Standard Model Electroweak in Run 2

Fabio Cossutti, INFN Trieste. (CMS) Lorenzo Sestini, Università e INFN Padova. (LHCb) <u>Margherita Spalla</u>, Università e INFN Pisa. (ATLAS)

pp@LHC 2016 – Pisa, 16-18 May 2016









Overview

- o Current status at LHC
 - Focus on most recent results
- o What can we do with Run 2 data
- o Electroweak physics in Run 2
 - Single boson physics: W, Z
 - Precision measurements
 - Multi-bosons physics

For any missing reference, public results can be found here: ATLAS: <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/StandardModelPublicResults</u> CMS: <u>http://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/SMP/index.html</u> LHCb: http://lhcbproject.web.cern.ch/lhcbproject/CDS/cgi-bin/index.php

Standard Model electroweak results

o A wide range of measurements.



Standard Model electroweak results: data/predictions agreement



What changes with Run 2



- Most electroweak cross sections increase by roughly a factor 2.
- Expected luminosity at the end of 2016: roughly 30 fb⁻¹
- To effectively increase sensitivity in SM processes, we need at least 2016 data

What changes with Run 2



- Larger contributions from quark-gluon and gluongluon
- Low x region particularly interesting for PDF.





W and Z at 13 TeV

o First 13 TeV results recently published:

- W and Z cross section from CMS (SMP-15-004, SMP-15-011) and ATLAS (<u>arXiv:1603.09222</u>)
- $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ from LHCb (LHCb-CONF-2016-002)



W and Z at 13 TeV: results

 Measured cross sections provides a test of different PDF sets in orthogonal phase spaces.



W and Z at 13 TeV: results (2)

Cross sections ratio provides lower uncertainties.



> How much can we improve PDFs?

- Expected PDF improvements
 - W charge asymmetry
 - Studied as a function of η in Run 1 (ATLAS: CERN-PH-EP-2011-143; CMS: CERN-EP/2016-043; LHCb: CERN-PH-EP-2015-301)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry in Drell-Yan
 - V+jets: more in QCD session



Differential cross sections

- o Channel: Z/ $\gamma^* \rightarrow l^+l^-$
 - CMS and LHCb provided first 13 TeV results (CMS-PAS-SMP-15-011, LHCb-CONF-2016-002)
 - ATLAS: detailed study on 8 TeV data (arXiv:1512.02192)



Differential cross sections



Single boson in Run 2

- o Replicate a full study at 13 TeV
- Will provide higher statistics in high pt tails
 - Sensitive to EWK corrections
 - Useful for searches
- Differential cross sections are fundamental inputs to precision measurements (next slides)
 - 13 TeV statistics will allow finer binning in soft region: systematic constraints for W mass measurement.

Precision measurements

- Test of the Standard Model (SM) through precision measurements of fundamental parameters.
- Of particular interest for electroweak physics at LHC:
 - W mass, M_w
 - SM consistency check: simultaneous indirect determination of top quark, Higgs boson and W mass.
 - sin²(ϑ_W)
 - LEP/SLD precision measurement legacy: inconsistent results, discriminate between them.

W mass

o High precision needed

 M_W (global EW fit) = 80.358 ± 0.008 GeV M_W (exp.) = 80.385 ± 0.015 GeV

[arxiv:1407.3792] [pdg.lbl.gov]

- o $M_{\rm W}$ extracted fitting the Jacobian peak of W ${\rightarrow}$ l ν spectrum
 - Used variables: $m_T(I \nu)$, $p_T(I)$, E_T^{miss}
 - To achieve ~10 MeV precision on M_w we need permille precision on p_T(I) spectrum [arXiv:1501.05587v2]
- Sensitive to PDF and higher orders corrections

W mass at LHC

- o Analysis in progress in ATLAS/CMS (no public result)
- Proof of principle from CMS: $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ mass removing one muon (CMS PAS SMP-14-007).
 - 20 MeV precision on calibrations is at hand
 - Concentrate on theoretical systematics

		1	VI_Z		1	Z^{I}	
Sources of uncertainty	[MeV]	p _T	m_{T}	₽́T	p _T	m_{T}	₽́T
Lepton efficiencies			1	1	1	1	1
Lepton calibration		14	13	14	12	15	14
Recoil calibration		0	9	13	0	9	14
Total experimental syst. u	14	17	19	12	18	19	
Alternative data reweightings			4	5	14	11	11
PDF uncertainties		6	5	5	6	5	5
PDF uncertainties QED radiation		6 22	5 23	5 24	6 23	5 23	5 24
PDF uncertainties QED radiation Simulated sample size		6 22 7	5 23 6	5 24 8	6 23 7	5 23 6	5 24 8
PDF uncertainties QED radiation Simulated sample size Total other syst. uncertain	ties	6 22 7 24	5 23 6 25	5 24 8 27	6 23 7 28	5 23 6 27	5 24 8 28
PDF uncertainties QED radiation Simulated sample size Total other syst. uncertain Total systematic uncertain	ties ties	6 22 7 24 28	5 23 6 25 30	5 24 8 27 32	6 23 7 28 30	5 23 6 27 32	5 24 8 28 34
PDF uncertainties QED radiation Simulated sample size Total other syst. uncertain Total systematic uncertain Statistics of the data samp	ties ties le	6 22 7 24 28 40	5 23 6 25 30 36	5 24 8 27 32 46	6 23 7 28 30 39	5 23 6 27 32 35	5 24 8 28 34 45

o Improvement expected from LHCb

 adding information from forward region could increase the precision of roughly 30% [arXiv:1508.06954v2]

$sin^2(\vartheta_W)$ in $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow l^+l^-$ events

- ATLAS / LHCb: 7/8 TeV results (arXiv:1503.03709v2/arXiv: 1509.07645v2), older (2011) result from CMS (arXiv:1110.2682v2).
- We measure $sin^2(\vartheta_{eff})$:
 - proportional to $sin^2(\vartheta_W)$, includes radiation corrections.
- o Extracted fitting A_{FB} as a function of di-lepton invariant mass.
 - Quark direction assumed to correspond with that of the Z
 - $u\overline{u}, d\overline{d} \to Z$
 - Valence quark: more likely to have the largest momentum.
 - <u>Better sensitivity in</u> the forward region



$sin^2(\vartheta_W)$ latest results

D0

CDF

CMS

- o LHCb has the highest sensitivity.
 - statistically dominated.
 - ATLAS has similar precision, but dominated by PDF uncertainty

- o CDF approach may help (arXiv:0911.2850v4, arXiv:1512.08256v1)
 - corrects for A_{FB} diluition, reweight dependent on polar angle ϑ
- PDFs can be improved 0 by in silu measurements



Future prospects for precision

measurements

- Improving precision in next year(s) results rely on
 - Theoretical calculation
 - PDF constraint
 - New data available
 - Larger contribution from sea quarks: constraints at low x needed
 - Detector calibration
 - Larger PileUp to handle (especially ATLAS/CMS)
 - Statistics
 - Allow more sensitive approaches as ${\rm A_{FB}}$ in bins of $\eta_{\rm Z}$



• Energy region of interest quite low for ATLAS/CMS trigger thresholds: trigger optimization could be needed.

Multibosons

- Associated production of two (diboson) or more gauge bosons.
 - In this talk:
 - Diboson
 - Vector Boson Fusion (VBF)
 - Vector Boson Scattering (VBS)
 - Tri-bosons
- Fundamental test of gauge bosons self interactions
 - Eventual deviations from expected couplings may be induced by new physics.
 - Anomalous Triple (Quartic) Gauge Coupling or aTGC (aQGC)
- Major backgrounds to Higgs physics and exotic searches.



Diboson: ZZ

- o Multiple studies by ATLAS and CMS
 - ZZ→4|
 - Also studies of inclusive 4-lepton cross section (ATLAS, CERN-PH-EP-2015-220).
 - $ZZ \rightarrow 2|2 \nu$



Diboson: WW / WZ

CERI

ATLAS

W⁺Z

√s = 8 TeV. 20.3 fb

Data

Powhea

σ^{theory}

CMS

Preliminary

eee

μee

euu

μμμ

combined

(dd) $_{WZ}$ (pb)

σ_{pp -}

60

1.27 ± 0.10

 1.21 ± 0.08

 1.19 ± 0.08

 1.11 ± 0.06

 1.17 ± 0.05

0.4

CMS

ATLAS

MCFM NLO

NNPDF3.0, fixed $\mu_{p} = \mu_{p} = M_{z}$

0.6

0.8

1

1.2

1.4

1.6

 $\sigma^{fid.}$

- o Analysis from ATLAS and CMS
 - Fully leptonic at 7 and 8 TeV, 13 TeV (only CMS)
- o WZ data exceed MC of roughly 2 σ at 8 TeV.
 - Not confirmed by first result at 13 TeV.
- Similar effect observed by ATLAS in WW(+0jets)
 - Jet veto enhances contributions beyond fixed-oreder calculation
 - CMS reweights MC to p_t-resummed calculation.



Semi-leptonic WW/WZ

- $\circ \quad \mathsf{W} \longrightarrow \mid \nu , \, \mathsf{W} / \mathsf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathsf{j}\mathsf{j}$
 - Run 1 results: ATLAS (CERN-PH-EP-2014-244), CMS (CERN-PH-EP-2012-311)
 - Larger background and systematics than fully leptonic
 - No 8 TeV results: stay tuned for more!
- o Many developing 'W-taggers' for Run 2
 - Hadronically decaying W/Z in boosted regime
 - the quarks from W/Z decay collimated due to W/Z boost
 - detected as single large jet
 - Used for exotic searches (more in dedicated talk)
 - For reference: CERN-PH-EP-2015-204, CMS-JME-13-006.
- Standard model WW/WZ: important to test these methods on real known resonances.



Diboson: W/Z and photons

• W γ and Z γ measured at 7 TeV by ATLAS and CMS • New studies on Z γ with 8 TeV statistics

- CMS (SMP-14-019): $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
- ATLAS (CERN-EP-2016-049): $Z\gamma \rightarrow l^+ l^- \gamma \quad Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$

 ATLAS: differential cross section in observables sensitive to higher-order QCD corrections



Anomalous Triple Gauge coupling (aTGC)

- o Deviations from SM expectations due to new physics.
- Model-independent description of Example: dimension 6 operators new physics $O_{WWW} = \frac{c_{WWW}}{\Lambda^2} \text{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}],$
 - Effective Field Theory (EFT)
 - Operators of mass dimension >4 added to the SM Lagrangian.
 - Anomalous couplings: equivalent (older) scenario
 - Linear relation between the two:
- New physics parameters estimated fitting data
 - Expected larger effect at larger mass
 - The invariant mass is used
 - or related quantities as boson p_T

$$O_{WWW} = \frac{c_{WWW}}{\Lambda^2} \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}],$$

$$O_W = \frac{c_W}{\Lambda^2} \left(D_{\mu}\Phi\right)^{\dagger} W^{\mu\nu} \left(D_{\nu}\Phi\right)$$

$$O_B = \frac{c_B}{\Lambda^2} \left(D_{\mu}\Phi\right) B^{\mu\nu} \left(D_{\nu}\Phi\right),$$



aTGC summary

- No evidence of anomalous coupling from available analysis
- ATLAS/CMS provide competitive limits.
- Larger statistics at high mass needed
 - precision will improve with Run 2



aTGC results reference:

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMPaTGC

Diboson prospects for run 2 (and beyond)

- o ATLAS/CMS: precision diboson measurements
 - Improve cross sections using full 13 TeV statistics.
 - Understand differential cross sections and kinematic spectra.
- o LHCb: up to now, statistics not sufficient
 - In run 2, diboson measurements in forward region will be possible.
 - Key cross-check of ATLAS/CMS results in complementary phase space region.
- o aTGCs, possible sensitivity improvements:
 - Larger statistics on high-mass tails in Run 2
 - Combination between aTGC and Higgs measurements (arXiv:1604.03105)
 - Larger precision with future e⁺e⁻ colliders.

Vector bosons + forward-backward jets



- o Common signature
 - One/two vector bosons (VBF/VBS)
 - Two jets of large $|\Delta y|$
 - Low activity in Δy gap
- o Common issue
 - Interference with QCD diagrams
 - Electroweak contribution is extracted treating the QCD component as background
 - Systematic associated to interference term
 - Methods
 - Fit of m_{jj}
 - Multivariate analysis
 - Cut&count for very rare processes







Vector Boson Scattering (WW \rightarrow WW)

- o Without a Higgs boson, VBS amplitude increase with \sqrt{s} and ultimately violate unitarity
 - to be proved that unitarization is due to Higgs boson
 - possible VBS enhancements due to additional resonances
- Key search strategy: same sign W[±]W[±]jj
 - Electroweak W[±]W[±]jj observed by ATLAS and CMS (SMP-13-015, CERN-PH-EP-2014-079)
 - Consistent results between the two



Other VBS channels

- Electroweak component of oppositesign WWjj: $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow e \nu \mu \nu$ (CMS: CMS-FSQ-13-008)
 - $e \mu$ final state chosen to reduce Drel-Yan background
 - 3.4σ observed significance
 - stringent limits on aQGC
- WZjj (CMS: CMS-SMP-13-015. ATLAS: CERN-EP-2016-017)
 - CMS: require one additional lepton in same-sign WWjj
 - ATLAS: require two jets in WZ analysis
- W γ jj / Z γ jj (CMS: SMP-14-011, SMP-14-018)
 - Cut and count approach.
 - Evidence of electroweak contribution.



Tri-bosons

- o Probe of QGC complementary to VBS
- o Three out of four bosons are identified GeV Events / 40
 - Precise knowledge of the involved vertex
- First measurements of three gauge bosons production are now available.
- VYY \bigcirc
 - $W\gamma\gamma$
 - CMS: $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$ (SMP-15-008).
 - ATLAS: $W \rightarrow \mu \nu /e \nu$ (CERN-PH-EP-2015-009)
 - · ZYY
 - CMS: Z→I⁺I⁻ (SMP-15-008).
 - ATLAS: $Z \rightarrow ||^+|^- / \nu \nu$, (CERN-EP-2016-049)



200

Events / 5 Ge/

400



600

Data

Ζ(μμ)γγ

Z+γj,jγ,jj Other BKG

Stat. ⊕ syst

1000

1200

 $m_{\mu\mu\gamma\gamma}$

800

spectrum

Summary and future prospects

- VBS/Tri-boson physics starts being exploited with full 8 TeV data
- All aQGC limits are consistent with zero.
- More complete measurements will be possible with next years statistics
- o To get precision measurements, we will have to wait for 300 fb^{-1} or even HL-LHC.
 - High precision to detect small effects in high mass tails.
 - Full hardware upgrade necessary
 - See for instance
 - 'Snowmass' report arXiv:1310.6708v1
 - ATL-PHYS-PUB-2013-006
 - CMS-TDR-15-02

Conclusions

- We can now test full consistency of Standard Model parameters as experimentally determined.
 - From LHC:
 - M_w not yet measured
 - $sen(\vartheta_w)$ to be improved
- Precision studies on electroweak processes with large cross section
 - Single bosons and (starting) dibosons
 - Hints on how well we understand and model Standard Model processes
 - Our description still has to be improved
 - Dibosons: detailed test of emerging frontier calculations.
- o Rare electroweak processes
 - VBS and tri-bosons
 - Expect full exploiting with $L \ge 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

- Drell-Yan ϕ^* : quanto è interessante/usabile dal punto di vista teorico?
- La prossima frontiera del confronto: NNLO+PS, NNLO+risommazione, entrambi... quanto agevolmente possiamo usarle dal punto di vista sperimentale?
- Stiamo andando sempre a ordine successivo, ma qual'è il benchmark in precisione che ci serve? La misura dei parametri fondamentali? Altri?
- Quanto possiamo imparare dai rapporti tra diverse energie?
- aTGC: come possiamo andare oltre il Run1?
 - combinazione dei risultati a 7 TeV ATLAS/CMS, possiamo evolverla?
 - proposte di analisi combinate di accoppiamenti VV/Higgs
- VBF/VBS

- sin²(ϑ_W) possiamo imparare dagli ultimi risultati del Tevatron?
 - Riduzione della dipendenza dalle PDF...
- M_W: a che punto siamo con le sistematiche teoriche? Come estrapoliamo le misure dalla Z al W?
 - A 13 TeV avremo più pileup, ci aiuta il run 2?
- Combinazione della frontiera delle correzioni QCD e EWK?
- Interferenze EW/QCD: esiste un approccio migliore che separare i contributi dei vari diagrammi?

17/05/16 • 33

Backup



^{17/05/16 • 35}

From run 1 to run 2

• Run I: $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV} / 8 \text{ TeV}$. ATLAS/CMS: ~25 fb⁻¹, LHCb: ~3 fb⁻¹



What changes with Run 2

 To effectively increase sensitivity in SM processes, we need at least 2016 data



W and Z at 13 TeV

- First 13 TeV results recently published:
 - W and Z cross section from CMS (SMP-15-004, SMP-15-011) and ATLAS (<u>arXiv:1603.09222</u>)
 - $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ from LHCb (LHCb-CONF-2016-002)
- o Event selection:
 - Similar cuts in the three analysis.
 - Single-lepton or di-lepton trigger
 - One (two) high p_t isolated lepton for W(Z)
 - E_T^{miss} (for W)
 - Analysis restricted to mass <u>peak region.</u>

Entries /

25

15

10F

Data / Pred 1.1 8.0 8.0 8.0

- o Main backgrounds:
 - Top production
 - Multijet
 - Electroweak:
 - W/Z decaying to τ
 - diboson production



m₊ [GeV]

W at 13 TeV: signal extraction

- o CMS extracts both the signal and multijet background normalisation from likelyhood fit of missing E_{T} .
- ATLAS cuts on missing E_T and evaluate the number of multijet events from control regions.



Total 13 TeV W and Z cross sections

CMS: SMP-15-004, SMP-15-011 ATLAS: <u>arXiv:1603.09222</u>

TOTAL CROSS SECTIC [pb]) DNS	CMS [value ± stat ± syst ± lumi]	ATLAS [value ± stat ± syst ± lumi]
TA 7+	e ⁺ v	$11390 \pm 90 \pm 340 \pm 550$	$12180 \pm 30 \pm 410 \pm 630$
W ⁺	$\mu^+\nu$	$11350 \pm 60 \pm 320 \pm 550$	$11700 \pm 20 \pm 320 \pm 630$
τ	e⁻v	$8680 \pm 80 \pm 250 \pm 420$	$8960 \pm 20 \pm 380 \pm 470$
VV	μ-ν	$8510 \pm 60 \pm 210 \pm 410$	$8710 \pm 20 \pm 250 \pm 480$
7	e+e-	$1920 \pm 20 \pm 60 \pm 90$	$1980 \pm 10 \pm 40 \pm 100$
	μ ⁺ μ ⁻	$1870 \pm 2 \pm 35 \pm 51$	$1970 \pm 10 \pm 40 \pm 100$



Vector boson transverse momentum

- o P_t spectrum
- ATLAS: distributions in different mass bins
- No studied generator describes data through all the kinematic range





• Example from ATLAS 8 TeV • Data - statistical uncertainty • Data - statistical uncertainty

paper: normalized spectrum at low ϕ_{η}^* in different rapidity regions.





Ratio of p_T(l) distributions with varied

arXiv:1501.05587v2



17/05/16 • 44

$sin^2(\vartheta_W)$ in $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow l^+l^-$ events

- o Extracted fitting A_{FB} as a function of di-lepton invariant mass.
 - Quark direction assumed to correspond with that of the Z
 - Valence quark: more likely to have the largest momentum.
 - <u>Better sensitivity in the forward reaion</u>



Multibosons measurements





data/theory



Leptonic WW cross section

CMS-SMP-14-016

Fiducial cross sections. Varying minimum p_T of veto jets.

$p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$ (GeV)	$\sigma_{\text{zero-jet}}$ measured (pb)	$\sigma_{\text{zero-jet}}$ predicted (pb)
>20	$36.2 \pm 0.6 (\text{stat}) \pm 2.1 (\text{exp}) \pm 1.1 (\text{theo}) \pm 0.9 (\text{lumi})$	$36.7 \pm 0.1 (stat)$
>25	$40.8 \pm 0.7 (\text{stat}) \pm 2.3 (\text{exp}) \pm 1.3 (\text{theo}) \pm 1.1 (\text{lumi})$	$40.9 \pm 0.1 ({ m stat})$
>30	$44.0 \pm 0.7 (\text{stat}) \pm 2.5 (\text{exp}) \pm 1.4 (\text{theo}) \pm 1.1 (\text{lumi})$	$43.9\pm0.1(\mathrm{stat})$



Leptonic WW cross section: Jet multiplicity mismodelling



CMS reweights MC to p_t-resummed calculation.

Other differential distributions: $\gamma\gamma$

o Differential cross section studied as a function of:

 $m_{\gamma\gamma}, p_{T,\gamma\gamma}, \Delta\phi_{\gamma\gamma}, \cos\theta^*_{\gamma\gamma}$

- High sensitivity to prove perturbative QCD predictions
- o Very similar results between ATLAS (CERN-PH-EP-2012-300) and CMS (CMS-SMP-13-001)



CMS

- Data

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV} \text{ L} = 5.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Anomalous Triple Gauge coupling (aTGC)

- o Deviations from SM expectations due to new physics.
- Model-independent description of new physics
 - Effective Field Theory (EFT)
 - Operators of mass dimension >4 added to the SM Lagrangian.
 - Anomalous couplings: equivalent
 (older) scenario
 - Linear relation between the two:

 c_W, c_B, c_{WWW}

 $\Rightarrow \lambda, \Delta k_{\gamma}, \Delta g_1^Z$

Example: dimension 6 operators

$$O_{WWW} = \frac{c_{WWW}}{\Lambda^2} \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}],$$

$$O_W = \frac{c_W}{\Lambda^2} \left(D_{\mu}\Phi\right)^{\dagger} W^{\mu\nu} \left(D_{\nu}\Phi\right)$$

$$O_B = \frac{c_B}{\Lambda^2} \left(D_{\mu}\Phi\right) B^{\mu\nu} \left(D_{\nu}\Phi\right),$$

• New physics parameters estimated if fitting data

- Expected larger effect at larger mass
- The invariant mass is used
 - or related quantities as boson p_T



Charged couplings: WWy

Mar 2016

CMS

	Central Fit Value	ATLAS DO LEP	Chann	el Limits	<i>L</i> dt	√s
Ar			Wγ	[-4.1e-01, 4.6e-01] 4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
Δκγ			Wγ	[-3.8e-01, 2.9e-01] 5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		—	ww	[-1.2e-01, 1.7e-01] 20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−−−−	ww	[-2.1e-01, 2.2e-01] 4.9 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢	ww	[-1.3e-01, 9.5e-02] 19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		H	WV	[-2.1e-01, 2.2e-01] 4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		H	WV	[-1.1e-01, 1.4e-01] 5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢ −−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−	D0 Co	mb. [-1.6e-01, 2.5e-01] 8.6 fb ⁻¹	1.96 TeV
		⊢⊷	LEP C	omb. [-9.9e-02, 6.6e-02] 0.7 fb ⁻¹	0.20 TeV
λ		H	Wγ	[-6.5e-02, 6.1e-02] 4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
4		⊢ ⊣	Wγ	[-5.0e-02, 3.7e-02] 5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		н	WW	[-1.9e-02, 1.9e-02	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢ −−1	WW	[-4.8e-02, 4.8e-02	2] 4.9 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		H	WW	[-2.4e-02, 2.4e-02] 19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		н	WV	[-3.9e-02, 4.0e-02] 4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		H	WV	[-3.8e-02, 3.0e-02] 5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢ •-I	D0 Co	mb. [-3.6e-02, 4.4e-02] 8.6 fb ⁻¹	1.96 TeV
1		⊢● ┨	LEP C	omb. [-5.9e-02, 1.7e-02] 0.7 fb ⁻¹	0.20 TeV
	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	
				aTGC Li	mits @95	5% C.L.

Charged couplings: WWZ

Mar 2016	Central	ATLAS				ſ	
	Fit Value	LËP 🗕		Channel	Limits	JLdt	√s
$\Delta \kappa_{7}$				WW	[-4.3e-02, 4.3e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
Z		H		WW	[-2.5e-02, 2.0e-02]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢ •−-		WW	[-6.0e-02, 4.6e-02]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
				WZ	[-1.9e-01, 3.0e-01]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−−−−		WV	[-9.0e-02, 1.0e-01]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢		WV	[-4.3e-02, 3.3e-02]	5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢ •−1		LEP Comb.	[-7.4e-02, 5.1e-02]	0.7 fb ⁻¹	0.20 TeV
λ_				WW	[-6.2e-02, 5.9e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
ΞŹ		н		WW	[-1.9e-02, 1.9e-02]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−−−		WW	[-4.8e-02, 4.8e-02]	4.9 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		Herl		WW	[-2.4e-02, 2.4e-02]	19.4 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢		WZ	[-4.6e-02, 4.7e-02]	4.6 fb⁻¹	7 TeV
		н		WZ	[-1.6e-02, 1.6e-02]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢ −−−		WV	[-3.9e-02, 4.0e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢ −−1		WV	[-3.8e-02, 3.0e-02]	5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢•-1		D0 Comb.	[-3.6e-02, 4.4e-02]	8.6 fb ⁻¹	1.96 TeV
		⊢∙⊣		LEP Comb.	[-5.9e-02, 1.7e-02]	0.7 fb ⁻¹	0.20 TeV
Δq^Z		⊢ −−−		WW	[-3.9e-02, 5.2e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
1		н		WW	[-1.6e-02, 2.7e-02]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−−−−		WW	[-9.5e-02, 9.5e-02]	4.9 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		┝━┥		WW	[-4.7e-02, 2.2e-02]	19.4 fb⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−−−−		WZ	[-5.7e-02, 9.3e-02]	4.6 fb⁻¹	7 TeV
		H		WZ	[-1.9e-02, 2.9e-02]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		HH		WV	[-5.5e-02, 7.1e-02]	4.6 fb⁻¹	7 TeV
		├──● ──		D0 Comb.	[-3.4e-02, 8.4e-02]	8.6 fb⁻¹	1.96 TeV
	1 1	, ⊢ ∙⊣	1 1	LEP Comb.	[-5.4e-02, 2.1e-02]	0.7 fb ⁻¹	0.20 TeV
0.5	I	0		0.5		1	
					aTGC Lin	nits @95	5% C.L.

• https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMPaTGC

17/05/16 • 54

Neutral coupling: $ZZ\gamma / Z\gamma\gamma$

April 2016		CMS					
		CDF —		Channel	Limits	∫ <i>L</i> dt	√s
h				Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-1.5e-02, 1.6e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
3		н		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-9.5e-04, 9.9e-04]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−− {		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-2.9e-03, 2.9e-03]	5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢−−−−−		Zγ(IIγ)	[-4.6e-03, 4.6e-03]	19.5 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		н		Ζγ(ννγ)	[-1.1e-03, 9.0e-04]	19.6 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
				Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-2.2e-02, 2.0e-02]	5.1 fb ⁻¹	1.96 TeV
h ^Z	F		-	Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-1.3e-02, 1.4e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
3		н		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-7.8e-04, 8.6e-04]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		H		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-2.7e-03, 2.7e-03]	5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢1		Zγ(IIγ)	[-3.8e-03, 3.7e-03]	19.5 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		н		Ζγ(ννγ)	[-1.5e-03, 1.6e-03]	19.6 fb⁻¹	8 TeV
	H			Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-2.0e-02, 2.1e-02]	5.1 fb ⁻¹	1.96 TeV
h ^y .				Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-9.4e-05, 9.2e-05]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
- 4		Н		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-3.2e-06, 3.2e-06]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		н		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-1.5e-05, 1.5e-05]	5.0 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢		Zγ(IIγ)	[-3.6e-05, 3.5e-05]	19.5 fb⁻¹	8 TeV
		Н		Ζγ(ννγ)	[-3.8e-06, 4.3e-06]	19.6 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
h ^z		H		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-8.7e-05, 8.7e-05]	4.6 fb⁻¹	7 TeV
4		Н		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-3.0e-06, 2.9e-06]	20.3 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		н		Ζγ(ΙΙγ,ννγ)	[-1.3e-05, 1.3e-05]	5.0 fb⁻¹	7 TeV
		⊢ −−1		Zγ(IIγ)	[-3.1e-05, 3.0e-05]	19.5 fb⁻¹	8 TeV
		Н		Ζγ(ννγ)	[-3.9e-06, 4.5e-06]	19.6 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
	-0.2	0	0.2	0.	4 0.6	0	.8 x10 ⁻¹ (h.
	-	-		aTO	C Limits @95	5% C.L.	x10 ⁻³ (h ₂

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMPaTGC

17/05/16 • 55

Neutral couplings: ZZy/ZZZ

Mar 2016	6						
		ATLAS		Channel	Limits	∫ <i>L</i> dt	√s
f ^Y .				ZZ	[-1.5e-02, 1.5e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
.4		⊢−−−−− 1		ZZ	[-5.0e-03, 5.0e-03]	19.6 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−−− 1		ZZ (2l2v)	[-3.6e-03, 3.2e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
		—		ZZ (comb)	[-3.0e-03, 2.6e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
f ^Z				ZZ	[-1.3e-02, 1.3e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
'4				ZZ	[-4.0e-03, 4.0e-03]	19.6 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
		—		ZZ (2l2v)	[-2.7e-03, 3.2e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
		—		ZZ (comb)	[-2.1e-03, 2.6e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
f				ZZ	[-1.6e-02, 1.5e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
5				ZZ	[-5.0e-03, 5.0e-03]	19.6 fb ⁻¹	8 TeV
				ZZ(2l2v)	[-3.3e-03, 3.6e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
		—		ZZ(comb)	[-2.6e-03, 2.7e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
fz			-	ZZ	[-1.3e-02, 1.3e-02]	4.6 fb ⁻¹	7 TeV
.2				ZZ	[-4.0e-03, 4.0e-03]	19.6 fb⁻¹	8 TeV
		⊢−−−− 1		ZZ (2l2v)	[-2.9e-03, 3.0e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
				ZZ (comb)	[-2.2e-03, 2.3e-03]	24.7 fb ⁻¹	7,8 TeV
-0	0.02	0		0.02	0.04 aTGC Lim	its @95	0.06 % C.L.

• https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMPaTGC

Combining aTGC and Higgs measurements

- Some EFT dimension-6 operators contribute to anomalous Higgs interactions and aTGCs at the same time
- Increase sensitivity combining the LHC results on TGVs and Higgs couplings
- o Ref: arXiv:1604.03105, combination of Run 1 results.



17/05/16 • 57

Vector Boson Fusion (VBF)

- ATLAS: Z+2j (CERN-PH-EP-2013-227). CMS: Z+2j (CMS-FSQ-12-035), W+2j (SMP-13-012)
 - CMS: fit BDT output
 - Interference term added in the fit (not modelled by MC)
 - ATLAS: fit m_{ii}
 - interference considered as background
 - background normalized to control region
 - ATLAS also measure inclusive cross section.
- Evidence of SM signal with more than 5σ significance from both experiments



VBS unitarization



Other VBS channels

- WZjj (CMS: CMS-SMP-13-015. ATLAS: CERN-EP-2016-017)
 - CMS: require one additional lepton in same-sign WWjj
 - ATLAS: require two jets in WZ analysis
- W γ jj / Z γ jj (CMS: SMP-14-011, SMP-14-018)
 - Cut and count approach.
 - Evidence of electroweak contribution.



 $\sigma_{fid}(WZjj) = 10.8 \pm 4.0 \text{(stat)} \pm 1.3 \text{(syst.)} \text{ fb}$ $\sigma_{fid}^{MC}(WZjj) = 14.4 \pm 4.0 \text{fb}$

CMS Wyjj→lvyjj

SMP-14-011



CMS Zγjj→llγjj

SMP-14-018



Wyy and Zyy Z_{YY}

Main background: jets misidentified as photons. Data driven methods used

ATLAS: CERN-EP-2016-049

Channel	Measurement [fb]	MCFM Prediction [fb]	04 1 05 1
$e^+e^-\gamma\gamma$	$6.2^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ (stat.) ± 0.4 (syst.) ± 0.1 (lumi.)		nts / 1
$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma$	$3.83^{+0.95}_{-0.85}$ (stat.) $^{+0.48}_{-0.47}$ (syst.) ± 0.07 (lumi.)	$3.70^{+0.21}_{-0.11}$	р Д Ш
$\ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$	$5.07 + 0.73 - 0.68$ (stat.) $+ 0.41 - 0.38$ (syst.) ± 0.10 (lumi.)		1
$v\bar{v}\gamma\gamma$	$2.5^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$ (stat.) ± 1.1 (syst.) ± 0.1 (lumi.)	$0.737^{+0.039}_{-0.032}$	

CMS: SMP-15-008

$$\sigma_{Z\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fid}} \cdot \text{BR} \left(Z \to \ell \ell \right) = 12.7 \pm 1.4 \text{ (stat)} \pm 1.8 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (lumi) fb}$$

 $\sigma_{Z\gamma\gamma}^{\text{NLO}} \cdot \text{BR} \left(Z \to \ell \ell \right) = 12.95 \pm 1.47 \text{ fb}$

 ATLAS: CERN-PH-EP-2015-009

 σ^{fid} [fb]

	0 [10]	U	[10]
Inclusive $(N_{\text{jet}} \ge 0)$			
μνγγ ενγγ ℓνγγ	7.1 $^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$ (stat.) ± 1.5 (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.) 4.3 $^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$ (stat.) $^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$ (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.) 6.1 $^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$ (stat.) ± 1.2 (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.)	2.90 ±	0.16

 $\sigma^{\rm MCFM}$ [fb]

CMS: SMP-15-008

$$\sigma_{W^{\pm}\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fid}} \cdot \text{BR} (W \to \ell\nu) = 6.0 \pm 1.8 \text{ (stat)} \pm 2.3 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (lumi) fb}$$

$$\sigma_{W^{\pm}\gamma\gamma}^{\text{NLO}} \cdot \text{BR} (W \to \ell\nu) = 4.76 \pm 0.53 \text{ fb}$$





17/05/16 • 63

ATLAS $Z\gamma\gamma$

18

CERN-EP-2016-049





SMP-15-008

 $\sigma_{Z\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fid}} \cdot \text{BR}\left(Z \to \ell\ell\right) = 12.7 \pm 1.4 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 1.8 \,(\text{syst}) \pm 0.3 \,(\text{lumi}) \,\text{fb}$

$\sigma_{Z\gamma\gamma}^{\text{NLO}} \cdot \text{BR} \left(Z \to \ell \ell \right) = 12.95 \pm 1.47 \,\text{fb}$



17/05/16 • 65

ATLAS WYY

CERN-PH-EP-2015-009

	$\sigma^{ m fid}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{ m MCFM}$ [fb]
Inclusive $(N_{jet} \ge 0)$		
μνγγ	7.1 $^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$ (stat.) ± 1.5 (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.)	
evγγ	4.3 $^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$ (stat.) $^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$ (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.)	2.90 ± 0.16
lvγγ	$6.1 + 1.1 + 1.1 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 1.2 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (lumi.)}$	



SMP-15-008

 $CMS W\gamma\gamma$

 $\sigma_{W^{\pm}\gamma\gamma}^{NLO}$ · BR (W $\rightarrow \ell\nu$) = 4.76 ± 0.53 fb

 $\sigma_{W^{\pm}\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fid}} \cdot \text{BR}\left(W \to \ell\nu\right) = 6.0 \pm 1.8 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 2.3 \,(\text{syst}) \pm 0.2 \,(\text{lumi}) \,\text{fb}$



Tri-bosons results: first aQGC limits

• All results compatible with zero

All 8 TeV measurements





Reference: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMPaTGC

Future prospects

- To get precision measurements, we will have to wait for 300 fb⁻¹ or even HL-LHC.
 - High precision to detect small effects in high mass tails.
 - Full hardware upgrade necessary
- New forward proton spectrometer (AFP and PPS) will increase sensitivity in photon induced channels (10.1103/PhysRevD. 89.114004).

Example: non-unitarization in VBS

3000 fb ⁻¹ , 14 TeV	Phase-II	Phase-I age	d
Higgsless 95% CL μ exclusion	0.14	0.20	
$V_L V_L$ scattering significance	2.75	2.14	

CMS-TDR-15-02

Sensitive to scenarios in which Higgs boson has ~80%-85% contribution to VBS unitarization

