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## Experimental Setup

SC = plastic scintillator; trigger for the DAQ
PMMA = phantom
$\mathrm{Rn}=2$ pixelated LYSO, side by side, $1.6 \times 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ each
Rs $=2$ pixelated LYSO, side by side, $1.6 \times 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ each (PET photons detectors)
LTS = plastic scintillator (charged particles TOF)
DCH = Drift Chamber (charged particles tracking)
LYSO = matrix of four LYSO crystals
(prompt photons and charged particles detector)
STS1a,b,c = plastic scintillators
STS2a,b,c = plastic scintillators
(charged fragments TOF)
BGOa,b,c = BGO crystals (charged fragments detectors)


## Data Taking Configurations

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LYSO@90} \\ & \text { BGO@ } 00^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 30^{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u})$ | Range (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { zPMMA } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 102 | 6.0 | 7.65 |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 125 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 145 | 11.0 | 12.65 |
| 12 C | 120 | 2.9 | 10.0 |
| ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ | 160 | 4.9 | 10.0 |
| 12 C | 180 | 6.0 | 10.0 |
| 12 C | 220 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| 160 | 210 | 6.0 | 7.65 |
| 160 | 260 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| 160 | 300 | 11.0 | 12.65 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LYSO@60} \\ & \text { BGO@5, 15o,30} \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\text {beam }}(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u})$ | Range (cm) | zPMMA (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 102 | 6.0 | 7.65 |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 125 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 145 | 11.0 | 12.65 |
| 160 | 210 | 6.0 | 7.65 |
| 160 | 260 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| 160 | 300 | 11.0 | 12.65 |

## Prompt Photon

| Paper in preparation: |
| :---: |
| prompt Yield at production |
| for $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{C}$ and O ion beams |


Yield at Production Measurement $\phi_{r}$

| $\theta$ | Ion | Energy (MeV/u) | $\begin{gathered} \Phi_{\gamma} \\ \left(10^{-3} s r^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\text {stat }} \\ \left(10^{-3} s r^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\text {sys }} \\ \left(10^{-3} s r^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 125 | 5.34 | 0.06 | 0.17 |
|  |  | 145 | 6.53 | 0.07 | 0.17 |
|  | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ | 120 | 4.57 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 160 | 7.66 | 0.13 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 180 | 9.80 | 0.18 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 220 | 12.22 | 0.22 | 0.11 |
|  | ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ | 210 | 12.65 | 0.12 | 0.38 |
|  |  | 260 | 16.83 | 0.20 | 0.54 |
|  |  | 300 | 22.10 | 0.15 | 0.77 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 102 | 3.70 | 0.08 | 0.11 |
|  |  | 125 | 4.67 | 0.07 | 0.23 |
|  |  | 145 | 6.40 | 0.08 | 0.14 |
|  | ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ | 210 | 12.44 | 0.13 | 0.41 |
|  |  | 260 | 17.04 | 0.19 | 0.59 |
|  |  | 300 | 21.32 | 0.19 | 1.03 |

## Prompt Photon

| Paper in preparation: |
| :---: |
| prompt Yield at production |
| for $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{C}$ and O ion beams |

## Yield (at production) фr Comparison:



## DATA - MC

Some effort is ongoing for a further study on the systematic sources.

## 

## Yield (at production) $\phi_{r}$ Comparison:



## Evaluation:

From the measured prompt photon yields at production we evaluated an achievable resolution on the BP estimation: $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ for a treatment with $\mathrm{He} /$ Oxy beams in a real case scenario, using the IBA slit camera as photon detector ${ }^{[1]}$.

## Secondary Charged Particle $\|$ 垔 Particle ID Distributions: QDC vs TOF





Paper in preparation: charged particle Yield, energy spectra and profile at production for $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{C}$ ion beams

##  efficiency calculation. Analysis on going..

## (very preliminary for Oxygen!)

| $\theta$ | Ion | Energy <br> (MeV/u) | $\begin{gathered} \Phi_{p} \pm \sigma_{\text {stat }} \pm \sigma_{\text {sys }} \\ \left(10^{-3} \mathrm{sr}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Phi_{d} \pm \sigma_{\text {stat }} \pm \sigma_{\text {sys }} \\ \left(10^{-3} s r^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Phi_{t} \pm \sigma_{\text {stat }} \pm \sigma_{\text {sys }} \\ \left(10^{-3} s r^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.789 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.073 \\ & 1.531 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.066 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.024 \\ & 0.090 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.026 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.001 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.000 \\ & 0.002 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.003 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 120 \\ & 160 \\ & 180 \\ & 220 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.447 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.029 \\ & 1.267 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.085 \\ & 1.950 \pm 0.087 \pm 0.113 \\ & 4.086 \pm 0.115 \pm 0.216 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.011 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.003 \\ & 0.064 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.016 \\ & 0.102 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.022 \\ & 0.181 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.032 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.001 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.000 \\ & 0.008 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ & 0.012 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.002 \\ & 0.016 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \\ & 260 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 5.6 \pm 0.1 \\ 11.8 \pm 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | analysis on going 99 99 | analysis on going <br> 99 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 125 \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.788 \pm 0.070 \pm 0.402 \\ 10.717 \pm 0.109 \pm 0.908 \\ 17.658 \pm 0.155 \pm 1.787 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.315 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.063 \\ & 0.917 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.212 \\ & 1.948 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.031 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.011 \\ & 0.099 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.037 \\ & 0.168 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.095 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \\ & 260 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & 32.2 \pm 0.3 \\ & 58.2 \pm 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | analysis on going <br> 99 <br> 99 | analysis on going <br> 99 <br> 99 |

Secondary Charged Particle
Measurement of the beam range (BP position)



From previous experiments:
the secondary charged $z$ emission distribution is related to the beam range; with $10^{3}$ secondary protons produced by $10^{8}$ ions (220 $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}^{12} \mathrm{C}$ ) the parameter $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ describing the width of the $z$ distribution is known with a resolution of about $\boldsymbol{\sim} \mathbf{~ m m}$.

A calibration describing the behavior of $\Delta$ as a function of the beam range inside the target for the HIT experimental configurations is ongoing. spectra and profile at production for $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{C}$ ion beams


For ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ ions at $260 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{LYSO}\right.$ at $90^{\circ}$ ) we performed a segmented target geometry measurement

## Secondary Charged Particles



## Secondary Charged Particles

Reference 10 cm Target: no AIR spaces


## Secondary Charged Particles

Reference 10 cm Target: no AIR spaces


## Secondary Charged Particles

Segmented 12.65 cm Target: with AIR spaces


## Secondary Charged Particles

Segmented 12.15 cm Target: with AIR spaces


## Secondary Charged Particles

Segmented 12.15 cm Target: with AIR spaces


## Secondary Charged Particles

## DOSE PROFILER CONSIDERATIONS

The data plot shown here corresponds to a detector acceptance much smaller than that of DP.

We can approximately scale (at the same distance from target) to the acceptance of Dose Profiler considering a factor ~17 (conservative!!!): number of reconstructed tracks $=>\sim 4.510^{7}$ primaries.

From MC we learn that for Oxigen at $260 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ in order to deliver a 1 Gy on a $3 \times 25 \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ slice around the Bragg Peak one needs $2.4 \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{7}}$ primaries:
=> physical dose of $\sim 1.9 \mathbf{G y}$.
We also know from MC how to scale for more reasonable thicknesses. That number of reconstructed tracks would correspond in the Dose Profiler to:
~2.71 $10^{8}$ prim: ~11 Gy @ 7 cm PMMA ~ (8.4 $\left.\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$
~6.66 $10^{8}$ prim: ~28 Gy @10 cm PMMA ~(12.0 $\left.\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{H}_{2} 0\right)$

## Secondary Charged Particles

## DOSE PROFILER CONSIDERATIONS

The data plot shown here corresponds to a detector acceptance much smaller than that of DP.

arget) to the iservative!!!):
to deliver a 1 Gy on ds $2.4 \mathbf{1 0}^{7}$

We also know trom ivic now to scale tor more reasonadre thicknesses. That number of reconstructed tracks would correspond in the Dose Profiler to:
The presence of structures remains distinguishable also for lower doses

## Fragmentation Analysis

The ToF measurement combined with the deposit energy information allows for Particle Identification: p,d,t.

The analysis has been performed for $0,10,15$ and 30 degrees..


Paper in preparation: forward He ion beam fragmentation on PMMA target

Only Helium Beam analysis has been done.. Carbon and Oxygen ion beams will come in next months


## Fragmentation Analysis

The relative Yield for $p, d, t$ has been calculated for all angles and beam energies (102, 125, $145 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ )


At $30^{\circ}$ we have two separate set of measurements (in agreement!)

| He102 (\%) | $0^{\circ}$ | $5^{\circ}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| proton | $20.4 \pm 2.8$ | $25.8 \pm 3.1$ | $30.5 \pm 4.0$ | $35.5 \pm 4.1$ | $65.5 \pm 8.4$ | $65.4 \pm 7.5$ |
| deuteron | $31.2 \pm 4.3$ | $33.0 \pm 3.9$ | $32.6 \pm 4.3$ | $35.0 \pm 4.1$ | $26.8 \pm 3.5$ | $26.5 \pm 3.1$ |
| triton | $48.4 \pm 6.3$ | $41.2 \pm 4.7$ | $37.0 \pm 4.8$ | $29.5 \pm 3.4$ | $7.7 \pm 1.1$ | $8.0 \pm 1.0$ |
| He125 $\%)$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $5^{\circ}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ |
| proton | $22.4 \pm 3.1$ | $27.4 \pm 3.2$ | $31.8 \pm 3.8$ | $37.2 \pm 4.2$ | $68.5 \pm 7.6$ | $69.2 \pm 7.6$ |
| deuteron | $32.7 \pm 4.6$ | $34.8 \pm 4.0$ | $34.7 \pm 4.1$ | $36.7 \pm 4.1$ | $25.6 \pm 2.9$ | $24.9 \pm 2.7$ |
| triton | $44.9 \pm 6.0$ | $37.8 \pm 4.2$ | $33.5 \pm 3.8$ | $26.1 \pm 2.9$ | $6.0 \pm 0.7$ | $5.8 \pm 0.7$ |
| He145 $\%)$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $5^{\circ}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ |
| proton | $23.8 \pm 3.4$ | $29.1 \pm 3.4$ | $33.4 \pm 4.0$ | $39.2 \pm 4.4$ | $70.9 \pm 8.0$ | $70.6 \pm 7.6$ |
| deuteron | $34.0 \pm 5.0$ | $36.0 \pm 4.2$ | $36.0 \pm 4.3$ | $36.9 \pm 4.1$ | $24.3 \pm 2.7$ | $24.5 \pm 2.7$ |
| triton | $42.2 \pm 5.8$ | $35.0 \pm 4.1$ | $30.6 \pm 3.5$ | $24.0 \pm 2.6$ | $4.8 \pm 0.6$ | $4.8 \pm 0.6$ |

## Fragmentation Analysis

$\theta=30^{\circ}$

The relative Yield for $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}$ has been calculated for all angles and beam energies (102, 125, $145 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ )


Paper in preparation: forward He ion

## Fragmentation Analysis

The absolute Yield for p,d,t, and more in general for H , has been calculated for all angles and beam energies (102, 125, $145 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u})$.
 beam fragmentation on PMMA target




## Report and Update on HIT Experiment Analysis

## Resuming:

- the prompt gamma yield at production analysis is complete for $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathbf{O}$ ion beams: it will be submit soon;
- the charged secondary analysis on yield, spectra and profile at production is done for He and $\mathbf{C}$ and it will be submit soon. The $\mathbf{O}$ analysis is still on going but we hope to finish it before summer;
- the fragmentation of the He ion beam at small angles is complete and it will the submit soon. For $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{O}$ analysis.. wait next few months..
RDH Meeting - the beta+ activity analysis is still ongoing for He ion beams February, 1st2016 (unfortunately there are no available datas for $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{0}$ );
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## Prompt Raw Energy Spectra
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## Prompt Raw Energy Spectra








