Introduction

Suspension thermal noise is an important low frequency noise source between 10 and 30 Hz in the Advanced LIGO (aLIGO) detectors. At Glasgow we are working to enhance the characterization of the aLIGO detector’s monolithic suspensions, prototyped in 2010 [1] and installed between 2011-2015, to better understand the aLIGO detectors low frequency noise performance.
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Monitoring violin modes of the QUAD suspensions

The QUAD monolithic suspensions are made of fused silica (low mechanical loss) to minimize suspension thermal noise in detection bandwidth. Consequently violin modes of suspension fibres have extremely high Q-factors [1]. The repeatability of the fibre manufacture and the welding process causes equivalent resonances of different fibres to have low spread in frequency (0.05Hz). These factors make it difficult to monitor each mode separately.

After evaluating different methods, we found the line tracker iWave [2] to be suitable, after conditioning of the data and tuning of parameters. iWave is based on IIR filters of complex coefficients with a transfer function that resembles a damped oscillator of controllable frequency and Q. Here it is configured as a digital PLL that locks each violin mode in real time.

During looks of between 20 and 35 hours, when the violin modes damping filters were off, we tracked frequency, amplitude and phase variations of all the modes for one of the suspensions at the Hanford aLIGO detector. This enabled the measurement of real time frequency variations of the violin modes (fig. 2); and Q-factors of multiple harmonics (fig. 3).

Frequency variations possibly due to pitch and temperature sensitivity

**Violin modes freq. - LHO ITMX all fibres**

future work

Using the above analysis techniques for the lower glass stage of the suspension, in the future we shall compare the measured violin mode Q values with detailed FEA modelling of the aLIGO monolithic suspensions. This information will help us to better understand the aLIGO detector’s low frequency noise performance, the coupling to environmental factors such as temperature, and potential for future upgrades, where detailed knowledge of loss processes in suspensions is vital.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Ed Daw, Brett Shapiro, Alastair Heptonstall, and other LSC colleagues for their discussions.

Q-factors of multiple harmonics of the violin modes

The Q-factors of the QUAD violin modes are ≈10^9 (1 week decay time). Ringing up multiple modes may provide a better constraint on the different mechanical loss terms, ultimately providing separate characterisation of each individual instability associated with each violin mode.

**Figure 2: Frequency variations of LHO ITMX violin modes. Further studies are ongoing, our hypothesis is that this is due to temperature changes of ~0.05 degrees. The phase difference between modes of the back and front fibres may be due to suspension pitch sensitivity.**

**Figure 3: Q measurement of the 2nd (left), 3rd (middle horizontally) and 4th (right) harmonics of violin modes associated with the LHO ITMX suspension. (Top) represents the tracked frequency in blue with the median in red. (Middle vertically) Logarithmic decay of their amplitude in blue with the linear fit in red – R² is the goodness of fit. (Bottom) represents the phase variation of the resonance after linear fit removal.**
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