

#### Prospects for $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$ at the LHC

Mitesh Patel (CERN) CKM 2008 Friday 12<sup>th</sup> September 2008





#### Introduction

- Flavour changing neutral current b→s decay that proceeds via loop diagram
- Decay described by three angles  $(\theta_l, \phi, \theta_K)$  and di- $\mu$  invariant mass q<sup>2</sup>
- Sensitive to magnetic and vector and axial semi-leptonic penguin operators
- Try to use observables where uncertainty from B<sub>d</sub>→K\* transition form-factors cancel e.g. Forward-backward asymmetry A<sub>FB</sub> of θ<sub>1</sub> distribution
- In general, angular distributions as function of q<sup>2</sup> gives sensitivity to NP contributions



#### Status

- BR measured at B-factories, in agreement with SM: BR(B<sub>d</sub>→K\*µµ)= (1.22<sup>+0.38</sup>-0.32)×10<sup>-6</sup> [1]
- BELLE has ~230 K\*II events



- A<sub>FB</sub>
  - Region with best theoretical control 1<q<sup>2</sup><6GeV<sup>2</sup>
  - If C<sub>7</sub> flipped would expect to see in BR and dBR/dq<sup>2</sup>

**BELLE preliminary, ICHEP 2008** 



#### Signal Selection

- Signal selection in LHC environment relies on finding B<sub>d</sub> vertex, measuring momenta to determine masses
  - At LHCb:
    - $B_d$  vertex resoln ~130 $\mu$ m
    - Track momenta ~0.5%
    - B<sub>d</sub> mass ~16MeV (ATLAS: 50MeV)
- Muon-id performance important
- K/π separation from LHCb's RICH detectors also helps to suppress background
- Level 0 trigger  $\mu$  p<sub>T</sub> threshold ~1GeV



#### Signal Yields

- Latest generation of (full) Monte Carlo studies:
  - Total signal seln efficiency ~1.1%
  - ~7.2k signal events /2fb<sup>-1</sup> (full q<sup>2</sup> range)
     (~3.7k signal events /2fb<sup>-1</sup> (q<sup>2</sup><m<sub>J/ψ</sub>))
  - ~1.1k bkgrd events
  - $\rightarrow$  in 2009 might expect ~1.8k signal events
- Have started to investigate multi-variant techniques to separate signal and bkgrd
- Fisher discriminant shown right relies on B<sub>d</sub> Flight Distance, impact parameter, PID likelihoods



### Background in LHCb

- Background:
  - Dominated by genuine  $\mu$  from B decays
  - Don't observe any significant background from µ mis-id
  - $\begin{array}{ll} & & b {\rightarrow} \mu, \, b {\rightarrow} \mu \mbox{ dominant contribution,} \\ & \mbox{ symmetric distribution in } \theta_I \mbox{ scales } A_{FB} \\ & \mbox{ observed} \end{array}$
  - $\begin{array}{ll} & & b {\rightarrow} \mu, \, b {\rightarrow} c {\rightarrow} \mu \text{ significant contribution,} \\ & \text{asymmetric } \theta_I \text{ distribution} \text{ effect on } A_{FB} \\ & \text{depends on } \theta_I \text{ shape} \end{array}$
  - Non-resonant  $K\pi\mu\mu$  events neglected
  - B/S ~0.2



### Extracting $A_{FB}$

- Signal events have varying sensitivity according to θ<sub>1</sub>
- However,
  - requiring that the µ be reconstructed imposes a minimum p requirement
  - trigger makes requirements on  $\mu p_T$
- In both cases, the size of the effect is a function of q<sup>2</sup> – can extract acceptance function from e.g.
   B<sub>d</sub>→K\*J/Ψ, will need Monte Carlo to extrapolate to low q<sup>2</sup>
- → Will be important to understand acceptance correction



## Extracting A<sub>FB</sub> (cont'd)

Toy model shows effect of  $q^2$ ,  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_k$  acceptance functions:



# LHCb A<sub>FB</sub> Sensitivity

- LHCb
  - Will already have sensitivity with 0.1fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - With 0.5fb<sup>-1</sup> will be able to start looking for a zero-point, s<sup>0</sup>
  - Simple linear fit suggests precision:



 More complex fit methods being evaluated ...



# LHCb A<sub>FB</sub> Sensitivity

- LHCb
  - Will already have sensitivity with 0.1fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - With 0.5fb<sup>-1</sup> will be able to start looking for a zero-point, s<sup>0</sup>
  - Simple linear fit suggests precision:



 More complex fit methods being evaluated …



# LHCb A<sub>FB</sub> Sensitivity (cont'd)

 Unbinned fit of the q<sup>2</sup>-distribution using 3<sup>rd</sup> order Chebychev polynomials to parameterise forward and backward events



- Still have to add background and acceptance corrections
- Don't have to assume linear over zero-crossing point region, remove dependence on bin-size, fit range

### **Projection Fits**

- Decays contain much more information than  $\theta_{\text{I}},\,A_{\text{FB}}$  distributions
- Fitting projections of  $\theta_{l}$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\theta_{K}$  angular distributions:

$$\frac{d\Gamma'}{d\phi} = \frac{\Gamma'}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - F_L) A_T^{(2)} \cos 2\phi + A_{Im} \sin 2\phi \right)$$
$$\frac{d\Gamma'}{d\theta_l} = \Gamma' \left( \frac{3}{4} F_L \sin^2 \theta_l + \frac{3}{8} (1 - F_L) (1 + \cos^2 \theta_l) + A_{FB} \cos \theta_l \right) \sin \theta_l$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma'}{d\theta_K} = \frac{3\Gamma'}{4}\sin\theta_k \left(2F_L\cos^2\theta_K + (1-F_L)\sin^2\theta_K\right)$$

 $\rightarrow$  fraction of longitudinal polarization,  $F_L^{},$  and transverse asymmetry  $A_T^{\,2}$ 

- Improves precision on A<sub>FB</sub> by a factor ~2 cf. counting method
- Precision on  $A_T^2$  relatively poor as suppressed by  $(1-F_L)$  term





# Full Angular Fit

• Full angular fit has also been investigated:

 $\frac{d^4\Gamma_{\overline{B}_d}}{dq^2\,d\theta_l\,d\theta_K\,d\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi}I(q^2,\theta_l,\theta_K,\phi)\sin\theta_l\sin\theta_K$ 

 Parameterised in terms of transversity amplitudes

 $-A_0^{L,R}, A_{\perp}^{L,R}, A_{\parallel}^{L,R}, 6$  complex numbers

- Probe chiral structure of decay
- Sensitive to observables not accessible from projection fits
- Once have enough events in each  $q^2$  bin for fit to converge  $\rightarrow$  better precision on  $A_{FB}$ ,  $F_L$ , and  $A_T^2$
- Then have all amplitudes can form any observable ...



## Full Angular Fit (cont'd)

- Recent theoretical investigation has highlighted new observables A<sub>T</sub><sup>3</sup>, A<sub>T</sub><sup>4</sup> with different NP sensitivity – See TH's talk at end of this session
- Full angular fit possible with >2fb<sup>-1</sup> data
- However, have to handle full acceptance correction
- Expect ~30% further improvement in precision on A<sub>FB</sub> over projection method



### Sensitivity of Central Detectors

- Table right from ATLAS, CMS studies on-going
- For  $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$  ATLAS expects:
  - ~0.8k signal events /10fb<sup>-1</sup> (full q<sup>2</sup> range)
  - <3.3k bkgrd events @90%CL</li>
- Significant numbers of  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu \mu$ and  $\Lambda_B \rightarrow \Lambda \mu \mu$  events will also be recorded – will be able to compute  $A_{FB}$  in these channels
- LHCb also investigating all these decays

30 fb <sup>-1</sup> (3 years)	# of signal Events	δA <sub>FB</sub> for q <sup>2</sup> <2.7 GeV <sup>2</sup> (under J/Ψ)
$B  ightarrow K^{0*} \mu \mu$	2500	4.8%
$B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu\mu$	900	6.0%
$B^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \rightarrow K^{\scriptscriptstyle +*}  \mu \mu$	4000	5.2%
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu \mu$	2300	3.0%
$Λ_b \rightarrow Λ μμ$	800	6.0%



#### Conclusions

- Bright prospects for investigating NP with  $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$  at the LHC
- ATLAS expects ~0.2k signal events (2.5fb<sup>-1</sup>) in 2009 [CMS studies on-going]
- LHCb expects ~ 1.8k signal events (0.5fb<sup>-1</sup>) in 2009
   With <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of a nominal year, will already have ~10× current B-factory statistics
- Background control significant issue, latest simulation studies still demonstrating good control B/S ~0.1-0.2
- Acceptance correction will also be important
- New methods fitting A<sub>FB</sub> under investigation to reduce biases
- New methods fitting angular distributions offer improved sensitivity to  $A_{FB}$ ,  $F_L$  and new observables with different NP sensitivity  $A_T^2$ ,  $A_T^3$ ,  $A_T^4$
- Other analogous channels also under investigation