## **Status of KLOE-2**





Antonio Di Domenico Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma and INFN sezione di Roma, Italy



on behalf of the KLOE-2 collaboration



LNF Scientific Committee meeting Frascati, 23 November 2015

## **Publications**



U boson search in $e^+e^-\!\rightarrow U\gamma$ , $U\rightarrow e^+e^-$	PLB 7
Search for dark higgsstrahlung process	PLB 7
BR and Transition Form Factor of $\varphi \to \eta e^+e^-$	PLB
BR and Transition Form Factor of $\varphi \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$	Dr
Dalitz plot analysis of $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	C
Hadron Vacuum Polarization in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$	C
U boson search in $e^+e^-\!\rightarrow U\gamma$ , $U\rightarrow\pi^+\pi^-$	Draft
CPT test with $\phi \rightarrow K_S K_L \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \pi I \nu$ , $\pi \pi \pi I \nu$	l
BR and charge asymmetry in $K_S^{} \rightarrow \pi e \nu$	li li
Measurement of K <sup>+</sup> mass	li li
Update of QM/CPT test with KSKL $\rightarrow \pi + \pi^{-}\pi + \pi^{-}$	l

750 (2015) 633 747 (2015) 365 742 (2015) 1 aft paper (\*) Draft paper Draft paper in preparation n progress n progress n progress n progress

• «KLOE-2 operation» paper under preparation

(\*) Main author hired by software company causing some delay



Discrete symmetries tests with kaons at KLOE-2	A. Selce (*) Università Rome Tre, Italy
Measurement of the $K_S^{} \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ branching fraction	A. Di Cicco Università Rome Tre, Italy
Light quark masses from Dalitz plot study of $\eta \to 3\pi$ decay	Li Caldeira-Balkestahl Uppsala University, Sweden
Study of ω decays	Lena Heijkensjold Uppsala University, Sweden
Study of transition form factors and decays of light mesons at KLOE	Bo Cao Uppsala University, Sweden
Lepton charge asymmetry measurement for ${\rm K}_{\rm S}$ with the KLOE detector	D.Kaminska Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
A direct test of T symmetry in the neutral K meson system at KLOE-2	A. Gajos Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland

### (\*) just started

## **Run I: integrated Luminosity summary**



KLOE-2 data taking started on 17 November 2014 with the goal of reaching an integrated luminosity of 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> by 30 June 2015 (**RUN-I**)

Very good performance achieved in April-May



DAFNE delivered: 1030 pb<sup>-1</sup> KLOE recorded: 790 pb<sup>-1</sup> (i.e. 77%) weekly performance

## **Run I: April-May performance**





Best DA $\Phi$ NE performance: Peak luminosity: ~2 x 10<sup>32</sup> maximum daily integrated luminosity (delivered): ~12 pb<sup>-1</sup>

## **Run II: integrated Luminosity**





**RUN-II** started on 28 September 2015

Next luminosity milestone: to reach a total L delivered of 2.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> by 15 July 2016 :

This milestone has been set as the minimal requirement to achieve in a "reasonable" amount of time the goal of the experiment, i.e. to acquire <u>at least</u> 5 fb<sup>-1</sup>.

Best DA $\Phi$ NE performance: Peak luminosity: ~2.1 x 10<sup>32</sup> maximum daily integrated luminosity (delivered): ~12.7 pb<sup>-1</sup>

## **Run II: best performance**





Best day: integrated luminosity (delivered): ~12.7 pb<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity (acquired): ~10.6 pb<sup>-1</sup>



weekly performance

#### A. Di Domenico



KLOE-2 is fully operational and taking data with all sub-detectors

Main open questions since last SC meeting:

- Lack of spares of the old FEE ADC/TDC boards of the calorimeter
  => CAEN is refurbishing not working boards and has setup a test stand; first repaired boards have been already tested on the detector.
- Safe operation of the inner tracker => see next slides
- Quality of the data in presence of high background => see next slides
- Data throughput => see next slides

Commissioning of all new subdetectors is progressing => see next slides



- The "shorts" occurrence is the main issue found in the running of the IT, enhanced by the relatively high gas gain at which the detector is operated (12 k).
- The "shorts" are mainly triggered by anomalous DAFNE injections or beam losses.
- Each GEM foil is divided in 4 macro-sectors, and each macro-sector is divided in 10 micro-sectors. "Shorts" distribution per GEM foil shows an anomalous accumulation at the edges of the micro-sectors.
- <u>The source of the edge effect has been understood:</u> <u>sectorization creates distortion of electric field resulting in higher effective gain</u>



#### Short-circuit distribution vs micro-sector-number 25 20 15 Series1 10 5 0 1 2 5 10 3 6 9 micro-sector #

~5% of global micro-sectors



- The "shorts" occurrence is the main issue found in the running of the IT, enhanced by the relatively high gas gain at which the detector is operated (12 k).
- The "shorts" are mainly triggered by anomalous DAFNE injections or beam losses.
- Each GEM foil is divided in 4 macro-sectors, and each macro-sector is divided in 10 micro-sectors. "Shorts" distribution per GEM foil shows an anomalous accumulation at the edges of the micro-sectors.
- <u>The source of the edge effect has been understood:</u> <u>sectorization creates distortion of electric field resulting in higher effective gain</u>





Two main actions:

 NEW HV DISTRIBUTION SCHEME:
 (a) passive divider with single current generator channel (installed)



- Safer than individual power supply scheme (common ground) previoulsy used, wrt possible propagation of the discharge
   between contiguous GEM stages
- (b) a dedicated CAEN board with common floating return channels is under test

2) Optimization of the beam injections by the DAFNE team with online feedback information (DC and IT currents)







Two main actions:

 NEW HV DISTRIBUTION SCHEME:
 (a) passive divider with single current generator channel (installed)



- Safer than individual power supply scheme (common ground) previoulsy used, wrt possible propagation of the discharge
   between contiguous GEM stages
- (b) a dedicated CAEN board with common floating return channels is under test

 Optimization of the beam injections by the DAFNE team with online feedback information (DC and IT currents)





Two main actions:

 NEW HV DISTRIBUTION SCHEME:
 (a) passive divider with single current generator channel (installed)



- Safer than individual power supply scheme (common ground) previoulsy used, wrt possible propagation of the discharge
   between contiguous GEM stages
- (b) a dedicated CAEN board with common floating return channels is under test

2) Optimization of the beam injections by the DAFNE team with online feedback information (DC and IT currents)





Two main actions:

 NEW HV DISTRIBUTION SCHEME:
 (a) passive divider with single current generator channel (installed)

2) Optimization of the beam injections by the DAFNE team with online feedback information (DC and IT currents)

October 2015



## **Data composition**





Most of the background hits are not associated in time with the bunch with physics but may affect the  $T_0$  determination



New higher background (6 times wrt 2005) highly contaminates calorimeter data:

- Average EMC cluster multiplicity: 11 (3 in '05)
- Total EMC energy for Bhabhas: 1300 MeV
- Acc. clusters in trigger window: 120% (10% in '05)



## **Data selection with "bunching"**



94987

3 772

0.9922

EMC cluster multiplicity

event

Entries

Mean

RMS

45000

40000

With a full exploitation of the timing performance of EMC, it's possible to select the bunch crossing of interest in the event, largely reducing the machine background => in agreement with expected detector performance.





Few examples of benchmark analyses to assess Run I data quality:

- 1)  $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$  with  $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$  (fully neutral channel)
- 2)  $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$  with  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  (fully neutral channel) -> in progress
- 3) Lifetime of  $K_S$  with  $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  (charged channel)
- 4)  $K_L \rightarrow 3\pi^0$  (mixed channel) -> in progress

## **Data quality benchmark (1):** $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ with $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$



- Neutral rad w/  $N_{prompt} > 5$  clusters •
- To select  $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$  with  $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$  (recoil  $\gamma \Rightarrow 363$  MeV) •



0.018

## Data quality benchmark (1): $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ with $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$



• Losses from Event Classification (ECL) Filter cuts



• A modified ECL routine with "bunching" tech. recovers ~97% of the losses (prelim.)



#### A. Di Domenico

## Data quality benchmark (2): $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ with $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$





## **Data quality benchmark (3):** $K_S$ lifetime with $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

- Exponential function folded with a triple gaussian
- Time calculated from the projection of the decay length on the KS momentum direction (negative tail due to resolution)
- Better resolution and efficiency expected from IT tracking



Beam axis, z

# **Data quality benchmark (3):** $K_S$ lifetime with $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

	2005	2015
χ²/ndf	1.12	1.34
$\sigma_{\text{TOT}}$ ( $\tau_{\text{S}}$ )	$0.96 \pm 0.16$	$1.32 \pm 0.05$
$K_S$ lifetime ( $\tau_S$ )	$0.95 \pm 0.12$	$0.979\pm0.038$



## **Data quality benchmark (4):** $K_L \rightarrow 3\pi^0$





## **Data reduction (I)**

Data throughput increased by a factor 13 with respect to 2005: Event size: 2.1 kB => ~8 kB Trigger rate: 3 kHz => ~10 kHz Data volume for 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>: 46 TB => ~550 TB => with the new online/offline machines configuration and the new technology tape drives (10 TB/tape) it is possible to store 1 PB/year

Possible actions for data reduction:

- Increase the zero suppression window of the EmC
  => done from 3 to 4 σ : few % effect
- Increase the trigger thresholds, in particular in the forward region. If we trigger on barrel only we almost halve the trigger rate
  studies on some key physics channels show a significant reduction of signal events (e.g. loss of ~40% of K<sub>s</sub>->πev events with barrel trigger only)
- Implement a real level 3 filter (or an offline filter) to reject by software part of the machine background evts => under study: reduction of >50 % possible (see next slide)





## **Data reduction (II)**





"Bunching" and simple topological cuts on maximal bunch energy events  $E_b(1) < 750$  MeV. Shaded regions represent the discarded events.

RAW event number reduction 61% on BKG: Run 76943 (w/o collisions Jun 2015) and 56% on Run 76024 (May 2015)

### CPU mean time per event reduced by 30% using filter

## **Commissioning of new detectors: High Energy Tagger**

Data selection

•

- For each KLOE trigger, TDC (multihit) infos from 2.5 DAFNE turns are acquired
- For each HET station, single-plastic scintillators AND the longest finger with \_ full acceptance, must be present with same time information (valid hit)
- After a synchronization procedure of both HETs, events with hits in both stations are selected
- Maximum HET-HET time delay is ~810 ns (we select a part of these for our studies)
- Hit origin ٠
  - Most events are from Bhabha scattering, with only one e- or e+ tagged
  - The delay distribution is what we expect from 2 of these events within 810 ns \_
  - Most events are NOT detected by KLOE. The acquisition is determined by \_ the presence of other interactions in the time window of interest
  - Observed rate is in agreement with MC evaluation within 30%
  - The contribution from intra-bunch scattering is less than 10%. It has been measured with a NOT-COLLIDING (out-of-phase) BEAMS run

9% intra-bunch scattering contribution



## **Commissioning of new detectors: Inner Tracker**





## **Commissioning of new detectors: Inner Tracker**





5 1 1.5 2 x residuals (cm) © Cosmic-ray muons B ON Calibration of Non-radial & B-field effect Preliminary result with B OFF align & calib and average B-field correction

- $Resx = 570 \mu m$
- Resy =  $300 \,\mu m$
- Resz = <mark>2 mm</mark>

-0.5

0

0.5

**Convolution of DC + IT resolution** 

## **Commissioning of new detectors: Inner Tracker**





## **Commissioning of new detectors: QCALT calorimeters**



- ✤ Hardware:
  - Temperatures are under control, further decrease possible with air aspiration system
  - Monitoring of the air flux and temperature ready (installation during christmas stop)
- Software:
  - Monitoring: ready
  - Offline: element and hit information ready and in the libraries
  - Time calibration with cosmics, equalization routines: almost ready

## Conclusions



- Data taking (RUN-II) started with KLOE-2 detector fully operational: L delivered up to now ~ 400 pb<sup>-1</sup>.
- A new milestone has been set after discussion with DAFNE, LNF director and CSN1 president and referees to meet the minimal KLOE-2 requirements of L > 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> in a reasonable amount of time.
- First data quality studies indicate the good quality of the collected data and the possibility to "make good Physics" with them.
- The inner tracker operates now in a safer mode; alignment and calibration studies are progressing as scheduled.
- •HET, QCLAT, and other detectors commissioning is going on.
- Data reduction studies are going on; reduction of ~ 50% with a simple filter possible
- •The KLOE-2 manpower issue remains critical, but prospects for the near future are positive thanks to the help of INFN management.
- •In the meanwhile:
- a new group from Novosibirsk joined the collaboration.
- all institutions participating to KLOE-2 officially confirmed their commitment to the KLOE-2 project both in terms of active participation to the data taking, and of strong interest in data analysis after data taking completion.



### SPARE SLIDES

# **Data quality benchmark (3):** $K_{s}$ lifetime with $K_{s} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$

	2005	2015
χ²/ndf	1.12	1.34
$\sigma_{\text{TOT}}$ ( $ au_{\text{S}}$ )	$0.96 \pm 0.16$	$1.32 \pm 0.05$
$K_S$ lifetime ( $\tau_S$ )	$0.95 \pm 0.12$	$0.979\pm0.038$



## KLOE-2 new DAQ (I)





Increased overall system flexibility with Offline/Online integration

## KLOE-2 new DAQ (II)





## **Commissioning of new detectors: High Energy Tagger**



effective $\sigma_{\rm E}$	HET : single arm	HET: double arm	KLOE : triggerless	KLOE : triggered
Bhabha scattering	484 µb	0.21 µb		
$\gamma\gamma$ X= $\pi^0$	2.2 10 <sup>-5</sup> μb	4 10 <sup>-6</sup> μb		1.4 10 <sup>-6</sup> µb

Triggerless mode : events taken with "random" triggers, i.e. irrespectively of the process generating the KLOE trigger (signal or background)

Rate	HET : single arm	HET: double arm	KLOE : triggerless	KLOE: triggered
Bhabha scattering	58080 x 2 Hz	25 Hz	As a consequence ~ 0.2% (0.3% measured) of KLOE trigger rate has 2 single-arm Bhabha+ double-arm Bhabha (~ 20 - 30 Hz)	
$\gamma\gamma$ X= $\pi^0$	2.6 10 <sup>-3</sup> x 2 Hz	4.8 10 <sup>-4</sup> Hz		1.7 10 <sup>-4</sup> Hz (double-arm)