Charm and Beauty searches using $e-D^0$ azimuthal correlations and microvertexing techniques in STAR experiment at RHIC

Artemios Geromitsos **Jubatech** for the STAR collaboration 22.VI.2010

STAR

QCD@Work - International Workshop on QCD -Theory and Experiment

20-23 June 2010

Martina Franca - Valle d'Itria - Italy

Outline

- Motivation
- Experimental Description
- Analysis Methodology
- Results

Motivation

Suppression in non photonic electron yields for B and D mesons decays in central AuAu collision

nuclear modification factor $R_{AA} = \frac{\text{Yield}_{AuAu}/\langle N_{binary} \rangle_{AuAu}}{\text{Yield}_{np}}$

- Similar as observed for the light quark hadrons
- Not expected (dead cone-effect) D.Kharzeev et al. Phys Letter B. 519:1999
- Theoretical Models explaining the charm and bottom quark energy loss are still inconclusive
- <u>Need for separation of D/B</u> <u>contributions in the spectra of non</u> <u>photonic electrons</u>



MOTIVATION (cont'd)

A novel method of separating Charm and Bottom Contribution

Non photonic electrons are used to trigger on C or B quark pairs.



STAR Experiment

STAR DETECTOR

Solenoidal Tracker at RHIC

Tracking and PID:

TPC
 $|\eta| < 1.5$ Magnet: B=0.5 T $\Delta p/p = 2-4\%$ $\frac{\sigma_{dE/dx}}{dE/dx} = 8\%$

Energy Measurement:

Barrel EMC

 $|\eta| < 1.0$ Lead scintillation (21 X_0)

Shower Maximum Detector

Wire proportional detector with strip readout Situated at 5 X_0 Strip Resolution: $(\Delta \phi, \Delta \eta) = (0.007, 0.007)$

80% of the EM shower energy is being deposited in 2-3 strips



STAR Silicon Detectors SSD Silicon Strip Detector <u>1 layer at 23 cm</u> primary vertex $\sigma_{r\phi} = 30 \ \mu m^{z^{c}}$ $\sigma_Z = 800 \ \mu m$ Silicon Vertex Tracker 8 $1\% X_0$ per layer 5° (..... <u>3 layers:</u> 6.85 cm 10.80 cm 14.7 cm $\sigma_Z = \sigma_{r\phi} = 40 \ \mu m$ $1.5\% X_0$ per layer

ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Event and track cuts

Event level:

- Final Event cuts: |Primary vertex-Z|<20,30 cm
 </pre>
- Demand for a high-pT particle that trigger the BEMC (a.k.a. tower) Et>4.2 GeV (for Au+Au) and Et>5.4 GeV (for p+p 2006)

Particle level:

- $\ensuremath{\$$ Track quality cuts: $|\eta| < 1$ (for hadrons), TPC hits>15 (max:45)
- § For electrons $|\eta| < 0.7$ avoiding the edges of the BEMC
 - Energy loss in the TPC expressed in standard deviations (σ) with a prior mass hypothesis: InSigmaKaonI<a, InSigmaPionI<b/p>
 - Charge demand between the trigger particle and the kaon candidate (after passing the dE/dx-above cut)
- For the <u>electron</u> identification a more sophisticated set of cuts is applied.

Non-photonic electron (NPE) selection

$$\$$$
 . Energy loss $3.5 < \frac{dE}{dx} < 5.0 (keV/cm)$

p > 1.5 GeV/c

Extrapolate TPC tracks on the BEMC surface Check for nearby towers within a distance

Momentum over Energy of tower

EM showers deposit the whole amount of the particle's energy in the BEMC cells

Electrons p/E distribution is a Gaussian with a mean value $p/E \sim 1$



measured by the TPC

measured by the BEMC

Cut on the SMD strips-(Shower Maximum Detector) SMD strips cut: <u>hadron</u> showers' profile develop in single strips as opposed to the <u>electromagnetic</u> ones. ~80% of the EM shower energy is being deposited in 2-3 strips





NPE selection

Sources of contamination:

Photon Conversion (inside the material) Conversion decay $\gamma \to e^- e^+$ Neutral meson decay π^0, η



Discrimination Method:

Calculate the invariant mass of every e^+e^- and e^+e^+/e^-e^- Superimposing both plots indicates the cut at > $150\,{\rm MeV/c^2}$





Tuesday, June 22, 2010



Tracking resolution vs. momentum

Multiple Coulomb Scattering:

Charged particles are deflected by an angle when passing through thin targets



The use of Silicon detectors <u>increases</u> the pointing resolution by more than one order of magnitude

RESULTS

SIMULATION-pp2006



DATA-pp2006 at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200 \, { m GeV}$



Fit results

Peak position m = $1892\pm5 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ Width of the signal $\sigma_m = 16\pm5 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ Signal-to-background ratio ~0.14% Signal significance ~3.7



J. Phys. G35, 104117 (2008)

DATA-pp2006



<u>Charm to beauty ratio obtained form the real</u> <u>data is in agreement with PYTHIA simulations</u>

Heavy flavor contribution to non-photonic electrons







Summary & Outlook

- <u>Studies on e-D0 correlation in p+p collisions have been</u> presented
 - Observed results agrees with the simulation within the errors
 - B contribution to non photonic electrons is ~50% at p_T ~ 5 GeV/c
 - e-h and e-D0 correlations are consistent with each other
- Ongoing studies on e-D0 correlation in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{
 m NN}} = 200\,{
 m GeV}$
 - MicroVertexing techniques have been developed and successfully applied to the data
 - A peak of D0 has been observed
 - Further analysis are still needed to optimize the cuts
 - A comparison with models is on the way



Reconstruction of the D⁰ decay





Tuesday, June 22, 2010