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## $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy at LNL



GASP 1992


CLARA 2004

## - 80\% nuclear physics research <br> - 50\% $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy <br> - Proton- and neutron-rich nuclei



2015-...


EUROBALL 1998


AGATA $2008 \rightarrow 2019$.


## The GALILEO - phase $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$



## Phase 1 - The present implementation

## $-25 \mathrm{HPGe}+\mathrm{AC}+\mathrm{NW}$



## GALILEO digital electronics



## Table of contents

# ■ Energy calibration [133Ba, 60Co, 152Eu] 

 - Relative efficiency calibration ■ $\gamma \gamma$ correlation matrix [152Eu] $\square$ Angular correlations [60Co]
## Energy Calibration



Non linearities (INL, DNL)

- Cross talk (integral and differential)

■ Gaussian Fit full energy peaks $\rightarrow$ centroids
■ Polynomial fit of the centroids positions
■ Check residues
■...


## (Some) calibration sources

Primordial radioisotopes that emit gammas

| ${ }^{232}$ Th series | $1.40 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\alpha, \beta^{-}, \gamma$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ series | $7.04 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\alpha, \beta^{-}, \gamma$ |
| ${ }^{235 \mathrm{U}}$ series | $4.47 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\alpha, \beta^{-}, \gamma$ |
| ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\alpha, \beta^{-}, \gamma$ |
| ${ }^{138} \mathrm{La}$ | $1.05 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\alpha, \beta^{-}, \gamma$ |
| ${ }^{176} \mathrm{Lu}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $\alpha, \beta^{-}, \gamma$ |

rich gamma spectrum
Rn daughters produce gammas above 200 keV
almost all gammas less than 220 keV
$511 \mathrm{keV}(11 \%), 1461 \mathrm{keV}$ (11\%)
1436 keV (70\%), 789 keV (30\%)
307 keV (100\%), 202 keV (100\%), 88 keV (100\%)

Some radioisotopes from fission events that emit gammas

| 54 Mn | 313 days | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | 834.8 keV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ | 5.27 yrs | $\beta$ | $1332.5 \mathrm{keV}, 1173.2 \mathrm{keV}$ |
| ${ }_{95 \mathrm{Zr}}$ | 64.02 days | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | $756.7 \mathrm{keV}, 724.2 \mathrm{keV}$ |
| ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Nb}$ | 34.97 days | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | 765.8 keV |
| ${ }^{131}$ | 8.040 days | $\beta^{-}, \gamma$ | 364.5 keV |
| ${ }^{134} \mathrm{Cs}$ | 2.05 yrs | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | $795.8 \mathrm{keV}, 604.6 \mathrm{keV}$ |
| ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ | 30.17 yrs | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | 661.6 keV |
| ${ }^{133} \mathrm{Ba}$ | 10.53 yrs | $\beta^{-}, \gamma, \mathrm{EC}$ | 356 keV , 81 keV , 303 keV |
| ${ }^{141} \mathrm{Ce}$ | 32.5 days | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | 145.4 keV |
| ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Ce}$ | 284.6 days | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | $133.5 \mathrm{keV}, 80.1 \mathrm{keV}$ |
| ${ }^{133} \mathrm{Ba}$ | 10.53 yrs | $\beta^{\beta}, \gamma, \mathrm{EC}$ | 356 keV , 81 keV , 303 keV |
| ${ }^{141} \mathrm{Ce}$ | 32.5 days | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | 145.4 keV |
| ${ }^{194} \mathrm{Ce}$ | 284.6 days | $\beta$ - $\gamma$ | 133.5 keV , 80.1 keV |

## Time alignment

Two different dets


Same but aligned


■ Time info is fundamental: background reduction, PSA..

- Good reference time (RF, dets with good timing). It depends on the available dets $\rightarrow$ 1ns down to 100ps
- Alignment


## Efficiency: measured / produced

■ Absolute Efficiency (INTR X GEOM): The ratio of the number of counts produced by the detector to the number of gamma rays emitted by the source (in all directions).

■ Geometrical efficiency: the ration between the solid angle subtended by the source and the total solid angle

■ Intrinsic Efficiency: The ratio of the number of pulses produced by the detector to the number of gamma rays striking the detector.

■ Relative Efficiency: HPGe detectors are almost universally specified in terms of their relative full-energy peak efficiency compared to that of a $3 \mathrm{in} . \times 3 \mathrm{in}$. $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{TI})$ Scintillation detector at a detector to source distance of 25 cm at 1.33 MeV..

■ Full-Energy Peak (or Photopeak) Efficiency: The efficiency for producing full-energy peak pulses only.

## Efficiency: consideration

## Problems

■Activity not reliable, Dead Time, Background ...

- Best way is to count the available events with an external detector in coincidence used to trigger the acquisition
■ Can use internal coincidences

Corrections:
$\square$ Multiple decays within the event time window
■ Angular correlation between the $2 \gamma$ transitions (.. ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ )

## Conventional technique to measure $\varepsilon$

1. Activity (A):

Peak integral (measured) $=\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{BR}_{\gamma} \times \varepsilon_{\text {int }} \varepsilon_{\text {gem }}$
2. Sum spectrum (singles):
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{A}\left(\varepsilon_{1 \mathrm{p}} \varepsilon_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right) / \mathrm{A}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)=\varepsilon_{1 \mathrm{p}} \varepsilon_{2 \mathrm{p}} / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v} 1} \varepsilon_{2 \mathrm{p}}=\varepsilon_{1 \mathrm{p}} / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v} 1}=\varepsilon_{1 \mathrm{p}} /\left(1-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V} 1} / \mathrm{PT}_{1}\right)$;
$\mathrm{PT}=\varepsilon_{1 \mathrm{p}} /\left(\varepsilon_{1 \mathrm{p}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ is the peak/total ratio, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V} 1}$ is the prob not to detect anything

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{1 \mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{R}_{1} /\left(1+\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{PT}_{1}\right) \\
& \varepsilon_{2 \mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{R}_{2} /\left(1+\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{PT}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Coincidences (int/ext) to count the total number of counts

## Efficiency curve

CLARA $\gamma$-ray spectrometer: Measured vs simulations


Galileo $\gamma$-ray spectrometer: simulations


A common model:

$$
e f f=\exp \left(((A+B * x+C * x * x) *(-G)+(D+E * y+F * y * y) *(-G)) *\left(-\frac{1}{G}\right)\right)
$$

$$
x=\ln \left(\frac{E G}{E 1}\right) \quad \text { E1~100keV }
$$

EG: gamma-ray energy

$$
y=\ln \left(\frac{E G}{E 2}\right)
$$

E2~1000keV

## ry matrices

| $\left(4^{+}\right)$ | 2.746 |
| :--- | :--- |

■ $\gamma \gamma$ promp coincidences
■ Promp delayed possible
$\rightarrow$ level scheme reconstruction




## Angular distribution (AD)



$$
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathbf{J}+\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

$$
\pi_{\mathrm{i}} \times \pi=\pi_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

Imagine the situation of a gamma ray that decays between two states, the initial one has a J ${ }^{\pi}$ value and the final one a $J^{\pi}$.

Excited levels seen as charge distribution that emit radiation of multipolarity $2^{\lambda}$

## Angular distribution (AD)

Intensity of the emitted photons depends on the intensity of the Poynting vector either $\sim|\mathbf{E}|^{2}$ or $\sim|\mathbf{H}|^{2}$

For a given combination of $\lambda, \mu$ the angular distributions are identical for $\mathbf{E}$ or $\mathbf{M}$ transitions. (recall properties of $X_{\lambda l m}$ ):

$$
Z_{\lambda \mu}(\theta, \varphi)=\left|X_{\lambda \lambda \mu}\right|^{2}
$$

1. Independent on azimuthal angle
2. Isotropic if $\mu$ takes equal weight
3. $Z_{\lambda \mu}(0)=0$
4. Symmetry with respect to $\pi$ rotation


## Linear polarization (P)



## Polarization depends on the character of the transition

Electric field polarized in the plane of dipole emission. Similarly of the magnetic field but out of the plane

For $\theta=\pi / 2$ the polarization can be measured at best.

## P, AD from oriented states

Orientation: excited states formed in nuclear reactions are in general oriented with respect to the direction of projectiles. The degree of orientation depends on the formation process.

Nuclear state: j, m: -j,..., j, P(m) [population parameter representation]

$$
a_{\lambda \mu}=\sum_{m_{i}}\left|<j_{i} m_{i} \lambda \mu\right| j_{f} m_{f}>\left.\right|^{2} P\left(m_{i}\right)
$$

$\gamma$-ray emission prob from a state with population parameter $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
$\gamma$-ray angular distribution:
$W(\theta)=\sum_{m_{i}, \mu}\left|<j_{i} m_{i} \lambda \mu\right| j_{f} m_{f}>\left.\right|^{2} P\left(m_{i}\right) Z_{\lambda \mu}(\theta, \varphi)$

Orientation can be experimentally obtained by a reaction, a magnetic field and a low temperature, or the observation of a gamma ray

## Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{\pi}=1^{+}, m=0 \text { decays to } \\
& J^{\pi}=0^{+}, m=0 \text { with a } \\
& \sin ^{2} \theta \text { distribution. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{\pi}=1^{+}, m= \pm 1 \text { decays to } \\
& J^{\pi}=0^{+}, m=0 \text { with a } \\
& 1 / 2\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \text { distribution. }
\end{aligned}
$$

So the total distribution: $1 / 2\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)+\sin ^{2} \theta+1 / 2\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)$

$$
=1+\cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta
$$

=2 ...flat, no ang dependence

## Example



Let's imagine we have two
$\gamma$-rays which follow immediately after each other in the level scheme.

If we measure $\gamma_{1}$ or $\gamma_{2}$ in singles then the distribution will be isotropic (same intensity at all angles)... there is no preferred direction of emission.

## Example

# Now imagine that we 

 measure $\gamma_{1}$ or $\gamma_{2}$ in coincidence. We say that measuring the $\gamma_{1}$ causes the intermediate state to be aligned. We define the $z$ direction as the direction of $\gamma_{1}$.The angular distribution of the emission of $\gamma_{2}$ then depends on the spin/parities of the states involved and on the multipolarity of the transition.

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{+} \longrightarrow \mathrm{J}^{\pi}=0^{+}, \mathrm{m}=0 \\
& 1^{+} \xlongequal\left[\{ ]{\downarrow} \gamma_{1} \quad \mathrm{~J}^{\pi}=1^{+}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~m}= \pm 1\right. \\
& 0^{+} \downarrow \gamma_{2} \mathrm{~J}^{\pi}=0^{+}, \mathrm{m}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma$-ray transitions have angular momentum 1, $\gamma_{1}$ can only populate the $m= \pm 1$ substates of the $J^{\pi}=1^{+}$state.
Hence for $\gamma_{2}$ we only see the $m= \pm 1$ to $m=0$ part of the distribution i.e we see that the intensity measured as a function of angle (w.r.t $\gamma_{2}$ ) follows a $1+\cos ^{2} \theta$ distribution.

## AGATA modules as Compton Polarimeters

Partially-polarized 555.8-keV and 433.9-keV lines $\mathrm{n}^{104} \mathrm{Pd}$ and ${ }^{108} \mathrm{Pd}$ [+unpolarized ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ source].


$$
\bar{\sigma}_{C}(\theta, \varphi)=\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{\prime}}{E_{\gamma}}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\gamma}^{\prime}}+\frac{E_{\gamma}^{\prime}}{E_{\gamma}}-\sin ^{2} \theta(1+P \cos 2 \varphi)\right]
$$

## GOSIA



Analyzing power: 0.48

P.G. Bizzeti et al., Eur.Phys.J. A51 (2015) no.4, 49

## AD and mixing ratio, ${ }^{64} \mathrm{Ge}$ (N=Z)

Angular Distribution 1665 keV



Si trovano due minimi del $\chi^{2}$, per $\delta=-0.089$ e per $\delta=-3.93$.
Il secodo minimo è piú profondo, ma entrambi i valori di $\delta$ sono compatibili coi risultati sperimentali.

## Polarization



Dipole Polarization (spin 5 to spin 4)


Asimmetria negativa $\mathrm{A}=-0.09$ (5).
Solo la combinazione E1/M2 per $\delta=-3.9$
è compatibile con la polarizzazione lineare osservata.
La transizione $5^{-} \rightarrow 4^{+}$è quasi tutta M2
e $B(E 1)=2.47 \times 10^{-7}$ W.u.

## Recap

# ■ Energy calibration [133Ba, 60Co, 152Eu] 

 - Relative efficiency calibration ■ $\gamma \gamma$ correlation matrix [152Eu] $\square$ Angular correlations [60Co]
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## Backup slides

## Noise and distortion: INL \& DNL

## Deviation between two analog values corresponding to adjacent input digital values.




$$
\text { DNL(i) }=\frac{V_{\text {out }}(i+1)-V_{\text {out }}(i)}{\text { ideal LSB step width }}-1
$$

## Crosstalk model

AC equivalent detector


## Ramo theoreme -

Extension
B. Pellegrini - Phys Rev B 34,8 (86)
p. 5921
E. Gatti et al - NIM 193 (82) p. 651

Crosstalk is intrinsic property of segmented detectors !




## Crosstalk parameters from singles

## Slope $=\delta^{*}$

A1 - spectrum single hits


## Crosstalk parameters from doubles

Correlation matrix between each pair of segment ij


Ideal system - no cross talk

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
E_{\text {core }} \\
\hdashline E_{\text {seg1 } 1} \\
E_{\text {seg } 2} \\
E_{\text {seg } 3}
\end{array}\right]_{\text {meas }}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
E_{\text {seg } 1} \\
E_{\text {seg } 2} \\
E_{\text {seg } 3}
\end{array}\right]_{\text {true }}
$$

Cross talk correction

## Multipole expansion

Potential from a charge distribution $\varrho\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} \sum_{l, m} \frac{1}{2 l+1}[\underbrace{\left.\int Y_{l m}^{*}\left(\theta^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right) r^{\prime} \varrho\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} x^{\prime}\right]}]
$$

$q_{l m}$ multipole moments: $q_{00}, q_{11}, q_{10}$ etc
Potential depends on the charge distribution

## em field

The emission of a photon is equivalent to generate an em wave that respects the Maxwell equation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla \cdot \vec{D}=\rho & \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{-i \omega t}+\boldsymbol{E}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{i \omega t}, \\
\nabla \cdot \vec{B}=0 & \boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{-i \omega t}+\boldsymbol{H}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{i \omega t} . \\
\nabla \times \vec{E}=-\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} & \text { Poynting vector } \\
\nabla \times \vec{H}=\vec{J}+\frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} & \boldsymbol{P}=\left(\frac{c}{4 \pi}\right) \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{H} .
\end{array}
$$

## em field angular momentum and parity

$\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{z}}$ operator (two components), infinitesimal rotation about the $z$ axis

$$
J_{z}=-i(\boldsymbol{r} \times \nabla)_{z}+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -i & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{\gamma}=1, \text { intrinsic spin of } \\
& \text { the photon }
\end{aligned}
$$

Angular momentum L $\qquad$ ,

Intrinsic spin S
Parity $\pi$ (opposite for M and E ):

$$
\pi(\boldsymbol{E}) \times(-1)=\pi_{i} \pi_{f},
$$

dipole

$$
\pi(\boldsymbol{H})=\pi_{i} \pi_{f} .
$$

general

Electric radiation(E $\lambda$ ):
$\pi_{i} \pi_{\mathrm{f}}=(-)^{\lambda}$
Magnetic radiation(M $\lambda$ ):

$$
\pi_{i} \pi_{\mathrm{f}}=(-)^{\lambda+1}
$$

## em field: intrinsic spin

$$
S=1 S_{z}=-1,0,1
$$

## eigenvalues

$\mathrm{h}=+1$
$\mathrm{h}=0$, non physical
$\mathrm{h}=-1$

## eigenfunctions

$$
\xi_{1}=N\left(e_{x}+i e_{y}\right)
$$

$$
\xi_{0}=e_{z}
$$

$$
\xi_{-1}=N\left(e_{x}-e_{y}\right)
$$

$$
E\left(r, t \xi_{1}\right)=\xi_{1} e^{-i w t}+\xi_{1}{ }^{*} e^{\text {iwt }} \sim e_{x} \cos (w t)+e_{y} \sin (w t): \text { elec. dip. }
$$

$\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t} ; \xi_{-1}\right) \sim$ magnetic dipole oscillation

## em field: total angular momentum

## $\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{S}$, total angular momentum

## eigenvalues

$$
\boldsymbol{L}^{2} Y_{l m}=l(l+1) Y_{l m},
$$

$$
L_{z} Y_{l m}=m Y_{l m}
$$

$$
J^{2} X_{\lambda l \mu}=\lambda(\lambda+1) X_{\lambda l \mu},
$$

$$
J_{z} X_{\lambda l \mu}=\mu X_{\lambda l \mu} .
$$

## eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{l m}(\theta, \varphi)=e^{i m \varphi} N_{l m} P_{l m}(\cos \theta), \\
X_{\lambda l m}=\sum_{m}<l \mu-m 1 m \mid \lambda \mu>Y_{l \mu-m} \xi_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\theta, \varphi$ angular coordinates, in a $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ s.o.r. $Y_{\text {Im }}$ spherical harmonics $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{lm}}$ normalization constants $P_{\text {Im }}$ spherical functions based on Legendre polynomials
$\xi$ eigenfunction of intrinsic spin

## em field angular momentum and parity

$$
\boldsymbol{E}_{\lambda \mu}(M \lambda)=-\boldsymbol{H}_{\lambda \mu}(E \lambda)=\boldsymbol{X}_{\lambda l \mu} .
$$

- X satisfies the transverse condition and has a ( -1$)^{\lambda}$ parity - Electric field in a magnetic



## Linear polarization (P)

Assume photon emitted along $x$ ( $z$ quantization axis), $\sigma=E, M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\lambda \mu}^{\|}(\sigma \lambda) & =\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{E}_{\lambda \mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{v} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{H}}_{\lambda \mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{H}_{\lambda \mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}} \\
P_{\lambda \mu}^{\perp}(\sigma \lambda) & =\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\lambda \mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{E}_{\lambda \mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\lambda \mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{H}_{\lambda \mu}(\sigma \lambda)\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Properties: $\quad P_{\lambda \mu}^{\|}(\sigma \lambda)+P_{\lambda \mu}^{\perp}(\sigma \lambda)=1$.

$$
P_{\lambda \mu}^{\|}(E \lambda)=P_{\lambda \mu}^{\perp}(M \lambda)=1-P_{\lambda \mu}^{\|}(M \lambda),
$$

eg dipole:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{10}^{\|}(M 1) & =P_{11}^{\|}(E 1)=0, \\
P_{10}^{\|}(E 1) & =P_{11}^{\|}(M 1)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general , if many $\mu$ components are present, the polarization is the weighted sum over each $\mathrm{P}_{\lambda \mu}$

## P, AD from oriented states

$\gamma$ multipolarity: $\left|j_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{f}}\right|<\mathrm{j}<\left|\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{f}}\right| \quad$ [Statistical tensor representation]
statistical tensor account for a different population:

$$
\rho_{k}(j)=\sqrt{2 j+1} \sum_{m}(-)^{j-m}<j m j-m \mid k 0>P(m)
$$

$\gamma$-ray angular distribution:

$$
W(\theta)=\sum_{k} A_{k}\left(j_{i} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} j_{f}\right) P_{k}(\cos \theta)
$$

$A_{k}$ depends on the statistical tensor and the mixing ratio $\delta, P_{k}$ Legendre polynomials
Mixing ratio:

$$
\delta=\frac{\left\langle j_{f}\left\|\lambda^{\prime}\right\| j_{i}\right\rangle}{\left\langle j_{f}\|\lambda\| j_{i}\right\rangle}
$$

Ratio between the transition matrix element for two multipolaries

While a an orientation of states ji is represented by $2 \mathrm{ji}+1$ population parameters, only few $\rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ suffice to determine the $A D$

## Angular correlation

## $\gamma$ cascade of 2 transitions, first randomly oriented

statistical tensor account for a different population:

$$
\rho_{k}(j)=\sqrt{2 j+1} \sum_{m}(-)^{j-m}<j m j-m \mid k 0>P(m)
$$

$\gamma$-ray angular distribution:

$$
W(\theta)=\sum_{k} A_{k}\left(j_{i} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} j_{f}\right) P_{k}(\cos \theta)
$$

$A_{k}$ depends on the statistical tensor and the mixing ratio $\delta, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$ Legendre polynomials
Mixing ratio:

$$
\delta=\frac{\left\langle j_{f}\left\|\lambda^{\prime}\right\| j_{i}\right\rangle}{\left\langle j_{f}\|\lambda\| j_{i}\right\rangle}
$$

Ratio between the transition matrix element for two multipolaries

While a an orientation of states ji is represented by $2 \mathrm{ji}+1$ population parameters, only few $\rho_{k}$ suffice to determine the AD

## Linear polarization from oriented states

$\gamma$ with fixed $\sigma, \lambda, \mu$ observed at $\theta: \pi / 2$ with respect to the orientation axis

$$
P^{\|}(\sigma \lambda)=N \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda \mu} Z_{\lambda \mu}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \underbrace{P_{A \mu}^{P_{\lambda, I}^{\|}(\sigma \lambda)}}_{\text {Emission prob }}
$$

For $\gamma$-ray angular distribution:

$$
\sum_{k} A_{k} P_{k}(\cos \theta)=\sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda \mu} Z_{\lambda \mu}(\theta)
$$

$a_{\lambda, \mu}$ can be expressed in terms of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}$. From where we can get the ratio between the parallel and orthogonal pol. Vectors. For $\lambda=1$ :

$$
\frac{P^{\|}(E 1)}{P^{\perp}(E 1)}=\frac{P^{\perp}(M 1)}{P^{\|}(M 1)}=\frac{1+A_{2}}{1-2 A_{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AD+POLARIZATION } \rightarrow \text { UNIQUE } \\
& \text { DETERMINATION OF SPIN, } \\
& \text { PARITIES, MULTIPOLARITIES }
\end{aligned}
$$

Experimentally the linear polarization are measured in the terms of Compton scattering, with a polarimeter of polarization sensitivity $\left(\mathrm{N}^{\| /} / \mathrm{N}^{\perp}\right)$

