VII Workshop italiano sulla fisica p-p a LHC

QCD & Jets *at ATLAS, CMS, and LHCb*



Lucio Anderlini¹, Matteo Bauce², Diego Ciangottini³

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



¹ Sezione di Firenze - *Gruppo LHCb*

² Sezione di Roma 1 - Gruppo ATLAS
³ Sezione di Perugia - Gruppo CMS

Outline - Underlying Event

- Minimum bias
 - Track-based studies
 - Pseudo-rapidity distribution
 - Energy distribution
- Long-range near-side particle correlation
- Inelastic pp cross-section



Outline - Jets

- Double-differential inclusive jet cross section
- Charged particle multiplicity inside jets
- Jet production cross section in the very forward region
- First measurements with jets from *LHCb*



QCD and Jets at LHCb

3

Outline - *Electroweak bosons*

Production of single electroweak bosons covered in the EW talk



Outline - Heavy Flavours

- Production cross-section of *b*-mesons and *c*-mesons
- Production cross-section of quarkonium states
- Central Exclusive Production (*diffractive physics*)

top-quark production covered in the dedicated talk



Outline - Associative production



The Underlying Event



Studying the underlying event

Interesting Hadron Physics

- Behaviour of QCD at low-*x*
- Saturation of the parton distribution functions at low-*x*
- Multiple parton interaction
- Soft diffractive components

Important to tune the simulation (background for EW and new physics)

- Large theoretical uncertainties from allowed parameter space
- Difficult to model dependence on center-of-mass energy
- Integrate previous measurements at 0.9 and 1.96 TeV (CDF) and 7 TeV (CMS)

Proton inelastic cross-section measurement (13 TeV)





 $73.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 6.6 \text{ (lum.)} \pm 3.8 \text{ (extr.)} \text{ mb}$



 $71.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 2.1 \text{ (lum.)} \pm 2.7 \text{ (ext.) mb.}$

Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN



2015 Early Measurements: Leading Particle

Leading track: track with the highest p_{τ}

The leading track (or the leading jet) represents the direction of the hard scatter.

Azimuthal angle wrt. the leading track defines «*regions*» of the underlying event.

Observables.

•
$$\frac{\langle N_{ch} \rangle}{\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)}$$
 ; $\frac{\langle \sum p_T \rangle}{\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)}$

TransMIN (TransMAX) - Each observable is computed on the "side" where it is smaller (larger).

$$\label{eq:TransAVE} \begin{split} \text{TransAVE} &= \frac{\text{TransMIN} + \text{TransMAX}}{2} \\ \text{TransDIFF} &= \text{TransMIN} - \text{TransMAX} \end{split}$$





Measurements with *leading particle*

Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

LHCpp 2016

Measurements with *leading jet*

Measurements of the transverse activity with leading *jet-particle* are not directly comparable for ATLAS and CMS (different selection strategies).

Similar conclusions from comparison with simulation:

Best performing Monash tuning for Pythia8 [EPJC 74 (2014) 3024]

HERWIG++ (CUETHS1) [EPJC 58 (2008) 639] works better for harder events than for softer.



Energy density

Frack density

Long-range near-side correlations



Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

LHCpp 2016





Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

Physics with Jets



[CMS PAS SMP-15-007]

^{7]} CMS

Production cross-section of jets at CMS @ \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV

Double-differential cross-section of jet production @ $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

Using anti- k_{T} clusterization with R = 0.4 and 0.7 and comparing to theoretical predictions:

- Pythia8 with PowHeg
- NLOJet++ with CT14 *pdf*





Different behaviour observed for the two radii.

Effects of *soft* (out of the "cone") effects?

Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN



Production cross-section of jets at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV ATLAS

ATLAS measure the production crosssection measurement in the central rapidity bin.

Using anti- k_{τ} factorization with R = 0.4.

The important correlation of the uncertainties makes hard to estimate the compatibility of data with theory.

NLOJet++ with NP is used, combined with different *pdf*s.



[CMS-PAS-FSQ-16-003]



Very forward production of inclusive jet @ \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV

Exploring the forward region using CASTOR calorimeter.



Experimental uncertainty, dominated by CASTOR energy scale, is still large to distinguish between different theoretical models:

All tested theoretical models found consistent with data!

This measurement has limited sensitivity to *pdf*, but is sensitive to Multi-Parton Interaction.



[arXiv:1602.00988]



Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

LHCpp 2016

Jet studies at LHCb in the forward region



with resolution corrections from W + jet data.

1.00

0.95

0.90

0.85

0.80

0.75

0.70

20

Jet reco efficiency

[JINST 10 P06013]

b-tagging and c-tagging at LHCb

Tagging based on Secondary Vertices to enhance purity.

To avoid secondary vertices from strange particles:

- Veto on $K_{\rm s}^{0}$ mass
- Flight-Distance [mm] < $1.5 \times p_7$ [GeV]

Two BDTs based on the SV closest to the PV to distinguish HF from light-parton jets, candidates and c- from b- jets. LHCb





4000

2000

6000

4000

2000

candidate



Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

LHCpp 2016

Heavy Flavours

Jets W[±], Z⁰ Heavy Flavours Underlying Event

Prompt J/ ψ production at 13 TeV





QCD and Jets at LHCb



Preliminary 10^{3}

p_T [GeV] The Υ cross sections at 13 TeV are factors of 2 to 3 larger wrt. 7 TeV cross sections,

changing slowly as a function of dimuon pT.

Order of the increase expected from pdfs and verified sith PYTHIA 8. A detailed comparison with theory awaits an updated NRQCD calculation for 13 TeV.







Associative production



W^{\pm}/Z^{0} + Jet in the forward region



dσ(*Wj*)/dp^{jet} [pb/GeV]

10-1

Ratio

20

40



[LHCb-PAPER-2016-011]



Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

32





Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN



Final statePublication $W^{\pm} + 2$ jetsNew J.Phys. 15 (2013) 033038 $W^{\pm} + J/\psi$ (prompt J/ψ production)JHEP 1404 (2014) 172 $Z + J/\psi$ (prompt and non-prompt)Eur.Phys.J. C75 (2015) 229Four-jetATLAS-CONF-2015-058



LHCpp 2016



Final statePublication $W^{\pm} + 2$ jetsNew J.Phys. 15 (2013) 033038 $W^{\pm} + J/\psi$ (prompt J/ψ production)JHEP 1404 (2014) 172 $Z + J/\psi$ (prompt and non-prompt)Eur.Phys.J. C75 (2015) 229Four-jetATLAS-CONF-2015-058

$\sigma_{\rm eff}$ from W + 2jets



Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN





Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

🕨 LHCpp 2016 🔪

QCD and Jets at LHCb

36

DPS with $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ production at CMS

Define a BDT to identify DPS.

Signal sample: opposite sign DPS MC events **Background sample:** mixture of QCD, W + jets, and WZ. Training of QCD and W + jets sample, based on data-driven templates.







Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

LHCpp 2016 Q

QCD and Jets at LHCb

Summary & outlook

Summary

At the beginning of the century, the predictions based on the strong-interaction processes were off of **orders of magnitude** with respect to data.

Today, our understanding of QCD is at level of few percents !

Good understanding of QCD is of crucial relevance for new physics searches.

This is particularly true for *associative production* measurements representing background for rare (or *rare-stuff*) decays.

Crucial interplay of several actors to keep understanding and tuning SM predictions.

Measurements in the forward region at LHCb and in ion ion collisions at ALICE, can provide useful input for measurement at ATLAS and CMS, when properly integrated in theoretical models and Monte Carlo generators.

Outlook

Captain obvious :«A very important parameter of QCD is \sqrt{s} »



A new Run of the LHC has just started and has already yielded important results.

Studies on the dependence on energy, and exploitation of the increased luminosity to study rarer processes will shed new light on QCD.

The experiments are being upgraded (e.g. Herschel and SMOG at LHCb, CASTOR at CMS, active since Run2), adding further ingredients to the global picture.

Discussion: 13TeV can provide more...

In many other studies 13TeV data can bring a lot, so difficult not to forget anything...

A list of some of the most important follows and more information in backup:

++ PDF studies and alpha_s measurement: e.g. see jet differential xsec

++ Multi-jet studies (wider phase space)

++ V+HF, V+jet ("heavier" theory tests)

++ Parton correlation and DPS (dPDF(?)): same sign diboson probe, MPI \sqrt{s} dependence

++ Associative production of quarkonia: J/ ψ J/ ψ , J/ ψ ψ (2S), J/ ψ χ_{c}



Double-differential inclusive jet cross section

PDF studies and Alpha s measurement already achieved on Runl.

Same studies with new data at 13 TeV can be extremely important, bringing more information, along with the cross section ratio b/w different energies.





Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

 $\alpha_{\rm S}(Q)$ 0.24

0.22

0.2

0.18

0.16

0.14 0.12 0.1

0.08

Multi-jet studies

Measurement of dijet azimuthal decorrelations

This is a clear example on how at 13TeV the pT range and the accuracy of such a study can be greatly improved.



V+jets

e.g. Differential cross section measurements of W bosons produced in association with jets

In figure only one of the several measurement already performed at 8TeV.

13TeV data can directly extend all the results.



V+HF

E.g.Measurement of the production cross sections for a Z boson and one or more b jets

In figure only one of the several measurement already performed at 7TeV.

13TeV data can increase the accuracy and the energy range of all this kind of approach.



Central Exclusive Production of $\Upsilon(nS)$







A recent LHCb measurement of the Υ (nS) cross-section in events with no reconstructed primary vertex (CEP) is statistically limited to resolve 7 to 8 TeV differences.

2

 $\Upsilon(1S)$ rapidity

3

4

 $\overset{0`}{0}$

[EPJ C75 (2015) 152]

Search for $\pi_v \rightarrow$ dijet with $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV (2011 data)

Massive neutral particles produced in higgs decays (H $\rightarrow \pi_v \pi_v$) and decaying to two jets are predicted from several models (*e.g.* SUSY [1306.5773], Hidden Valley [0712.2041]).



Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

Diffractive di-jets at ATLAS @ $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV





$$ilde{\xi} \simeq M_{
m X}^2/s = \sum p_{
m T} e^{\pm \eta}/\sqrt{s}$$

ξ: fractional momentumloss of the protonassuming single diffractivedissociation

Diffractive collisions producing jets in the final state feature a large *«rapidity gap»*



Diffractive di-jets at ATLAS @ $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$

AT LAS

Non-diffractive contribution is the dominant one, but single dissociation and double dissociation contributions are also necessary to achieve good agreement with data.



Mueller Navelet di-jets at CMS @ 7 TeV

Dokshitzer–Gribov–Lipatov–Altarelli–Parisi (DGLAP) successfully described *parton evolution* in a number of applications.

For asymptotic domain $p_T \ll \sqrt{s/2}$, Balitsky–Fadin– Kuraev–Lipatov (BFKL) equation is better justified, but never tested in the LHC regime.

For low- $p_{\rm T}$ jets with large $\Delta \eta$ predictions of DGLAP and BFKL are different.

We define the observables C_n as Fourier coefficients of the $\Delta \phi$ -differential cross-section:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}(\Delta\phi)}(\Delta y, p_{\mathrm{Tmin}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \bigg[1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\Delta y, p_{\mathrm{Tmin}}) \, \cos(n(\pi - \Delta\phi)) \bigg].$$

MC generator HEJ+ARIADNE based on BFKL equations describes data fairly.

NLL analytical predictions found as accurate as POWHEG + PYTHIA.



Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

[arXiv:1602.01633]

Charged tracks distributions @ $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$

9 million events, corresponding to $170 \ \mu b^{-1}$ (using a special fill of the LHC).

Hyperons excluded because of small reconstruction efficiency.

Results are compared with various MC generators. Good agreement found with EPOS.

Efficiency corrections







Pseudorapidity distribution at 0 T @ \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV

CMS measurement, without minimum p_T (no magnetic field), confirms EPOS LHC is well behaved. Data corrected for lepton fraction. 11.5 million events, collected in special run of the LHC.





[JHEP03 (2016) 159]

Charm Production cross-section at LHCb $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$



$$\begin{split} \sigma(pp \to D^0 X) &= 2460 \pm 3 \pm 130 \,\mu\text{b} \\ \sigma(pp \to D^+ X) &= 1000 \pm 3 \pm 110 \,\mu\text{b} \\ \sigma(pp \to D_s^+ X) &= 460 \pm 13 \pm 100 \,\mu\text{b} \\ \sigma(pp \to D^{*+} X) &= 880 \pm 5 \pm 140 \,\mu\text{b} \end{split}$$



[CMS-PAS-BPH-15-004]

B⁺ production cross-section @ $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV



To test that the evolution of the fragmentation functions it is important to measure the production cross-section of various *b*-hadrons specifically. Measurement found in agreement with predictions from FONLL.





Anderlini (FI), Bauce (RM1), Ciangottini (PG) - INFN

[J. PHYS. G41 (2014) 115002