

Low-energy neutrons in poly- and single-crystal

Xiao Xiao Cai, ESS & DTU
(xcai@dtu.dk)

Thomas Kittelmann, ESS
(thomas.kittelmann@esss.dk)

15th Sep 2016
Geant4 collaboration meeting



DTU Nutech
Center for Nuclear Technologies

brightness





Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- Neutron nuclear scatterings in crystal
- Validation

Neutron scattering

Neutron scattering frontier entering new era:
From reactor- to accelerator-based (spallation)





Many experimental challenges:

- high rates
- increased high-energy contamination
- He3 shortage

=> simulations a very important tool

Multitude of instruments (beamlines) at each facility, serving many different users

Instruments and components



Beam guides



Choppers




Monochromator (single crystals)



Filter (single- or poly-crystals)




User sample (anything, like crystals)



Detectors (polycrystalline support materials)

State of instruments simulations:
- Using dedicated codes (usually *McStas*)



- Shielding/source in MCNP, occasionally G4 or FLUKA. We use G4 for detectors.


Disclaimer: images merely representative, not actually from the instruments above

Motivation of our “NCrystal”

- Motivation: extend scope of Geant4 to also include neutron-crystal physics for neutron instruments.
 - Would allow first full-instrument simulation with consistent treatment of both low-energy neutrons and other particles within a single application.
 - Personal views: With its powerful physics models and geometry capabilities, C++ and open source, there is really no other clear candidate for such an application than Geant4. Especially with existing work on HP and cascade models.
- Neutron instruments are complex:
 - Important to cross-validate our work against existing components in de facto standard applications like McStas...
 - ... and to make our work available to users of such applications, for feedback and validation.

Coherent neutron scattering in crystals

limiting case (zero phonon scattering): Bragg diffraction




Bragg condition requires compatible values of:

- Neutron wavelength
- Incidence angle
- interplaner spacing ("d-spacing")

Can not be satisfied when $\lambda > 2d$

Inelastic scattering (single or multiple phonon scattering)



MD simulation of atoms in aluminium


Scattering depends on the structural and dynamical properties and incident neutron wavevector

Free gas model can not hold true for slow neutrons


Motion can be described by phonon

Features of single- and poly-crystals


- In a poly-crystal, there will always be a grain oriented so that the Bragg condition can be satisfied \Rightarrow Scatterings can happen for all planes with $\lambda < 2d \Rightarrow$ Debye-Scherrer cones:



- Real-world single crystals actually also contain grains, but they are almost coaligned. The degree of their misalignment is quantified by a parameter denoted “mosaicity”.




Poly-crystal in Geant4 with NCrystal




Non-trivial impact of crystal planes can be clearly seen. Cut-offs happen at $\lambda=2d$.


For now using simple semi-empirical parameterisation of inelastic component (doi 10.1016/j.cpc.2014.11.009). Will discuss inelastic component later in the talk.




Neutron wavelength cheat-sheet:
0.286 Å ~ 1 eV, 1 Å ~ 0.082 eV, 2 Å ~ 0.020 eV, 6 Å ~ 0.002 eV




Poly-crystal in Geant4 with NCrystal



G4 simulation of monochromatic neutron on PC sphere results in clear Debye-Scherrer cones



neutrons in green,
gammas in yellow




Single-crystal in Geant4 with NCrystal


1.886Å neutron in 3cm slab of 0.2° mosaicity Ge, aligned to fulfill Bragg cond. for the 511 plane, with scatter angle $2\theta_{\text{Bragg}} = 120^\circ$

Bragg diffraction in SC results in zig-zag walk:


- Nscat even: (almost) no change in direction
- Nscat odd: (almost) same change as Nscat=1



- The propagation of neutrons satisfying the Bragg condition in single crystals can be described by the Darwin equations [C. Darwin, Phil. Mag., 43, 1922]. The exact solution for the reflectivity in the elementary form is only known for the case of slab geometry. Testing with high statistics, NCrystal reproduces this solution.




Simulating single-crystal cylinder



Cylinder is one of the few solved shapes, for which a theoretical “rocking curve” prediction exists (Hu, 2003)



Rotating cylinder around it's axis and recording intensity at detector plane (yellow) yields the rocking curve.

With flexible geometry features of G4, it should now become easy to simulate single-crystals of almost any shape.



Simulating SC cylinder : results

Very good agreement with Hu, 2003:



Si 111, $\theta_B=10^\circ$, $\lambda=1.0965\text{\AA}$.
 The reduced crystal radius, ξ ,
 is the product of the radius and
 the maximum Bragg
 macroscopic cross section.



On purpose mosaicities used
 here are abnormally high. This was
 done to stress-test our code.

Case	ξ	Mosaicity (')	Radius (mm)
1	0.2	19.10	37.76
2	0.5	47.75	94.41
3	1.0	95.50	188.8

NB: Only hkl=111 and -1-1-1
 included, to match limitation
 of theoretical prediction.

Simulating SC in CMC assembly

(CMC=collimator-monochromator-collimator)







Single-crystal Ge,
aligned for 511 reflection

*NB: A similar setup
is used at ESS test
Beamline at IFE, Norway*

- CMC assembly in a neutron instrument delivers monochromatized beam with finite deviations of wavelength and angle - controlled by the collimator divergence and monochromator mosaicity.
- The G4 NCrystal simulated beam characteristics are compared with the simple analytical model in L.D. Cussen, 2000. The analytical model approximates the rectangular divergence of the collimators by Gaussian functions.

$$P(\Delta k_i, \gamma_1) = \exp(-4 \ln 2 \left[\left(\frac{2 \frac{\Delta k_i}{k_i} \tan \theta + \gamma_1}{a_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\frac{\Delta k_i}{k_i} \tan \theta + \gamma_1}{\eta} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{a_1} \right)^2 \right]) \quad [3]$$

Simulating CMC assembly : results



- Shown: outgoing beam as a result of an incoming white beam, for two different assemblies: 10'-20'-10' and 10'-20'-40'

Collimator divergence

Monochromator mosaicity

Despite approximations in analytical model, curves show very good agreement!

Simulation of a typical neutron powder diffractometer : PUS@IFE






FIGURE 1 A schematic drawing of PUS.





- The PUS instrument [B.C. Hauback, J Neutron Res, 2000] of the JEEP II reactor in IFE, Norway is simulated. Instrument parameters are shown in the table below.
- Simulated components include the CMS assembly, the shielding between the monochromator and the sample, the sapphire powder calibration sampling.

TABLE I Characteristics of the PUS instrument

Take-off angle monochromator range	55–98°
Monochromator reflections	($h11$), $h = 3, 5, 7$
Wavelength-range	0.75–2.6 Å
α_1 -collimation	15', 30' or open (60')
No. of detector units	2
Linear resolution detectors	3–4 mm
Sample–detector distance	1585 mm
Angular range each detector	20°
Total 2θ -range	2–132°
Flux at sample position (no α_1 , $2\theta_{\text{monochr}} = 90^\circ$, Ge(511))	$1.5 \cdot 10^5$ neutrons $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
Maximal resolution $\Delta d/d$	$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Background (without sample)	3–4 counts per channel per hour




Simulation of PUS@IFE : results



- The instrument is routinely calibrated using Al₂O₃ sample. The calibration pattern was measured by Magnus H. Sørby in 2014.
- Very good general agreements in peak positions, intensities and widths!
- Slight remaining disagreements:
 - Slight disagreement in peak widths, likely explained by the missing simulation of detector resolution.
 - Simulation underestimates background level at small scattering angles, likely caused by missing realism in the current modelling of the inelastic component (see next slide).

Planned addition: Proper inelastic component

- Although Bragg component works and is validated, some applications also require a proper consistent modelling of the inelastic scattering component (we just use a simplistic empirical approximation for now).
- We already implemented and validated code for this, but not official part of NCrystal yet (needs some infrastructure developments and documentation).
- The new model simulates the inelastic scattering under incoherent approximation or using measured/per-calculated coherent kernels.




Scattered neutron momentum distribution when the energy transfer is 5.06meV.
Preliminary results for poly-crystal Al at 300K.

Outlook



- Document and publish NCrystal
- Work on inelastic component
- Work on integration into Geant4 upstream

Additional material


Crystal planes in a given lattice



Trajectories of Al, 0.6ps, 300K









Al 111 plane



Al 110 plane

Example from our groups work: Found unwanted bragg edges in from scattering in support material. Reproduced with NCrystal-enabled G4.






Hu et al. compared a layer coupling analytical model with experimental rocking curve. They observed overestimation the negative range of the delta theta, because of over simplified treatment of diffraction. They quantified the integral reflectivity over delta theta is 5% to 15% higher than their more detailed MC model in two cases that they studied. So therefore, it is expected that the analytical model overestimates the FWHM of the rocking curves.

in the case of Cu200, mosaic=11.77',R=200cm, t. Comparing with the model, our model shows faster decay rate towards negative infinity. Such observation is consistent with the behavior of Hu's analytical model.


D-spacing cut-off

- In principle a crystal contains an infinite number of decreasingly important planes → calculations use a cut-off on the planar d-spacing. Scattering cross-section will be underestimated (slightly) at wavelengths less than $2d_{\text{cutoff}}$
- Plan to replace cutoff with empirical x-sect curve at very low wavelengths.



Crystal basics


Crystal structure can be defined in terms of unit cell:




Defined by just a few parameters, Here in the NXS file format:

```
Al.nxs
space_group = 225
lattice_a = 4.049
lattice_b = 4.049
lattice_c = 4.049
lattice_alpha = 90
lattice_beta = 90
lattice_gamma = 90
debye_temp = 429.0
add_atom = Al 3.449 0.008 0.23 26.98 0.0 0.0 0.0
```

"Single-crystal" : crystal lattice is continuous and unbroken throughout the entire material:



"Poly-crystal" : material consists of microscopic randomly oriented crystalline grains



Activating NCrystal in Geant4

G4Mat pointer
or NIST name

Crystal unit-cell
definition

```
//In detector construction, create poly-crystalline materials by combining
//base G4Material with crystal structure in .nxs file:
G4Material * matpc = G4NCrystal::createNXSPolyCrystal("MyPCMat", basemat1, "Al_298K.nxs");

//Single crystals require orientation (in local coords of log vol) and mosaicity:
NCrystal::SCOrientation orient(mosaicity);
orient.setPrimaryDirection( 5, 1, 1, { 0., 0., 1. } );
orient.setSecondaryDirection( 2, 1, 1, { 0., 1., 0. } );
G4Material * matsc = G4NCrystal::createNXSSingleCrystal( "MySCMat", basemat2,
"Ge_298K.nxs", orient );

//In main programme:
G4RunManager* runManager = new G4RunManager;
// ...
runManager->Initialize();
G4NCrystal::install();
runManager->BeamOn(1000);
```

Direction of hkl-plane normals
in frame of G4 logical volume

Dynamically install in current physics.

At initialisation NCrystal loads the provided unit cell info and prepares list of hkl planes and associated structure factors, using embedded code from NXSlb/SgInfo libraries.