RELATIVISTIC MODELS IN QUASIELASTIC ELECTRON AND NEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING

Carlotta Giusti Università and INFN, Pavia





What Next: sezioni d'urto dei neutrini, Bologna 9-10 novembre 2015





QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout



QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout



QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout



QE-peak dominated by one-nucleon knockout

 $e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$

$$e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$$

both e' and N detected (A-1) discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)

$$e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$$

- both e' and N detected (A-1) discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected, all final nuclear states included inclusive (e,e')

$$e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$$

- both e' and N detected (A-1) discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected, all final nuclear states included inclusive (e,e')

QE v-nucleus scattering

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow \nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + N + (A - 1)$$
 NC

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow l^-(l^+) + N + (A-1)$$
 CC

$$e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$$

- both e' and N detected (A-1) discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected, all final nuclear states included inclusive (e,e')

QE v-nucleus scattering

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow \nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + N + (A - 1) \qquad \mathsf{NC}$$
$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow l^-(l^+) + N + (A - 1) \qquad \mathsf{CC}$$

only N detected semi-inclusive NC and CC

$$e + A \Longrightarrow e' + N + (A - 1)$$

- both e' and N detected (A-1) discrete eigenstate n exclusive (e,e'p)
- only e' detected, all final nuclear states included inclusive (e,e')

QE v-nucleus scattering

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow \nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + N + (A - 1)$$
 NC

$$\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l) + A \Longrightarrow (l^+) + N + (A - 1)$$
 CC

- only N detected semi-inclusive NC and CC
- only final lepton detected inclusive CC



electron scattering





$\sigma = K L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$





 $\sigma = K L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$

lepton tensor contains lepton kinematics





$$\sigma = KL^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$$
hadron tensor
$$W^{\mu\nu} = \overline{\sum_{i,f}} J^{\mu}(q) J^{\nu*}(q) \delta(E_i + \omega - E_f)$$

$$J^{\mu}(q) = \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \langle f \mid \hat{J}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}) \mid i \rangle d\boldsymbol{r}$$











 $\sigma = K L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$

IMPULSE APPROXIMATION

 EXCLUSIVE SCATTERING: interaction through a 1-body current on a quasi-free nucleon, direct 1NKO



IMPULSE APPROXIMATION

 EXCLUSIVE SCATTERING: interaction through a 1-body current on a quasi-free nucleon, direct 1NKO

INCLUSIVE SCATTERING: c.s given by the sum of integrated direct 1NKO over all the nucleons

IMPULSE APPROXIMATION

 EXCLUSIVE SCATTERING: interaction through a 1-body current on a quasi-free nucleon, direct 1NKO

INCLUSIVE SCATTERING: c.s given by the sum of integrated direct 1NKO over all the nucleons

FINAL-STATE INTERACTION between the emitted nucleon and the residual nucleus

EXCLUSIVE SCATTERING: FSI

DWIA

FSI described by a complex OP with an imaginary absorptive part. The imaginary part gives a reduction of the calculated c.s. which is essential to reproduce data

DWIA (e,e'p)

exclusive reaction: n

DKO mechanism: the probe interacts through a one-body current with one nucleon which is then emitted the remaining nucleons are spectators



 $\langle f \mid J^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{q}) \mid i \rangle \longrightarrow \lambda_n^{1/2} \langle \chi_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{(-)} \mid j^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{q}) \mid \phi_n \rangle$

Direct knockout DWIA (e,e'p)

$$\lambda_n^{1/2} \langle \chi^{(-)} \mid j^\mu \mid \phi_n \rangle$$

- j^µ one-body nuclear current
- $\mathbf{\Phi}_{n}$ s.p. bound state overlap function
- λ_n spectroscopic factor
- $\mathbf{\Phi} \chi^{(-)}$ s.p. scattering w.f. eigenfunction of an OP

Direct knockout DWIA (e,e'p)

$$\lambda_n^{1/2} \langle \chi^{(-)} \mid j^\mu \mid \phi_n \rangle$$

j^μ one-body nuclear current
 φ_n s.p. bound state overlap function
 λ_n spectroscopic factor
 χ⁽⁻⁾ s.p. scattering w.f. eigenfunction of an OP

both DWIA and RDWIA give an excellent description of (e,e'p) data in a wide range of nuclei and in different kinematics

NIKHEF data & CDWIA calculations



INCLUSIVE SCATTERING: FSI

RDWIA

sum of 1NKO where FSI are described by a complex OP with an imaginary absorptive part does not conserve the flux

	INCLUSIVE SCATTERING: FSI
RDWIA	sum of 1NKO where FSI are described by a complex OP with an imaginary absorptive part does not conserve the flux
RPWIA REAL POTE	FSI neglected NTIAL
rROP	only the real part of the OP: conserves the flux but it is conceptually wrong
RMF PO	ELATIVISTIC MEAN FIELD: same real energy-independent tential of bound states

	INCLUSIVE SCATTERING: FSI
RDWIA	sum of 1NKO where FSI are described by a complex OP with an imaginary absorptive part does not conserve the flux
RPWIA REAL POT	FSI neglected FENTIAL
rROP	only the real part of the OP: conserves the flux but it is conceptually wrong
RMF	RELATIVISTIC MEAN FIELD: same real energy-independent potential of bound states
RGF	GREEN'S FUNCTION complex OP conserves the flux consistent description of FSI in exclusive and inclusive QE electron scattering

FSI for the inclusive scattering : Green's Function Model

- with suitable approximations (basically related to the IA) the components of the inclusive response can be written in terms of the s.p. optical model Green's function
- the explicit calculation of the s.p. GF can be avoided by its spectral representation which is based on a biorthogonal expansion in terms of the eigenfunctions of the non Herm optical potential V and V⁺
- matrix elements similar to RDWIA
- scattering states eigenfunctions of V and V⁺ (absorption and gain of flux): the imaginary part redistributes the flux and the total flux is conserved

Relativistic Green's Function Model

- consistent treatment of FSI in the exclusive and in the inclusive scattering
- the imaginary part of the OP includes inelastic channels
- with a complex OP the model can include contributions not included in other models based on the IA, beyond IA
- contributions included by a phenomenological OP, in a relatively simple and less model dependent way than with an explicit microscopic calculation
- energy dependence of the OP reflects the different contribution of the different inelastic channels open at different energies, results sensitive to the kinematic conditions

RGF: successful description of QE data



σ [nb/(MeV × sr)] பட்டர்பர்பர்பர்பர்ப

0^j

Ū.

 $\sigma \left[nb/(MeV \times sr) \right]$

¹⁶**O**

⁴⁰Ca



$$E_0 = 1080 \text{ MeV} \quad \vartheta = 32^{\circ}$$

$$E_0 = 841 \text{ MeV} \ \vartheta = 45.5^{\circ}$$



ω [MeV]

$$E_0 = 2020 \text{ MeV} \quad \vartheta = 20^\circ$$

C.G. and A. Meucci

Differences between Electron and Neutrino Scattering

electron scattering :

beam energy known, cross section as a function of $\ \omega$

neutrino scattering:

beam energy and $\omega\,$ not known

calculations over the energy range relevant for the neutrino flux

the flux-average procedure can include contributions from different kinematic regions where the neutrino flux has significant strength, contributions other than direct 1-nucleon emission

First Measurement of the Muon Neutrino Charged Current Quasielastic Double Differential Cross Section, PRD 81 (2010) 092005

$$\nu_{\mu} + {}^{12}\mathrm{C} \longrightarrow \mu^{-} + \mathrm{X}$$

First Measurement of the Muon Neutrino Charged Current Quasielastic Double Differential Cross Section, PRD 81 (2010) 092005

$$\nu_{\mu} + {}^{12} \mathrm{C} \longrightarrow \mu^{-} + \mathrm{X}$$

Measured cross sections larger than the predictions of the RFG model and of other more sophisticated models. Unusually large values of the nucleon axial mass must be used to reproduce the data (about 30% larger)

MiniBooNe CCQE data







 $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dT_{\mu}dcos\theta_{\mu}}(cm^2/GeV)$

25

20

15

10

5

flux unfolded ν_{μ} CCQE cross section per neutron as a function of E_{ν} compared with predictions of a RFG model

A.A Aguilar-Arevalo et al. PRD PRC 81 (2010) 092005

MiniBooNE data (\deltaNT=10.7%)

MiniBooNE data with shape error

1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 T. (GeV)



 $0.4 < \cos\theta_{\mu} < 0.5$



A. Meucci et al. PRL 107 (2011) 172501





Comparison MINERvA CCQE neutrinoantineutrino scattering



A. Meucci and C.Giusti PRD 89 (2014) 117301



A. Meucci and C.Giusti PRD 89 (2014) 057302

RGF: successful description of QE electron and neutrino-scattering data

BUT there are some caveats

- The OP is an important tool to include important contributions non included in other models but the use of a phenomenological OP does not allow us to disentangle and evaluate the role of a specific contribution
- Available proton-nucleus scattering data do not completely constrain the shape and size of the OP
- Different OP's available, with different imaginary parts, give different inelastic contributions in RGF calculations and produce theoretical uncertainties on the predictions of the RGF model



To reduce theoretical uncertainties due to different OPs a less phenomenological optical potential has been obtained for ^{12}C within RIA:

GLOBAL spanning a wide range of nucleon energies (20-1040 MeV)

RELATIVISTIC

FOLDING the relativistic Horowitz-Love-Franey t-matrix for the NN scattering amplitudes with relativistic mean-field nuclear densities via the t ρ approximation

OPTICAL

POTENTIAL





- derived from all available elastic proton-¹²C scattering data
- folding approach with proton density taken from electron scattering data and neutron density fitted to data
- imaginary part built from the effective NN interaction

M.V. Ivanov, J.R. Vignote, R. Alvarez-Rodirguez, A. Meucci. C.Giusti, J.M. Udias PRC to be published















MiniBooNe CCQE data

 $^{12}C(\nu_{\mu},\mu^{-})$

$$^{12}C(\bar{
u}_{\mu},\mu^+)$$



M.V. Ivanov, J.R. Vignote, R. Alvarez-Rodirguez, A. Meucci. C.Giusti, J.M. Udias PRC to be published

- reduce theoretical uncertainties
- RGF microscopic optical potential
- comparison of different models
- 2p-2h MEC....?