}_1 - z_1 \boldsymbol{P}_2}{z_1 + z_2}$$



# String Model: Artru Mechanism

•  $q\bar{q}$  created in  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  state.

Local compensation of TM.



Qualitatively implies opposite signs for favoured and unfavored.
 (Omitting complications from favoured production at rank 2, etc .)

Simple and intuitive quantum-mechanical picture.

## SPECTATOR MODELS

E.G. - Bacchetta et al, PLB 659:234, 2008

Use Field-theoretical definition of FFs from a Correlator.

$$\Delta(z,k_T) = \frac{1}{2z} \int dk^+ \,\Delta(k,P_h) = \frac{1}{2z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ik \cdot \xi} \langle 0 | \mathcal{U}_{(+\infty,\xi)}^{n_+} \psi(\xi) | h, X \rangle \langle h, X | \bar{\psi}(0) \mathcal{U}_{(0,+\infty)}^{n_+} | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\xi^-=0}$$

$$D_1(z, z^2 \vec{k}_T^2) = \operatorname{Tr}[\Delta(z, \vec{k}_T) \gamma^-]. \qquad \qquad \frac{\epsilon_T^{ij} k_{Tj}}{M_h} H_1^{\perp}(z, k_T^2) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\Delta(z, k_T) i \sigma^{i-} \gamma_5]$$

Approximate the remnant X as a "spectator" (quark).

Calculate the FFs at leading-order in favourite quark model.



49

## SPECTATOR MODELS

#### E.G. - Bacchetta et al, PLB 659:234, 2008

**Calculated Collins FF.** 

 $D_1(z, p_\perp^2)$ 

 $H_1^{\perp}(z, p_{\perp}^2)$ 



#### Issues with ALL the model calculations to date:

Mismatch in orders of calculations : VIOLATION OF POSITIVITY

Bacchetta et al, PRL 85, 712 (2000).

Missing multi-hadron emission effect:
 No direct access to unfavored FFs.
 Description of small-z region.



#### TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM DEPENDENCE

### **SLIDE STOLEN FROM P. SKANDS**

Andersson - Camb.Monogr.Part.Phys.Nucl.Phys.Cosmol. 7 (1997) 1-471 The Ultimate Limit: Wavelengths > 10<sup>-15</sup> m





ted, e.g. from the  $p/\pi$  ratio, and since the perturbative shower splittings do not produce TRC FF ks, the effective value for this parameter is mildly correlated with the amount of  $g \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  TRC FF ngs occurring on the shower side. More advanced scenarios for baryon production have een proposed, see [48]. Within the PYTHIA framework, a fragmentation model including string junctions [49] is also available. 1) Schwinger Effect e next step of the algorithm is the assignment of the produced quarks within hadron lets. Using tromation and the state of the second strates and the second strates and the second seco Non-perturbative creation with the  $\bar{q}'$  from a newly created breakup to produce a meson — or baryon, if diquarks , volved  $\mathbf{V}$  af a given merce mark som **Standing Gar monents A.O.B. Levest-lying** of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pairs in a strong field. external Electric field scalar and vector meson multiplets, and spin-1/2 and -3/2 baryons, are assumed to  $ec{E}$  , ate in a string framework<sup>1</sup>, but individual rates are not predicted by the model. This Probability from efore the ctor description the degree mount free atyper of produced hadron! **Tunneling Factor** om spin counting, the ratio V/P of vectors to pseudoscalars is expected to be 3, but in the this is only approximately true for B mesons. For lighter flavors, the difference in  $\mathcal{P} \propto \exp\left(rac{-m^2 - p_{\perp}^2}{\kappa/\pi}
ight)$ space caused by the V-P mass splittings implies a suppression of vector production. extracting the corresponding parameters from data, it is advisable to begin with aviest states, some stevilled red and the artical of the states of the s ( $\kappa$  the string tension equivalent) icates the extraction for lighter particles, see section 1.2.3. For Z diquarks, separate eter controbute selative meed for diquark F spin-0 ones and, likewise, we May produce h in <u>any</u> order. extracted from data. String Break th  $p_{\perp}^2$  and  $m^2$  now fixed, the final step is to select the fraction, z, of the fragmenting int quark's longitudinal momentum that is carried by the created had be gon aspect ich the string model is highly predictive. The requirement that the fragmentation be  $u(\vec{p}_{\perp 0}, p_+)$ shower  $\pi^+(\vec{p}_{\perp 0} - \vec{p}_{\perp 1}, z_1 p_+)$  $d\bar{d}$  $f(z) \propto \frac{1}{z} (1-z)^a \exp\left(-\frac{b\left(m_h^2 + p_{\perp h}^2\right)}{z}\right)$  $K^0(\vec{p}_{\perp 1} - \vec{p}_{\perp 2}, z_2(1 - z_1)p_+)$ (1.11) $s\bar{s}$ e PYTHIA implementation includes the lightest pseudoscalar and vector mesons, with the four L=1ets (scalar, tensor, and 20 pseudovectors) available but disabled by default, largely re poorly known and thus may result in a worse overall description when included spin-1/2 and -3/2 multiplets are included. The hadron z <u>depends</u> on combined 1.5 1.0 1.0 TM of antiquark and a quark from 0.5  $13_{0.5}$ b=1, m<sub>T</sub>=1 a=0.5, m<sub>T</sub>=1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 previous string break! b Note: In principle, a can be flavour-dependent. In practice, we only distinguish between baryons and meson 0.2 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.6 0.4 0.6 0.8 53



 $D_q^h(z, P_\perp^2) \Delta z \ \pi \Delta P_\perp^2 = \frac{\sum_{N_{Sims}} N_q^h(z, z + \Delta z, P_\perp^2, P_\perp^2 + \Delta P_\perp^2)}{N_{Sims}}.$ 

# Lorentz Transforms of TM 96, 33 (2001)(2015) Boosts from 0 TM frame that preserve "-" component. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{2(k^-)^2} & \frac{k_1}{k^-} & \frac{k_1}{k} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{0 & \frac{k_1}{k^-} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{k_2}{k^-} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ h $\mathcal{L}' | (k'^+, k'^-, k'_{\perp} = 0) | (p^+, p^-, p_{\perp}) \rangle$ $\mathcal{L}$ $(k^+, k^- = k'^-, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ $(P^+, P^- = p^-, \mathbf{P}_\perp = \mathbf{p}_\perp + z\mathbf{k}_\perp)$

In case of two (or more) hadrons: same story!  $P_{1\perp} = p_{1\perp} + z_1 k_{\perp}$   $P_{2\perp} = p_{2\perp} + z_2 k_{\perp}$ 

# ELEMENTARY TMD SPLITTINGS

H.M., Thomas, Bentz, PRD. 83:07400; PRD.83:114010, 2011.

56

• Quark-quark correlator:

 $\Delta_{ij}(z,p_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ e^{ip \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \ \times \langle 0 | \mathcal{U}_{(\infty,\xi)} \psi_i(\xi) | h, X \rangle_{\text{out out}} \langle h, X | \bar{\psi}_j(0) \mathcal{U}_{(0,\infty)} | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}^-} = \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ e^{ip \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \ \times \langle 0 | \mathcal{U}_{(\infty,\xi)} \psi_i(\xi) | h, X \rangle_{\text{out out}} \langle h, X | \bar{\psi}_j(0) \mathcal{U}_{(0,\infty)} | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}^-} = \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ e^{ip \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \ \times \langle 0 | \mathcal{U}_{(\infty,\xi)} \psi_i(\xi) | h, X \rangle_{\text{out out}} \langle h, X | \bar{\psi}_j(0) \mathcal{U}_{(0,\infty)} | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}^-} = \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ e^{ip \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \ \times \langle 0 | \mathcal{U}_{(\infty,\xi)} \psi_i(\xi) | h, X \rangle_{\text{out out}} \langle h, X | \bar{\psi}_j(0) \mathcal{U}_{(0,\infty)} | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}^-} = \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ e^{ip \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \ \times \langle 0 | \mathcal{U}_{(\infty,\xi)} \psi_i(\xi) | h, X \rangle_{\text{out out}} \langle h, X | \bar{\psi}_j(0) \mathcal{U}_{(0,\infty)} | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}^-} = \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ e^{ip \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \ \times \langle 0 | \mathcal{U}_{(\infty,\xi)} \psi_i(\xi) | h, X \rangle_{\text{out out}} \langle h, X | \bar{\psi}_j(0) \mathcal{U}_{(0,\infty)} | 0 \rangle \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}^-} = \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \ + \frac{1}{2N_c \ z} \sum_X \int \frac{d\xi^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{$ 

One-quark truncation of the wavefunction: q 
ightarrow Qh

$$d_q^h(z, p_\perp^2) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\Delta_0(z, p_\perp^2)\gamma^+]$$

NJL Effective quark model calculations:



# TMD FRAGMENTATION FUNCTIONS

#### FAVORED

UNFAVORED

 $\pi$ 

K

57



### COMPARISON WITH GAUSSIAN ANSATZ



• Average TM:  $\langle P_{\perp}^2 \rangle \equiv \frac{\int d^2 \mathbf{P}_{\perp} P_{\perp}^2 D(z, P_{\perp}^2)}{\int d^2 \mathbf{P}_{\perp} D(z, P_{\perp}^2)}$ 

• Gaussian ansatz assumes:  $D(z, P_{\perp}^2) = D(z) \frac{e^{-P_{\perp}^2/\langle P_{\perp}^2 \rangle}}{\pi/P^2 \vee}$ 

## AVERAGE Transverse Momenta vs z

### FRAGMENTATION

$$(P_{\perp}^2)_{unf} > \langle P_{\perp}^2 \rangle_f$$

Indications from HERMES
 data: A. Signori, et al: JHEP 1311, 194 (2013)



Multiple hadron emissions: broaden the TM dependence at low z!



**59** 





### UNPOLARIZED DIHADRON FRAGMENTATIONS

H.M. Thomas, Bentz, PRD.88:094022, 2013.



• The probability density for observing two hadrons:

$$P_1 = (z_1k^-, P_1^+, \boldsymbol{P}_{1,\perp}), \ P_1^2 = M_{h1}^2$$

$$P_2 = (z_2k^-, P_2^+, \boldsymbol{P}_{2,\perp}), \ P_2^2 = M_{h2}^2$$

• The corresponding number density:

$$D_{q}^{h_{1}h_{2}}(z, M_{h}^{2}) \Delta z \Delta M_{h}^{2} = \left\langle N_{q}^{h_{1}h_{2}}(z, z + \Delta z; M_{h}^{2}, M_{h}^{2} + \Delta M_{h}^{2}) \right\rangle$$

$$z = z_1 + z_2$$
  $M_h^2 = (P_1 + P_2)^2$ 

• Kinematic Constraint.

$$\left[z_1 z_2 M_h^2 - (z_1 + z_2)(z_2 M_{h1}^2 + z_1 M_{h2}^2) \ge 0\right]$$

• In MC simulations record all the pairs in every decay chain.

### 2-AND 3-BODY DECAYS

The  $M_h^2$  spectrum of pseudoscalars is strongly affected by VM decays.

- We include only the 2-body decays  $ho, K^*$ .
- Both 2- and 3-body decays of  $\omega, \phi$  .

Achasov et al. (SND), PRD 68, 052006, (2003).



# PYTHIA SIMULATIONS

- Setup hard process with back to back  $q \ ar{q}$  along z axis.
- Only Hadronize. Allow the same resonance decays as NJL-jet.
- Assign hadrons with positive  $p_z$  to q fragmentation.

$$E_q = 10 \text{ GeV}$$

### Single Hadron





# Positivity and Polarisation of Quark

Bacchetta et al, PRL 85, 712 (2000).

The probability density is Positive Definite: constraints on FFs.

Leading-order T-Even functions FULLY Saturate these bounds!

♦ For non-vanishing  $H^{\perp}$  and  $D_T^{\perp}$ , need to calculate T-Even FFs at next order!

Average value of remnant quark's spin.

$$\langle \boldsymbol{S}_T \rangle_Q = \boldsymbol{s}_T \frac{\int dz \left[ h_T^{(q \to Q)}(z) + \frac{1}{2z^2 M_Q^2} h_T^{\perp[1](q \to Q)}(z) \right]}{\int dz \ d^{(q \to Q)}(z)}$$

• In spectator model, at leading order:  $h_T(z) = -d(z)$ 

 $\bigstar$  Non-zero  $h_T^{\perp}$  means  $\langle S_T \rangle_Q \neq -s_T$  (full flip of the spin)!

# THE QUARK JET MODEL

#### Field, Feynman, Nucl.Phys.B136:1,1978.

#### **Assumptions:**

- Number Density interpretation
- No re-absorption
- ▶ ∞ hadron emissions



$$D_q^h(z) = \hat{d}_q^h(z) + \int_z^1 \hat{d}_q^Q(y) dy \cdot D_Q^h(\frac{z}{y}) \frac{1}{y}$$
$$\hat{d}_q^h(z) = \hat{d}_q^{Q'}(1-z)|_{h=\bar{Q'}q}$$

# THE QUARK JET MODEL

#### Field, Feynman, Nucl.Phys.B136:1,1978.

### **Assumptions:**

- Number Density interpretation
- No re-absorption
- ▶ ∞ hadron emissions



Probability of finding hadron h with mom.<br/>frac. [z, z+dz] in a jet of quark qThe probability scales<br/>with mom. fraction $D_q^h(z)dz = \hat{d}_q^h(z)dz + \int_z^1 \hat{d}_q^Q(y)dy \cdot D_Q^h(\frac{z}{y})\frac{dz}{y}$ Prob. of emitting at step IProb. of emitting at step IProb. of mom. [y, y+dy] is<br/>transferred to jet at step I.
### NAMBU--JONA-LASINIO MODEL

Yoichiro Nambu and Giovanni Jona-Lasinio: "Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. 1" Phys.Rev. 122, 345 (1961)





Effective Quark model of QCD Effective Quark Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{NJL} = \overline{\psi}_q (i\partial \!\!\!/ - m_q)\psi_q + G(\overline{\psi}_q \Gamma \psi_q)^2$ •Low energy chiral effective theory of QCD. Covariant, has the same flavor symmetries as QCD.





•Pion mass and quark-pion coupling from •Pion decay constant t-matrix pole.





#### **Fixing Model Parameters**

•Use Lepage-Brodsky Invariant Mass cut-off regularisation scheme.

$$M_{12} \le \Lambda_{12} = \sqrt{\Lambda_3^2 + M_1^2 + \sqrt{\Lambda_3^2 + M_2^2}}$$

• Choose a  $M_{u(d)}$  and use physical  $f_{\pi}$ ,  $m_{\pi}$ ,  $m_{K}$  to fix model parameters  $\Lambda_{3}$ ,  $G, M_{s}$  and calculate  $g_{hqQ}$ .

#### DEPENDENCE ON NUMBER OF EMITTED HADRONS • Restrict the number of emitted hadrons, NLinks n MC.



We reproduce the splitting function and the full solution perfectly.
The low z region is saturated with just a few emissions.

# SOLUTIONS OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

H.M., Thomas, Bentz, PRD. 83:074003, 2011



69

# SOLUTIONS OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

H.M., Thomas, Bentz, PRD. 83:074003, 2011



69