Ricerca di segnature con top, bottom, tau ed esotiche

Andrea Favareto, Camilla Galloni, Luigi Longo

pp@LHC2016 - Pisa





Outline

Supersymmetry (SUSY):
Supersymmetry (Supersymmetry (SUSY):
Supersymmetry (Supersymmetry (Supersymm

Vector like-quarks (VLQ):
Image: The production of single The production of States of the production of the producti

Resonances:

 $\mathbf{v} t \overline{t}, t b, \tau \nu_{\tau}$

Searches for Long-Lived Particles (LLP):
Stable and metastable heavy charged particles
disappearing tracks
displaced vertex
Image: Particles

Focusing on RunII

Supersymmetry



CMS, different analysis strategies based on ✓ different finale states: 0, 1, ≥2 or ≥3 leptons ✓ different variables for SR definition: H_T^{miss} , M_{T2} , Razor, α_T , ...

Best sensitivity with 0 leptons final state and H_T^{miss} base Signal region (SR) definition

4

ATLAS, focusing on two final states: 2Same Sign (SS) or \geq 3 leptons, multi-jets

		ATLAS	CMS
Reference	arXiv:1602.09058	ATLAS-CONF-2015-067	arXiv:1602.0658
Nleptons	2 SS or \geq 3	0 (1)	0
Njets	-	≥ 8 (≥ 6)	≥ 4
N _{b-jets}	≥ 3	≥ 3	≥ 0
VarssR	E_T^{miss}, m_{eff}	$E_T^{miss}, m_{eff}, N_{b-jets}, N_{t-jets}$	$H_T, H_T^{miss}, N_{jets}, N_{b-jets}$
N _{SR}	1	5	72
$m_{eff} = E_T^{mi}$ $H_T = \sum_j$ $H_T^{miss} = \sum_j$	$\hat{P}_{T}^{jet,j} + \sum_{i} P_{T}^{lep,i} + \sum_{j} P_{T}^{jet,j}$ $P_{T}^{jet,j}$ $\hat{P}_{T}^{jet,j}$	j All the SR varying	s are defined VarsSR cuts







CMS (arXiv:1602.0658), the same selection of $\tilde{g} \to t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with 0 lepton and H_T^{miss} base SR definition

ATLAS (ATLAS-CONF-2015-067), same analysis of multi-jets but with:

O lepton (before also 1 lepton request)

- $P = N_{jets} \ge 4$ (before ≥ 8)
- \mathbf{F} different definition of m_{eff}

$m_{eff} = \sum_{i < 4} P_T^{jet,i} + E_T^{miss}$

No significant excess observed





SR with the best expected sensitivity used for the exclusion plots



ATLAS (ATLAS-CONF-2016-007), same selection of $\tilde{g} \to t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ soft

CMS, different analysis strategies based on

✓ different finale states: 0 or 1 lepton

✓ different variables for SR definition: $H_T^{miss}, M_{T2}, ...$

Best sensitivity with 0 leptons final state and M_{T2} base

Signal region (SR) definition

	CMS
Reference	arXiv:1603.0453
Nleptons	0
Njets	≥ 2 (1)
N _{b-jets}	≥ 0
Vars _{SR}	$N_{jets}, N_{b-jets}, H_T, M_{T2}(N_{b-jets}, P_T)$

M_{T2} definition possible only with at least 2 jets

least z jets

$$M_{T2} = \min_{\vec{q_t} + \vec{r_t} = \vec{P_t}^{miss}} \left\{ \max[m_T(\vec{P_t}^{jet_1}, \vec{q_t}), m_T(\vec{P_t}^{jet_2}, \vec{r_t})] \right\}$$



P^T range

Each bin should be splitted in 3,4 or 5 M_{T2} ranges to obtain all the SRs

No significant excess observed



SR with the best expected sensitivity used for the exclusion plots: uncovered regions due to the excess observed in SR1





CMS, focusing on two different analysis strategies: the same of $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with 0 lepton and M_{T2} SR base definition (arxXiv:1603.0453)

 $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \ensuremath{{\circ}}}{=}$ 2 or 3 jets with large E_T^{miss} in the final state

Similar approach for ATLAS

ATL	AS	СЛ	/IS	
ATLAS-CON	IF-2015-066	CMS-PAS-SUS-16-001		
Large	E_T^{miss}	Large E_T^{miss}		
veto on an en	ergetic 4th jet	veto on ener	rgetic 4th jet	
Large $\Delta M(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$	Small $\Delta M(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$	Large $\Delta M(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$	Small $\Delta M(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$	
2 energetic b-jets	ISR jet	2 energetic b-jets	ISR jet	
Large M_{bb}	2 b-jets	Large $\min_{i} M_T(J_i, E_T^{miss})$	-	
$m_{CT}^2(j_1, j_2) \qquad \qquad E_T^{miss}$		$m_{CT}^2(j_1, j_2), H_T$	E_T^{miss}, N_{b-jets}	

$$M_T(j, E_T^{miss}) = \sqrt{2P_t^j E_T^{miss}(1 + \cos \Delta \phi(j, E_T^{miss}))}$$
$$m_{CT}^2(j_1, j_2) = [E_T(j_1) + E_T(j_2)]^2 - [\vec{P}_T^{j_1} - \vec{P}_T^{j_2}]^2$$

All the SRs are defined varying cuts on the variables shown in the last row





Exclusion limits depend strongly on the model assumptions

CMS-PAS-SUS-16-002



Still possible to have light stop changing its BR

Vector like-quarks

Vector-like Quarks

- Vector-like quarks (VLQs) are predicted by a large variety of non-Susy models to stabilize the Higgs mass calculation
 - Little Higgs, Composite Higgs, extra dimensions
- Non chiral fermions, not excluded by electroweak precision measurement
- Properties:
 - Same charge as b, t (B, T) or exotic charges (X_{5/3} or Y_{-4/3})
 - Masses in the TeV range
 - Singly or pair produced
- Variety of final states
 - Dedicated searches and inclusive analyses



T-pair production



T-pair production



min[M(I,b)] (GeV)





Single production: T→tH

- Single production of Vector-like T quark
 - bW, tZ coupling in production
 - tH exclusive decay in lepton + jets final state (at least 1 forward jet)
- For large T masses top and H candidates are boosted
 - non-isolated leptons(p^{rel}(l,j)) and merged jets (H)



- Main background : top and W+jets
 - Derived from control region in data (no fwd jet and only 1 subjet b-tagged)





Resonances

Searches for tt and tb resonances

- Several new physics models predict new gauge interactions with enhanced coupling to third generation quarks :
- ttbar:
 - Generic wide and narrow Z' models, Heavy Higgs, extra dimensions kk excitations
- tb:
 - Generic wide and narrow W' models, Little Higgs, extra dimensions
- Analysis in Run I



	ATLAS	CMS
W'->tb	M(W')>1.9 TeV <u>Phys.Lett B 743 (2015)</u>	M(W')>2.15 TeV <u>JHEP 02(2016)</u>
Z'-> tt		
Narrow (~1%)	M(Z') >1.8 TeV <u>JHEP08(2015)148</u>	M(Z') >2.4 TeV <u>PRD 93(2016) 012000</u>
Wide	(3%)M(Z')>2.3TeV I+jets	(10%) M(Z')>2.9TeV combination
KKgluon excitation	M(KKG)>2.3TeV	M(KKG)>2.8 TeV

Searches for tt resonances CMS

- lepton +jets analysis optimized for heavy resonances
 - leptonic top: lepton (dedicated isolation), missing E_T, b-tagged jet
 - hadronic top: large cone top-tagged jet⁼ (softdrop mass ∈ [110,210] GeV and Nsubjetness τ₃₂ < 0.69)
 - choosing candidate minimizing:

$$\chi^{2} = \left(\frac{M_{lep} - \overline{M}_{lep}}{\sigma_{M_{lep}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{M_{had} - \overline{M}_{had}}{\sigma_{M_{had}}}\right)^{2}$$

- Event search regions:
 - 1 top-tagged;
 - 0 top tagged, 1b-tag;
 - 0 top tagged, 0b-tag;
- Simultaneous max.likelihood fit
- Background estimation:
 - M(t,t) in tt-dominated low M(tt)
 - M(t,t) in W+jet CR: inverted χ^2
 - M(I,I) in DY CR: dilepton events



Searches for tt resonances ATLAS

- lepton +jets analysis optimized for heavy resonances
 - leptonic top: lepton (dedicated isolation), missing E_T, closest b-tagged jet
 - hadronic top: large cone top-tagged jet (trim mass and N-subjetness τ₃₂)
- Background estimation:
 - W+jets normalization (charge asymmetry in data and simulations)
 - Multi-jet fake leptons contributions from CR
 - tt-production from simulation
- bump hunt in M(t,t) spectrum



Searches for tt resonances

- Both analysis found data in agreement with SM prediction
- 95% CL limits are set for different scenarios (more in <u>back up</u>)
- Similar sensitivity as Run I







Searches for tb resonances





- Right handed W with SM-like coupling as benchmark model
- Semileptonic final state
 - optimized isolation requirements for higher boost wrt Run I (relative momentum b/w lepton and jet for multi-jet events rejection)

CMS

- Fit of the M(t,b) spectrum in different categories:
 - (e or μ) × (1 or 2) b-tagged jets
- Background estimation:
 - W+jets: iterative normalization estimated in 0 b-tagged (light flavor enriched), then in pre b-tagged control regions(hf)
 - tt: checked top pt distribution in CR (dileptonic and low M(tb) events)
 CMS Preliminary, L=2.2 fb⁻¹ at √s = 13 TeV



27

Searches for τv resonances

Sequential standard model (SSM) W'

 Events with missing energy (> 120 GeV) and an hadronic tau (p_T> 80 GeV) identified combining different decay modes

CMS

CMS-PAS-EXO-16-006



- Main backgrounds:
 - SM off shell W from simulation (NNLO)
 - Multi-jet events with fake tau are estimated in data considering p_T and η dependent fake rate (5-1%)
- Fit to transverse mass:

 $M_{\rm T} = \sqrt{2 \, p_{\rm T}^{\tau} E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \left(1 - \cos \Delta \phi(\tau, \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})\right)}$



Long lived particles

Long Lived Particle (LLP) Searches





- CMS stopped gluino (cloud) stopped stop (cloud) HSCP gluino (cloud) HSCP stop (cloud) Long-Lived q=2/3e HSCP q=3e HSCP **Particles** chargino, ctau>100ns, AMSB neutralino, ctau=25cm, ECAL time 2 3 4 TeV 0
 - LLP are particles that can decay inside the detectors
 - Wide-ranging searches, exploiting different techniques, with some nontrivial overlaps in lifetime coverage

Stable Massive Particles

- Search for long-lived massive charged particles
 - sleptons, charginos, or (sbottom/stop/gluino) *R*hadrons
 - composed particles can undergo charge-flip (uncharged->charged a or vice versa b)
- Signatures
 - *long-lived charged*: interaction with at least one outer detector component, TileCal (hadronic calo) and/or MS (muon spectrometer); *massive*: low velocity β=v/c
 - main discriminants are time of flight (TileCal/MS) and ionisation energy loss (Pixel detector)
- Status and Plans
 - preliminary Run2 2015 analysis based on TileCal, with expected improvements of 20% w.r.t. Run1 mass limits
 - include MS (Full detector analysis)
 - if possible include LAr (EM calo) in β estimation
 - use slow-muon trigger (about 10% efficiency gain)
 - optimise searches in low mass region



Stable Massive Particles

- Search for long-lived massive charged particles
 - sleptons, or (stop/gluino) *R*-hadrons
 - composed particles can undergo charge-flip (uncharged->charged a or vice versa b)
- Signatures
 - *long-lived charged*: interaction with at least one outer detector component (Muon Spectrometer); *massive*: low velocity β=v/c
 - main discriminants are time of flight (MS) and ionisation energy loss (Silicon-Tracker detectors)
- Status
 - Run2 2015 analysis based on tracker and tracker+TOF published



Stable Massive Particles

- Search for long-lived massive charged particles
 - sleptons, or (stop/gluino) *R*-hadrons



CMS

CMS-PAS-EXO-15-010

p

а

Meta-stable Massive Particles

- Search for long-lived massive charged particles
- Signatures
 - *long-lived charged*: at least proper reconstructed track in the ID O(ns), sensitive to short lifetimes but also consider long lifetimes; *massive*: low velocity β=v/c ⇒ large dE/dx
 - main discriminants are high dE/dx in Pixel Detector, large momentum ID track and large MET
 - two signal regions: Short lifetime (<50ns reject muons); long lifetime (≥50ns tighten jet rejection)
- Status and Plans
 - gluino R-hadron Run2 2015 analysis published
 - aim for better efficiency for shorter lifetime
 - use pixel tracklets. Poor momentum resolution but plan to add vertex constraint







 \tilde{G}



Disappearing Tracks



- Search for long-lived massive charged particles
 - chargino decaying into neutralino and soft pions
- Signatures
 - *long-lived charged*: at least proper reconstructed track in the ID O(ns), using ad-hoc reco algorithms for short tracks; *disappearing track*: few hits in the outer part of the ID
 - main discriminants are number of hits in the ID, large momentum ID track, angular correlation with MET
- Plans
 - improving short track reconstruction and use of dE/dx for Run2 2015 analysis



Disappearing Tracks



- Search for long-lived massive charged particles
 - chargino decaying into neutralino and soft pions
- Signatures
 - *long-lived charged*: at least proper reconstructed track in the ID O(ns); *disappearing track*: few hits in the outer part of the ID and no energy deposit in the calorimeters
 - main discriminants are number of hits in the ID, large momentum ID track, energy deposit in the calorimeters





Disappearing Tracks



- Search for long-lived massive charged particles
 - chargino decaying into neutralino and soft pions
- Signatures
 - long-lived charged: at least proper reconstructed track in the
 - ID O(ns); disappearing track: few hits in the outer part of the



Displaced Vertex

- Search for long-lived particles decaying into the detector volume
 - SM scalar boson communicator Φ between SM and hidden sector. $\Phi \rightarrow \pi_v \pi_v$. LLP is the hidden valley pions π_v .
 - HV Z' communicator, decaying into hidden quark pair
 - stealth and split SUSY
- Signatures (<u>non standard devoted reco</u> <u>algorithms are needed</u>)
 - displaced vertex ID: secondary vertex, high track multiplicity and high mass, material veto
 - displaced vertex MS: MS segments isolated w.r.t. ID, high number of hits, dedicated trigger
 - displaced vertex HCalo: jets with large energy deposit in the hadronic calo, isolated w.r.t. ID, dedicated trigger based on large E_{HCal}/E_{EM}
- Plans
 - two independent searches in Run1 (ID+MS and HCalo). Final goal for Run2 is to have one combined search for various combinations of objects (vertices and jets)



Lepton-Jets

- Hidden and visible sectors communicate through a dark photon γ_{d}
- Signatures
 - the dark photon can to collimated pairs of e, μ , π^{\pm} (lepton-jet) far from the IP
 - main discriminants are *large impact* parameter and jet topology
- Plans
 - study displaced pure electron and allhadronic decay of the dark photon
 - search for different LJ production mechanisms: 1 LJ + X





Conclusions

- Run I left us with great hopes/doubts for new physics at 13 TeV
- With beginning of Run II both experiments showed to be well prepared to acquire and analyze new data
- We gave you some overview on the most recent analyses that exploit 2015 data to look for New Physics
 - Some analyses already more powerful than the ones at 8 TeV!
 - Nothing new so far... but the new run has just started and we expect 10 times more integrated luminosity in 2016!



Stay tuned for new data !!!

Backup



ATLAS (ATLAS-CONF-2016-007)

Signal region	SR1	SR2	SR3
Observed	12	1	1
Total bkg	5.50 ± 0.72	1.25 ± 0.26	1.03 ± 0.18
$t\bar{t}$	2.21 ± 0.60	0.29 ± 0.10	0.20 ± 0.07
Single top	0.46 ± 0.39	0.09 ± 0.08	0.10 ± 0.09
W+jets	0.71 ± 0.43	$0.15\substack{+0.19\\-0.15}$	0.20 ± 0.09
$t\bar{t} + W/Z$	1.90 ± 0.42	0.61 ± 0.14	0.41 ± 0.10
Diboson	0.23 ± 0.15	0.11 ± 0.07	0.12 ± 0.07



	SR1	SR2	SR3
E_T^{miss}	> 260 GeV	> 350 GeV	> 450 GeV



T-pair production

	ATLAS	CMS
Reference	ALTAS-CONF-2016-013	<u>CMS-PAS-B2G-16-002</u>
Nleptons	1 (e or µ)	1 (e or µ)
Njets	≥ 6	≥ 3
N _{b-jets}	2,3,≥ 4	0,1,2,≥ 3
N Large-cone jets	mass-tagged (t, H) jets (0,1, >2)	W-tagged jets (0,≥ 1)
	trimmed mass >100 GeV	pruned mass \in [65,105] GeV
Categories	20	16

- At lest 1 T -> tH
- Main discriminating variable min[M(I,b)]
- Background estimation from simulation
- Validation regions dedicated control region
- Limits set for different BRs to Wb,Ht, Zt (<u>back-up</u>)

$B(T \rightarrow bW)$	$B(T \rightarrow tH)$	$B(T \rightarrow tZ)$	Expected [GeV]	Observed [GeV]
0.50	0.25	0.25	743	750
1.00	0.00	0.00	853	876
0.80	0.20	0.00	812	824
0.80	0.00	0.20	808	828
0.60	0.40	0.00	778	780
0.60	0.20	0.20	772	778
0.60	0.00	0.40	768	774
0.40	0.60	0.00	727	731
0.40	0.40	0.20	707	714
0.40	0.20	0.40	< 700	< 700
0.40	0.00	0.60	< 700	< 700
0.20	any	any	< 700	< 700
0.00	any	any	< 700	< 700



Tpair production: $T \rightarrow tH$



 Run I all hadronic final state



Figure 13: Observed (solid line) and expected (dotted line) Bayesian upper limits on the T quark production cross section determined from the variable *L* for the combination of the single and multiple H tag categories, for the hypothesis of an exclusive branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(T \rightarrow tH) = 100\%$. The green (inner) and yellow (outer) bands show the 1σ (2σ) uncertainty ranges, respectively. The dashed line shows the prediction of the theory as discussed in Section 3.



Figure 14: Branching fraction triangle with observed upper limits (left) and expected limits (right) for the T quark mass. Every point in the triangle corresponds to a particular set of branching fraction values subject to the constraint that all three add up to one. The branching fraction for each mode decreases from one at the corner labelled with the specific decay mode to zero at the opposite side of the triangle.



ATLAS

X5/3pair production:

 Run I same sign dilepton





Searches for tt resonances





Searches for tb resonances







Resonances to dibosons

dion \rightarrow HH			6	fb		T-1/
W' → WH			1	10 fb	8	lev
Z' → ZH			13	fb	13	TeV
+ uik → WW				20	fb	
G _{bulk} → ZZ				30	fb	
W HVT(B)				28	њ	
VH HVT(B)			4	0 fb		
/H HVT(B)				18 fb		
	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5

Observed limit 95%CL (TeV)

ΓeV

2,5 3

Excited guarks



+model-independent

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

Sla	llus: March 2016							$\int \mathcal{L} dt = (3)$	3.2 - 20.3) fb ⁻¹	√s = 8, 13 TeV
	Model	<i>ℓ</i> ,γ	Jets†	E ^{miss} T	∫£ dt[fb	-1]	Limit			Reference
Extra dimensions	ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$ ADD non-resonant $\ell\ell$ ADD QBH $\rightarrow \ell q$ ADD QBH ADD QBH ADD BH high $\sum p_T$ ADD BH multijet RS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \ell\ell$ RS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow qq\ell$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow HH \rightarrow bbbb$ Bulk RS $g_{KK} \rightarrow tt$ 2UED / RPP	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 2 e, \mu \\ 1 e, \mu \\ - \\ \geq 1 e, \mu \\ - \\ 2 e, \mu \\ 2 \gamma \\ 1 e, \mu \\ - \\ 1 e, \mu \\ 1 e, \mu \end{array}$	$\geq 1j$ - 1j 2j $\geq 2j$ $\geq 3j$ - 1J 4b $\geq 1b, \geq 1Jd$ $\geq 2b, \geq 4$	Yes - - - Yes j Yes	3.2 20.3 3.6 3.2 3.6 20.3 20.3 3.2 20.3 3.2 20.3 3.2 20.3 3.2	M _D M _S M _{th} M _{th} M _{th} G _{KK} mass G _{KK} mass G _{KK} mass G _{KK} mass g _{KK} mass KK mass	1.06 TeV 475-785 GeV 1.46	6.86 TeV 4.7 TeV 5.2 TeV 8.3 TeV 8.2 TeV 9.55 TeV 2.66 TeV 2.2 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} n=2\\ n=3\ \text{HLZ}\\ n=6\\ n=6\\ n=6,\ M_D=3\ \text{TeV, rot BH}\\ n=6,\ M_D=3\ \text{TeV, rot BH}\\ k/\overline{M}_{Pl}=0.1\\ k/\overline{M}_{Pl}=0.1\\ k/\overline{M}_{Pl}=1.0\\ \text{BR}=0.925\\ \text{Tier}\ (1,1),\ \text{BR}(A^{(1,1)}\rightarrow tt)=1 \end{array}$	Preliminary 1407.2410 1311.2006 1512.01530 ATLAS-CONF-2016-006 1512.02586 1405.4123 1504.05511 ATLAS-CONF-2015-075 ATLAS-CONF-2016-017 1505.07018 ATLAS-CONF-2016-013
Gauge bosons	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{SSM}\ Z' \to \ell\ell \\ \mathrm{SSM}\ Z' \to \tau\tau \\ \mathrm{Leptophobic}\ Z' \to bb \\ \mathrm{SSM}\ W' \to \ell\nu \\ \mathrm{HVT}\ W' \to WZ \to qq\nu\nu \ \mathrm{mod} \\ \mathrm{HVT}\ W' \to WZ \to qqqq \ \mathrm{mod} \\ \mathrm{HVT}\ W' \to WZ \to qqqq \ \mathrm{mod} \\ \mathrm{HVT}\ W' \to WH \to \ell\nu bb \ \mathrm{model} \\ \mathrm{HVT}\ Z' \to ZH \to \nu\nu bb \ \mathrm{model} \\ \mathrm{LRSM}\ W'_R \to tb \\ \mathrm{LRSM}\ W'_R \to tb \end{array}$	2 e, μ 2 τ - 1 e, μ del A 0 e, μ del A - lel B 1 e, μ 1 e, μ 0 e, μ 0 e, μ	- 2 b - 1 J 2 J 1-2 b, 1-0 1-2 b, 1-0 2 b, 0-1 j ≥ 1 b, 1 J	- Yes J Yes j Yes Yes I -	3.2 19.5 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 20.3 20.3	Z' mass Z' mass Z' mass W' mass W' mass W' mass Z' mass Z' mass W' mass W' mass	1.5 1 1.38-1 1.0 1	3.4 TeV 2.02 TeV 5 TeV 4.07 TeV .6 TeV .6 TeV .6 TeV 52 TeV 1.92 TeV .76 TeV	$g_V = 1$ $g_V = 1$ $g_V = 3$ $g_V = 3$	ATLAS-CONF-2015-070 1502.07177 Preliminary ATLAS-CONF-2015-063 ATLAS-CONF-2015-068 ATLAS-CONF-2015-073 ATLAS-CONF-2015-074 ATLAS-CONF-2015-074 1410.4103 1408.0886
อ	Cl qqqq Cl qqℓℓ Cl uutt	2 e, μ 2 e, μ (SS)	2 j ≥ 1 b, 1-4	_ j Yes	3.6 3.2 20.3	Λ Λ Λ		4.3 TeV	$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{17.5 TeV} & \eta_{LL} = -1 \\ \hline \textbf{23.1 TeV} & \eta_{LL} = -1 \\ C_{LL} = 1 \end{array}$	1512.01530 ATLAS-CONF-2015-070 1504.04605
MQ	Axial-vector mediator (Dirac D Axial-vector mediator (Dirac D $ZZ_{\chi\chi}$ EFT (Dirac DM)	M) 0 e, μ M) 0 e, μ, 1 γ 0 e, μ	≥1j 1j 1J,≤1j	Yes Yes Yes	3.2 3.2 3.2	m _A m _A M.	1.0 TeV 650 GeV 550 GeV		$\begin{array}{l} g_{q}{=}0.25, \ g_{\chi}{=}1.0, \ m(\chi) < 140 \ {\rm GeV} \\ g_{q}{=}0.25, \ g_{\chi}{=}1.0, \ m(\chi) < 10 \ {\rm GeV} \\ m(\chi) < 150 \ {\rm GeV} \end{array}$	Preliminary Preliminary ATLAS-CONF-2015-080
p	Scalar LQ 1 st gen Scalar LQ 2 nd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen	2 e 2 μ 1 e, μ	≥ 2 j ≥ 2 j ≥1 b, ≥3 j	– – j Yes	3.2 3.2 20.3	LQ mass LQ mass LQ mass	1.07 TeV 1.03 TeV 640 GeV		$\beta = 1$ $\beta = 1$ $\beta = 0$	Preliminary Preliminary 1508.04735
quarks	$ \begin{array}{l} VLQ \ TT \rightarrow Ht + X \\ VLQ \ YY \rightarrow Wb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Hb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Zb + X \\ VLQ \ QQ \rightarrow WqWq \\ T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt \end{array} $	1 e, μ 1 e, μ 1 e, μ 2/≥3 e, μ 1 e, μ 1 e, μ	$\begin{array}{l} \geq 2 \ b, \geq 3 \\ \geq 1 \ b, \geq 3 \\ \geq 2 \ b, \geq 3 \\ \geq 2 / \geq 1 \ b \\ \geq 4 \ j \\ \geq 1 \ b, \geq 5 \end{array}$	j Yes j Yes j Yes - Yes j Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	T mass Y mass B mass B mass Q mass T _{5/3} mass	855 GeV 770 GeV 735 GeV 755 GeV 690 GeV 840 GeV		T in (T,B) doublet Y in (B,Y) doublet isospin singlet B in (B,Y) doublet	1505.04306 1505.04306 1505.04306 1409.5500 1509.04261 1503.05425
fermions	Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow Wt$ Excited lepton ℓ^* Excited lepton ν^*	1 γ - 1 or 2 e, μ 3 e, μ 3 e, μ, τ	1j 2j 1b,1j 1b,2-0j - -	- - Yes -	3.2 3.6 3.2 20.3 20.3 20.3	q' mass q' mass b' mass b' mass (' mass y' mass	1.8	4.4 TeV 5.2 TeV 2.1 TeV 3 TeV 3.0 TeV .6 TeV	only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ $f_g = f_L = f_R = 1$ $\Lambda = 3.0$ TeV $\Lambda = 1.6$ TeV	1512.05910 1512.01530 Preliminary 1510.02664 1411.2921 1411.2921
Other	LSTC $a_T \rightarrow W\gamma$ LRSM Majorana ν Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell\ell$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell\tau$ Monotop (non-res prod) Multi-charged particles Magnetic monopoles	1 e, μ, 1 γ 2 e, μ 2 e, μ (SS) 3 e, μ, τ 1 e, μ - -	- 2 j - 1 b - -	Yes - Yes 	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 7.0	ar mass N ⁰ mass H ^{±±} mass H ^{±±} mass 400 spin-1 invisible particle mass multi-charged particle mass monopole mass	960 GeV 551 GeV 0 GeV 657 GeV 785 GeV 1.34 1	2.0 TeV	$\begin{split} m(W_R) &= 2.4 \text{ TeV, no mixing} \\ \text{DY production, } \text{BR}(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell \ell) = 1 \\ \text{DY production, } \text{BR}(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell r) = 1 \\ a_{\text{non-res}} &= 0.2 \\ \text{DY production, } q &= 5e \\ \text{DY production, } g &= 1g_D, \text{ spin } 1/2 \end{split}$	1407.8150 1506.06020 1412.0237 1411.2921 1410.5404 1504.04188 1509.08059
		√s = 8 TeV	√s = 1	3 TeV		10 ⁻¹	1	10	Mass scale [TeV]	

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. Lower bounds are specified only when explicitly not excluded.

†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

ATLAS Preliminary

Searches for τv resonances **CMS**



q

Delayed and Displaced Photons PRD 90, 112005 (2014)

- Long-lived pair-produced neutral particle decaying into a photon and an undetectable particle
 - GMSB benchmark model, neutralino NLSP
- Signatures
 - undetectable particle: large MET
 - search for final state with 1 or 2 photons
 - main discriminants are timing and direction EM calo (low β, late decay)
- Status and Plans
 - LAr Calorimeter timing calibrated using
 W→ev data sample, validate in Z→ee data
 - plan to include calibration for low gain LAr hits (worse resolution due to limitations in calibration samples)
- CMS public result: Phys. Lett. 722 (2013) 273





- Boosted objects and techniques
- Non standard signatures
- Theoretical interplay

- Resonance and VLQ searches are pretty consistent between CMS and ATLAS:
 - boosted object reconstruction:
 - lepton isolation optimized for presence of close by jet
 - ATLAS: isolation cone that is the smaller b/w $\Delta R{=}10GeV/pT$ or $\Delta R{=}0.2$ (e) and 0.3 (µ)
 - CMS uses:
 - Minilsolation(similar concept)
 - ΔR(I,j)>0.4 or p_T^{rel}(ℓ,j)> 20 GeV



Z'->tt

- Resonance and VLQ searches are pretty consistent between CMS and ATLAS:
 - boosted object reconstruction:
 - boosted W, t, H tagging: main tool for signal selection and also among the biggest source of uncertainty
 - calibration in situ
 - calibration in 8 TeV because of low statistics in validation sample

		postfit			
	CMS	tī	1 t tag W + jets	Z'	
Z'->tt	t- and b-tagging	6.7%	17.0%	7.3%	
	Scale uncertainty	4.1%	9.9%	-	
	PDF	2.7%	4.8%	4.2%	



Can they be improved?

- boosted W, t, H tagging similar between ATLAS and CMS:
 - grooming algorithms for mass reconstruction: soft radiation in jet is removed providing better resolution
 - N-subjettiness(τ₂₁,τ₃₂): jet substructure more likely to be originated by a given number of hard partons
 - Large-R jet or subjet b-tagging
- N-subjettiness cut is correlated with pt and mass (sculpting of bkg)?





Figure 2: Profile distributions, $\langle \tau_2/\tau_1 \rangle$, as a function of $\rho = \log(m^2/p_T^2)$ (left) and as a function of $\rho' = \log(m^2/p_T/\mu)$ (on the right). Solid dots correspond to background, while hollow ones to signal. The different colors correspond to different p_T bins.

- multivariate tool to tag? Validation?
- Extrapolation to high pt regime? more statistics is needed



Figure 3: Profile distributions, $\langle C_2^{\beta=1} \rangle$ (left) and $\langle D_2^{\beta=1} \rangle$ (right), as a function of $\rho = \log(m^2/p_T^2)$. Solid dots correspond to background, while hollow ones to signal. The different colors correspond to different p_T bins

Non standard signatures

- Dedicated triggers for non standard signatures and ad hoc reconstruction algorithm
 - slow muons
 - energy deposits in calorimeters (HE/EE)
 - displaced vertices

Save useful infos in the xAOD/AOD

Theoretical interplay

- Some excesses seen in some analysis, but nothing new so far..
 - What if nothing is found?
- If di-phon excess is confirmed what can it be?
 - VLQ : T-> tη : TTpair production with ttjjjj

Strasler et al. arxiv 1512.05775

Associated production with other particles(t,b,tau)?