UCSF Medical Center

UCSF Benioff Children's Hospitals

Neutron yield from 113 and 256 MeV proton beams on thick targets

José Ramos-Méndez.

Department of Radiation Oncology UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center

Outline

- Motivation
- Geometry and Physics list
- Neutron yields
- Conclusions

UCSF Medical Center

Motivation

- Stray radiation deposit dose far away the treatment target (~80% of the effective dose from stray radiation).
- Internal secondary neutron also contribute to the dose (~20% of the effective dose from stray radiation)
- Secondary neutrons show a high relative biological effectiveness.
 For carcinogenesis may be ~25%.

- In proton therapy, neutrons are created initially at the treatment nozzle and at the patient by nonelastic nuclear interactions starting from ~20 MeV.
- Thus, we evaluated the neutron yield from thick targets made of Aluminum, Carbon and Iron for proton energies within the therapeutic range.

UCSF Medical Center

Brenner and Hall "Secondary neutrons in clinical proton therapy: a charged issue", Radiother Oncol, 86(2) 165-170, 2008.

^{JC}SF Benioff Children's Hospitals

Reference data



- 1. Meier, *et. Al. "*Differential neutron production cross sections and neutron yields from stopping-length targets for 113-MeV protons" Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol.102, p.310 (1989)
- 2. Meier, *et. Al.* "Neutron yields from stopping-length targets for 256-MeV protons" Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol.104, p.339 (1990)
- 3. www-nds.iaea.org

UCSF Medical Center

Geometry and physics list

Modular physics list (for proton dose calculations)

G4EmStandardPhysics_option4 G4HadronPhysicsQGSP_BIC_HP G4IonBinaryCascadePhysics G4HadronElasticPhysicsHP G4StoppingPhysics G4RadioactiveDecayPhysics

Material	Radius (cm)		Thickness (cm)		Density
	113 MeV	256 MeV	113 MeV	256 MeV	(g/cm ³)
Aluminum	3.65	8.0	4.0	20.0	2.699
Carbon	3.65	8.0	5.83	30.0	1.867
Iron	3.65	8.0	1.57	8.0	7.867



- 1. Zacharatou J and Paganetti H, "Physics Settings for Using the Geant4 Toolkit in Proton Therapy" *IEEE Trans Nucl Science 55(3)* 1018-1025 (2008)
- Testa M *et Al.* "Experimental validation of the TOPAS Monte Carlo system for passive scattering proton therapy." *Med Phys* 40(12) 121719 (2013)
- Faddegon *et Al.* "Experimental depth dose curves of a 67.5 MeV proton beam for benchmarking and validation of Monte Carlo simulation." 42(7) 4199-4210 (2015)

Neutron yields: 113 MeV



Geant4 v.10.2.p01

Geant4 v.10.3.b01

Yields are scaled by factors of 1,

10, 100, 1000 for 150°,60°, 30°

and 7.5°, respectively

UCSF Medical Center

Neutron yield from proton beams

Neutron yields: 256 MeV



Geant4 v.10.2.p01

Geant4 v.10.3.b01

Yields are scaled by factors of 1,

10, 100, 1000 for 150°,60°, 30°

and 7.5°, respectively

UCSF Medical Center

Neutron yield from proton beams

Integrated neutron yields



UCSF Medical Center

Neutron yield from proton beams

Integrated neutron yields



Methodology from Pelliccioni, M. Overview of Fluence-to-Effective Dose and Fluence-to-Ambient Dose Equivalent Conversion Coefficients for High Energy Radiation Calculated Using the FLUKA Code, Radiat. Prot. Dosim. 88(4), 279-297 (2000)

$$H(10) = \sum_{i} c(E_i) \cdot \phi(E_i)$$
$$H\left(E, \theta, \frac{r}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{H_1(E, \theta)}{r^2} \exp\left[-\frac{d}{\lambda_1(\theta)g(\alpha)}\right]$$
$$+ \frac{H_2(E, \theta)}{r^2} \exp\left[-\frac{d}{\lambda_2(\theta)g(\alpha)}\right]$$

Source terms and attenuation lengths (shielding parameters)



Integrated neutron yields



Data from literature (MCNPX and FLUKA based calculations):

- Sheu et. Al. Deep-penetration calculations in concrete and iron for shielding of proton therapy accelerators. Nucl. Inst. and Meth. Phys. Res. B, 280, 20-17 (2012)
- S. Agosteo, et. Al. Shielding data for 100–250 MeV proton accelerators: double differential neutron distributions and attenuation in concrete, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 266 (2007) 581–598.
- S. Agosteo, M. Magistris, M. Silari, Z. Zajacova, Shielding data for 100–250 MeV proton accelerators: attenuation of secondary radiation in thick iron and concrete/iron shields, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 266 (2008) 3406–3416.



Conclusions

- In general, an improvement in the accuracy of integrated total yields was obtained for 113 MeV with the current beta version for all target materials and all angles, compared with v.10.2.p01.
- In the other hand, the 256 MeV energy beams showed lost of accuracy only for 60° and 150° for aluminum and carbon materials.
- Source terms and attenuation parameters can be used for comparison between codes due to the lack of experimental data at these proton energies