Reconstruction of the emission profile of secondary charged particles for a variable thickness of the material

G. Battistoni, S. Muraro, V. Patera, C. Voena Pisa, 20th January 2016

- 1) Problem: On-line dose monitoring by means of secondary charged particles emission profile.
- 2) Method to compensate variable thickness
- 3) How we developed the method
- 4) Application to exemplifying cases
- 5) How to proceed towards a more general case

Problem:

On-line dose monitoring by means of secondary charged particles emission profile.

We can <u>correlate the</u> <u>emission profile</u> with the <u>Bragg peak position</u>.

L. Piersanti et al. Phys. Med. Biol. 59 (2014) 1857



We learned how to do it (exp. + MC) for the thickness of 2.5 cm of PMMA but **the emission prifile depends on the crossed material thickness!**

The yield of the secondary protons produced by the beam interaction with the patient tissue undergoes an **attenuation process** in the crossed material (dE/dx).

The <u>resulting profile</u> detected by the Dose Profiler is <u>modified</u> with respect to the emission one.

- 1) **Problem:** On-line dose monitoring by means of secondary charged particles emission profile.
- 2) Method to compensate variable thickness
- 3) How we developed the method
- 4) Application to exemplifying cases
- 5) How to proceed towards a more general case

Method:

We can evaluate, by means of MC simulations, for given material thicknesses, how the emission profile changes because of the attenuation along the path towards the Dose Profiler.

By <u>comparing the emission profile of a</u> <u>known thickness with the emission</u> <u>profile of our reference thickness</u> (2.5 cm), we can build a **weighting factor** to be applied to each reconstructed track to modify its contribution to the emission profile on the basis of the crossed thickness.



Known the thickness of crossed material (CT of the patient) and the reconstructed emission point on the beam axis, we associate a weighting value which convert the profile to the reference one (cilinder R = 2.5 cm).

- 1) **Problem:** On-line dose monitoring by means of secondary charged particles emission profile.
- 2) Method to compensate variable thickness
- 3) How we developed the method
- 4) Application to exemplifying cases
- 5) How to proceed towards a more general case

How we developed the method

We used a parametrized secondary proton generator to evaluate for different thicknesses the proton flux <u>attenuation</u> in PMMA.



Parametrized proton generator

Protons come out towards the Dose Profiler direction:

- ~ 90° with respect to the beam axis
- Spectrum based on the experimental data taken with a ¹²C ion beam of 220 MeV/u on PMMA. Thickness 2.5 cm.
- cilindrical target

To evaluate **for different** thicknesses the proton flux attenuation in PMMA

we used cilindrical targets with different radii (2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 cm). The minimum radius is the one of the experimental data used to build the parametrized generator.

8000

7000

6000

5000

4000

3000

2000

1000



Attenuation as a function of thickness



How we developed the method

Each **emission profile** is well fitted with the **Double Fermi-Dirac function**.

The Double Fermi-Dirac function

$$f(x) = p_0 \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{x - p_1}{p_2}\right)} \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{x - p_3}{p_4}\right)} + p_5$$

The <u>evolution of the different</u> **parameters** as a function of thickness can be easily evaluated by fitting

Fits with the Double Fermi-Dirac function

Secondary proton emission profile from ¹²C @220 MeV/u as detected by the Dose Profiler for different PMMA thicknesses (2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 cm)



Fits of the Double Fermi-Dirac distribution parameters VS PMMA thickness for <u>12C @220 MeV/u</u>





With the parameters fitted as a function of thickness *Par(L)*, we get a parametrized DFD (Double Fermi-Dirac) distribution f_{DFD} (*Par(L)*, x) where x is the reconstructed emission point of the track (charged secondary particle)

Taking as reference distribution the one obtained from the cilinder with R=2.5 cm, we can define a weighting function **w(Par(L), x)** :

Dose Profiler

 $w(Par(L), x) = \frac{f_{DFD}(Par(L), x)}{f_{DFD}(Par(2.5 \text{ cm}), x)}$

We get the thichness *L* of crossed material from the geometry (patient CT) and reconstructed track informations (emission point and direction).

Known the thickness *L* and the reconstructed emission point *x* on the beam axis, we associate a weighting value 1/w(Par(L), x) which converts the emission profile to the reference one (cilinder R = 2.5 cm) 13

From Cilinder R = 5 cm to Cilinder R = 2.5 cm



- 1) **Problem:** On-line dose monitoring by means of secondary charged particles emission profile.
- 2) Method to compensate variable thickness
- 3) How we developed the method
- 4) Application to exemplifying cases
- 5) How to proceed towards a more general case

Application to exemplifying cases

1. homogenous PMMA Sphere:

variable thickness

 inhomgeneous PMMA Sphere containing a smaller sphere of "light" PMMA (half density with respect to the standard PMMA):

variable thickness and material density





Result of the application of the weighting function



2) inhomgeneous PMMA sphere containing a smaller sphere of "light" PMMA (half density with respect to the standard PMMA)





Result of the application of the weighting function



Conclusions:

It seems that **the method is working as expected** and provides a way to **compare expectations and measurements in real time**

For a given beam and geometry (CT of patient), we can **build** the shape of **the expected** outcoming secondary protons **emission profile in a fast analytical way**

(for this purpose we can recur to **W**ater **E**quivalent **P**ath Length corrections to transform all materials to water)

- 1) **Problem:** On-line dose monitoring by means of secondary charged particles emission profile.
- 2) Method to compensate variable thickness
- 3) How we developed the method
- 4) Application to exemplifying cases
- 5) How to proceed towards a more general case

How to proceed towards a more general case

- Analyze various inhomogeneus targets with different material composition and increasing complexity
- Evalute the variations in the longitudinal development of the emission profile when the beam crosses different material composition and density applying the WEPL correction