



<ロト <部ト < Eト < Eト = E

900

# Bridging the gap: effective interactions from realistic nuclear hamiltonians

Omar Benhar

INFN and Department of Physics, "Sapienza" University I-00185 Roma, Italy

> Based on work done in collaboration with Alessandro Lovato and Angela Mecca

XV Conference on Theoretical Nuclear Physics in Italy Pisa, April 20-22, 2016

## OUTLINE

- The trouble with stongly correlated many-body systems
- \* Effective interactions derived from microscopic dynamics
- \* The CBF effective interaction
- \* The Fermi hard-sphere systemn as a testing ground
- Equilibrium and non-equilibrium properties of nuclear matter
- ★ Summary & Outlook

# STRONGLY CORRELATED MANY-BODY SYSTEMS

\* The presence of a strongly repulsive core is a prominent feature of the potentials describing the dynamics of a variety of quantum many-body systems, such as liquid helium and nuclear matter



 Perturbation theory in the basis of eigenstates of the non interacting system—Fermi gas in translationally invariant systems—is not doable

The matrix elements  $\langle m_{\rm FG} | V | n_{\rm FG} \rangle$  are large, or even divergent

# THE *ab initio* APPROACH

\* The matrix elements of the bare potential are modified by either renormalising the interaction or performing a transformation of the basis states

► G-matrix



Correlated Basis Functions (CBF)

$$|n_{\rm FG}\rangle \rightarrow |n\rangle = F|n_{\rm FG}\rangle$$
,  $F = S \prod_{j>i} f_{ij}$ 

\* Both procedures allow to carry out accurate calculations of the zero-temperature Equation of State (EoS) based on realistic microscopic hamiltonians

## THE EFFECTIVE INTERACTION APPROACH

★ In nuclear structure calculations, the *bare* potential is often replaced by an *efective* potential suitable for use in perturbation theory



- EoS of isospin-symmetric nuclear matter and pure neutron matter computed using different Skyrme- and Gogny-type effective interactions, compared to the results of the *ab initio* approach
- ★ Effective interactions, while being capable to provide a reasonable description of the EoS, lack a direct connection with the underlying nucleon-nucleon interactions

## THE CBF EFFECTIVE INTERACTION

 Using the cluster expansion techinque the expectation value of the Hamiltonian in the correlated ground state can be written in the form

$$\langle H \rangle = T_{\rm FG} + \sum_{n>2} \langle \Delta H \rangle_n$$

 Accurate variational estimates of the ground state energies can be obtained exploiting the FHNC summation scheme and its extensions. The shape of the correlation function is determined requiring

$$\frac{\delta \langle H \rangle}{\delta f_{ij}} = 0$$

\* The CBF effective interaction is defined through

- ★ The expectation value ⟨*H*⟩, appearing in the left hand side is computed using FHNC (or any alternative technique providing a precise evaluation of the ground state energy)
- \* The right hand side is expanded at low order of the cluster expansion. At two body level, this procedure yields

$$\mathbf{v}_{\text{eff}}(ij) = f_{ij}^{\dagger} \left[ -\frac{1}{m} (\nabla^2 f_{ij}) - \frac{2}{m} (\nabla f_{ij}) \cdot \nabla + \mathbf{v}_{ij} f_{ij} \right]$$

★ The correlation function is adjusted in such a way as to reproduce the value of  $\langle H \rangle$  appearing in the left-hand side

# CBF $v_{\rm eff}$ at SNM equilibrium density

\* Effective interaction obtained from the ANL  $v_6$  + UIX nuclear Hamiltonian including two- and three-body cluster terms



7 / 25

#### THE HARD-SPHERE MODEL

The Fermi hard-sphere model: point-like spin one-half particles

$$v(r) = \begin{cases} \infty & r < a \\ 0 & r > a \end{cases}$$

- Valuable model to study properties of nuclear matter.
- Purely repulsive potential to prevent the possibility of Cooper pairs formation.
- A simple many-body system to investigate the validity and robustness of the assumptions of CBF effective interaction approach.



#### DETERMINATION OF $v_{\text{eff}}$

For the hard-sphere system (HS)  $f(r \le a) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{r \to \infty} f(r) = 1$ 

$$v_{\rm eff}(r) = \frac{1}{m} \left[ \nabla f(r) \right]^2 , \quad r > a$$

We adjust the range of f(r) in order to reproduce the ground state energy (FHNC/DMC) at two-body cluster level.



9 / 25

#### THE GROUND-STATE ENERGY

$$E_0 = \frac{3k_F^2}{10m} \left(1 + \zeta\right)$$



- The accuracy of the variational results depends on the quality of the trial wave function.
- Long-range statistical correlations effects in f(r) much larger for  $\nu = 2$  than for  $\nu = 4$ .
- DMC overcomes the limitations of the variational approach by using a projection technique on the trial wave function.

## TWO-POINT GREEN'S FUNCTION

Dyson's equation

$$G(k, E) = G_0(k, E) + G_0(k, E)\Sigma(k, E)G(k, E)$$

Non interacting Green's function

$$G_0(k,E) = \frac{\theta(k-k_F)}{E - e_0(k) + i\eta} + \frac{\theta(k_F - k)}{E - e_0(k) - i\eta}$$

The irreducible (proper) self-energy  $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, E)$  (mass operator) takes into account the effect of interactions.

The spectrum is determined by the singularities of G(k, E)

$$G(k, E) = \frac{1}{E - e_0(k) - \Sigma(k, E)}$$

In perturbation theory

$$\Sigma(k, E) = \Sigma^{(1)}(k) + \Sigma^{(2)}(k, E) + \dots$$



#### THE ELEMENTARY EXCITATION SPECTRUM

- The self energy is responsible for shifting the pole of the Green's function.
- The new poles determine energy e(k) and the damping  $\Gamma_k$  of the quasiparticles state
- For small  $\Gamma_k$ , the propagation of quasiparticle states is described by

$$G(k,E) = \frac{Z_k}{E - e(k) + i\Gamma_k}$$

The energy of quasiparticle

$$e(k) = e_0(k) + \operatorname{Re}\Sigma[k, e(k)]$$

Quasiparticle lifetime

$$\tau_k^{-1} = \Gamma_k = Z_k \mathrm{Im}\Sigma[k, e(k)]$$

The residue of the Green's function

$$Z_{k} = \left[1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \operatorname{Re}\Sigma[k, E]\right]_{E=e(k)}^{-1}$$

QUASIPARTICLE SPECTRUM



 $\frac{de(k)}{dk} = \left[\frac{k}{m} + \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \operatorname{Re}\Sigma\left(k, E\right)\right] \left[1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \operatorname{Re}\Sigma\left(k, E\right)\right]_{E=e(k)}^{-1}$ 

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

## MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTION

 Momentum distribution describes the occupation probability of the quasiparticle state of momentum k (see Källén-Lehman representation of G(k, E))

$$G(k,E) = \int_0^\infty dE' \left[ \frac{P_p(k,E)}{E - E' - \mu + i\eta} + \frac{P_h(k,E)}{E + E' - \mu - i\eta} \right] , \ \mu = e(k_F)$$

In term of the quasiparticle (hole) spectral functions

$$n(k) = \int_0^\infty dEP_h(k, E) = 1 - \int_0^\infty dEP_p(k, E)$$

 Is related to one body Green's function through an integration in complex variable ω on an closed contour in upper half-plane (Imω > 0)

$$n(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} d\omega \, G(k,\omega)$$

<□ ト < 部 ト < 差 ト < 差 ト 差 の Q (~ 14/25)

#### MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTION

Exploiting Dyson's equation, n(k) can be determined through the knowledge of the self-energy  $\Sigma(k, E)$ , computed at the second order

The discontinuity at  $k = k_F$  is given by



イロトスポトメラトメラト・ラ

#### Momentum distribution $\nu = 4$

In comparison with non orthogonal CBF perturbation theory



S. Fantoni and V. R. Pandharipande, Nucl. Phys. A 427(1984)

Momentum distribution of HS

 $c \equiv k_F a = 0.55$ 

corresponds to n(k) of nuclear matter

$$\rho_{NM} = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$$
 $k_F = 1.33 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ 

Nucleons in nuclear matter  $\sim$  HS of radius  $a = 0.55/1.33 \sim 0.4$  fm.

Virtual scattering processes between strongly correlated particles are mainly driven by the short-range repulsive core of the nucleon-nucleon interaction.

## BOLTZMANN-LANDAU EQUATION

Shear viscosity  $\eta$  and thermal conductivity  $\kappa$  measure momentum and energy fluxes in response to a gradient of velocity and temperature.

Boltzmann equation for a Fermi liquid:

$$\frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} - \frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \cdot \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = I[n_{\mathbf{k}}]$$

- *n*<sub>k</sub> is the distribution function
- $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the energy of a quasiparticle carrying momentum  $\mathbf{k}$
- ► *I*[*n*<sub>k</sub>] is the collision integral, defined in terms of the scattering probability *W*

Taking into account small deviations from local equilibrium, transport coefficients determined from the collision integral  $I[n_k]$ .

## ABRIKOSOV-KHALATNIKOV SOLUTION

The lifetime

$$\tau = \frac{1}{T^2} \; \frac{8\pi^4}{m^{\star 3}} \; \frac{1}{\langle W \rangle}$$

The transport coefficients

$$\eta = \frac{16}{15} \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{k_F^5}{m^{\star 4}} \frac{1}{\langle W \rangle (1 - \lambda_\eta)} \ , \ \kappa = \frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\pi^2 k_F^3}{m^{\star 4}} \frac{1}{\langle W \rangle (3 - \lambda_\kappa)}$$

 $\tau,\eta,\kappa$  are expressed in terms of angular averages of W

$$\langle W \rangle \ , \ \lambda_{\eta} = \frac{\langle W[1 - 3\sin^4(\theta/2)\sin^2\phi] \rangle}{\langle W \rangle} \ , \ \lambda_{\kappa} = \frac{\langle W[1 + 2\cos\theta] \rangle}{\langle W \rangle}$$

The angular average is defined as

$$\langle f \rangle \equiv \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \frac{f(\theta, \phi)}{\cos \theta/2}$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

#### THE SCATTERING PROBABILITY

W is related to the scattering cross section

$$W(\theta,\phi) = \frac{16\pi^3}{m^2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)$$

- The AK formalism is derived in the frame in which the Fermi sphere is at rest (AK)
- $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$  expressed in the laboratory or in the center of mass reference frame
- the relative kinetic energy is the same  $E_{\rm cm} \forall$  frame

$$E_{\rm cm} = E_{\rm rel}^{AK} = \frac{k_F^2}{2m} (1 - \cos\theta)$$
$$\Theta_{\rm cm} = \phi$$

The in medium scattering probability has been computed within the Born approximation using  $v_{\rm eff}$ 

$$W(\theta, \phi) = \pi \left| \left[ \mathbf{k}_1', \mathbf{k}_2' | v_{\text{eff}} | \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \right] \right|^2$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > → 豆 -

## LIFETIME AND TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

The second order contributions lead to a sharp increase of  $m^*$ , which in turn implies a decrease of the shear viscosity coefficient  $\eta$  and the thermal conductivity  $\kappa$ .





□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ⊇ ▶ < ⊇ ▶ < ⊇ ▶ 20 / 25

## BACK TO NUCLEAR MATTER

- ★ In medium neutron-neutron cross section
- ★ From Fermi's golden rule



21 / 25

## SHEAR VISCOSITY OF NEUTRON MATTER

 Critical to the occurrence of the CFS instability of rapidly rotating neutron stars



\* Note: the SLya effective interaction, adjusted to reproduce the microscopic EoS, predicts  $\eta T^2 \sim 6 \times 10^{13} \text{ g cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ MeV}^2$  at nuclear matter equilibrium density, to be compared with the result obtained from the CBF effective interaction  $\eta T^2 \sim 1.4 \times 10^{15} \text{ g cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ MeV}^2$ 

## THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF NEUTRON MATTER



 The transport coefficients computed using the CBF effective interaction is remarkably close to the result obtained within the G-matrix approach using the same bare NN potential. Note: three-body interactions not taken into account.

## FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

- A realistic and *consistent* description of the properties of hot nuclear matter will be needed to perform systematic studies of gravitational-wave emission from protoneutron stars
- ★ Free energy of PNM (left) and SNM (right) at  $0 \le T \le 50$  MeV



# SUMMARY & OUTLOOK

- \* Effective interactions *obtained from realistic nuclear hamiltonians* provide a powerful tool to carry out *consistent* calculations of a variety of of properties of strongly interacting many-body systems, ranging from the EoS to quasi particle spectra, in medium scattering probabilities and transport coefficients
- \* The result of systematic studies of the Fermi hard-sphere system performed using the CBF effective interaction are quite encouraging, and suggest that the same formalism can be safely employed in nuclear matter
- Future applications to neutron star matter will include the calculation of transport coefficients, superfluid gaps and neutrino emission absorption rates