## Observing the TeV $\gamma$ -ray sky with the High Altitude Water Cherenkov observatory

Harm Schoorlemmer, on behalf of the HAWC - collaboration





#### The HAWC observatory

#### Site specifications

- 4100 m above sea level
- Mexico, Sierra Negra, Lat. +19°
- **300 water-Cherenkov tanks**
- Full array operational since March 2015 22000 m<sup>2</sup>, with 57% coverage

#### The water Cherenkov detectors



- 200 000L of purified water
- 4 PMTs: one central 10" PMT surrounded by three 8" PMTs
- Signals measured using a Time to Digital Convertor



#### Shower type identification

γ-rays produce an

electromagnetic cascade:

- Very little to no muons
- Smooth lateral distribution around the impact point

Atomic nuclei generate "hadronic" cascade:

- Significant amount of primary energy into muon production
- Particle distribution on ground irregular



#### Shower type identification

#### PINC

Sum over the deviations from the average in an annulus around the impact point.

Measure of the smoothness of the lateral distribution.



#### Shower type identification

#### 1/Compactness:

Largest signal outside the impact region compared to the number of pmt hit: Qmax/Nsp

Sensitive to subshowers & muons



#### Shower type identification using the crab-region

PINC

1/Compactness



Distribution in the presence of a  $\gamma$ -ray source follow a different distribution than background regions

#### Angular reconstruction performance using the crab



#### Improvements on the shower reconstruction algorithms

Pass 3



5



#### Performance: Point Source Sensitivity

- 15 times more sensitive than previous generation WCD (Milargro)
- Above 10 TeV more sensitive than current IACTs for point sources within a year



## The TeV $\gamma$ -ray sky observed by HAWC



- 340 days from November 2014 November 2015
- $\sim 2/3$  of the full sky, every day!!!
- >95 % uptime

#### Galactic sources: inner galactic plane (403 days)



#### Galactic sources: Cygnus region (403 days)

New TeV source: 2HWCJ2006+341 > 6σ pre-trails



## Galactic sources: Cygnus region (403 days)

#### New TeV source: 2HWC J2006+341 > 6σ pre-trails

MGRO J2013+403 resolved into two sources: - 2HWC J2020+403 (VER J2019+407)

-2HWC J2031 + 4026 (TeV J2032+4130), a PWN or binary?



Gal. longitude (deg)

M. Ackermann et al Sci. (2011)

## Galactic sources: Cygnus region (403 days)

#### New TeV source: 2HWC J2006+341 > 6σ pre-trails

MGRO J2013+41 resolved into two sources: - 2HWC J2020+403 (VER J2019+407) -2HWC J2031 + 4026 (TeV J2032+4130), a PWN or binary?

**2HWC J2019+367** (MGRO J2019+37 VER J2019+368)



#### Galactic sources: HAWC source confirmed by Veritas



#### Galactic sources: Nearby old pulsars (>100 kyr)



#### Geminga Region, Disk 2 deg, index -2.2



#### Galactic sources: Nearby old pulsars



Energy budget ~10<sup>44</sup> erg: the emission is not coming from the standard PWN, but from emission from electrons the ISM
Potential source for the e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup> excess observed near Earth => Using energy dependent morphology to constrain e+e- flux at Earth

Extra-galactic sources: Mrk421

Monitoring the variations in the light curves on a daily basis

# Correlation studies with Fermi-LAT, Swift-BAT, FACT ongoing





#### Extra-galactic sources: Mrk501

#### First ATEL send out on 6th of April 2016





#### ~2 Crab units, elevated flux for ~2 days



#### Fermi-Bubbles



- Currently no excess found
- Upper-limits set at 95% confidence level
- <u>Improvements expected</u>: improvement in the reconstruction of small showers, more accurate energy estimator, more data





#### Dark Matter



- Examples results of 14 stacked dSph, M31, Virgo for annihilation and decay in  $\tau^+ \tau^-$ : Paper in progress (stay tuned!)
- Improvements expected: More dSph, better event reconstruction at lowest and highest energies, more data

#### Follow-up on Ligo's Gravitational Waves

#### GW151226

- 2015 Dec 26 03:38:53.6 UTC
- >5 sigma
- 14.2M⊙ + 7.5M⊙ ➡ 21.8M⊙
- z=0.09 +0.03 -0.04

In HAWC we found a transient 9.93 seconds after the LIGO trigger with a duration of 10 s with 5  $\sigma$  pre-trial but with a post-trial p=0.08 it is compatible with background.



#### Cosmic rays Anisotropy

Small-scale (< 60°) Large-scale removed (dipole,quadrupole,+octupole) 10° smoothing applied 86 billion events over 181 days

In press with Astrophys. J. (arXiv 1408.4805 [astro-ph.HE])

3 significant excesses

- A strongest, harder spectrum than bkg, at ~10 TeV consistent with Milagro
- B most extended
- C confirms Argo-YBJ observation



Cosmic rays spectrum

All particle cosmic ray spectrum from 0.01 - 1 PeV

Strict cuts on zenith angle and core location

Consistency with other experiments



#### Summary

2/3 of the sky observed with full sensitivity over one year:

- Many (>10) new unknown sources
- Confirmed known extended sources with higher accuracy
- Able to follow-up and generate transient events alerts
- Constrains on Fermi-Bubbles and DM candidates

Papers coming up:

- Source catalogue
- Dark matter
- Crab paper
- Old nearby pulsar paper
- Light curves...

#### What to expect next?

- More data
- Improvement in reconstruction
- High Energy extension:
  - Sparser array with 350 smaller tanks
  - Installation began August 2016 and will be finished early 2017
- Development for a next generation observatory in the southern hemisphere







#### Thank you for your attention!

#### Galactic sources: "Executioner" (403 days)



#### Cosmic rays

#### Sun shadow



#### Moon shadow

