## Theory of $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ decays

Ben Pecjak

DESY

CKM 2008 Workshop, Rome

September 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ の < @

# Outline

- 1)  $B \rightarrow V\gamma$  decays in QCD factorization and SCET
  - sample result:  $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$
- 2) Recent progress and limitations
  - NNLO perturbative corrections
  - power corrections and endpoint divergences

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Rare radiative $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ decays

Examples:  $B \rightarrow (\rho, K^*, \omega, \phi) \gamma$  decays

- all involve FCNC
- potential to constrain new physics and CKM parameters

Observables and their relevance

- ▶ branching fractions  $\leftrightarrow |V_{td}/V_{ts}|$
- CP asymmetries  $\leftrightarrow$  new physics,  $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$
- isospin violation  $\leftrightarrow$  new physics,  $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$

Experimental measurements have continued to improve

relevant to review theory status

## Theoretical challenge: hadronic matrix elements

Amplitude for  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  transitions:

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \langle V\gamma | \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{eff}} | \bar{B} 
angle \sim \sum_{p=u,c} V_{ps}^* V_{pb} \sum_{i=1}^8 C_i \langle V\gamma | Q_i^p | \bar{B} 
angle$$

• Main challenge: evaluate  $\langle V\gamma | Q_i^p | \bar{B} \rangle$  = hadronic matrix elements

Most important operators:

$$Q_1^p = (\bar{s} p)_{V-A} (\bar{p} b)_{V-A} \qquad Q_2^p = (\bar{s}_i p_j)_{V-A} (\bar{p}_j b_i)_{V-A}, \quad (p = u, c)$$

$$Q_{7} = -\frac{e\,\overline{m}_{b}}{8\pi^{2}}\,\bar{s}\,\sigma^{\mu\nu}\left[1+\gamma_{5}\right]bF_{\mu\nu}, \quad Q_{8} = -\frac{g\,\overline{m}_{b}}{8\pi^{2}}\,\bar{s}\,\sigma^{\mu\nu}\left[1+\gamma_{5}\right]T^{a}\,bG_{\mu\nu}^{a}$$

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

For  $b \rightarrow d\gamma$  replace  $s \rightarrow d$ 

# Theoretical approaches

#### QCD factorization

(Ali, Parkhomenko; Bosch, Buchalla; Beneke, Feldmann, Seidel)

#### SCET ~ QCD factorization + resummation

(Chay, Kim; Grinstein, Grossman, Ligeti; Becher, Hill, Neubert)

#### QCD factorization + QCD sum rules

(Ball, Jones, Zwicky)

#### pQCD

(Keum, Matsumori, Sanda, Yang)

# Talk will focus on QCD factorization-based approaches (pQCD in write-up)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## **QCD** factorization

Matrix elements of  $Q_i$  obtained as a series in  $\alpha_s$ ,  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b \ll 1$ 

$$\left\langle V\gamma \left| \mathsf{Q}_{i} \right| \bar{\mathsf{B}} \right\rangle = t_{i}^{\mathrm{I}} \zeta_{\mathsf{V}_{\perp}} + t_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} \star \phi_{+}^{\mathsf{B}} \star \phi_{\perp}^{\mathsf{V}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}{m_{b}}\right)$$

ζ<sub>V⊥</sub> (soft function) and φ<sup>B,V</sup> (LCDAs) are non-perturbative
 t<sup>I</sup> and t<sup>II</sup> are perturbative hard-scattering kernels

$$t^{\mathrm{I}} = \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}) + \dots$$
  
"vertex corrections" "spectator corrections"

 1/mb power corrections may or may not factorize (but crucial for interesting observables such as isospin asymmetries)

# SCET approach

#### SCET factorization formula:

(all-orders proof in Becher, Hill, Neubert '05)

$$\left\langle V\gamma \left| \mathsf{Q}_{i} \right| \bar{\mathsf{B}} \right\rangle = t_{i}^{\mathrm{I}} \zeta_{\mathsf{V}_{\perp}} + t_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} \star \phi_{+}^{\mathsf{B}} \star \phi_{\perp}^{\mathsf{V}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}{m_{b}}\right)$$

►  $\zeta_{V_{\perp}}, \phi_{\perp}^{V}, \phi_{+}^{B}$  are matrix elements of SCET operators

hard-scattering kernels = SCET matching coefficients

$$t_i^{\text{I}} = C_i^{\text{A}}(m_b, \mu)$$
  
$$t_i^{\text{II}} = C_i^{\text{B}}(m_b, \mu) \star j_{\perp}(m_b \Lambda, \mu) \qquad \text{(subfactorization)}$$

- large logs in t<sup>II</sup><sub>i</sub> resummed by solving RG equations
- potential to systematically treat  $1/m_b$  corrections

## **Power corrections**

Leading power corrections are naively  $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b) \sim 10\%$ .

However, systematic treatment lacking due to:

- divergent convolutions in QCDF and SCET (conceptual point)
- potentially large set of subleading form factors and LCDAs (practical point)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Current approaches are pragmatic

 focus on corrections important for key observables (isospin, CP asymmetries)

Will give two examples at end of talk

# **QCDF** results

Ali, Lunghi, Parkhomenko; Bosch, Buchalla; Beneke, Feldmann, Seidel, ...

- form factors and LCDAs from QCD sum rules
- $t^{I}$ ,  $t^{II}$  known at NLO ( $\alpha_{s}$ )
- annihilation at tree level  $(1/m_b \text{ correction})$

Analysis by Ball, Jones, Zwicky in QCDF + sum rules included

- improved sum-rule predictions for form factor ratios
- estimate of 1/m<sup>2</sup><sub>b</sub> effects related to annihilation ("long distance photon emission")
- estimate of some 1/mb effects beyond annihilation (soft gluon emission potentially important for indirect CP asymmetries)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Sample application: determination of  $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ 

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \rho \gamma)}{\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}^* \gamma)} \propto \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_{td}}{\boldsymbol{V}_{ts}} \right|^2 \xi_{\rho/K^*}^2 \left[ 1 + \Delta \boldsymbol{R}(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}) \right]$$

- ΔR ~ 0.1 can be calculated in QCDF
- many uncertainties reduced or cancel in the ratio

Result from Ball, Jones, Zwicky '07

$$\left|\frac{V_{td}}{V_{ts}}\right|_{B \to V\gamma}^{\text{HFAG}} = 0.192 \pm 0.016 \,(\text{exp}) \pm 0.014 \,(\text{th})$$

- theory errors dominated by form-factor ratio ξ<sub>ρ/K\*</sub>
- ▶ improved lattice results for  $f_V^{\perp}$  will reduce error on  $\xi_{\rho/K^*}$

# Outline

## 1) $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ decays in QCD factorization and SCET

• sample result:  $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ 

## 2) Recent progress and limitations

- NNLO perturbative corrections
- power corrections and endpoint divergences

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## **NNLO** perturbative corrections

$$\langle V\gamma | Q_i | \bar{B} \rangle = t_i^{\mathrm{I}} \zeta_{V_\perp} + t_i^{\mathrm{II}} \star \phi_+^{B} \star \phi_\perp^{V}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

# NNLO perturbative corrections

Recently, some  $\alpha_{\rm s}^2$  (NNLO) contributions were calculated (Ali, Greub, BP '07)

- vertex and spectator corrections from Q<sub>7,8</sub>
- vertex corrections from Q<sub>1,2</sub> in large-n<sub>f</sub> limit (C<sub>F</sub>n<sub>f</sub> terms)

Numerical study of  $Br(B \rightarrow K^* \gamma)$  showed

- $\alpha_s^2$  vertex correction from Q<sub>1</sub> contributes ~ 10%
- ► an *m<sub>c</sub>* dependence ~ 10%, because C<sub>F</sub>n<sub>f</sub> terms do not fix a perturbative definition for *m<sub>c</sub>* (need C<sup>2</sup><sub>F</sub> terms)
- large correction to imaginary part of amplitude (~ 40%)

Remaining: finish calculation for  $Q_{1,2}$  and numerics for other observables

# The missing pieces: Q<sub>1,2</sub>



For vertex corrections:

- three loops, depending on  $m_c^2/m_b^2$  and with strong phases
- virtual corrections to  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ , in progress

For spectator corrections:

- two loops, depending on  $m_c^2/m_b^2$ , *u* and with strong phases
- must be calculated from scratch

## Power corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s/m_b)$ : two examples

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 三日 - のへで

# Annihilation with Q<sub>1,2</sub>



Result to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ : (Ali, Parkhomenko, BP (preliminary))

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ann}} \sim \phi_{+}^{\mathcal{B}} \star t_{\mathrm{ann}}^{\mathrm{II}} \star \phi_{\perp}^{\mathrm{V},1/m_{b}}$$

Example of factorization at  $O(\alpha_s/m_b)$ 

- IR divergences absorbed in LCDAs
- convolution integral converges

# "Annihilation" with Q8



Result at 
$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm s})$$
:  $\frac{\mathcal{A}_{\rm ann}^8}{\mathcal{A}_{\rm LO}} \sim \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}\lambda_B}{m_b} \int_0^1 du \, \frac{\phi_{\perp}^V(u)}{(1-u)^2} = \infty$ 

- endpoint divergence in convolution integral breaks factorization
- small numerically (Kagan, Neubert)
- a conceptual problem

# Summary

Reviewed theory status of  $B \rightarrow V\gamma$  decays

Systematic studies rely on QCD factorization (or pQCD)

Current status:

- leading power terms complete to NLO (and almost to NNLO)
- some 1/mb corrections factorize and have been calculated
- other  $1/m_b$  corrections have been estimated with sum rules

Used  $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$  as a sample application, will include others in write-up

General theory of power corrections lacking, due to endpoint divergences.