Gamma-ray and neutrino diffuse emission of the Galaxy at very high energies

Dario Grasso (INFN, Pisa)

CRIS 2016

The γ -ray diffuse emission of the Galaxy



 $p(He)_{RC} + p(He)_{ISM} \rightarrow \dots + \pi_0 \rightarrow \dots 2 \gamma$ hadron scattering

• $e_{RC} + \gamma_{ISRF} \rightarrow e + \gamma$

inverse Compton (IC)

• $e_{RC} + A \rightarrow e + A + \gamma$

bremsstrahlung

The γ -ray (and ν) diffuse emission of the Galaxy



- $p(He)_{RC} + p(He)_{ISM} \rightarrow \dots + \pi^0 \rightarrow \dots 2 \gamma$ hadron scattering $p(He)_{RC} + p(He)_{ISM} \rightarrow \dots + \pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \dots \mu^{\pm} + \nu_e + \nu_{\mu}$
- $e_{RC} + \gamma_{ISRF} \rightarrow e + \gamma$

inverse Compton (IC)

• $e_{RC} + A \rightarrow e + A + \gamma$

bremsstrahlung

The cosmic ray local population

It is not expected to be representative of the entire Galaxy !

Radial distribution of sources





Energies and rates of the cosmic-ray particles

The CR Galactic population



The CR Galactic population

Commonly, propagation parameters are fixed on the basis of local observables

e.g. the diffusion coefficient $D(E) = D_0 (E/E_0)^{-\delta}$

is fixed on the basis of the secondary/primary CR nuclei ratio (the B/C most importantly) and assumed (for *conventional models*) to be spatially uniform

warning !!

due to CR vertical escape and nuclear inelastic scattering onto the interstellar gas **secondary nuclei probes only few kpc around us**. Propagation may behave differently in the central region of the Galaxy



The CR Galactic population: PAMELA anomaly

PAMELA (*Science 2011*) found an hardening of the p and He spectra at ~ 250 GeV/n AMS-02 confirmed the feature (slightly smoother and starting at ~ 300 GeV/n)

This is also required to match CREAM

spectral index p/He \approx -0.077

A similar effect is found for heavier nuclei



The CR Galactic population: PAMELA anomaly

10

Total nearby SNRs (Model A)

Total nearby SNRs (Model B)

Total: Nearby + Background (Model A) Total: Nearby + Background (Model B)

Background: Fitted

 10^{3}

10⁻¹

10⁰

10¹

Proton

SN185

10⁶

G299.2-2.9

Aloisio, Blasi & Serpico 2015

10⁵

Pamela

CREAN Voyagei AMS-02 unmod

mod.

 10^{4}

 10^{5}

 10^{3}

Vela

E (GeV)

10⁴

 10^{2}

E_k (GeV)

The CR hardening may be a local effect e.g. due to nearby SNR, see e.g. Thoudam & Hörandel 2011



The CR Galactic population: PAMELA anomaly

The CR hardening may be a local effect e.g. due to nearby SNR, see e.g. *Thoudam & Hörandel 2011*

or a large scale one due to propagation see e.g. *Blasi, Amato & Serpico 2012*)

Those scenarios should have different impact on the diffuse γ -ray emission





The Milagro anomaly in the inner Galactic Plane

ABDO ET AL. A

ApJ 2008

TABLE 1 GAMMA-RAY EMISSION FROM THE GALACTIC PLANE AROUND 15 TeV				
		DIFFUSE FLUX (×10 ⁻¹³ TeV ⁻¹ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹)		
Region for $ b < 2^{\circ}$			GALPROP	
(<i>l</i> , deg)	Statistical Significance σ	Milagro ^a	Optimized	Conventional
30–65	5.1	$23.1 \pm 4.5^{+7.0}_{-8.0}$	20.0	4.9

- the measured flux is 5 times (4 σ) larger than computed with the reference conventional model
- an optimized model (augmented IC contribution)
 proposed to account for the EGRET GeV
 excess was found to match Milagro
- GeV excess disproved by Fermi-LAT (PRL 2009)
 ⇒ back to conventional model.
 MILAGRO anomaly strikes again !



The Milagro anomaly in the inner Galactic Plane

- the excess is present also respect to updated conventional models tuned on CR data and <u>all-sky</u> Fermi-LAT data
- this holds also accounting for the CR hardening at ~ 250 GeV/n assuming it is a large scale effect.

(the proton and He spectra were assumed to match CREAM data up to 100 TeV/n)

5 years of FERMI data, within the event class ULTRACLEAN according to Fermi tools V9R32P5



The Milagro anomaly in the inner Galactic Plane

Troubles, however, start already at low energy !!

- the excess is present also respect to updated conventional models tuned on CR data and <u>all-sky</u> Fermi-LAT data
- this holds also accounting for the CR hardening at ~ 250 GeV/n assuming it is a large scale effect.

(the proton and He spectra were assumed to match CREAM data up to 100 TeV/n)

5 years of FERMI data, within the event class ULTRACLEAN according to Fermi tools V9R32P5



Conventional models against Fermi data



Fermi Benchmark (FB) conventional model based on GALPROP (*Moskalenko, Strong et al.*). The model does not account for CR hardening at ~ 250 GeV/n $\delta = 0.3$, $\gamma_P = 2.72$ in the whole Galaxy $z_h = 4$ kpc

Conventional models against Fermi data



Fermi Benchmark (FB) conventional model based on GALPROP (Moskalenko, Strong et al.). The model does not account for CR hardening at ~ 250 GeV/n $\delta = 0.3$, $\gamma_P = 2.72$ in the whole Galaxy $z_h = 4$ kpc

Beyond conventional models: Radial dependency of CR transport

Gaggero, Urbano, Valli & Ullio, arXiV: 1411.7623 PRD 2015

proton spect. index

showed also that Fermi data requires a radial dependence of the CR spectral index, hence of the γ -ray emission spectrum

This was independently reported by the Fermi collaboration and confirmed recently (see below)

This is clearly incompatible with conventional models implemented with GALPROP





Fermi results: an independent analysis

Yang, Aharonian & Evoli arXiV:1602.04710

use 7 years Fermi-LAT data

also found a similar dependence of the γ -ray spectral index on the longitude/distance to GC

CR density I order of magnitude larger than local at I TeV in the inner Galaxy





The KRAy model: Radial dependency of CR transport

Gaggero, Urbano, Valli & Ullio arXiV: 1411.7623 PRD 2015

The KRAγ model - implemented with the DRAGON code - adopts a radial dependent diffusion coefficient

 $\delta(R) = A R + B$ for R < 11 kpc, const. above such that $\delta(R_{sun}) = 0.5$

and convective velocity

$$\frac{dV_C}{dz} = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ for R < 6.5 kpc}$$

The model is tuned to reproduce the proton spectrum measured by PAMELA (including the hardening @ 250 GeV/n) up to I TeV, the B/C (antiprotons also matched by secondary prod.) as well as updated diffuse γ -ray Fermi data



The DRAGON code



Diffusion Reacceleration and Advection of Galactic cosmic rays: an Open New code

Evoli, Gaggero, DG, Maccione <u>http://www.dragonproject.org</u>

The project started in 2008, more than 20 peer reviewed papers based on this code. The present version use (among other options) the same nuclear cross sections and gas distribution as in GALPROP Main innovative features respect to previous codes:

- spatial dependent diffusion coefficient(s) (both normalization $D_0(R,z)$ and rigidity dependence index $\delta(R,z)$)
- 3D: it allows spiral arm source distribution
- it allows anisotropic diffusion (2D) $D \perp \neq D_{\parallel}$

See also the PICARD project: http://astro-staff.uibk.ac.at/~kissmrbu/Picard.html

The DRAGON code

A new version of DRAGON *Evoli, Gaggero, Vittino, Di Bernardo, Di Mauro, Ligorini & DG*

- updated spallation cross section based on Fluka (see Mazziotta et al. 1510.04623, ApJ 2016)
- many update in the solver, with significant improvements in the implementation of energy losses, advection and reacceleration
- non-equidistant spatial binning (to better probe local bubble, Gal. center, ...) and the possibility to model transient sources
- anisotropic diffusion in 3D

will soon be released.

A first (of a series) of technical papers to appear in a few days.

The KRAy model: Radial dependency of CR transport



The KRA γ model reproduces the full-sky Fermi spectrum and angular distribution. It also provides a better fit in the inner GP region and all sky

Fermi results

FERMI coll. arXiV:1602.07246; APJ supp.

template fit analysis of 7 year data - model independent (IC based on GALPROP)



The KRAy model against the Milagro anomaly at 15 TeV

Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano &Valli arXiV: 1504:00227 ApJ L 2015

The KRAγ model nicely matches MILAGRO consistently with Fermi data (point sources cleaned) no further tuning is required !



5 years of FERMI data, within the event class ULTRACLEAN according to Fermi tools V9R32P5

The impact on the Milagro anomaly at 15 TeV

Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano &Valli arXiV: 1504:00227 ApJ L 2015

The KRAγ model nicely matches MILAGRO consistently with Fermi data (point sources cleaned) no further tuning is required !

Beside inhomogeneous diffusion CR hardening at ~ 250 GeV/n must also be accounted for. This suggests that hardening is not a local feature but it is may also be related to unconventional diffusion !

5 years of FERMI data, within the event class ULTRACLEAN according to Fermi tools V9R32P5



The KRAy model against the Galactic Ridge emission

Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano &Valli arXiV: 1505:03156

HESS (Nature 2006) measured a spectrum harder ($\Gamma \sim -2.3$) than expected on the basis of conventional CR models, associated with the molecular complex in the inner 200 pc of Galaxy

this is also the case for the updated Fermi benchmark conv. model

the spectrum normalization is correctly reproduced using an improved gas model in the GC region (*Ferriere et al. 2007*)

Fit against FERMI + HESS: KRA γ : $\chi 2 = 1.79 / 2.27$ with/w.o. hard. KRA: $\chi 2 = 2.92 / 3.99$ with/w.o. hard.





The case of the Pevatron observed by HESS



Sor A'

00.00

Galactic Longitude (deg.)

359.5

+00.0

-00.2

-00,4

-00.6

01.0

00.5

+00.0

-00.2

-00.4

00.4

00.2

359.0

Sgr A* *

00.00

Galactic Longitude (deg.)

359.8

359.6

7.8

1.9

-0,5

-1.4

359.4

H.E.S.S.coll. doi:10.1038/nature17147

The expected diffuse sea with KRAy compared to Pevatron



In Preparation: Evoli, Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano, Taoso

What all this implies for neutrinos ?



The possible relevance of Milagro excess for neutrinos

harder CR in the inner Galaxy

- spectral break (due e.g. to propagation)
- harder component

Gabici et al. arXiV:0806.2459



IceCube measured v events

IceCube coll. PRL 2013 and PRL 2014

IceCube found evidence for 28 (2 years) then **37 HESE events** (3 yrs) with reconstructed direction above 30 TeV corresponding to a 5.7σ excess respect to the atm. bkg.

angular distribution compatible with isotropy (see however below)

composition compatible with a equal mixture of e, μ , τ as expected for astrophysical generated neutrino

Best fit spectral index above 60 TeV

 -2.3 ± 0.3



Deposited EM-Equivalent Energy in Detector (TeV)

IceCube astrophysical neutrinos: present status

arXiv1510.05223, ICRC2015

IceCube found evidence for 54 events (4 yrs <u>preliminary</u>) with reconstructed direction above 30 TeV corresponding to 7σ excess respect to the atm. bkg. (9⁺⁸ -2.2)

angular distribution compatible with isotropy (see however below)

composition compatible with a equal mixture of e, μ , τ as expected for astrophysical generated neutrino

Best fit spectral index $\Gamma \sim -2.58 \pm 0.25$

A similar spectrum found from a template fit analysis including severa kind of events down to 25 TeV, IceCube coll. ApJ 2015



Muon neutrinos (tracks) from the North hemisphere

IceCube coll., PRL, vol.115, 2015



- astrophysical muon neutrinos from the Northern hemisphere with E > 100 TeV. The neutrinos collected during 659.5 days of live time between May 2010 and May 2012 are inconsistent with the background at the level of 3.7 σ .

- Assuming a single power-law the best-fit spectral index is $\Gamma = -2.2 \pm 0.2$.

Comparison to HESE 4 year





HESE 4 year unfolding 6 year up-going numu analysis $(\rightarrow \text{dominated by shower-like events})$ 4.0 10 sr^{-1}] Differential Spectrum (best-fit, charm component floats to zero) Prompt atmospheric $\nu_{\mu} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ (1xERS) 3.5 Differential Spectrum (fit with charm fixed at IC59 90% C.L.) \mathbf{s}^{-1} Conv. atmospheric $u_{\mu} + ar{ u}_{\mu}$ (best-fit) 10⁻⁵ IceCube Preliminary 3.0 -2 Astrophysical $\nu_{\mu} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ (best-fit) PoS(ICRC2015)1081 [GeV cm⁻ ⁻⁸ GeVcm 10⁻⁶ 2.5 **Best-fit flux** 2.0 10 [10] $\mathrm{d}E$ 1.5 just copied 10⁻⁸ $/\mathrm{d}E_{\nu}$ 1.0 фþ $dN_{\nu}/$ 10⁻⁹ 0.5 ceCube Preliminar E_{ν}^2 0.0 E² 10^{3} 10^{4} 10⁵ 10^{6} 10^{7} 10⁸ 10⁵ 10⁶ 10^{7} E_{ν}/GeV Neutrino Energy [GeV]

Energy threshold @ about 60TeV

Softer spectral index currently driven by low energy bin

14

- Energy threshold @ about 200TeV
- @ high energies (≥ 200 TeV) HESE 4 year analysis (left) compatible with E⁻²

Results of a simple galactic plane analysis



III. Physikalisches



- Question: Could a dominant galactic component be the reason for the tension?
- Split data into two right ascension regions with similar amount of statistics





- Fits compatible: p-value = 49%
 - No evidence for a dominant flux from the galactic plane \rightarrow
- Fit of region with galactic plane has slightly higher norm. and softer spectral index
 - Hint for a galactic component? \rightarrow

A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux Sebastian Schoenen | TeVPa 2015, Kashiwa | 29.10.2015

Other hints of an anisotropic flux ?

Neronov & Semikoz arXiv:1509.03522 use only events above 100 TeV in the IC 4-year sample (19 events, 1 bkg)

9 events for $|b| < 10^{\circ}$; 0 events for $|b| > 50^{\circ}$

They found ~ 4 σ inconsistency with isotropy It is claimed that "a model which contains 50% contributions from the Galactic and extragalactic components provides a satisfactory fit to the data"

A more recent analysis by Palladino & Vissani arXiV : 1601:06678(v2) found that a ~ 20 % contribution is more likely



The Galactic v emission with conventional models

Ahlers et al. arXiV:1505.03156



8% of IceCube HESE (2013) signal at most

- based on GALPROP
- it adopts harder CR spectra above 250 GeV/n so to match CREAM
- it adopts phenomenological models for CR spectra in the knee region (two different models)

Galactic Plane neutrinos with a variable δ

Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano &Valli arXiV: 1505:03156



- based on DRAGON (KRAy model, the same which matches FERMI and Milagro)
- it adopts harder CR spectra above 250 GeV/n so to match CREAM
- it adopts phenomenological models for CR spectra in the knee region [two exponential cutoff at E/Z = 5, 50 PeV (dashed, solid lines)]

Comparison with exp. data in the inner GP

ANTARES coll. arXiV:1602.03036 Marinelli et al. ICRC 2015

2007-2013 V_{μ} data E > 1 TeVno events found in the sky region lbl<4° and Ill<30° which turns into an upper limit (in the fig. $\Gamma = 2.5$ is assumed)

- 3 IceCube (shower-like) events are reconstructed to be compatible with the same region. This turns in a maximal flux in that region
- From the neutrino spectra obtained with KRA and KRAy models we can estimate the galactic component of the IceCube observation in this region of the sky.





Comparison with exp. data in the inner GP

ANTARES coll. arXiV:1602.03036 Marinelli et al. ICRC 2015

2007-2013 V_{μ} data E > 1 TeVno events found in the sky region lbl<4° and Ill<30° which turns into an upper limit (in the fig. $\Gamma = 2.5$ is assumed)

- 3 IceCube (shower-like) events are reconstructed to be compatible with the same region. This turns in a maximal flux in that region
- From the neutrino spectra obtained with KRA and KRA_γ models we can estimate the galactic component of the IceCube observation in this region of the sky.



KRA₇ full-sky v emission against IceCube

Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano, Valli, arXiv:1504.00227

The KRAγ setup predicts a flux which is ~ double and slightly harder than the corresponding conventional model.

This may account for ~ 15 % of the fullsky V astrophysical flux measured by IceCube full-sky above 60 TeV (3 years HESE anal.)

this is clearly compatible with the IC events angular distribution

clearly, a dominant extra-Galactic contribution is required



KRAy full-sky v emission against IceCube

Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano, Valli, arXiv:1504.00227

For illustrative purposes

here we assume the ν_{μ} (tracks) flux (best-fit) measured by IceCube from the northern hemisphere to be representative of the extra-Galactic emission (Gal. emission negligible in that region)





G+EG emission in the GP constrained by IceCube

Gaggero, DG, Marinelli, Urbano, Valli VLVNT2015



For the whole galactic plane with |b| < 7.5 half of astrophysical flux can be explained with KRA₇ and the other half with EG best fit analysis. The IceCube spectrum is obtained considering the **contained events** for this region.

Forthcoming theoretical work

- use updated Fermi-LAT data (PASS8) to get better statistic at high energy and find-out a range of allowed models with $\delta(R)$ and make better predictions for HAWC
- use more (better/updated) CR models in the knee region
- explore different physically motivated models which may explain such behavior (anisotropic diffusion; non-linear diffusion; ...)
- combine with models of extra-galactic emission (starting with including the emission of external normal galaxies)
- any other suggestion ?

Conclusions

- The γ -ray Galactic diffuse emission measured by Fermi along the GP, which is not reproduced by conventional models, can be interpreted in terms of a radially dependent CR transport model. The same model, when accounting for the CR hardening at 250 GeV/n, allows to reproduce Milagro excess at 15 TeV
- Respect to conventional models this scenario predicts a significantly larger Galactic neutrino flux along the Galactic center/plane testable by IceCube, ANTARES (marginally) and Km3NeT
- The CR population in the Galactic center region is harder and higher than in conventional model which is in agreement with HESS 2006 results. It may account for a large fraction of the diffuse emission in the GC region found by HESS 2016 (pevatron excess).

Backup slides

Our CR primary spectra



Comparison with other high energy y-ray data



A possible origin of $\delta(R)$ from anisotropic diffusion

The presence of regular MF breaks isotropy $D \Rightarrow$

$D_{ij}(\mathbf{x},\rho) = \left[D_{||}(\mathbf{x},\rho) - D_{\perp}(\mathbf{x},\rho) \right] b_i b_j + D_{\perp}(\mathbf{x},\rho) \delta_{ij} \qquad b_i = \mathbf{B}_i / \mathbf{B}$

Even in the quasi-linear theory D_{\parallel} and D_{\perp} have opposite dependence on the turbulent power. This is confirmed by ray tracing simulations in strong turbulence regime



The case for a spatial dependent δ A possible interpretation ?



- CR advect/diffuse in self-generated Alfven-waves below/above $\,\sim\,50~GeV$
- harder CR (hence γ -ray) spectrum if advection dominate
- the effect is larger in the inner Galaxy, larger D → larger p at which diffusion dominate
- Note however that this is a low energy effect !!

This is at odd with Fermi data and Milagro anomaly (HAWC may soon confirm !)

A possible origin of $\delta(R)$ from anisotropic diffusion

the presence of a poloidal component of the GMF in the GC region should make the role of D_{\parallel} growing respect to D_{\perp} (standard case)

Since, for Kolmogorov turbulence

 $D_{\parallel}(E) \propto E^{1/3} \quad D_{\perp}(E) \propto E^{0.5 \div 0.6}$

this may cause the effective value of $\,\delta\,$ decreasing with R !



Galactic Plane neutrino with an analytical model with δ variable

v flux at 100 TeV

Pagliaroli, Evoli & Villante arXiV:1606.04489



- A : uniform CR density
- B : CR density profile proportional to SNR
- C: CR spectrum changing with R

correction to CR density

$$h(E,\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{E}{\overline{E}}\right)^{\Delta(\mathbf{r})} \qquad \Delta(r,z) = 0.3 \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_{\odot}}\right)$$

5 % Gal. contribution to IC HESE E > 100 TeV 7 % " " 13 % " "

The Neronov & Semikoz model

The model assumes a harder CR spectrum ($\Gamma \sim 2.4$) in most of the Galaxy but the local bubble where a young SNR enhances the CR population producing an effective softening

This seems to be excluded by ANTARES upper limit

A. Neronov, D. Semikoz / Astroparticle Physics 72 (2016) 32-37

