

Planck 2015 cosmology: a check up on the health of the ACDM model

Paolo Natoli Università di Ferrara and INFN on behalf of the Planck Collaboration

Results presented mainly based on Planck 2015 papers I, VI, VIII, XIII, XV, XX

Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste La Thuile- 7 March 2016



esa

The Planck Satellite

- Third-generation satellite, launched and operated by ESA, dedicated to the CMB
- Observed the sky continously from 12 August 2009 to 23 October 2013
- Focal plane hosts 74 detectors between 30 GHz and 1 THz (9 bands) with angular resolution between 30' and 5', $\Delta T/T_{CMB} \sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$
- Low Frequency Instrument (LFI): pseudocorrelation radiometers observing at 30, 44, 70 GHz
- High Frequency Instrument (HFI): bolometers observing at 100, 143, 217, 353, 545 and 857 GHz
- Observed the microwave sky for ~ 30 (HFI) and 48 (LFI) months
- First cosmological release in May 2013, using the "nominal mission" temperature data (15.5 months of observations)
- Second cosmological release in Feb 2015: full mission temperature and polarization
- Third and final (legacy) release in 2016





Full sky temperature map from Planck (2013)



The main objective of Planck is to measure the spatial temperature and polarization anisotropies of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation

The CMB is a blackbody radiation with T=2.7 K extremely uniform across the whole sky; it is the relic radiation emitted at the time the nuclei and electrons recombined to form neutral hydrogen, when the Universe was ~ 400,000 years old.

Its tiny (~ 10^{-5}) temperature and polarization anisotropies encode a wealth of cosmological information.







The fluctuations are observed to be Gaussian distributed: all the statistical information in the map is encoded in the two point correlation function or in its harmonic transform, the angular power spectrum:

 $\Theta(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell=\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{+\ell} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) \qquad \left\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell' m'}^* \right\rangle = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} C_{\ell}$















Maximum posterior intensity maps derived throughg the Commander algorithm from the joint analysis of Planck, WMAP and 408 MHz observations from Haslam





Planck 2015 Temperature map



Frequency spectrum of RMS brightness polarization intensity: CMB vs. astrophysical foregrounds





Planck 2015 Polarization map







TT Angular power spectrum



TE and EE angular power spectra



CMB polarization



Wayne Hu

. The Compton scattering cross section depends on photon polarization: $\frac{d\sigma_T}{d\sigma_T} \propto$

$$\frac{d\sigma_T}{d\Omega} \propto |\widehat{\varepsilon} \cdot \widehat{\varepsilon}'|^2$$

2.CMB polarization is created *only* by a local temperature **quadrupole** anisotropy. This is generated only when the photon diffusion length grows enough to reveal higher order moments in the brightness distribution (e.g. at recombination)





E-mode and B-mode



E mode

B mode



- Polarization is a spin 2 tensor, can be decomposed in parity even and parity odd component ("E" and "B")
- 2. Gravitational potential (density perturbation, parity even) can generate the Emode polarization, but not Bmodes because CMB physics is electromagnetic (parity conserving)



CMB polarization in a nutshell

- The CMB is polarized with an amplitude of a few μK
- Most of this polarization pattern is generated by density perturbations at the time of last scattering....
- but a small part of it (peaking at ~ degree scales) could have been be generated by primordial gravitational waves – so called polarization B-modes





PLANCK PROBES AND EXPLOITS CMB LENSING

The gravitational effects of intervening matter bend the path of CMB light on its way from the early universe to the Planck telescope. This "gravitational lensing" distorts our image of the CMB







LENSING



COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS: STANDARD ΛCDM





Parameters of the base ACDM cosmology

Parameter	[1] Planck TT+lowP	[2] Planck TE+lowP	[3] Planck EE+lowP	[4] Planck TT,TE,EE+lowP	$([1] - [4]) / \sigma_{[1]}$
$\overline{\Omega_{ m b}h^2}$	0.02222 ± 0.00023	0.02228 ± 0.00025	0.0240 ± 0.0013	0.02225 ± 0.00016	-0.1
$\Omega_{ m c} h^2$	0.1197 ± 0.0022	0.1187 ± 0.0021	$0.1150^{+0.0048}_{-0.0055}$	0.1198 ± 0.0015	0.0
$100\theta_{MC}$	1.04085 ± 0.00047	1.04094 ± 0.00051	1.03988 ± 0.00094	1.04077 ± 0.00032	0.2
τ	0.078 ± 0.019	0.053 ± 0.019	$0.059^{+0.022}_{-0.019}$	0.079 ± 0.017	-0.1
$\ln(10^{10}A_{\rm s})$	3.089 ± 0.036	3.031 ± 0.041	$3.066^{+0.046}_{-0.041}$	3.094 ± 0.034	-0.1
<i>n</i> _s	0.9655 ± 0.0062	0.965 ± 0.012	0.973 ± 0.016	0.9645 ± 0.0049	0.2
H_0	67.31 ± 0.96	67.73 ± 0.92	70.2 ± 3.0	67.27 ± 0.66	0.0
Ω_{m}	0.315 ± 0.013	0.300 ± 0.012	$0.286^{+0.027}_{-0.038}$	0.3156 ± 0.0091	0.0
$\sigma_8 \dots \dots$	0.829 ± 0.014	0.802 ± 0.018	0.796 ± 0.024	0.831 ± 0.013	0.0
$10^9 A_{\rm s} e^{-2\tau}$	1.880 ± 0.014	1.865 ± 0.019	1.907 ± 0.027	1.882 ± 0.012	-0.1

All uncertainties are 68% CL







Constraints on the reionization optical depth

Planck TT+lowP $\tau = 0.078 +/- 0.019$ ($z_{re} = 9.9 +/- 1.7$)

Planck TT+lensing $\tau = 0.070 + - 0.024$ ($z_{re} = 9.0 + - 2.3$)

Compare with 2013 result (driven by WMAP low-ell polarization): $\tau = 0.089 +/- 0.013$ ($z_{re} = 11.1 +/- 1.0$)



BUT WMAP polarization *cleaned with Planck 30 and* 353 GHz gives results consistent with Planck lowP





Constraints on the reionization optical depth



Much better agreement with HST data on the abundance and luminosity distribution of distant galaxies.

Reduces the requirement for a significant population of very high redshift (z >> 10) galaxies

Robertson et al., arXiv 1502.02024



Probing neutrino masses with CMB data

The effect of neutrinos with a mass between 10^{-3} and 1 eV on the primary CMB spectrum comes from the fact that they contribute to the radiation density at the time of equality, and to the nonrelativistic matter density today.

This induces an integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect (both at early and late times) and/or a change in the angular diameter distance to the last scattering surface.

Before Planck, these were the dominant effects in constraining the neutrino mass from CMB data.

Planck has moved us to a new regime where instead the dominant effect is gravitational lensing.

Increasing the neutrino mass suppresses clustering on scales smaller than the size of the horizon at the time of the NR transition, suppressing the lensing potential.





Planck constraints on neutrino masses



Planck alone is already at the level of the expected sensitivity of KATRIN, an experiment for the direct measurement of neutrino mass from tritium beta decay (will allow to constrain $\Sigma m_v < 0.6$

(all limits are 95% CL)



Probing the neutrino number with Planck

 N_{eff} parameterizes the density of relativistic particles in the Universe. The standard value, for the three active neutrinos, is $N_{eff} = 3.046$.

Increasing N_{eff} reduces the small scale anisotropies:



Probing N_{eff} with CMB data

 N_{eff} parameterizes the density of radiation (other than photons) in the Universe, in units of the density of a single neutrino family in thermodynamic equilibrium at T=1.9 K. The standard value is N_{eff} = 3.046

An excess in N_{eff} could be caused by a neutrino/antineutrino asymmetry, sterile neutrinos, or other light relics in the Universe. The case $N_{eff} < 3.046$ is also possible (e.g. low reheating scenarios).

The main effect of increasing N_{eff} while keeping both θ_* and z_{eq} fixed is to increase the expansion rate before recombination and thus make the Universe younger at recombination. This increases the angular scale of the photon diffusion length and thus reduces the power in the damping tail.

 N_{eff} is correlated mainly with H_0 , Y_p and n_s .





N_{eff} constraints from Planck

$$N_{eff} = 3.13 \pm 0.32 \text{ (PlanckTT+lowP)}$$

$$N_{eff} = 3.15 \pm 0.23 \text{ (PlanckTT+lowP+BAO)}$$

$$N_{eff} = 2.98 \pm 0.20 \text{ (PlanckTT,TE,EE+lowP)}$$

$$N_{eff} = 3.04 \pm 0.18 \text{ (PlanckTT,TE,EE+lowP+BAO)}$$

$$(uncertainties are 68\% \text{ CL})$$

*N*_{eff} = 4 (i.e., one extra thermalized neutrino) *is excluded at between* ~ *3 and 5 sigma.*





N_{eff} constraints from Planck



Scalar spectral index and tensors fluctuations













Conclusions

- Planck 2015 data products are built from the full mission temperature and polarization observations
- Many improvements wrt to 2013 (e.g. improved calibration)
- LCDM is in very good shape
- Planck can constrain neutrino masses mainly thanks to the lensing of the power spectrum. PlanckTT+lowP+BAO gives Σm_{ν} < 0.23 eV
- Planck alone is already better or at the same level as KATRIN!
- Planck is compatible with 3 neutrino families; $N_{eff} = 4$ is excluded at between 3 and 5 sigma, depending on the dataset
- Consistent with standard BBN
- Neutrino perturbations consistent with free-streaming nu's
- No evidence of tensor modes, but still plenty of room for them!
- ϕ^2 and natural inflation are in trouble





The scientific results that we present today are a product of the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 100 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada.





Tritium & decay, 0 2 & and

 $\frac{\textbf{Cosmology}}{[c_{13}^2c_{12}^2m_1^2 + c_{13}^2s_{12}^2m_2^2 + s_{13}^2m_3^2]^{1/2}}$





Tritium & decay, 0 2 & and Cosmoloav $|c_{13}^2c_{12}^2m_1 + c_{13}^2s_{12}^2m_2e^{i\phi_2} + s_{13}^2m_3e^{i\phi_3}|$ 10^{0} GERDA I $m_{etaeta} \; [eV]$ 10^{-1} no ext10⁻² Planck TT +lensingnsingNormal Inverted 10⁻³ _____ 10⁻² 10⁻¹ 10⁰ $\Sigma m_{\nu} \ [eV]$ esa











Planck BB amplitude from the 353 GHz data, extrapolated to 150 GHz, normalized to the CMB expectation for r=1The thick black contour outlines the BICEP2 deep-field region













The Cosmic Neutrino Background (CvB)

• The presence of a background of relic neutrinos is a basic prediction of the standard cosmological model

• Neutrinos are kept in thermal equilibrium with the cosmological plasma by weak interactions until T ~ I MeV ($z \sim 10^{10}$);

• Neutrinos keep the energy spectrum of a relativistic fermion in equilibrium:

$$f_{\nu}(p) = \frac{1}{e^{p/T} + 1}$$

• The present Universe is filled by a relic neutrino background with T

= 1.9 K and n = 113 part/cm³ per species (CvB)





The Cosmic Neutrino Background (CvB)

• Neutrinos are nonrelativistic today...

$$\rho_{\nu} = m_{\nu}n_{\nu} = m_{\nu}g_{\nu}\int f(p)d^{3}p \propto m_{\nu}g_{\nu}T_{\nu}^{3}$$
$$\Omega_{\nu} = \sum_{\nu}\frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\rho_{c}} = \frac{\sum_{\nu}m_{\nu}}{93.14h^{2} \text{ eV}}$$

• ... but they were ultrarelativistic in the early Universe

$$\rho_{\nu} = g_{\nu} \int \not p f(\not p) d^{3} \not p \propto g_{\nu} T_{\nu}^{4}$$

$$\rho_{\text{rad}} = \rho_{\nu} + \rho_{\gamma} = \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} N_{\nu} \right] \rho_{\gamma}$$





The Cosmic Neutrino Background (CvB)

• The latter is recast as a **definition** the N_{eff} parameter:

$$\rho_{\rm rad} \equiv \rho_{\nu} + \rho_{\gamma} = \left[1 + \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} N_{\rm eff}\right] \rho_{\gamma}$$

i.e.,
$$N_{\rm eff} \equiv \frac{\rho_{\rm rad} - \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\nu}^{\rm (std)}}$$

indeed, also assuming a the standard thermal history, N_{eff} =3.046 (Mangano et al., 2005)

In general, N_{eff} parameterizes the presence of extra radiation components ("dark" radiation, not necessarily associated to neutrinos) in the early Universe.





Neutrino masses

• We know from oscillation experiments that neutrinos do have a mass

- Oscillation experiments measure the mass differences: $\delta m_{21}^2 = 7.6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$, $\delta m_{31}^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$
- Mixing angles are also quite well known....
- ...however the absolute mass scale remains unknown
- this can be measured through tritium beta decay $(m_{\beta})...$
- neutrinoless double β decay (m_{$\beta\beta$})
- ... and of course comsmology (Σm_v)





DARK ENERGY







CMB polarization in a nutshell

- The CMB is polarized with an amplitude of a few μK
- Most of this polarization pattern is generated by density perturbations at the time of last scattering....
- but a small part of it (peaking at ~ degree scales) could have been be generated by primordial gravitational waves





Planck's operational timeline







Comparison with forerunners





