



GW150914: First Observation Of Gravitational Waves

V. Fafone University of Roma Tor Vergata and INFN

For the LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration







Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger

The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and The Virgo Collaboration

On September 14, 2015 at 09:50:45 UTC the two detectors of the Laser Interferometer Gravitationalwave Observatory (LIGO) simultaneously observed a transient gravitational-wave signal. The signal sweeps upwards in frequency from 35 Hz to 250 Hz with a peak gravitational-wave strain of 1.0×10^{-21} . It matches the waveform predicted by general relativity for the inspiral and merger of a pair of black holes and the ringdown of the resulting single black hole. The signal was observed with a matched filter signalto-noise ratio of 24 and a false alarm rate estimated to be less than 1 event per 203 000 years, equivalent to a significance greater than 5.1σ . The source lies at a luminosity distance of 410^{+160}_{-180} Mpc corresponding to a redshift $z = 0.09^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$. In the source frame, the initial black hole masses are 36^{+5}_{-4} M_{\odot} and 29^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}, and the final black hole mass is 62^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}, with $3.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ M_{\odot}c² radiated in gravitational waves. All uncertainties define 90% credible intervals. These observations demonstrate the existence of binary stellar-mass black hole systems. This is the first direct detection of gravitational waves and the first observation of a binary black hole merger.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102 – Published 11 February 2016

229,000 paper downloads from APS in the first 24 hours

May 23, 2016



GWs in a nutshell



- Gravitational waves are dynamic fluctuations in the fabric of space-time, propagating at the speed of light
- Predicted by Einstein 100 years ago
- First indirect confirmation by Hulse & Taylor



1980

1985

1990

1995

s/s

 $dP_{h}/dt = -(2.40\pm0.01) \times 10^{-12}$

2000



GWs in a nutshell



- Emitted from accelerating mass distributions (quadrupole mass moment – no dipole radiation)
- GWs carry *direct* information about the
 relativistic motion of bulk
 matter
- GWs interaction with matter is very very weak
 - Possibility to measure events very far from us (in space and time)
 - Their detection is a technical challenge



How to make a gravitational wave

Case #1: Try it in your own lab! M = 1000 kg R = 1 m f = 1000 Hz r = 300 m

$h \sim 10^{-35}$

1000 kg

May 23, 2016

1000 kg

V. Fafone - GW150914: First Observation of GWs

Credit: B. Barish

How to make a gravitational wave that might be detectable

Consider 1.4 solar mass binary neutron star pair

 $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$ R = 20 km f = 400 Hz

 $r = 5 \ 10^{23} \ m \ (15 Mpc)$

 $h \gg \frac{4\rho^2 GMR^2 f_{orb}^2}{\sigma^4 r}$

May 23, 2016 Credit: T. Strohmayer and D. Berry $h \sim 10^{-21}$

The Gravitational Wave Spectrum



May 23, 2016

Astrophysical targets for ground-based detectors



Credit: AEI, CCT, LSU



NASA/WMAP Science Team

Coalescing Binary Systems

 Neutron stars, low mass black holes, and NS/BS systems



'Bursts '

- galactic asymmetric core collapse supernovae
- cosmic strings
- . ??

Stochastic GWs

 Incoherent background from primordial GWs or an ensemble of unphased sources

 primordial GWs unlikely to detect, but can bound in the 10-10000 Hz range



Continuous Sources

 Spinning neutron stars

 probe crustal deformations, 'EOS, quarkiness'

The Gravitational Wave Spectrum



Slide Credit: Matt Evans (MIT)

12

May 23, 2016

Astrophysical targets for ground-based detectors



Credit: AEI, CCT, LSU



NASA/WMAP Science Team

Coalescing Binary Systems

 Neutron stars, low mass black holes, and NS/BS systems



'Bursts '

- galactic asymmetric core collapse supernovae
- cosmic strings
- . ??

Stochastic GWs

 Incoherent background from primordial GWs or an ensemble of unphased sources

 primordial GWs unlikely to detect, but can bound in the 10-10000 Hz range



Continuous Sources

 Spinning neutron stars

 probe crustal deformations, 'EOS, quarkiness'



Interferometer: a GW transducer











nced

(irao



LIGO Scientific Collaboration





www.ligo.org

900+ members, 80+ institutions, 16 countries

May 23, 2016





- 5 European countries, 19 labs, ~250 members
- Scientists from Italy and France (former founders of Virgo), The Netherlands, Poland and Hungary



APC Paris ARTEMIS Nice EGO Cascina INFN Firenze-Urbino INFN Genova INFN Napoli **INFN** Perugia INFN Pisa INFN Roma La Sapienza INFN Roma Tor Vergata INFN Trento-Padova LAL Orsay – ESPCI Paris LAPP Annecy LKB Paris LMA Lyon NIKHEF Amsterdam POLGRAW(Poland) RADBOUD Uni. Nijmegen **RMKI Budapest**

May 23, 2016







May 23, 2016











Vibration isolation system





May 23, 2016



Monolithic suspension





May 23, 2016



Mirrors





- Surface uniformity < 0.2 nm rms
- Scatter < 50 ppm
- Absorption < 0.2 ppm
- Internal mode Q's > 2×10^{6}





High precision optical systems





May 23, 2016



Virgo vacuum system





Pressures required for Advanced Virgo





- First generation detectors and infrastructure built from mid-'90s to mid-2000; commissioned to design sensitivity; and observed for several years
- In case of NS-NS coalescence:
 - Sensitivity sufficient to reach about 100 galaxies; however...

Milky Way Galaxy

- Expected rate is low: events happen once every 10,000 years per galaxy...
- Need to reach more galaxies to see at least one signal per lifetime





May 23, 2016



Advanced Detectors Sensitivity: a *qualitative* difference



- While observing with initial detectors, parallel R&D led to better concepts
- 'Advanced detectors' are ~10x more sensitive
- \rightarrow detection rate 10³ larger
- NS-NS detection rate order of 1 per month (will reach about 100,000 galaxies)
- BH-BH detectable at cosmological distances (~1 Gpc)







May 23, 2016

Milky Way Galaxy





- Project start 2011 (INFN+CNRS)
- Construction almost completed
- Commissioning is starting
- First data taking in 2016

- Project start 2008 (NSF)
- Completed 2015
- □ First data taking run (O1) end 2015
- Commissioning toward final sensitivity underway







Achieving a sensitivity 10x better is ambitious.

Act on different noise sources: new ideas and a wide R&D program have been necessary









LIGO Sensitivity Progression





May 23, 2016





- Last September 2015, LIGO was in the final stages of preparation for the first Advanced LIGO data run (O1).
- The very last step is a short "Engineering Run," during which on Sept 14 the online monitor recorded GW150914.
- LIGO responded by starting the data run officially, keeping all settings fixed and ran for 16 live days coincidence time (long enough to assess background levels, etc). Analyzed data period from Sept 12th to Oct 20th with a coincidence duty cycle ~ 48%.
- PRL paper reports on that data, including GW150914

 O1 continued data taking until 12 Jan 2016. Data analysis is ongoing and we'll report on the full O1 results as the data analysis is complete.





- Hanford and Livingstone running with similar sensitivities:
 - $10^{-23}/\sqrt{Hz}$ @ 100 Hz (improvement by 3-4 times wrt 2010 between 100-300 Hz)
 - 10 times better at low frequency







LIGO range into space for BH-BH coalescence (Mpc)

The maximum sensitivity of LIGO-Hanford (red) and LIGO-Livingston (blue) during the analyzed period (September 12 - October 20, 2015) to a BBH system with the same observed spin and mass parameters as GW150914 for optimal sky location and source orientation and detected with a SNR of 8.







- Interferometer monitoring
 - Transmitted light beams, optics alignment sensors, feedback signal
- Environmental monitoring
 - Seismic sensors, microphones, magnetometers, radio-frequency antennas
- Detailed study of the couplings between auxiliary channels/environmental disturbances and detector output
 - Injections of external disturbances
- Potential noise sources
 - Anthropogenic noise, Earthquakes, Radio Frequency noise
 - Lightning, Cosmic rays







- Category 1. Well know problems
 - data are not analyzed
- Category 2. Known noise correlations
 - veto may be applied after the analysis (depending on the search)
- Category 3. Not understood correlations or recurrent uncorrelated transients
 - veto may be applied after the analysis (depending on the search)
 - Ex. "Blip noise"
- Data collection: September 12th–October 20th
 i.e. 38.6 days of data collection
- Coincident operation 18.4 days
- After data quality 17.5 days







- Top row left: Hanford. Top row right: Livingston
- Time difference ~ 6.9 ms with Livingston first
- Second row calculated GW strain using Numerical Relativity Waveforms for quoted parameters compared to reconstructed waveforms (Shaded)
- Third Row –residuals
- Bottom row time frequency plot showing frequency increases with time (chirp)

September 14th, 2015 at 09:50:45 UTC









GW150914: Estimated Strain Amplitude



- Numerical relativity models of the BH horizon as the BHs coalesce.
- Over 0.2 s the signal increases in frequency and amplitude in about 8 cycles from 35 to 150 Hz where the amplitude reaches a maximum.
- Effective BH separation in units of Schwarzschild radius (R_s=2GM_{tot}/c²=210km) and effective relative velocities given by post-Newtonian parameter v/c

Binary Black Hole System

- M1 = 36 +5/-4 M_{sol}
- M2 = 29 +/- 4 M_{sol}
- Final Mass = $62 + 4 M_{sol}$
- Distance = 410 +160/-180 MPc (redshift z = 0.09)

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{(m_1 m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}} = \frac{c^3}{G} \left[\frac{5}{96} \pi^{-8/3} f^{-11/3} f \right]^{3/5} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{ratio} \circ \frac{m}{m_2}$$

$$\int_{(1,0)}^{1,0} \int_{(1,0)}^{1,0} \int_{(1,0)}^{1$$





- Analyzed 16 days of coincidence observation from September 12th to October 20th 2015.
- Two searches:
 - Generic transient search
 - Binary coalescence search





- Target searches for GW emission from binary sources
- Search for individual masses from 1 to 99 solar masses; total mass
 < 100 solar masses
- Model system with combination of Post-Newtonian, black hole perturbation theory and numerical relativity
- ~250,000 wave forms are used to cover the parameter space
- Calculate matched filter SNR $\rho(t)$ as function of time for each template



Matched filter search









- Target searches for GW emission from binary sources
- Search for individual masses from 1 to 99 solar masses; total mass
 < 100 solar masses
- Model system with combination of Post-Newtonian, black hole perturbation theory and numerical relativity
- ~250,000 wave forms are used to cover the parameter space
- Calculate matched filter SNR $\rho(t)$ as function of time for each template
- Identify maxima and calculate χ² to test consistency with matched template
- Produce lists of candidate events for each detector
- Then compare lists of events of the two detectors

Background estimation







- Background estimation:
 - Time shift one list wrt the other
 - All coincidences are now only due to chance
 - Repeat ~10⁷ times (equivalent to observing for 608,000 years)
 - Count number of times that each ρ_c has been found by chance (low SNRs have a higher probability to occur by chance)





- Coincidences are searched within 15 msec (10 msec intersite travel time + 5 msec due to uncertainty in arrival time of weak signals), coming from the same template.
- Coincident events ranked by the quadrature sum, ρ_{c} , of the SNR of each detector
- Significance: GW150914 has $\rho_c = 23.6$ (largest signal), associated to
 - False alarm rate < 1 per 203,000 years,
 - Poissonian false alarm probability < 2 x 10⁻⁷
 - Significance > 5.1 σ

Statistical significance of GW150914

- number of candidate events (orange markers)
- number of background events (black-purple lines)
- significance of an event in Gaussian standard deviations based on the corresponding noise background







- Search for coherent transients signals in the two detectors
- Use $\eta_c = \sqrt{[2E_c/(1+E_n/E_c)]}$ as detection statistic (E_c dimensionless energy of coherent signal; E_n dimensionless energy of residual noise)







Estimated source parameters from GW150914. We report median values with 90% credible intervals that include statistical errors from averaging the results of different waveform models. Masses are given in the source frame: to convert in the detector frame multiply by (1+z). The source redshift assumes standard cosmology: $D_L \rightarrow z$ assuming Λ CDM with H₀ = 67.9 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ and Ω_m =0.306

Using numerical simulation fits of black hole merger to determine parameters, we determine total energy radiated in gravitational waves is $3.0\pm0.5 \text{ M}_{o} \text{ c}^{2}$. The system reached a peak luminosity ~3.6 x10⁵⁶ erg, and the spin of the final black hole is < 0.7

Primary black hole mass	$36^{+5}_{-4}{ m M}_{\odot}$
Secondary black hole mass	$29^{+4}_{-4}{\rm M}_{\odot}$
Final black hole mass	$62^{+4}_{-4}{\rm M}_{\odot}$
Final black hole spin	$0.67\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.07}$
Luminosity distance	$410^{+160}_{-180}\mathrm{Mpc}$
Source redshift, z	$0.09\substack{+0.03\\-0.04}$



Source parameters









Component masses

Final black hole mass and spin

Luminosity distance and source inclination

EOBNR: effective-one-body formalism + numerical relativity

IMRPhenom: phenomenological formalism hybridizing PN + EOB + NR θ_{JN} : angle between the total angular momentum and the line of sight



 \rightarrow

Sky localization



Sky location reconstructed through the time of arrival of GW radiation at the different detector sites

two interferometers (HL), each with poor directionality, determine an **annulus** in the sky.







This signals had a high SNR. Virgo or KAGRA needed to do better

Antenna pattern "excludes" part of the annulus (exclude = less probable)

- Calibration error makes the annulus larger
- Result: Area ~ 600 deg² (90 % confidence level)



Sky localization









EM follow-up



- LVC called for EM observers to join a follow-up program
 - LIGO and Virgo share promptly interesting triggers
 - 70 MoUs, 160 instruments covering full spectrum from radio to very HE γ -rays





- Big participation to GW150914 observation:
 - 24 groups carried out observations
 - Challenging! Source location with large uncertainty ~ 600 deg²





- Search for coincident high energy neutrino candidates in IceCube and ANTARES data
 - HEN v expected in (unlikely) scenario of BH + accretion disk system
 - Search window ± 500 s
- No $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ candidate in both temporal and spatial coincidence
 - 3 ν candidates in IceCube and 0 ν candidate in ANTARES
 - Consistent with expected atmospheric background
 - None of ν candidates directionally coincident with GW150914







- Existence of binary black holes systems proved
 - Form and merge within the Hubble time
 - Previous predictions ranged [0.1 10³] / (Gpc³ yr)
 - lowest end excluded: rate > 1 / (Gpc³ yr)
- Component masses ($M > 20 M_{\odot}$) large compared with known stellar mass BHs
- Stellar progenitors are
 - Likely heavy, M > 60 M_o
 - Likely with a **low metallicity**, $Z < 0.25 Z_{\odot}$
- Measured redshift z ~ 0.1
- Low metallicity models can produce low-z mergers at rates consistent with our observation







Most relativistic binary system known till GW150914: J0737-3039

 $^\circ~$ Orbital velocity v/c ~ 2 x 10^{-3}

GW150914: Higly relativistic black holes (v/c ~0.6)

- Non linear dynamics
- Strong field, high velocity regime testable for the first time

Tests :

- Waveform internal consistency check
- Bound on graviton mass

All tests are consistent with predictions of General Relativity



Waveform consistency

2

250

100

0

-0.15





Prediction of final black hole mass and spin

90% credible regions for the waveform and GW frequency of GW150914 versus time. The solid lines in each panel indicate the most probable waveform from GW150914 and its GW frequency.

-0.10

Time (seconds)

-0.05

0.00





If graviton speed less than $c \rightarrow$ GWs obey a modified dispersion relation

$$\frac{v_g}{c} = 1 - \left(\frac{c}{f/g}\right)^2$$

 $\lambda_g = h/m_g c$ is the graviton Compton wavelenght

GW150914: $\lambda_g > 10^{13}$ km with 90% CL or $m_g < 1.2 \times 10^{-22}$ eV/c²

- limit better than that set by Solar System observations
- thousand time better of the binary pulsar bounds
- worse than bounds from dynamics of galaxy clusters and weak lensing observations (modeldependent bounds)



Cumulative posterior probability distribution for λg (black curve) and exclusion regions for λg from GW150914. The shaded areas show exclusion regions from the double pulsar observations (turquoise), the static Solar System bound (orange) and the 90% (crimson) region from GW150914.

LIGO and Virgo are now off-line for commissioning/integration







Sky localization perspectives





- With Virgo, no more annulus
- As sensitivity improves, so does the localization
- With LIGO-Virgo network at design sensitivity, GW150914 could have been localized to less than 20 deg²







- Gravitational waves from the merger of two stellar mass black holes have been observed
- The detected waveforms match the prediction of general relativity for the inspiral and merger of a pair of black holes and the ringdown of the resulting black hole.
- This observation is the first direct detection of gravitational waves and the first observation of a binary black hole merger.
- Next run together with Advanced Virgo in 2016-2017





Detection Paper Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102 (2016) arXiv:1602.03837

Observing scenario Living Rev. Relativity 19, 1 (2016)

Astrophysics implications ApJL, 818, L22, 2016 arXiv:1602.03846

Test of GR arXiv:1602.03841

Rates arXiv:1602.03842

Stochastic Background arXiv:1602.03847

EM follow-up and HE ν arXiv:1602.05411 arXiv:1602.08492 CBC searches arXiv:1602.03839

Unmodeled searches arXiv:1602.03843

Parameter Estimation arXiv:1602.03840

Instrument arXiv:1602.03838

DetChar arXiv:1602.03844

Calibration arXiv:1602.03845

Public data release https://losc.ligo.org/events/GW150914



Conclusion?



nature International weekly journal of	science		
Home News & Comment Research Careers & Jobs	Current Issue	Archive	Audio & Video
News & Comment News 2016 May Article			
NATURE NEWS			< 🛛
Gravitational waves: 6 cosmi tackle	c questi	ons t	hey can
The discovery of ripples in space-time has vindicat much more.	ed Einstein —	but it ca	n also do so
Davide Castelvecchi			
09 February 2016 Updated: 11 February 2016			
✓ Do black holes actually exis	t?		

- Do gravitational waves travel at the speed of light?
- Is space-time made of cosmic strings?
- Are neutron stars rugged?
- What makes stars explode?



Conclusion?



