

---

# **Gamma spectroscopy in Bucharest using the ROSPHERE array**

**N. Marginean**

*“Horia Hulubei” National Institute of Physics and*

*Nuclear Engineering*

*Bucharest – Magurele, Romania*

---



# ROmanian array for SPectroscopy in HEavy ion REactions



## Mixed array with

- **14 50% HPGe detectors** with BGO shields (IFIN-HH)
- **11 LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) scintillators:** currently 7 of 2"x2" (IFIN-HH)  
and 4 of 1.5"x2" (UK)

**25 positions, 5 symmetric rings of 5 detectors**






Absolute HPGe efficiency:  $\sim 1.1\%$

LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) efficiency  $\sim 1.75\%$


# In-beam Fast-Timing : the principle




The START and STOP lines in  $\text{LaBr}_3:\text{Ce}$  detectors are cleanly selected by setting gates on the HPGe detectors



# Accuracy of *In-Beam Fast Timing* measurements: example of $^{92}\text{Zr}$





$^{83}\text{Se}(^{13}\text{C},3\text{n})^{92}\text{Zr}$  @ 42 MeV




# Accuracy of *In-Beam Fast Timing* measurements: example of $^{199}\text{TI}$




$^{197}\text{Au}(\alpha, 2n)^{199}\text{TI}$  @ 24 MeV




332-369 keV coincidence  
“Prompt” coincidence




367-381 keV coincidence  
Centroid shift






# Lifetime measurements in $^{168}\text{Yb}$



Sorin Pascu et al. *Phys. Rev. C* 91 034321



# Wide-Range Timing Technique



$E_{\text{level}}$ (keV)	$J_i^\pi$	$K_i^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$ (ps)	$B(E2; J_i \rightarrow J_i - 2)$ (W.u.)
87.73(1)	$2_1^+$	$0_1^+$	1465(30)	213(5)
286.55(2)	$4_1^+$	$0_1^+$	89(9)	290(30)
585.25(5)	$6_1^+$	$0_1^+$	10(3)	400(120)




Very short lifetime  
for the fast-timing  
method

# In Beam Fast-Timing Studies in $^{34}\text{P}$



P.H. Regan, P.J.R. Mason (University of Surrey, UK)



- $^{34}\text{P}_{19}$  has  $I^\pi=4^-$  state at  $E=2305$  keV.
- Aim to measure a precision lifetime for 2305 keV state.

## WHY?


- A  $\Delta I^\pi=4^- \rightarrow 2^+$  EM transition is allowed to proceed by M2 or E3 multipole gamma-rays.
- M2 and E3 decays can proceed by
  - $\nu f_{7/2} \rightarrow \nu d_{3/2} \Rightarrow$  M2 multipole
  - $\nu f_{7/2} \rightarrow \nu s_{1/2} \Rightarrow$  E3 multipole
- Lifetime and mixing ratio information gives direct values of M2 and E3 transition strength
  - Direct test of shell model wfs...
$$\Psi(4^-) = \alpha_1 \phi_1 + \beta_1 \phi_2 + \gamma_1 \phi_3 \dots$$
$$\Psi(2^+) = \alpha_2 \phi_1' + \beta_2 \phi_2' + \gamma_2 \phi_3' \dots$$

# Fast-Timing experiment for $^{34}\text{P}$




50 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>  $\text{Ta}_2^{18}\text{O}_5$  enriched target

36 MeV  $^{18}\text{O}$ , typical beam current  $\sim$ 20 pA




PHYSICAL REVIEW C 80, 034326 (2009)




# Half-life of the $I^\pi = 4^-$ intruder state in $^{34}\text{P}$ : M2 transition strengths approaching the island of inversion


P. J. R. Mason,<sup>1</sup> T. Alharbi,<sup>1,2</sup> P. H. Regan,<sup>1</sup> N. Mărginean,<sup>3</sup> Zs. Podolyák,<sup>1</sup> E. C. Simpson,<sup>1</sup> N. Alkhomashi,<sup>4</sup> P. C. Bender,<sup>5</sup> M. Bowry,<sup>1</sup> M. Bostan,<sup>6</sup> D. Bucurescu,<sup>3</sup> A. M. Bruce,<sup>7</sup> G. Căta-Danil,<sup>3</sup> I. Căta-Danil,<sup>3</sup> R. Chakrabarti,<sup>8</sup> D. Deleanu,<sup>3</sup> P. Detistov,<sup>9</sup> M. N. Erduran,<sup>10</sup> D. Filipescu,<sup>3</sup> U. Garg,<sup>11</sup> T. Glodariu,<sup>3</sup> D. Ghiță,<sup>3</sup> S. S. Ghugre,<sup>8</sup> A. Kusoglu,<sup>6</sup> R. Mărginean,<sup>3</sup> C. Mihai,<sup>3</sup> M. Nakhostin,<sup>1</sup> A. Negret,<sup>3</sup> S. Pascu,<sup>3</sup> C. Rodríguez Triguero,<sup>7</sup> T. Sava,<sup>3</sup> A. K. Sinha,<sup>8</sup> L. Stroe,<sup>3</sup> G. Suliman,<sup>3</sup> and N. V. Zamfir<sup>3</sup>



$T_{1/2}(4^-) = 2.0(2)$  ns ;  $4^- \rightarrow 2^+$  = M2 decay. Consistent with ‘pure’  $\pi f_{7/2} \rightarrow \pi d_{3/2}$  transition.  
Precision test of nuclear shell model at N=20



# Lifetime of the first $2^+$ state in $^{188}\text{W}$




2 neutrons more than heaviest stable Tungsten ( $Z=74$ ) isotope ( $^{186}\text{W}$ ).

Populate  $^{188}\text{W}$  using  $^{186}\text{W}(^7\text{Li},\text{ap})^{188}\text{W}$  ‘incomplete fusion’ reaction.


(Not really a fusion-evap reaction, but populates medium spin states).

See e.g.,  
Dracoulis et al., J. Phys. G23  
(1997) 1191-1202

# Lifetime of first excited $2^+$ in $^{188}\text{W}$




T. Shizuma et al. Eur. Phys. J. A30, 391 (2006)



- $^{186}\text{W}(^7\text{Li},\alpha p)^{188}\text{W}$ , 31 MeV
- Reaction mechanism is a mix of incomplete fusion and low-energy transfer



- The production cross section is small, thus one have to separate an  $10^{-3}$  good signal from a huge background
- Time response and walk correction are difficult to handle for low-energy gamma rays

# Lifetime of first-excited $2^+$ in $^{188}\text{W}$



P.J.R Mason et al. Phys. Rev. C 88 044301(2013)

Sum of time differences between 143-keV transition and any higher lying feeding transition (assumes negligible half-life for intermediate states).




- Rapid drop in collectivity for W isotopes compared to Os and Pt chains.
- Overall relatively linear decrease in  $B(E2)$  with increasing  $N$ .

# The $^{35}\text{Ar} - ^{35}\text{Cl}$ mirror pair



J. Ekman et al. Phys. Rev. Letters 92(2004) 132502

The decay pattern of the yrast  $7/2^-$  state is very different for the mirror partners



If the  $B(M2)$  are equal

- almost exact cancellation of  $T=1/2$  and  $T=3/2$  E1 strength in  $^{35}\text{Cl}$
- isospin mixing larger than 5%

# Electromagnetic transition matrix elements in $|T_z| = \frac{1}{2}$ mirror nuclei



Isoscalar  $\Delta T = 0$

*independent of  $T_z$*

Isovector  $\Delta T = \pm 1$

$$\sim (T_s^2 - T_z^2)^{1/2}$$

Isovector  $\Delta T = 0$


$\sim$

$T_z$

The matrix elements do not change sign  
by changing  $T_z$  from  $-1/2$  to  $1/2$



The matrix elements change sign  
by changing  $T_z$  from  $-1/2$  to  $1/2$

# Lifetime of the first $7/2^-$ state in $^{35}\text{Ar}$



$^{12}\text{C} ({}^{28}\text{Si}, \alpha n) {}^{35}\text{Ar}$  @50MeV  
ROSPHERE: 14HPGe + 11 LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce

Transition	${}^{35}\text{Ar}$		${}^{35}\text{Cl}$	
	B(E1)[W.u.]	B(M2)[W.u.]	B(E1)[W.u.]	B(M2)[W.u.]
$7/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^+ (1)$	$0.49(15) \times 10^{-5}$	-	$1.30(8) \times 10^{-5}$	-
$5/2^+ (1) \rightarrow 5/2^+$	$2.1(5) \times 10^{-6}$	-	$1.8(4) \times 10^{-8}$	$0.008(4)$
$3/2^+ (\text{gs}) \rightarrow 3/2^+$	-	$<0.021(7)$	-	$0.258(6)$



# Isospin mixing: E1 transitions



Considering **isospin mixing** in both initial and final state (major component  $T=1/2$ , minor component  $T=3/2$ ):

<i>Diagonal</i>	<i>Non-diagonal</i>	<i>Measured matrix element</i>
$M(E1; 1) + M(E1; 2) = \pm\sqrt{B(E1; T_z)}$		(eg: $^{35}\text{Cl}$ )
$M(E1; 1) - M(E1; 2) = \pm\sqrt{B(E1; -T_z)}$		(eg: $^{35}\text{Ar}$ )

$$M(E1; 1) = \frac{\sqrt{B(E1; T_z)} + \sqrt{B(E1; -T_z)}}{2}$$

$$M(E1; 2) = \frac{\sqrt{B(E1; T_z)} - \sqrt{B(E1; -T_z)}}{2}$$

Reduced matrix elements for pure transitions ( $\Delta T=0$  or  $\Delta T=1$ ) (no mixing):

E1 transition	B(E1;1)[W.u.]	B(E1;2)[W.u.]
$7/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^+$	$8.5(10) \times 10^{-6}$	$4.8(25) \times 10^{-7}$
$7/2^- \rightarrow 5/2^+$	$6.3(14) \times 10^{-7}$	$4.3(11) \times 10^{-7}$

We can assume  
 $B(E1; \Delta T=1) \approx 5-6 \times 10^{-7}$  W.u.

# Isospin mixing: M2 transition



**Sign changing:**  $\Delta T=0$  isovector (*expected to be larger*)

**Sign invariant:**  $\Delta T=0$  isoscalar and  $\Delta T=1$  isovector (*expected to be smaller*)

$$\mathbf{M}(\text{M2};1) = \pm 0.326(12) \rightarrow \text{sign changing}$$

$$\mathbf{M}(\text{M2};2) = \pm 0.182(12) \rightarrow \text{sign invariant}$$

$$\frac{M(ML; \Delta T = 0; IS)}{M(ML; \Delta T = 0; IV)} = \frac{\mu_- - \frac{1}{L+1}}{\mu_+ + \frac{1}{L+1}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\text{M2}; \Delta T = 0; IS) = 1.3(1) \times 10^{-3} \text{ W.u.}$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\text{M2}; \Delta T = 0; IV) = 0.107(8) \text{ W.u}$$


$$\mathbf{B}(\text{M2}; \Delta T = 1; IV) = 2.1(4) \times 10^{-2} \text{ W.u}$$

# Upper limits of the isospin mixing



An **upper limit** of the integral isospin mixing of initial and final states can be obtained scaling the  $B(E1)$  and  $B(M2)$  values to the corresponding ones from the decay of the well-known  $7/2^-$  analog state of  $^{35}\text{Cl}$

Transition	Type	$\alpha^2 \%$
$7/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^+$	E1	0.41(23)
$7/2^- \rightarrow 5/2^+$	E1	1.9(6) or 1.3(4)
$7/2^- \rightarrow 3/2^+$	M2	1.9(7)




F.W. Prosser, Jr., and Gale I. Harris, Phys. Rev. C4, 1611 (1971)

# Octupole correlations and the $3^-$ state of $^{44}\text{Ti}$





ROSPHERE plunger + fast-timing experiment



Spokesperson: C.A. Ur (INFN Padova and ELI-NP Bucharest)



# $^{44}\text{Ti}$ – Combined plunger-fast timing experiment





C.A. Ur , preliminary analysis



# Sub-barrier transfer reactions with $^{13}\text{C}$





$^{12}\text{C}$  angular distribution for different  $^{13}\text{C}$  incident energies



Preliminary analysis of P. Petkov

$^{62}\text{Ni}(^{13}\text{C}, ^{12}\text{C})^{63}\text{Ni}$  at 28 MeV,  $E_\gamma = 846$  and 1168 keV




# ROSPHERE and neutron detectors



${}^6\text{Li}$  (22 MeV) +  ${}^{58}\text{Ni} \rightarrow {}^{62}\text{Ga} + 2n$  *at this energy is the only two-neutron channel*

ROSPHERE with 10 HPGe (5 at  $90^\circ$  and 5 at  $143^\circ$ ) and 11  $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$

Three sub-arrays of neutron detectors      *20 from ELI-NP, 24 elements in total*



- Resolve clean two-neutron coincidences  $\Rightarrow$  “pure”  ${}^{62}\text{Ga}$  spectra
- Provide a reasonably good start for the  $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$  timing

# Conclusions



## The mixed HPGe-LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) array ROSPHERE

- Fully functional, running with open access and establishing an international user community
- Fills a gap on the lifetime measurements techniques using large gamma spectroscopy arrays
- Suitable for approaching many nuclear structure problems
- Provides know-how for the building of new arrays at large nuclear physics facilities which are now under construction (e.g. FAIR, ELI-NP)

# Acknowledgements



## To the ROSPHERE team in Bucharest

*D. Bucurescu*

*Gh. Cata-Danil*

*C. Costache*

*N. Florea*

*D.G. Ghita*

*T. Glodariu*

*A. Ionescu*

*R. Lica*

*R. Marginean*

*R. Mihai*

*A. Mitu*

*I.O. Mitu*

*C. Mihai*

*Al. Negret*

*C.R. Nita*

*A. Olacel*

*A. Oprea*

*S. Pascu*

*L. Stroe*

*L. Stan*

*A. Serban*

*R. Suvaila*

*A. Turturica*

and to all international collaborators