pp@LHC 16-18 May 2016

Francesco Dettori (CERN) Umberto De Sanctis (University of Sussex) Sara Fiorendi (Università degli Studi di Milano - Bicocca)

> on behalf of ATLAS CMS and LHCb Collaborations

Rare beauty and charm decays



Why rare decays



- Information about physics beyond the SM can be found studying rare decays properties:
 - the branching ratios of $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu \mu$
 - selected observables in B \rightarrow K(*)µµ
 - the rate of decays such as $B \rightarrow MI^+I^-$ with different leptons in the final state
 - · LFV and very rare decays
 - photon polarization and radiative B decays

Rare decays analyses in Runl

- · Benchmark channels explored/being explored by the three experiments
- Physics of rare-decays is obviously LHCb bread&butter
 - · particle ID detectors
 - access to low p_T objects
 - · low pileup environment
 - CMS and ATLAS:

•

- mainly limited to multi-muon triggers with few GeV thresholds
- · complement |η| region covered by LHCb (not so important for rare decays)
- · 20 fb⁻¹ data collected, while LHCb has 3fb⁻¹

	ATLAS	CMS	LHCb
B ⁰ (s) → µµ	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
B⁰ → K*µµ		▲ ✓	\checkmark
Lepton Univ.	_	-	\checkmark
LFV	\checkmark	_	<i>√√√√√</i>
rare radiative decays	_	_	$\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}}}$

LHCb Average Pileup at 4 TeV in 2012







What do we expect from RunII

- Cross section for B-physics processes is almost doubled wrt Runl
 - factor 2 in the collected statistics
- LHC expected to deliver ~100 fb⁻¹ in RunII
 - · LHCb lumi-leveling → collect about 5 fb⁻¹
 - ATLAS and CMS can fully exploit the luminosity delivered by LHC
 - however, this implies higher event rate
 - main limitation will be the trigger step
 - higher PU → more combinatorial/backgrounds
 - Higher statistics than in Runl will allow to

- improve statistical uncertainty on already pursued measurements
- access to new decay modes (very rare decays)
- RunII will significantly improve reach of new physics searches
- · results will probably come out not before the end of this year



Detector improvements - ATLAS

Insertable **B-Layer**

- new 4th layer for Pixel detector close to beam pipe (33.25 mm radius)
- up to a factor of 2 improvement in impact parameter resolution for low p_T tracks
 - · improvement in lifetime precision
- significant improvement in b-tagging efficiency for jets and move to offline-style tagging algorithms

Muon system updates

- installation of new chambers
- improvements and overhaul of readout electronics
- new Thin Gap Chamber (TGC) coincidence layer added to minimize fakes at high pseudorapidity
- extra coincidence with sections of tile calorimeter (2016)



Detector improvements - CMS

New pixel detector to be installed during extended year-end technical stop 2016/2017

- additional 4th barrel layer and 3rd disk → 4 hit coverage will provide more robust tracking
- smaller radius of inner layer → better vertex resolution and b-tagging efficiency
- · lower material budget → lower multiple scattering
- new improved readout chip → recover hit inefficiency





Trigger in RunII- ATLAS

- Dedicated B-physics triggers delivering good yields
 - in 2015, managed to keep Mu4Mu6 unprescaled for the entire run
 - in 2016 additional requirements are applied to keep low thresholds
- In 2016, inclusion of L1 topological trigger
 - new inputs to L1 trigger system providing information on event topology Level-1 rate [Hz]
 - expect significant improvements for B-physics performance
 - helping maintaining low thresholds
 - commissioning ongoing through early 2016
- Fast TracK trigger
 - tracking reconstruction between L1 and HLT
 - track quality similar to offline reconstruction with significantly reduced processing time



Trigger results ATLAS

Trigger in RunII - CMS

Completely renewed hardware trigger (L1) from 2016

- possibility to introduce more complex topological requirements (ΔR)
- will allow to apply invariant mass selections already at this step
- · could be beneficial to reduce rate of low p_T dimuon seeds
- HLT selections based on multi-muons paths

- work ongoing to further improve tuning of the online selections (adding further requirements)
- · in 2015, lowest p_T unprescaled dimuon paths had two muons with $p_T > 4$ GeV each



Trigger in Runll - LHCb

- Same hardware trigger (L0)not thresholds
- Completely revised HLT
 - improved trigger farm able to write to storage 12.5 kHz instead of 5 kHz
 - same reconstruction online as offline
 - need "online" calibrations and alignments
 - split in HLT1 and HLT2
 - events buffered after HLT1
 - alignment and calibration run on dedicated HLT1 samples
 - HLT2 performs full event reconstruction



$B^{0}(s) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ decays

FCNC in the SM, helicity and CKM suppressed

 $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)_{SM} = (3.66 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}$ $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)_{SM} = (1.06 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-10}$

PRL 112 (2014) 101801

Theoretically very clean process (virtually no long-distance contributions)

- Particularly sensitive to FCNC scalar currents and Z penguins
- Experimental signature also very clean
 - · 2 muons in the final state

- suitable also for CMS and ATLAS
- One of the most promising channels to reveal new physics contributions

	ATLAS arXiv:1604.04263	CMS PRL 111 (2013) 101804	LHCb PRL 111 (2013) 101805
muon p⊤	4 - 6 GeV	4 GeV (2011) 3 GeV (2012)	0.25 GeV
dimuon mass resolution	60 to 120 MeV (η dependent)	from 32 to 75 MeV (η dependent)	~ 23 MeV
sensitivity	3.1σ	4.8σ	5.0σ

CMS + LHCb combined analysis

Nature 522, 68, 2015

- Simultaneous fit to invariant masses distributions
 - nuisance parameters shared between CMS and LHCb
 - · $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ decay used as reference channel
 - Branching fraction results

 $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-9}$ $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.9^{+1.6}_{-1.4}) \times 10^{-10}$

compatibile with the SM at 1.2 σ for B⁰_s and 2.2 for B⁰

Results for the ratio of branching fractions

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)} = 0.14^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$$

within 2.3 σ of SM prediction





$B^{0}(s) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ from ATLAS

arXiv:1604.04263

- · Recent results from ATLAS on full Run1 statistics
 - similar analysis strategy as CMS and LHCb
 - precision comparable to individual CMS and LHCb measurements

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &= (0.9^{+1.1}_{-0.8}) \times 10^{-9} \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 4.2 \times 10^{-10} \ @95\% \ CL \end{split}$$





$B^{0}(s) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ in RunII

- Absence of large enhancements over SM BR does not mean these rare modes are becoming less interesting
 - we simply excluded scenarios with large scalar FCNC's and entered into a regime where different type of amplitudes (Z-penguins, Z', ...) can affect these decays
 - there is still large room for NP!
- Measurement of the branching fractions
 - production cross-section approximately doubled
 - present theoretical uncertainty ~ 6% likely will decrease to 2-3% in the next few years
 - improve measured precision as theoretical precision increases
 - trigger thresholds will be the main limitation for ATLAS and CMS
- $B^{0}_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ effective lifetime

- · sensitive to the asymmetry parameter
- independent probe of new physics
- f_s/f_d measured by ATLAS and LHCb so far, measurement from CMS would be interesting



$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay

Decay mode that gives access to large number of observables: branching fractions. CP asymmetries and angular observable

• branching fraction ~ $4.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$

- · sensitive to new vector or axial-vector currents and virtual photon polarization
- the decay is fully described by three angles (θ_I , θ_K , ϕ) and the dimuon invariant mass squared (q²)
- the observables depend on form-factors for the $B \rightarrow K^*$ transition plus the underlying short distance physics (Wilson coefficients)

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{d}(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma})/\mathrm{d}q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3(\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma})}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\Omega}}\Big|_{\mathrm{P}} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \Big[\frac{3}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K + F_{\mathrm{L}} \cos^2 \theta_K + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \cos^2 \theta_l + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_{\mathrm{L}}) \sin^2 \theta_K \sin^2 \theta_l \sin^2 \theta_l \sin^2 \theta_k \sin^2 \theta_l \sin$$



$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ analysis

LHCb has performed the full angular analysis of the decay with the full Runl statistics

٠

•

- full set of CP-averaged angular terms and their correlations is extracted
- - lower event yields due to higher p_T thresholds and combinatorial background
 - does not measure the full set of observables
 - full angular analysis on Runl data ongoing



JHEP 02 (2016) 104

ATLAS analysis on full Run1 data ongoing

$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ results

- Results for A_{FB} and F_L available from LHCb, CMS, Babar, CDF and Belle
- Results from full angular analysis only available from LHCb and a recent measurement from Belle
 - present data show some "tension" with SM predictions especially in the P₅' parameter
 - P₅' is one of the form-factor free observables $P'_5 = \frac{S_5}{\sqrt{F_L(1-F_L)}}$
 - deviation from SM prediction at level of 2.8 and 3.0 standard deviations in the q² bins (4-6)(6-8) GeV/c²



Other branching fraction measurements

Anomalies present also in other $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ channels



Wilson coefficient fits

- · Some deviations are observed in different exclusive modes and different type of observables (angular and BR)
- · Reduced tension in all the observables with a unique fit of non-standard short-distance Wilson coefficients

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \sum_i (\mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{O}_i + \mathcal{C}'_i \mathcal{O}'_i)$$





Lepton Universality

• In the SM, ratios
$$R_K = \frac{\int d\Gamma[B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-]/\mathrm{d}q^2 \cdot \mathrm{d}q^2}{\int d\Gamma[B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-]/\mathrm{d}q^2 \cdot \mathrm{d}q^2}$$

expected to be 1 in SM with uncertainty O(10⁻³) JHEP 12 (2007) 040 PRL 112 (2014) 149902

- precise theory prediction due to cancellation of hadronic uncertainties
- experimentally more challenging due to differences in electron/muon reconstruction (larger Bremsstrahlung of electrons)
- In Runl, LHCb measured $\,R_{
 m K} = 0.745 \, {}^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \, {}^{+0.036}_{-0.036}$

in the range $1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^{2}$, consistent with the SM at 2.6 σ

· Tension also seen in the measurement of

•

$$R(X) = \frac{\Gamma(B \to X\tau\bar{\nu})}{\Gamma(B \to X\ell\bar{\nu})} \xrightarrow{*}_{\Gamma} 0.5 \xrightarrow{\text{BaBar}}_{\text{Belle}} \Delta\chi^2 = 1.0$$



b → sll decays in Runll

- RunII data will improve statistical precision of the current experimental measurements
 - LHCb will repeat full angular analyses and BR measurements at 13 TeV
 - ATLAS and CMS:

٠

- sensitivity reach difficult to predict since full angular analyses on RunI data are still ongoing
- significant effort ongoing to maintain low trigger thresholds
- · eventually, higher statistics could give access to complementary decay channels
 - $\cdot \Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$
 - $\cdot B_s \rightarrow \varphi \mu^+ \mu^-$

Decays with electrons in the final states reasonably investigated only by LHCb

Lepton Flavor Violating decays

- · LFV allowed in the SM in the context of massive neutrinos (BR ~10⁻⁴⁰ or less, beyond experimental sensitivity)
- · Beyond the SM theories can significantly enhance LFV decay branching fractions
- · Measurements mostly published by LHCb

BR	LHCb	data sample	ATLAS
B ⁰ s→e⁺µ⁻	< 1.4 x 10 ⁻⁸ @95% CL	1.0 fb ⁻¹ 7 TeV	-
B⁰→e⁺µ⁻	< 3.7 x 10 ⁻⁹ @95% CL	1.0 fb ⁻¹ 7 TeV	-
D ⁰ → e ⁺ µ ⁻	<1.3 x10 ⁻⁸ @90% CL	3.0 fb⁻¹	-
τ⁻→ μ⁻μ⁺μ⁻	< (4.1 - 6.8) x 10 ⁻⁸ @90% CL	3.0 fb⁻¹	< 3.76 x 10 ⁻⁷ @90% CL (20fb ⁻¹)



Results from LHC experiments would be competitive if ready by the end of RunII (before Belle2 results)

Radiative B decays

- Loop-driven B decays are very sensitive to the presence of new physics BSM
 - the SM photon in b \rightarrow sy is predominantly left-handed whilst the right-handed contribution can be significantly enlarged due to new physics
- Reconstruction of low p_T photons is experimentally challenging:
 - LHCb: energy determined from the total cluster energy in the calorimeter - photon reconstruction dominates mass resolution
 - CMS and ATLAS: conversion to e^+e^- pairs, very low efficiency
- Publications from LHCb only
 - observation of photon polarization through the decay $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}\gamma$
 - measurement of the ratio of BF $B(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma)/B(B_s \rightarrow \varphi\gamma) = 1.23 \pm 0.06(stat) \pm 0.04(syst) \pm 0.10(f_s/f_d)$ in agreement with theoretical expectations Nucl. Phys. B867 (2013) 1



25 WeV contraction of the contra

Candidates / 200 200 200

400

resolution

90 MeV/



Data Full fit

Rare charm decays

Rare charm decays proceed via highly suppressed $c \rightarrow u\mu^+\mu^-$ FCNC process

- · in the SM short distance contributions O(10⁻⁹) but long-distance (tree diagrams involving resonances such as D → XV (→ $\mu^+\mu^-$), where V is a ϕ , ρ^0 or ω vector meson) increase branching fraction to O(10⁻⁶)
- sensitivity to NP therefore is greatest in regions of the dimuon mass spectrum away from resonances
- however angular asymmetries sensitive to NP both in the vicinity and away from resonances
 - · could be as large as O(1%)

. . .

Measurements from LHCb only for different decay channels, no significant deviations from SM

•	first measurement of BF of $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\mu^+\mu^-$ in the ρ/ω region	arXiv:1510.08367
•	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$	PLB728 (2014) 234
•	$D^+s \rightarrow \pi^+\mu^+\mu^-$	PLB724 (2013) 203
•	$D^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$	PLB725 (2013) 16

Conclusions

- Rare decays are a fundamental portal to access new physics effects
- · The RunI of the LHC is showing some tension with SM predictions in the flavor sector
- Precise measurements in RunII are fundamental to prove these deviations and help building a comprehensive picture
 - · ATLAS and CMS will play an important role alongside LHCb
 - coordination between the three experiments would be beneficial to cover the interesting topics and in view of possible combinations of the results

backup

P₅' predictions



$B^{0}(s) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ from the individual experiments

ATLAS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &= (0.9^{+1.1}_{-0.8}) \times 10^{-9} \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 4.2 \times 10^{-10} @95\% CL \end{split}$$

CMS

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &= (3.0^{+1.0}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-9} \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 1.1 \times 10^{-9} @95\% CL \end{aligned}$

LHCb

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &= (2.9^{+1.1}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-9} \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) &< 7.4 \times 10^{-10} @95\% CL \end{aligned}$