A new method for the determination of very small Γ_{γ} partial widths

G.Cardella for the NEWCHIM collaboration









Sezione di Catania



Outline

Status of the art

Measured and evaluated decay widths

What is known on ¹²C formation

Old and New experimental methods adopted

Description of the method

The new method The CHIMERA detector status and ongoing upgrading

Kinematic coincidences

Gamma-ray detection

obtained results & future ⁶⁸Ni PYGMY resonance

Future perspectives

Status of the art: ¹²C production in the Universe

The 3-α process is the main responsible of formation of ¹²C in stars see for instance AGB stars F.Hervig et al PRC **73**, 025802 (2006) At higher temperature, 10⁹k or larger, (core collapse supernova) also the ¹²C 3⁻ level at 9.64 MeV give some contribution C.Angulo et al NPA 656 (1999) 3 (NACRE compilation)



B Alshahrani et al EPJ Web 63,01022(2013)



M.Tsumura et al Jou. of phys. Conf.S. 569(2014)012051

Status of the art: Effective values for the 3α and ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$ processes

Recent calculations Sam Austin et al PRL 112(2014)111101 show that a very important parameter is the ration between the 3α process and the ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$ one. This is also due to various unknown processes as in convection not fully governed by simulations



Similar results were obtained this year by the same author on gamma emitting nuclei Al-26 / Ti44 / Fe60 AJL 839(2017) With this Effective values it was possible to describe the production of neutrino emitter in Supernova explosions with rather good precision



Status of the art: measurements performed

Hoyle state Γ_{rad}/Γ of 4.12±0.11 10⁻⁴

Most of the measurements done looking for coincidence events p+¹²C following the methods of D.Chamberlin et al Phys.Rev.C 9(1974)69





FIG. 3. Schematic of detector geometry.

But also search of $\gamma - \gamma$ coincidences A. W. Obstt and W. J. Braithwaite phys.Rev.C 13(1976)2033



Status of the art: measurements performed



To improve such result a new experiment is in preparation in Japan using ¹²C beam detected by the Grand Raiden Spectrometer



M.Tsumura et al Journal of Physics: Conference Series 569 (2014) 012051

The new method: description

The Basic idea is to join both detection methods using the CHIMERA detector in order to improve the sensitivity of the measurement : **4 fold coincidence measurement**



The new method: Characteristics of the CHIMERA detector



The new method I: kinematic coincidences with CHIMERA

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 715 (2013) 56-61







Detection efficiency around 100%

Kinematical coincidence method in transfer reactions



The new method II: γ-detection with Csl(TI)



Particle gamma correlations in ¹²C measured with the CsI(Tl) based detector array CHIMERA

Using proton beams on C target we excite the 4.44 MeV level – this level decay emitting a γ-ray that we can see in our Csl(Tl) The CsI(TI) of the CHIMERA sphere have a relatively good detection efficiency for γ-rays that we computed with GEANTIV and we have experimentally verified

CrossMark





Fig. 1. Csl(Tl) efficiency to γ -rays of 4.44 MeV computed with Geant4 as a function (a) of detection angle, (b) of the thickness of scintillator.

The new method: γ -detection with Csl(Tl)

To verify the E2 character of the transition we can see the angular distribution in the reference frame of emitting recoil nucleus ¹²C





E2 behavior is verified by the minimum at 90° and maxima at 45° and 135° - the minimum is not zero because of the proton spin ½

using zero spin beam (¹⁶O) we get more deep minimum

Note that with ¹⁶O beam we can also see γ -rays around 6-7 MeV

The method: CHIMERA is able to see the 4 fold coincidence with good efficiency



Around 9-10 MeV it was localized also a 2⁺ state interpreted as excited 2⁺ Hoyle status. If excited this could decay with a γ-ray of about 2 MeV E2 to the 0⁺ Hoyle status we will also look for this channel

The method: rejection factor

Spurious coincidence suppression factor

4-fold coincidence

condition

particle and γ identification

kinematic coincidence

Qvalue - measurement

total γ -ray energy

from time resolution Si and Csl 10⁻¹³

from count rate at different angles \approx 3-100

from $\Delta \theta - \Delta \phi \approx 30$

from Energy resolution \approx 10-20

from Energy resolution \approx 5-10

10⁻¹⁸ should be enough to well detect 10⁻⁹ decay probability of the 9.64 3⁻ level

Recent upgradings : γ-detection with Csl(Tl) NEW GET electronics



We are upgrading the CHIMERA electronics with GET – Using GET we can have the full digitalization of CsI(TI) signals therefore we can perform a simple identification of γ-rays. Moreover we have also a trigger information from CsI(TI) missing in the old CHIMERA electronics





Recent upgradings : γ-detection with Csl(Tl) NEW GET electronics





Future perspectives: upgraded Cyclotron at LNS

An upgrading of the cyclotron is going at LNS – high power beam will be available up to 10 MW

A new fragment separator is going to be implemented in order to use this high intensity beam – we will produce in few years very intense exotic radioactive beams in the range of 10⁵-10⁶ particle/s

With such beautiful beam and CHIMERA upgraded we hope to perform exciting experiments you are invited to join us with your smart ideas



Summary and outlook

Chimera show its versatility

Use of CHIMERA detector

With the new upgrading improved resolution

Beautiful perspectives for γ-particle coincidences with radioactive and stable beams

We hope to have beautiful data at the end of this year

The Hoyle measurement

we should be able to improve the lower limit for the Γ_{γ}/Γ for the 9.64 3⁻ level

We will also search for signals from the decay of the 2⁺ excited Hoyle state

Future opportunities

The new fragment separator at LNS will provide high intensity radioactive beams to perform beautiful experiments

The collaboration

L.Acosta^{1,8}, L.Auditore⁴, G.C.¹, E. De Filippo¹, D.Dell'Aquila⁶, S. De Luca⁴, N.Gelli⁹, B.Gnoffo¹, B.Fornal¹⁰, G.Lanzalone^{2,7}, S.Leoni⁵, I.Lombardo⁶, C.Maiolino², N.Martorana², A.Nannini⁹, S.Norella⁴, A.Pagano¹, E.V.Pagano^{2,3}, M.Papa¹, S.Pirrone¹, G.Politi^{1,3}, L.Quattrocchi⁴, F.Rizzo^{2,3}, P.Russotto¹, D.Santonocito², A.Trifirò⁴, M.Trimarchì⁴, M.Vigilante⁶

- 1. INFN Sezione di Catania
- 2. INFN LNS
- 3. Dipartimento di fisica e astronomia Università di Catania
- 4. INFN_gr. Coll. Messina and Dipartimento di fisica Università Messina
- 5. INFN- Sezione di Milano and Dipartimento di fisica Università di Milano
- 6. INFN-Sezione di Napoli and Dipartimento di fisica Università di Napoli Federico II
- 7. Università Kore Enna
- Instituto de Física Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Apartado Postal 20-364, México D. F. 01000
- 9. INFN Firenze and Dipartimento di Fisica Università di Firenze
- 10. Institute of Nuclear Physics,. Polish Academy of Sciences. Krakow, Poland