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## Introduction

## Intrinsic motion in composite systems is required by $\mathbf{Q M}$ :

electrons in atom non-relativistic motion, OAM \& spin are decoupled

$$
d \approx 10^{-10} m, \quad p \approx 10^{-3} \mathrm{MeV}, \quad m_{e} \approx 0.5 \mathrm{MeV}, \quad \beta \approx 0.002
$$

nucleons in nucleus Fermi motion

$$
d \approx 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}, \quad p \approx 10^{2} \mathrm{MeV}, \quad m_{N} \approx 940 \mathrm{MeV}, \quad \beta \approx 0.1
$$

quarks in nucleon relativistic motion, OAM \& spin cannot be decoupled

$$
d \approx 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}, \quad p \approx 10^{2} \mathrm{MeV}, \quad m_{e} \approx 5 \mathrm{MeV}, \quad \beta \approx 1
$$

## Covariant approach

## Main results:

$\square$ Sum rules: Wanzura-Wilczek (WW), BurhardtCottinngham (BC) and Efremov-Leader-Teryaev (ELT)
$\square$ Relations between TMDs, PDFs and TMDs (giving predictions for TMDs
$\square$ Study and prediction of the role of OAM

```
[1] P.Zavada, Phys. Lett. B 751, }525\mathrm{ (2015).
[2] A. V. Efremov, O. V. Teryaev and P. Zavada,
    J.Phys.Conf.Ser. }678\mathrm{ (2016) no.1, 012001,
    arXiv:1511.01164 [hep-ph].
[3] P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 89, }014012\mathrm{ (2014).
[4] P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 85, }037501\mathrm{ (2012).
[5] P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 83, }014022\mathrm{ (2011).
[6] P. Zavada, Eur. Phys. J. C 52, }121\mathrm{ (2007).
[7] P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 67, }014019\mathrm{ (2003).
[8] P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 65, }054040\mathrm{ (2002).
[9] P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 55, }4290\mathrm{ (1997).
[10] A. V. Efremov, P. Schweitzer, O. V. Teryaev
    and P. Zavada, PoS DIS2010, }253\mathrm{ (2010).
[11] A. V. Efremov, P. Schweitzer, O. V. Teryaev
    and P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 83, }054025\mathrm{ (2011).
[12] A. V. Efremov, P. Schweitzer, O. V. Teryaev
    and P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D 80, }014021\mathrm{ (2009).
[13] A. V. Efremov, O. V. Teryaev and P. Zavada,
    Phys. Rev. D 70, }054018\mathrm{ (2004).
```


## Paradigm of covariant approach

$\square$ Large Q2: In the rest frame we have

$$
\left|\mathbf{q}_{R}\right|^{2}=Q^{2}+\nu^{2}=Q^{2}\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{(2 M x)^{2}}\right) \Rightarrow\left|\mathbf{q}_{R}\right| \gtrsim \nu=\frac{Q^{2}}{2 M x} \geq \frac{Q^{2}}{2 M}
$$

$$
\Delta \lambda \lesssim \Delta \tau \approx \frac{2 M x}{Q^{2}}
$$

So a space-time domain of lepton -quark QED interaction is limited.
$\square$ Effect of asymptotic freedom: Limited extend of this domain prevent the quark from an interaction with the rest of nucleon during the lepton-quark interaction - in any reference frame.

In fact we assume characteristic time of QCD process accompanying $\gamma$ absorption much greater than absorption time itself:

Since Lorentz time dilation is universal, the first relation holds in any reference frame. This is essence of our covariant leading order approach.

## Remarks:

$\square p_{L}$ and $p_{T}$ are equally important...
$\square$ We do not aim to describe complete nucleon dynamic structure, but only a picture of short time interval corresponding to DIS.
$\square$ We assume $Q^{2}$-dependence of this Lorentz-invariant "effective" picture: $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(p P / M, Q^{2}\right)$.

$$
\Delta T(\beta)=\frac{\Delta T_{0}}{\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}}
$$



## Structure functions

General framework: $\quad \Delta \sigma\left(x, Q^{2}\right) \sim|A|^{2}=L_{\alpha \beta} W^{\alpha \beta}$


The quarks are represented by the quasifree fermions, which are in the proton rest frame described by the set of distribution functions with spheric symmetry

$$
G_{q}^{ \pm}\left(p_{0}\right) d^{3} p ; \quad p_{0}=\sqrt{m^{2}+\mathbf{p}^{2}}
$$

which are expected to depend effectively on $Q^{2}$. These distributions measure the probability to find a quark in the state

$$
u(p, \lambda \mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\binom{\phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}}}{\frac{\mathrm{pp}}{p_{0}+m} \phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}}} ; \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}}=\lambda \phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}},
$$

where $m$ and $p$ are the quark mass and momentum, $\lambda= \pm 1 / 2$ and n coincides with the direction of target polarization J .


$$
W^{\alpha \beta} \Rightarrow
$$

$$
F_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)
$$

$$
F_{2}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)
$$

$$
g_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)
$$

$$
g_{2}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)
$$

## Rest frame representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If one assumes } Q^{2} \gg 4 M^{2} x^{2} \text {, then: } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
F_{2}(x)=M x^{2} \int G\left(p_{0}\right) \delta\left(\frac{p_{0}+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}} \\
\qquad g_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta G\left(p_{0}\right)\left(m+p_{1}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{p_{0}+m}\right) \delta\left(\frac{p_{0}+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}}, \\
g_{2}(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta G\left(p_{0}\right)\left(p_{1}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}-p_{T}^{2} / 2}{p_{0}+m}\right) \delta\left(\frac{p_{0}+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ integrals can be inverted
$\square$ study and prediction OAM
... or in terms of conventional distributions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}^{a}(x) & =M x \int G^{a}\left(p_{0}\right) \delta\left(\frac{p_{0}+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}} \\
g_{1}^{a}(x) & =\int \Delta G^{a}\left(p_{0}\right)\left(m+p_{1}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{p_{0}+m}\right) \delta\left(\frac{p_{0}+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}}, \\
g_{2}^{a}(x) & =-\int \Delta G^{a}\left(p_{0}\right)\left(p_{1}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}-p_{T}^{2} / 2}{p_{0}+m}\right) \delta\left(\frac{p_{0}+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square G, \Delta G$ are not known, but integrals imply relations between distributions: WW relation, sum rules WW, BC, ELT; helicity $\leftrightarrow$ transversity, transversity $\leftrightarrow$ pretzelosity; unpolarized+SU(6) $\rightarrow$ polarized
$\square$ partial integration (only over $p_{1}$ ) defines $p_{T}$ - dependent

## Relations are generated by LI \& RS !

 distributions: $\quad f(x) \rightarrow f\left(x, p_{T}\right)$$\square$ relations between TMDs, but also TMDs $\leftrightarrow$ PDFs

## PDF-TMD relations

## 1. UNPOLARIZED

$$
f_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi M^{2}} \frac{d}{d y}\left[\frac{f_{1}^{a}(y)}{y}\right]_{y=\xi\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\xi\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}\right)=x\left(1+\frac{\mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}}{x^{2} M^{2}}\right)
$$

For details see:
P.Z. Phys.Rev.D 83, 014022 (2011), arXiv:0908.2316 [hep-ph]
A.Efremov, P.Schweitzer, O.Teryaev and P.Z. Phys.Rev.D 83, 054025(2011) arXiv:0912.3380 [hep-ph], arXiv:1012.5296 [hep-ph]

The same relation was shortly afterwards obtained independently: U. D'Alesio, E. Leader and F. Murgia, Phys.Rev. D 81, 036010 (2010), arXiv:0909.5650 [hep-ph]
we assume $m \rightarrow 0$ (if not stated otherwise)

## PDF-TMD relations

## 2. POLARIZED

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=\frac{2 x-\xi}{2} K^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right), \\
& h_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=\frac{x}{2} K^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right), \\
& g_{1 T}^{\perp a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=K^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right), \\
& h_{1 L}^{\perp a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=-K^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right), \\
& h_{1 T}^{\perp a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=-\frac{1}{x} K^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Known $f_{I}(x), g_{I}(x)$ allow us to predict some unknown TMDs

$$
K^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi \xi^{3} M^{2}}\left(2 \int_{\xi}^{1} \frac{d y}{y} g_{1}^{a}(y)+3 g_{1}^{a}(\xi)-x \frac{d g_{1}^{a}(\xi)}{d \xi}\right)
$$

$$
\xi\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}\right)=x\left(1+\frac{\mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}}{x^{2} M^{2}}\right)
$$

## Numerical results: (unpolarized)

## Another model approaches to TMDs give compatible results:

1. U. D'Alesio, E. Leader and F. Murgia, Phys.Rev. D 81, 036010 (2010)
2. C.Bourrely, F.Buccellla, J.Soffer, Phys.Rev. D 83, 074008 (2011);
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A28 (2013) 1350026

Input for $f_{i}(x)$
MRST LO at $4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$

FIG. 1. The TMDs $f_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)$ for $u, d$ (upper part) and $\bar{u}$, $\bar{d}$-quarks (lower part). Left panel: $f_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)$ as a function of $x$ for $p_{T} / M=0.10$ (dashed line), 0.13 (dotted line), 0.20 (dashdotted line). The solid line corresponds to the input distribution $f_{1}^{a}(x)$. Right panel: $f_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)$ as a function of $p_{T} / M$ for $x=0.15$ (solid line), 0.18 (dashed line), 0.22 (dotted), 0.30 (dash-dotted line).









$$
<p_{T}><0.1 \mathrm{GeV}, p_{T} / M<0.5
$$



FIG. 2. $f_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)$ as a function of $\left(p_{T} / M\right)^{2}$ for $x=0.15$ (solid), 0.18 (dashed), 0.22 (dotted), 0.30 (dash-dotted line).
$\square$ Gaussian shape - is supported by phenomenology $\square\left\langle p_{T}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ depends on $x$, is smaller for sea quarks

## Numerical results:

## (polarized)

Input for $\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ : LSS LO at $4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$


FIG. 3. $g_{1}^{q}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{T}}\right)$ for $u$ - (upper panel) and $d$-quarks (lower panel). Left panel: $g_{1}^{q}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{T}}\right)$ as a function of $x$ for $p_{T} / M=$ 0.10 (dashed line), 0.13 (dotted line), 0.20 (dash-dotted line). The solid line corresponds to the input distribution $g_{1}^{q}(x)$. Right panel: $g_{1}^{q}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{T}}\right)$ as a function of $p_{T} / M$ for $x=0.15$ (solid line), 0.18 (dashed line), 0.22 (dotted line), 0.30 (dash-dotted line).
... can be compared to $\boldsymbol{g}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})$ : In both cases the sign is correlated with the sign of $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{L}}$ in the rest frame

P.Z. Phys.Rev.D 67, 014019 (2003)

## QCD evolution of TMDs

## LI \& RS generate the relations TMDs $\leftrightarrow$ PDFs:

$$
f_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi M^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} y}\left[\frac{q(y)}{y}\right]_{y=\xi} ; \quad \xi=x\left(1+\frac{\mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}}{x^{2} M^{2}}\right)
$$

The most direct way to introduce evolution is $\operatorname{via} q\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ :

$$
f_{1}^{a}\left(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}, Q^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi M^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} y}\left[\frac{q\left(y, Q^{2}\right)}{y}\right]_{y=\xi} ; \quad \xi=x\left(1+\frac{\mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}}{x^{2} M^{2}}\right)
$$

for details see A. Efremov, O. Teryaev and P.Z., J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 678 (2016), no.1, 012001, arXiv:1511.01164 [hep-ph]. (in progress)

## TMDs - numerical results:



FIG. 3: TMD at different scales: $Q^{2}=4,40,400 \mathrm{GeV}$ (solid, dashed, dotted curves) for $u$ and $d$ quarks. Sets of curves in left panels (from top) correspond to fixed $p_{T} / M=0.1,0.13,0.20$. The curves in left panels (from top) correspod to fixed $x=0.18,0.22,0.30$.
$\mathbf{L I} \& \mathbf{R S} \Rightarrow p_{T} \leq M / 2$
a weak scale dependence...

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 83, 114042 (2011)
Transverse momentum dependent parton distribution and fragmentation functions with QCD evolution
S. Mert Aybat ${ }^{1,2, *}$ and Ted C. Rogers ${ }^{2, \dagger}$


Why the results of the calculations differ so much?

## Comparison:

$\square$ pQCD evolution: $p_{T}$ can exceed $\approx 1 \mathrm{GeV}$ correct dynamics (QCD) + reduced kinematic (no covariance, no rest frame sphericity...)
$\square$ Covariant approach: $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \approx 0.1 \mathrm{GeV}$ simplistic model + correct 3D kinematics (constrained by LI \& RS)
$\square$ Correct answer:
may come from JLab experiments?

## Next step: TMDs and nuclei

Covariant approach:
$q(x) \longmapsto \begin{aligned} & G(p) \\ & f\left(x, p_{T}\right)\end{aligned}$


3D convolution with nuclear Fermi motion


## Spin \& OAM

## Eigenstates of angular momentum

Usual plane-wave spinors are replaced by spinor spherical harmonics (both in momentum representation):

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\hline u(\mathbf{p}, \lambda \mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\binom{\phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}}}{\frac{\mathbf{p} \sigma}{p_{0}+m} \phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}}} \\
\hline \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n} \sigma \phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}}=\lambda \phi_{\lambda \mathbf{n}}, \quad N=\frac{2 p_{0}}{p_{0}+m} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|j, j_{z}\right\rangle=\Phi_{j l_{p} j_{z}}(\omega)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon}}\binom{\sqrt{\epsilon+m}}{-\sqrt{\epsilon-m} \Omega_{j l_{p} j_{z} j_{z}}(\omega)} \\
& \Omega_{j l_{p} j_{z}}(\omega)=\binom{\sqrt{\frac{j+j_{z}}{2 j}} Y_{l_{p}, j_{z}-1 / 2}(\omega)}{\sqrt{\frac{j-j_{z}}{2 j}} Y_{l_{p}, j_{z}+1 / 2}} ; \quad l_{p}=j-\frac{1}{2}, \\
& \Omega_{j l_{p} j_{z}}(\omega)=\binom{-\sqrt{\frac{j-j_{z}+1}{2 j+2}} Y_{l_{p}, j_{z}-1 / 2}(\omega)}{\sqrt{\frac{j+j_{z}+1}{2 j+2}} Y_{l_{p}, j_{z}+1 / 2}(\omega)} ; \quad l_{p}=j+\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega$ represents the polar and azimuthal angles $(\theta, \varphi)$ of the momentum $p$ with respect to the quantization axis, $I_{p}=j \pm 1 / 2$ and $\lambda_{p}=2 j-I_{p}$ (I $I_{p}$ defines parity).

New representation is convenient for general discussion about role of OAM. The rest frame of the composite system is a starting reference frame.
P. Z. Phys. Rev. D 89, 014012 (2014)

## Spinor spherical harmonics $\left|\boldsymbol{j}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{z}}\right\rangle$

$\square$ SSH represent solutions of the free Dirac equation, which reflects the known QM rule:
In relativistic case spin and OAM are not decoupled (separately conserved), but only sums $j$ and $j_{z}=s_{z}+I_{z}$ are conserved.
$\square$ However, one can always calculate the mean values of corresponding operators:

$$
s_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{z} & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{z}
\end{array}\right), \quad l_{z}=-i\left(p_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{y}}-p_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{x}}\right)
$$

result:

$$
\left\langle s_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}=\frac{1+(2 j+1) \mu}{4 j(j+1)} j_{z}, \quad\left\langle l_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}=\left(1-\frac{1+(2 j+1) \mu}{4 j(j+1)}\right) j_{z},
$$

where $\mu=m / \varepsilon$.

## Non-relativistic limit ( $\mu=1$ ):

$$
\left\langle s_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}=\frac{j_{z}}{2 j}, \quad\left\langle l_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{2 j}\right) j_{z}
$$

$$
I_{p}=j-1 / 2
$$

## Relativistic case $(\mu \rightarrow 0)$ :

$$
\left\langle s_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}=\frac{j_{z}}{4 j(j+1)}, \quad\left\langle l_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{4 j(j+1)}\right) j_{z}
$$


... and for $\boldsymbol{j = 1 / 2}$ :

$$
\left|\left\langle s_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}\right|=\frac{1}{6} \quad \frac{\left\langle s_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}}{\left\langle l_{z}\right\rangle_{j, j_{z}}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Remark:
The ratio $\mu=m / \varepsilon$ plays a crucial role, since it controls a "contraction" of the spin component which is compensated by the OAM. It is an QM effect of relativistic kinematics.

In other words, lower component can play an important role!
cf. Bo-Qiang Ma, DSPIN2015 talk

## Many-fermion states

Composition of one-particle states (SSH) representing composed particle with spin $J=J_{z}=1 / 2$ :

$$
\left|\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots j_{n}\right)_{c} J, J_{z}\right\rangle=\sum_{j_{z 1}=-j_{1}}^{j_{1}} \sum_{j_{z 2}=-j_{2}}^{j_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{z n}=-j_{n}}^{j_{n}} c_{j}\left|j_{1}, j_{z 1}\right\rangle\left|j_{2}, j_{z 2}\right\rangle \ldots\left|j_{n}, j_{z n}\right\rangle
$$

where $c_{j}$ 's consist of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients:

$$
c_{j}=\left\langle j_{1}, j_{z 1}, j_{2}, j_{z 2} \mid J_{3}, J_{3 z}\right\rangle\left\langle J_{3}, J_{z 3}, j_{3}, j_{z 3} \mid J_{4}, J_{z 4}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle J_{n}, J_{z n}, j_{n}, j_{z n} \mid J, J_{z}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle_{c, 1 / 2,1 / 2}=\left\langle s_{z 1}+s_{z 2}+\ldots+s_{z n}\right\rangle_{c}, \quad\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}\right\rangle_{c, 1 / 2,1 / 2}=\left\langle l_{z 1}+l_{z 2}+\ldots+l_{z n}\right\rangle_{c} \\
\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle_{c, 1 / 2,1 / 2}+\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}\right\rangle_{c, 1 / 2,1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
|(s)| \leq \frac{1}{6}
$$

$$
\frac{\left|\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle\right|}{\left|\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}\right\rangle\right|} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
J_{z}=\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
\text { for } \mu \rightarrow 0
$$

## Spin structure functions: explicit form

For $Q^{2} \gg 4 M^{2} x^{2}$ we get (in terms of rest frame variables)

$$
x=Q^{2} / 2 P q
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\mathrm{u}(\epsilon)\left(p_{1}+m+\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{\epsilon+m}\right)+\mathrm{v}(\epsilon)\left(p_{1}-m+\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{\epsilon-m}\right)\right) \delta\left(\frac{\epsilon+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{\epsilon}, \\
& g_{2}(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\mathrm{u}(\epsilon)\left(p_{1}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}-p_{T}^{2} / 2}{\epsilon+m}\right)+\mathrm{v}(\epsilon)\left(p_{1}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}-p_{T}^{2} / 2}{\epsilon-m}\right)\right) \delta\left(\frac{\epsilon+p_{1}}{M}-x\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u, v$ are functions related to the polarization tenzor, which is defined by the initial state $\Psi_{1 / 2}$
This result is exact for SFs generated by (free) many-fermion state $\mathbf{J = 1 / 2}$ represented by the spin spherical harmonics. For given state $\Psi_{1 / 2}$ we have checked calculation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\Psi_{1 / 2}\right| \mathbb{S}_{z}\left|\Psi_{1 / 2}\right\rangle=\left\langle s_{z 1}+s_{z 2}+\ldots+s_{z n}\right\rangle \\
\Gamma_{1} & =\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

give equivalent results!

## Proton spin structure

The SSH formalism can be used for proton description in conditions of DIS. We assume:
$\square$ The proton state can be at each $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ represented by a superposition of Fock states:

$$
\Psi=\sum_{q, g} a_{q g}\left|\varphi_{1}, \ldots \varphi_{n_{q}}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{1}, \ldots \psi_{n_{g}}\right\rangle
$$

$\square$ In a first step we ignore possible contribution of gluons, then:

$$
\Psi=\sum_{q} a_{q}\left|\varphi_{1}, \ldots \varphi_{n_{q}}\right\rangle
$$

where the quark states $\left|\varphi_{1}, \ldots \varphi_{n_{q}}\right\rangle$ are represented by eigenstates:

$$
J=J_{z}=\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}
$$

## Proton spin content

We have shown the system J=1/2 composed of (quasi) free fermions $\mu \rightarrow 0$ satisfies:

$$
\underbrace{\left|\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{1}{6},}_{\text {(or the same in terms of } \mathrm{r}_{1} \text { ) }}
$$

Reduced spin is compensated by OAM $\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}$
and equality takes place for a simplest configuration:

$$
\jmath_{1}=\jmath_{2}=\jmath_{3}=\ldots=\jmath_{n_{q}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

If we change notation

$$
\left|\left\langle S_{z}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{1}{6},
$$

## $\Delta \Sigma \lesssim 1 / 3$

this result is well compatible with the data (cf. experiments [30-32]):

$$
\Delta \Sigma=0.32 \pm 0.03(\text { stat. })
$$

[30] M. G. Alekseev et al. [COMPASS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 693, 227 (2010)].
[31] V. Y. Alexakhin et al. [COMPASS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 647, 8 (2007) .
[32] A. Airapetian et al. [HERMES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 75, 012007 (2007).
[33] C. Adolph et al. [COMPASS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 718, 922 (2013) .
[34] A. Airapetian et al. [HERMES Collaboration], JHEP 1008, 130 (2010) .

## Comment:

## Until now we assumed:

$\square$ Proton spin $\mathrm{S}=1 / 2$ is generated only by quarks $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}$
$\square$ During DIS the quarks can be considered (quasi)free in any ref. frame, $\Delta \tau \ll \Delta \tau_{Q C D}$. DIS is mediated by one photon exchange.
$\square$ In the proton rest frame the quarks are relativistic, $\mu=m / \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

## Then:

$$
\left|\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle\right|=\frac{1}{6} \quad \frac{\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

## Role of gluons in proton spin

$\square$ Until now we assumed the simplest scenario: $\mu=m / \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}}=0$, which gave $\Delta \Sigma \approx 1 / 3$. This complies with the data very well, for both, quarks and gluons.
$\square$ However, the recent data from RHIC may suggest $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}}>0$. Such value does not contradict our approach. If one admits also $\mu=m / \varepsilon>0$, then instead of

$$
\left|\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle\right|=\frac{1}{6} \quad \frac{\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

we have

$$
\left|\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle\right|=\frac{1+2 \tilde{\mu}}{6} \quad \frac{\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle}=\frac{1+2 \tilde{\mu}}{2-2 \tilde{\mu}} \quad J^{q}=\left\langle\mathbb{S}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathbb{L}_{z}^{q}\right\rangle \quad \tilde{\mu}=\left\langle\frac{m}{\epsilon}\right\rangle
$$

At the same time:

$$
\frac{1}{2}=J^{q}+J^{g} \quad \Delta \Sigma=\frac{1}{3}\left(1-2 J^{g}\right)(1+2 \tilde{\mu})
$$

for details see P.Z. Phys. Lett. B 751, 525 (2015).

## SPIN OF THE PARTICLE IN ITS SCALE DEPENDENT PICTURE

## Two questions:

$\square$ How much do the virtual particles surrounding bare particle contribute to the spin of corresponding real, dressed particle?

- How much do the virtual particles mediating binding of the constituents of a composite particle contribute to its spin?


The electron, as a Dirac particle, in its rest frame has AM defined by its spin, $s=1 / 2$. This value is the same for the dressed electron (as proved experimentally) and for the bare one (as defined by the QED Lagrangian). So, can the AM contribution of virtual cloud $\mathrm{J}^{\mathbf{y}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$ differ from zero and how much?

For similarly motivated studies see:
Bo-Qiang Ma; talk for DSPIN-15
Tianbo Liu, Bo-Qiang Ma; Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) 017501
S. J. Brodsky, Dae Sung Hwang, Bo-Qiang Ma, I. Schmidt ; Nucl. Phys. B 593 (2001) 311-335 Matthias Burkardt and Hikmat BC; Phys.Rev. D79 (2009) 071501(R)
Xinyu Zhang, Bo-Qiang Ma; Phys.Rev. D85 (2012) 114048
A. Bacchetta, L. Mantovani and B. Pasquini, Phys.Rev. D93 (2016) 013005

Semiclassical calculation of stationary electromagnetic field in the frame defined by spinor spherical harmonic:

$$
\Phi_{j l_{p} j_{z}}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon}}\binom{\sqrt{\epsilon+m} R_{k l_{p}} \Omega_{j l_{p} j_{z}}(\omega)}{-\sqrt{\epsilon-m} R_{k \lambda_{p}} \Omega_{j \lambda_{p} j_{z}}(\omega)}
$$

Our reference frame is the rest frame of the composite system of these states.

$$
I_{\mu}=\left(I_{0}, \mathbf{I}\right)=\Phi_{j l_{p} j_{z}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \gamma^{0} \gamma_{\mu} \Phi_{j l_{p} j_{z}}(\mathbf{r})
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})=\int I_{0}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{3 / 2}} d^{3} \mathbf{r}^{\prime}
$$

$$
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})=\int \mathbf{I}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \times \frac{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{3 / 2}} d^{3} \mathbf{r}^{\prime}
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}^{\gamma}=\int \mathbf{r} \times(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) d^{3} \mathbf{r} \quad \square \mathbf{J}^{\gamma}=0
$$

This result represents a mean value, which is not influenced by the fluctuations generated by single $\gamma$.


## Summary

## Covariant approach:

$\square$ Constrains on LI \& RS are crucial!
$\square$ TMDs: relations, calculation, predictions, QCD evolution, nuclear TMDs
$\square$ Interplay of spin \& OAM, role of gluons...
$\square$ Agreement with the data, particularly as for
$\Delta \Sigma_{r}$ is a strong argument for this approach

# Thank you for your attention! 

## Backup slides

## $F_{1}, F_{2}$ - EXACT AND MANIFESTLY COVARIANT FORM:

$$
F_{1}(x)=\frac{M}{2}\left(\frac{B}{\gamma}-A\right), \quad F_{2}(x)=\frac{P q}{2 M \gamma}\left(\frac{3 B}{\gamma}-A\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\frac{1}{P q} \int G\left(\frac{P p}{M}\right)\left[m^{2}-p q\right] \delta\left(\frac{p q}{P q}-x_{B}\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}}, \\
B=\frac{1}{P q} \int G\left(\frac{p P}{M}\right)\left[\left(\frac{P p}{M}\right)^{2}+\frac{(P p)(P q)}{M^{2}}-\frac{p q}{2}\right] \delta\left(\frac{p q}{P q}-x_{B}\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}}, \\
\gamma=1-\left(\frac{P q}{M q}\right)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

conventional collinear approach: $p_{\mu} \rightarrow x P_{\mu}$

## ... SIMILARLY FOR $G_{1}, G_{2}$ :

$$
g_{1}=P q\left(G_{S}-\frac{P q}{q S} G_{P}\right), \quad g_{2}=\frac{(P q)^{2}}{q S} G_{P}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{P}= & \frac{m}{2 P q} \int \Delta G\left(\frac{p P}{M}\right)\left[\frac{p S}{p P+m M} 1+\frac{1}{m M}\left(p P-\frac{p u}{q u} P q\right)\right] \\
& \times \delta\left(\frac{p q}{P q}-x_{B}\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}} \\
G_{S}= & \frac{m}{2 P q} \int \Delta G\left(\frac{p P}{M}\right)\left[1+\frac{p S}{p P+m M} \frac{M}{m}\left(p S-\frac{p u}{q u} q S\right)\right] \\
& \times \delta\left(\frac{p q}{P q}-x_{B}\right) \frac{d^{3} p}{p_{0}} \\
& u=q+(q S) S-\frac{(P q)}{M^{2}} P
\end{aligned}
$$

