XXV European Cosmic Ray Symposium 4-9 September 2016

galactic

cosmic rays

galactic

# Measurement of the properties of cosmic rays with the LOFAR radio telescope

GeV<sup>2.0</sup>1

ະວ

. Д

Flux dd/dE0 · E0

10

characterize cosmic rays: -direction -energy -mass @100% duty cycle

Jörg R. Hörandel

30

**Radboud University Nijmegen & Nikhef** 

LOFAR

http://particle.astro.ru.nl

109

LOFAR

**IOPES** 

108

LITTLE LITTLE LITTLE LITTLE

**AERA** 

10 10

Energy E<sub>o</sub> [GeV]

10 11

extragalactic

cosmic rays



96 low-band antennas 30-80 MHz high-band antennas (2x24 tiles) 120-240 MHz

M. van Haarlem et al., A&A 556 (2013) A2

## LOFAR Radboud Air Shower Array - LORA



S. Thoudam et al, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 767 (2014) 339

S. Thoudam et al, Astropart. Phys. 73 (2016) 34

## Gain of complete signal chain with a crane

80



#### A. Nelles et al, JINST 009 (2015) 0815

### Gain of complete signal chain with a crane with Galactic emission



A. Nelles et al, JINST 009 (2015) 0815

## A measured air shower



Circles: LOFAR antennas, Pentagons: LORA particle detectors, size denotes signal strength

## **Radio Emission in Air Showers**



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Mainly: Charge} \\ \text{separation in} \\ \text{geomagnetic field} \\ \vec{E} \propto \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \end{array}$ 



### Arrival direction of showers with strong radio signals north-south asymmetry v x B effect



LOFAR



A. Nelles et al., Astroparticle Physics 65

## **Radio Emission in Air Showers**



#### polarization of radio signal





## Polarization footprint of an individual air shower



## **Charge excess fraction**



## Atmospheric electric fields during thunderstorms



T.N.G. Trinh et al., Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 023003

P. Schellart et al., PRL 114 (2015) 165

## Atmospheric electric fields during thunderstorms



#### P. Schellart et al., PRL 114 (2015) 165001

## Atmospheric electric fields during thunderstorms



P. Schellart et al., PRL 114 (2015) 165

## Lateral distribution of radio signals as measured by LOFAR



## Lateral distribution of radio signals as measured by LOFAR



## Lateral distribution of radio signals



## Lateral distribution of radio signals not rotationally symmetric

#### fit two Gaussian functions



#### A. Nelles et al., Astropart. Phys. 60 (2015) 13

## **Measuring Cherenkov Rings**

#### 110 - 190 MHz



LOFAR is the only dedicated experiment with high-band antennas



higher frequency-range: dominance of relativistic time-compression





signals expected to be on a ring of emission

|--|

first experiment to observe these in single showers

## Measuring Cherenkov Rings 110 - 190 MHz



ring size sensitive to Xmax

#### A. Nelles et al., Astroparticle Physics 65 (2015) 11

## **Shape of the Shower Front**



#### hyperboloid

## Shape of Shower Front SLOFAR



## fit quality



#### A. Corstanje et al., Astropart. Phys. 61 (2015) 22

## **Accuracy of Shower Direction**





#### A. Corstanje et al., Astropart. Phys. 61 (2015) 22



LOFAR

A. Nelles et al., JCAP 05 (2015) 018





A. Nelles et al., JCAP 05 (2015) 018



A. Nelles et al., JCAP 05 (2015) 018

## Measurement chapter mass

300



[5] The energy resolution of 32% is given by the distribution of the ratio between the energy scaling factor of the radio reconstruction and the particle reconstruction from the LORA array

[6] The uncertainty on Xmax is found with a Monte Carlo study For this sample the mean uncertainty is 17 g/cm<sup>2</sup> S. Buitink et al., PRD 90 (2014) 082003

## **Depth of the shower maximum**

#### LETTER **nature**

#### A large light-mass component of cosmic rays at $10^{17}$ - $10^{17.5}$ electronvolts from radio observations

S. Buitink<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Corstanje<sup>2</sup>, H. Falcke<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, J. R. Hörandel<sup>2,4</sup>, T. Huege<sup>6</sup>, A. Nelles<sup>2,7</sup>, J. P. Rachen<sup>2</sup>, L. Rossetto<sup>2</sup>, P. Schellart<sup>2</sup>, O. Scholten<sup>8,9</sup>, S. ter Veen<sup>3</sup>, S. Thoudam<sup>2</sup>, T. N. G. Trinh<sup>8</sup>, J. Anderson<sup>10</sup>, A. Asgekar<sup>3,11</sup>, I. M. Avruch<sup>12,13</sup>, M. E. Bell<sup>14</sup>, O. Scholten<sup>8,9</sup>, S. ter Veen<sup>3</sup>, S. Thoudam<sup>2</sup>, T. N. G. Trinh<sup>8</sup>, J. Anderson<sup>10</sup>, A. Asgekar<sup>3,11</sup>, I. M. Avruch<sup>12,13</sup>, M. E. Bell<sup>4</sup>, M. J. Bentum<sup>3,15</sup>, G. Bernardi<sup>16,17</sup>, P. Best<sup>18</sup>, A. Bonafede<sup>19</sup>, F. Breitling<sup>20</sup>, J. W. Broderick<sup>21</sup>, W. N. Brouw<sup>3,13</sup>, M. Brüggen<sup>19</sup>, H. R. Butcher<sup>22</sup>, D. Carbone<sup>33</sup>, B. Ciardi<sup>24</sup>, J. E. Conway<sup>25</sup>, F. de Gasperin<sup>19</sup>, E. de Geus<sup>3,26</sup>, A. Deller<sup>3</sup>, R. J. Dettmar<sup>27</sup>, G. van Diepen<sup>3</sup>, S. Duscha<sup>3</sup>, J. Eislöffel<sup>28</sup>, D. Engels<sup>29</sup>, J. E. Enriquez<sup>3</sup>, R. A. Fallows<sup>3</sup>, R. Fender<sup>30</sup>, C. Ferrari<sup>31</sup>, W. Frieswijk<sup>3</sup>, M. A. Garrett<sup>33,2</sup>, J. M. Grieśmeire<sup>33,34</sup>, A. W. Gunst<sup>3</sup>, M. P. van Haarlem<sup>3</sup>, T. E. Hassall<sup>21</sup>, G. Head<sup>13,13</sup>, W. T. Hessels<sup>3,23</sup>, M. Hoeft<sup>28</sup>, A. Horneffer<sup>5</sup>, M. Iacobelli<sup>3</sup>, H. Intema<sup>32,35</sup>, E. Juette<sup>27</sup>, A. Karastergiou<sup>30</sup>, V. I. Kondratiev<sup>3,36</sup>, M. Kramer<sup>5,37</sup>, M. Kuniyoshi<sup>36</sup>, G. Kuper<sup>3</sup>, J. van Leeuwen<sup>3,23</sup>, G. M. Loose<sup>3</sup>, P. Maat<sup>9</sup>, G. Mann<sup>30</sup>, S. Markoff<sup>23</sup>, R. McFadden<sup>3</sup>, D. McKay – Bukowski<sup>30</sup>, M. J. P. McKean<sup>3</sup>, M. Pietka<sup>30</sup>, R. Pizzo<sup>3</sup>, A. G. Polatidis<sup>4</sup>, W. Reich<sup>4</sup>, H. J. A. Röttgering<sup>22</sup>, A. M. M. Scaife<sup>21</sup>, D. J. Schwarz<sup>41</sup>, M. Serylak<sup>30</sup>, J. El McKea<sup>30</sup>, R. Pizzo<sup>3</sup>, A. G. Polatidis<sup>4</sup>, W. Reich<sup>5</sup>, H. J. A. Röttgering<sup>22</sup>, A. M. M. Scaife<sup>21</sup>, M. Tagger<sup>33</sup>, Y. Tang<sup>3</sup>, C. Tasse<sup>44,46</sup>, M. C. Toribio<sup>1,3,2</sup>, R. Vermeulen<sup>3</sup>, C. Vock<sup>30</sup>, C. Vogt<sup>3</sup>, R. J. twan Weeren<sup>16</sup>, R. A. M. J. Wijers<sup>33</sup>, S. J. Wijnholds<sup>3</sup>, M. W. Wise<sup>3,23</sup>, O. Wucknitz<sup>5</sup>, S. Yatawatta<sup>3</sup>, P. Zarka<sup>47</sup> & J. A. Zensus<sup>5</sup>

Cosmic rays are the highest-energy particles found in nature. Measurements of the mass composition of cosmic rays with energies of  $10^{17}\text{--}10^{18}$  electronvolts are essential to understanding whether they have galactic or extragalactic sources. It has also been proposed that the astrophysical neutrino signal1 comes from accelerators capable of producing cosmic rays of these energies<sup>2</sup>. Cosmic rays initiate air showers-cascades of secondary particles in the atmosphere—and their masses can be inferred from measurements of the atmospheric depth of the shower maximum<sup>3</sup> ( $X_{maxi}$  the depth of the air shower when it contains the most particles) or of the composition of shower particles reaching the ground<sup>4</sup>. Current measurements<sup>5</sup> have either high uncertainty, or a low duty cycle and a high energy threshold. Radio detection of cosmic rays<sup>6-8</sup> is a rapidly developing technique<sup>9</sup> for determining  $X_{max}$  (refs 10, 11) with a duty cycle of, in principle, nearly 100 per cent. The radiation is generated by the separation of relativistic electrons and positrons in the geomagnetic field and a negative charge excess in the shower front<sup>6,12</sup>. Here we report radio measurements of X<sub>max</sub> with a mean incertainty of 16 grams per square centimetre for air showers

(particle type)

initiated by cosmic rays with energies of  $10^{17}$ - $10^{17.5}$  electronvolts. This high resolution in  $X_{\rm max}$  enables us to determine the mass spectrum of the cosmic rays: we find a mixed composition, with a light-mass fraction (protons and helium nuclei) of about 80 per cent. Unless, contrary to current expectations, the extragalactic component of cosmic rays contributes substantially to the total flux below 1017.5 electronvolts, our measurements indicate the existence of an additional galactic component, to account for the light composition that we measured in the  $10^{17}$ – $10^{17.5}$  electronvolt range. Observations were made with the Low Frequency Array (LOFAR<sup>13</sup>), a radio telescope consisting of thousands of crossed dipoles with built-in air-shower-detection capability<sup>14</sup>. LOFAR continuously records the radio signals from air showers, while simultaneously running astronomical observations. It comprises a scintillator array (LORA) that triggers the read-out of buffers, storing the full waveforms received by all antennas. We selected air showers from the period June 2011 to January 2015

doi:10.1038/nature16976

with radio pulses detected in at least 192 antennas. The total uptime was about 150 days, limited by construction and comm



#### S. Buitink et al., Nature 531 (2016) 70

## **Mean logarithmic mass**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

S. Thoudam et al., A&A in press (2016), arXiv 1605.03111

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 1. Energy spectra for different cosmic-ray elements. Solid line: Model prediction for the SNR-CRs. Data: CREAM (Ahn et al. 2009; Yoon et al. 2011), ATIC-2 (Panov et al. 2007), AMS-02 (Aguilar et al. 2015a,b), PAMELA (Adriani et al. 2011), CRN (Müller et al. 1991; Swordy et al. 1990), HEAO (Engelmann et al. 1990), TRACER (Obermeier et al. 2011), and KASCADE (Antoni et al. 2005). Cosmic-ray source parameters (q, f) used in the calculation are given in Table 1. For the other model parameters  $(D_0, a, \eta, s)$ , see text for details.

10<sup>9</sup>

10<sup>4</sup>

Energy E (GeV)

10<sup>3</sup>

10 10<sup>2</sup>

1

10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>6</sup>

10<sup>8</sup> 10<sup>9</sup>

10<sup>7</sup>

10

10 10<sup>2</sup>

10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>6</sup>

Energy E (GeV)

10<sup>7</sup> 10<sup>8</sup>

#### **Contribution of** (regular) SNR-CR

$$E_c = Z \cdot 4.5 \ 10^6 \ \text{GeV}$$
$$Q(p) = AQ_0 (Ap)^{-q} \exp\left(-\frac{Ap}{Zp_c}\right),$$

Table 1. Source spectral indices, q, and energy injected per supernova, f, for the different species of cosmic rays used in the calculation of the SNR-CRs spectra shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Particle type	q	$f (\times 10^{49} \text{ ergs})$
Proton	2.24	6.95
Helium	2.21	0.79
Carbon	2.21	$2.42 \times 10^{-2}$
Oxygen	2.25	$2.52 \times 10^{-2}$
Neon	2.25	$3.78 \times 10^{-3}$
Magnesium	2.29	$5.17  imes 10^{-3}$
Silicon	2.25	$5.01 \times 10^{-3}$
Iron	2.25	$4.95 \times 10^{-3}$

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Contribution of (regular) SNR-CR to all-particle spectrum**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 2. Contribution of SNR-CRs to the all-particle cosmic-ray spectrum. The thin lines represent spectra for the individual elements, and the thick-solid line represents the total contribution. The calculation assumes an exponential cut-off energy for protons at  $E_c = 4.5 \times 10^6$  GeV. Other model parameters, and the low-energy data are the same as in Figure 1. Error bars are shown only for the proton and helium data. High-energy data: KASCADE (Antoni et al. 2005), IceTop (Aartsen et al. 2013), Tibet III (Amenomori et al. 2008), the Pierre Auger Observatory (Schulz et al. 2013), and HiRes II (Abbasi et al. 2009).

## ~8% of mechanical power of SN --> CRs

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 3. Contribution of GW-CRs to the all-particle cosmic-ray spectrum. The thin lines represent spectra for the individual elements, and the thick dashed line represents the total contribution. The injection fraction,  $k_{\rm w} = 14.5\%$ , and the exponential cut-off energy for protons,  $E_{\rm sh} = 9.5 \times 10^{\circ}$  GeV. See text for the other model parameters. Data are the same as in Figure 2.

$$k_{sh} = 14.5\%$$
  $E_{sh} = 9.5 \cdot 10^7 \text{ GeV}$   
 $V = \dot{V}r$   $\dot{V} = 15 \text{ km/s/kpc}$ 

## Re-acceleration of SNR-CRs by Galactic wind termination shocks (GW-CRs)

fall-off due to exp falloff in source spectra

![](_page_32_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

\*Injection fraction, f, and E<sub>max</sub>(proton) are parameters

### Cosmic rays from Wolf-Rayet star explosions (WR-CRs)

**Table 2.** Relative abundances of different cosmic-ray species with respect to helium for two different Wolf-Rayet wind compositions used in our model (Pollock et al. 2005).

Particle type	C/He = 0.1	C/He = 0.4
Proton	0	0
Helium	1.0	1.0
Carbon	0.1	0.4
Oxygen	$3.19\times10^{-2}$	$7.18 \times 10^{-2}$
Neon	$0.42 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.03 \times 10^{-2}$
Magnesium	$2.63\times10^{-4}$	$6.54 \times 10^{-4}$
Silicon	$2.34 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.85 \times 10^{-4}$
Iron	$0.68\times10^{-4}$	$1.69\times10^{-4}$

**Fig. 4.** Contribution of WR-CRs to the all-particle spectrum. *Top*: C/He = 0.1. *Bottom*: C/He = 0.4. The thin lines represent spectra for the individual elements, and the thick dashed line represents the total contribution. The calculation assumes an exponential energy cut-off for protons at  $E_c = 1.8 \times 10^8$  GeV for C/He = 0.1, and  $E_c = 1.3 \times 10^8$  GeV for C/He = 0.4. See text for the other model parameters. Data: same as in Figure 2.

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Table 3.** Injection energy of SNR-CRs used in the calculation of all-particle spectrum in the WR-CR model (Figure 6).

Particle type	C/He = 0.1	C/He = 0.4
	$f(\times 10^{49} \text{ ergs})$	$f(\times 10^{49} \text{ ergs})$
Proton	8.11	8.11
Helium	0.67	0.78
Carbon	$2.11\times10^{-2}$	$0.73 \times 10^{-2}$
Oxygen	$2.94 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.94 \times 10^{-2}$
Neon	$4.41 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.41 \times 10^{-3}$
Magnesium	$6.03 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.03 \times 10^{-3}$
Silicon	$5.84  imes 10^{-3}$	$5.84 \times 10^{-3}$
Iron	$5.77 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.77 \times 10^{-3}$

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

## All-particle energy spectrum - 3 components

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)