

Risultati preliminari della presa dati in cosmici con filtro ottico + WLS + sorgente ^{60}Co

R. de Sangro, G. Finocchiaro, M. Piccolo

ECL Italia, 24 maggio 2016

Database of collected runs

- We collected a set of data in several experimental conditions
 - In each condition, we collected data w/o and w/ ^{60}Co source
 - Typical trigger rate ~ 0.03 Hz $\Rightarrow$ ~ 2500 events/day
 - Typical daytime temperature $\sim 25^\circ\text{C}$. Unfortunately, temperature is not recorded

Database of collected runs

RUN	Start	Events	Note
318	4/29/2016	7591	Csl(TI) + 2 pindiode. No Filter on Csl
319	5/2/2016	7746	Come 318, con sorgente. No Filter on Csl
320	5/4/2016	4578	Csl(TI) + 2 APD (CR110)
321	5/6/2016	9306	come 320, con sorgente
322	5/9/2016	2998	con +5 volt su tutti gli APD
323	5/10/2016	5474	come 322, con sorgente
324	5/12/2016	3260	Csl: Filtro 11S + WLS
325	5/13/2016	8092	come 324, con sorgente
326	5/15/2016	5223	Csl: Filtro 11S + WLS con HV+5V su APD
327	5/16/2016	3338	come 326, con sorgente
328	5/17/2016	3366	Csl: Filtro 5S + WLS - equalizzati guadagni APD
329	5/18/2016	7619	come 329 con sorgente

Preliminary results

- I will show *preliminary* results for the sum of the two APDs, after normalisation to correct for a relative ~25% difference in the response between the two.
- Apply a CR-RC⁴ filter with $\tau=45\text{ns}$
 - use Novosibirsk function to fit noise distribution
 - compilation of results with different values of τ will follow
 - fancy plots will follow
 - results with APDs on CsI(Tl) crystal will follow

No filter, no WLS

No source

amplitude= 3.23 ± 0.02 mV ENE= 1.79 ± 0.04 MeV reso= $[8.9 \text{ (} 6.6 \text{)}^{(*)} \pm 0.2]$ %

source

amplitude= 3.01 ± 0.02 mV ENE= 1.92 ± 0.05 MeV reso= $[9.1 \text{ (} 6.4 \text{)}^{(*)} \pm 0.2]$ %

(*) Here and in the following pages, the value of relative resolution @30 MeV in parenthesis is the stochastic fluctuation after subtraction of ENE contribution

No filter, no WLS (II)

No source

amplitude= 3.29 ± 0.02 mV ENE= 1.79 ± 0.05 MeV reso= [8.1 (5.5) ± 0.2] %

source

amplitude= 2.85 ± 0.01 mV ENE= 2.16 ± 0.04 MeV reso= [9.2 (5.7) ± 0.2] %

Useful to assess stability of experimental conditions

No filter, no WLS. Increase APD gain (+5V)

No source

amplitude= 3.97 ± 0.04 mV ENE= 1.42 ± 0.05 MeV reso= [8.2 (6.7) ± 0.4] %

source

amplitude= 3.58 ± 0.02 mV ENE= 1.74 ± 0.04 MeV reso= [8.2 (5.8) ± 0.3] %

UV1 1s filter, NOL9 WLS

No source

amplitude= 2.29 ± 0.02 mV ENE= 2.70 ± 0.11 MeV reso= $[12.5 (8.7) \pm 0.5]$ %

source

amplitude= 2.30 ± 0.01 mV ENE= 2.87 ± 0.05 MeV reso= $[12.6 (8.8) \pm 0.3]$ %

UV1 1s filter, NOL9 WLS. Increase APD gain (+5V)

No source

amplitude= 3.06 ± 0.02 mV ENE= 2.27 ± 0.07 MeV reso= [11.4 (8.5) ± 0.4] %

source

amplitude= 3.07 ± 0.03 mV ENE= 2.30 ± 0.08 MeV reso= [10.7 (7.4) ± 0.4] %

UV5s filter, NOL9 WLS

No source

amplitude= 2.64 ± 0.02 mV ENE= 2.08 ± 0.07 MeV reso= $[10.2 (7.4) \pm 0.5]$ %

source

amplitude= 2.40 ± 0.01 mV ENE= 2.16 ± 0.05 MeV reso= $[11.1 (8.4) \pm 0.3]$ %

Summary & outlook

- With filter + WLS
 - signal decreases by ~35% (compare ~70% with filter only)
 - As expected, ENE is much less affected by background wit
 - Conversely, statistical fluctuations increase by 55% with 11S filter, by 35% with 5S filter
- I think we collected all the data we need, as discussed in previous meetings
- compilation of results with different values of tau will follow
- fancy plots will follow
- results with APDs on CsI(Tl) crystal will follow