PHENOMENOLOGY OF LIGHT QUARK YUKAWA COUPLINGS

Felix Yu
JGU Mainz

[1606.xxxxx]

6th Workshop on Theory, Phenomenology, and Experiments in Flavour Physics
June 12, 2016

Introduction and Motivation

- Challenging post-discovery precision Higgs program at LHC
  - Each SM Higgs property is a prediction to test
- Central role of Higgs in SM makes it a prime phenomenological target for NP models
  - Naturalness, DM, general Higgs portal, new gauge groups, flavor models
  - BSM connection to EWSB generally implies deviations in Higgs observables

Mass-coupling degeneracy in SM

- Test one-to-one prediction between mass and Higgs coupling in SM
- Any deviation will signal profound new physics
- Prospects for light quark Yukawas?

ATLAS-CONF-2015-044, CMS-PAS-HIG-15-002



Motivating large Yukawas

- Effective operator estimate (integrate out VLQs)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset yH\overline{Q}_L u_R + y' \frac{H^\dagger H}{\Lambda^2} H\overline{Q}_L u_R + \text{ h.c.}$$

$$m_q = v\left(y + y' \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)$$

$$y_q = \left(y + 3y' \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)$$

$$\kappa_q \equiv \frac{y_q}{m_q/v} = \frac{\left(y + 3y' \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)}{\left(y + y' \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} = 1 + \frac{2y' \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}}{y_{SM}}$$

- VLQs (or other UV completion) needed to satisfy perturbative unitarity

Outline

- (Quick) Review current suite of Yukawa measurement proposals
  - Direct tests vs. indirect tests
- LHC $W^{\pm} h$ production charge asymmetry
  - Effects from nonstandard light quark Yukawas
- Collider study: same-sign leptons from

  $W^{\pm} h \rightarrow (l^{\pm}\nu)\,(l^{\pm}\nu jj)$
  - Serves as SM discovery channel of Wh associated production
- Signal strengths from enhanced light quark Yukawas
  - $W^{\pm} h$ production, *s*-channel Higgs production
- Conclusions

Suite of measurement possibilities

- SM fermions are chiral, hence Yukawa deviations require new sources of $SU(2)_L$ breaking or new fermions with vector-like masses
  - Motivates direct searches



CMS [1509.04177]



ATLAS [1409.6064]

Suite of measurement possibilities

- Indirectly measure in rare decays: *e.g.* h → J/ψ γ
  - Yukawa contribution interferes with loop-induced vertex with virtual gamma/Z

Isidori, Manohar, Trott [1305.0663]
Kagan, Perez, Petriello, Soreq, Stoynev, Zupan [1406.1722]
Bodwin, Chung, Ee, Lee, Petriello [1407.6695]
Perez, Soreq, Stamou, Tobioka [1503.00290, 1505.06689]
König, Neubert [1505.03870]



Fig. from König, Neubert [1505.03870]

Indirectly measure in rare decays

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rm SM}(H \to J/\psi + \gamma) = 2.79^{+0.16}_{-0.15} \times 10^{-6},$$

Bodwin, et. al. [1407.6695]

$$\mathrm{Br}(h \to J/\psi\,\gamma) = (2.95 \pm 0.07_{f_{J/\psi}} \pm 0.06_{\rm direct} \pm 0.14_{h\to\gamma\gamma}) \cdot 10^{-6},$$

$$\mathrm{Br}(h \to \Upsilon(1S)\,\gamma) = (4.61 \pm 0.06_{f_{\Upsilon(1S)}}\,{}^{+1.75}_{-1.21}{}_{\rm direct} \pm 0.22_{h\to\gamma\gamma}) \cdot 10^{-9},$$

König, Neubert [1505.03870]

	95% CL Upper Limits				
	J/ψ	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	$\Upsilon(3S)$	$\sum_n \Upsilon(nS)$
	$\mathcal{B}(Z \to \mathcal{Q}\gamma)$ [10^{-6}]				
Expected	$2.0^{+1.0}_{-0.6}$	$4.9^{+2.5}_{-1.4}$	$6.2^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	$5.4^{+2.7}_{-1.5}$	$8.8^{+4.7}_{-2.5}$
Observed	2.6	3.4	6.5	5.4	7.9
	$\mathcal{B}(H \to \mathcal{Q}\gamma)$ [10^{-3}]				
Expected	$1.2^{+0.6}_{-0.3}$	$1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$	$2.1^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$	$1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$	$2.5^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$
Observed	1.5	1.3	1.9	1.3	2.0
	$\sigma(pp \to H) \times \mathcal{B}(H \to \mathcal{Q}\gamma)$ [fb]				
Expected	26^{+12}_{-7}	38^{+19}_{-11}	45^{+24}_{-13}	38^{+19}_{-11}	54^{+27}_{-15}
Observed	33	29	41	28	44

ATLAS [1501.03276]

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H} \to (\mathrm{J}/\psi)\gamma) < 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$$



CMS [1507.03031]

Suite of measurement possibilities

- Directly measure in $q\bar{q}$ decays
  - Use bottom and charm tagging in tandem to profile over enhanced *c* content in Higgs decays

M_H = 125 GeV	BR	Rel. error
H→bb	5.77E-1	+/- 3%
H→cc	2.91E-2	+/- 12%
H→ss	2.46E-4	+/- 5%
H→μμ	2.19E-4	+/- 6%

Higgs XSWG [1307.1347]

$$\mu_b \equiv \frac{\sigma_h \mathrm{BR}_{b\bar{b}}}{\sigma_h^{\mathrm{SM}} \mathrm{BR}_{b\bar{b}}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_h \mathrm{BR}_{b\bar{b}} \epsilon_{b_1} \epsilon_{b_2} + \sigma_h \mathrm{BR}_{c\bar{c}} \epsilon_{c_1} \epsilon_{c_2}}{\sigma_h^{\mathrm{SM}} \mathrm{BR}_{b\bar{b}}^{\mathrm{SM}} \epsilon_{b_1} \epsilon_{b_2} + \sigma_h^{\mathrm{SM}} \mathrm{BR}_{c\bar{c}}^{\mathrm{SM}} \epsilon_{c_1} \epsilon_{c_2}}$$

Perez, et. al. [1505.06689]

Suite of measurement possibilities

	ϵ_b	ϵ_c	ϵ_l
b-tagging	70%	20%	1.25%
c-tagging I	13%	19%	0.5%
c-tagging II	20%	30%	0.5%
c-tagging III	20%	50%	0.5%

- Directly measure in $q\bar{q}$ decays
  - Use bottom and charm tagging in tandem, profile over enhanced *c* content in Higgs decays





Suite of measurement possibilities

- Measure charm Yukawa in h+c production, use h→γγ decay (fixed to SM BR)
  - $p_T(j) > 20$ GeV
  - charm tag = 40%, gluon fake rate = 1%, *b* fake rate= 30%

Brivio, Goertz, Isidori [1507.02916]

κ_c	0	0.25	0.5	0.75	1	1.25	1.5	1.75	2
S	874	877	885	899	917	941	973	1008	1052

κ_c	2.25	2.5	2.75	3	3.25	3.5	3.75	4	4.25	4.5
S	1097	1148	1206	1276	1350	1424	1504	1590	1683	1786

TABLE I. Number of Signal events $S(\kappa_c)$ in dependence on the charm-quark Yukawa coupling. See text for details.



Suite of measurement possibilities

- Direct Higgs width measurements
  - Generally expect large Yukawas to increase Higgs width





CMS [1312.5353]

Perez, et. al. [1503.00290]

Suite of measurement possibilities

- Indirect Higgs width measurements
  - Lower and upper bound

$$\Gamma_H > 3.5 \times 10^{-9}\ \mathrm{MeV}$$



ATLAS [1503.01060]



CMS [1507.06656]

Importance of direct probes

- Combined fit for Higgs couplings can and do give the best sensitivity to nonstandard Yukawas
  - Caveat: need model-dependent assumptions to over-determine system of constraints
    - At LHC, total Higgs width is not (expected to be) directly measurable

$$N_{\text{events}} = \mathcal{L}\sigma \times B \propto \frac{g_p^2 g_d^2}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \sim \frac{g_p^2 g_d^2}{\sum_i \Gamma_{i,\text{vis}} + \Gamma_{\text{unobs}}}$$

  - Cannot go beyond self-consistency test without assumptions about nature of NP
    - New exotic production modes of the Higgs readily break κ-coupling framework, Higgs EFT, well-motivated by NP

FY [1404.2924]

Importance of direct probes

- Many indirect tests:
  - Searches for fermion partners, heavy Higgses
  - Rare decays, *e.g.* $h \to J/\psi\ \gamma$: SM expectation very small
  - Indirect width measurement: many caveats to NP interpretation
  - Combined fit: best sensitivity, requires assumptions
- Few (semi-)direct tests
  - $h \to bb$, cc: only possible for charm
  - $h+c$ production, $h \to \gamma\gamma$: many backgrounds, only for charm
  - Direct width measurement: GeV resolution
- Direct tests needed most
  – Especially for up, down, strange quarks

New feature: $W^{\pm}h$ charge asymmetry

- $W^{\pm}h$ production asymmetric at LHC
  - Asymmetry driven by proton PDFs
  - Consider $W^{+}h$:
    - Unitarity violation requires NP completion

14 TeV: $W^{+}H$ (pb)	$W^{-}H$ (pb)
0.922	0.591

Higgs XSWG



Insensitive to Yukawas





New feature: $W^{\pm}h$ charge asymmetry

- $W^{\pm}h$ production asymmetric at LHC
  - Asymmetry driven by proton PDFs
  - Consider $W^{-}h$:
    - Unitarity violation requires NP completion

14 TeV:	
$W^{+}H$ (pb)	$W^{-}H$ (pb)
0.922	0.591

Higgs XSWG



Insensitive to Yukawas





Inclusive charge asymmetry

$$A = \frac{\sigma(W^+h) - \sigma(W^-h)}{\sigma(W^+h) + \sigma(W^-h)}$$



PRELIMINARY

PDF behavior

- In SM, net positive asymmetry driven by $u\bar{d}$, mitigated by $c\bar{s}$ (neglect Cabibbo angle)
  - For enhanced y_d or y_u, charge-asymmetric PDFs take over
  - For enhanced y_s or y_c, charge-symmetric PDFs dominate
    - Important, subleading corrections from Cabibbo angle



Insensitive to Yukawas





Measuring W^+h, W^-h rates

PRELIMINARY

- Survey all possible final states that can give clean lepton asymmetry measurement

Using Standard Model BRs, include e, μ decays of W, # events for 14 TeV LHC

Mode	Luminosity	H→bb	H→ττ	H→γγ	H → l+l- l+l- (l=e,μ)	H → l+ l- νl νl (l=e or μ, ν=any)	H → l+ l- q q (l=e or μ, q=udcsb)	H → l+ νl q q (l=e or μ, q=udcsb)
W^+h	100 fb^{-1}	11914	1305	47	3	220	52	657
W^-h	100 fb^{-1}	7646	838	30	2	141	33	422

- Focus on same-sign dilepton signature
  - Inherits charge asymmetry from production

Same-sign lepton collider study

- Signal
  - $W^{\pm} h \rightarrow (l^{\pm}\nu)\ (l^{\pm}\nu jj)$: Final state is two same-sign leptons, one or two resolved jets, some missing transverse energy
- Backgrounds
  - $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$
  - $W^{\pm}Z$, Z decays leptonically (and OS lepton lost)
  - $W^{+}W^{-}$ with charge mis-identification rates:
    - electrons: 0.16% for $0 < |\eta| < 1.479$, 0.3% for $1.479 < |\eta| < 3$
    - muons: negligible

CMS-DP-2015-035

Same-sign lepton collider study

PRELIMINARY

- Initial efficiencies already account for leptonic BRs
- Reduce W^+W^- by same charge requirement
- Reduce $W^\pm W^\pm jj$ by $60 < m_{jj} < 100$ GeV cut
  - Also cut on $\Delta\phi$ and transverse mass differences

Cut, survival efficiency	**SM** $W^\pm h$	$W^\pm W^\pm jj$	W^+Z	W^-Z	W^+W^-
Exactly two leptons, $p_T > 15$ GeV	59.3%	28.4%	33.4%	32.8%	40.4%
Same-charge leptons	59.1%	27.8%	6.4%	6.7%	0.072%
Either one or two jets, $p_T > 20$ GeV	40.7%	18.4%	3.4%	3.7%	0.031%
60 GeV $< m_{jj} <$ 100 GeV	31.6%	9.2%	2.6%	2.8%	0.022%
$\lvert\Delta\phi(\text{subleading } \ell, j_1)\rvert < 2.0$	27.1%	7.3%	2.0%	2.2%	0.018%
$m_{T,\text{ subleading } \ell jj} < 150$ GeV	19.6%	1.8%	1.3%	1.4%	0.011%
Number of events	126 + 84	89 + 48	495 + 1	1 + 359	28 + 37
Statistical significance, 100 fb^{-1}, $S/\sqrt{S+B}$	4.66σ, $3.66\sigma \Rightarrow 5.92\sigma$				

Same-sign lepton collider study

PRELIMINARY

- Each contribution is unit-normalized



Same-sign lepton collider study

PRELIMINARY

- Each contribution is unit-normalized



$d\sigma/dM_T$

M_T (GeV)

Same-sign lepton collider study

PRELIMINARY

- Each contribution is unit-normalized



Same-sign lepton collider study

PRELIMINARY

- Discovery sensitivity for W^+h and W^-h production with 100 fb^{-1} luminosity (combined 5.92σ)
  - However, effective BR for $h \to l^{\pm}\nu jj$ decreases as Higgs width increases from large Yukawas

Cut, survival efficiency	**SM** $W^{\pm}h$	$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$	W^+Z	W^-Z	W^+W^-
Exactly two leptons, $p_T > 15$ GeV	59.3%	28.4%	33.4%	32.8%	40.4%
Same-charge leptons	59.1%	27.8%	6.4%	6.7%	0.072%
Either one or two jets, $p_T > 20$ GeV	40.7%	18.4%	3.4%	3.7%	0.031%
60 GeV $< m_{jj} <$ 100 GeV	31.6%	9.2%	2.6%	2.8%	0.022%
$\lvert\Delta\phi(\text{subleading } \ell, j_1)\rvert < 2.0$	27.1%	7.3%	2.0%	2.2%	0.018%
$m_{T,\text{ subleading } \ell jj} < 150$ GeV	19.6%	1.8%	1.3%	1.4%	0.011%
Number of events	126 + 84	89 + 48	495 + 1	1 + 359	28 + 37
Statistical significance, 100 fb^{-1}, $S/\sqrt{S+B}$	4.66σ, $3.66\sigma \Rightarrow 5.92\sigma$				

Effective signal strengths from large Yukawas

- Individually rescale light quark Yukawas
- Width increase partially mitigated by new production modes
  - $W^{\pm}h$ associated production

$$\mu_{Wh} = \frac{(\sigma_{Wh}^{\rm NP})}{(\sigma_{Wh}^{\rm SM})} \times \frac{\Gamma(h \to X)^{\rm NP}/\Gamma_{\rm tot}^{\rm NP}}{\Gamma(h \to X)^{\rm SM}/\Gamma_{\rm tot}^{\rm SM}}$$

  - Gluon fusion and quark-initiated *s*-channel production

$$\mu_{gg} = \frac{(\sigma_{gg}^{\rm NP} + \sigma_{qq}^{\rm NP})}{(\sigma_{gg}^{\rm SM})} \times \frac{\Gamma(h \to X)^{\rm NP}/\Gamma_{\rm tot}^{\rm NP}}{\Gamma(h \to X)^{\rm SM}/\Gamma_{\rm tot}^{\rm SM}}$$

Wh signal strength

PRELIMINARY



gg signal strength

PRELIMINARY



Effective signal strengths from large Yukawas

- Extra colored states (new vector-like quarks to induce large Yukawas for light quarks) can easily bring gg signal strength back to SM expectation
- More difficult for Wh signal strength
  - Careful balance between hVV coupling and Yukawa
  - Large y_d, y_u deviations possible with little observable effect
- Same-sign lepton and charge asymmetry study still useful self-consistency test of Higgs
  - On same footing as indirect width test

Conclusions

- New SS dilepton channel can measure $W^{\pm}h$ charge asymmetry
  - Different systematics and experimental challenges than charm tagging and rare decays – easily extrapolated to HL-LHC
  - Theoretical uncertainty on charge asymmetry mainly from PDFs, QCD corrections cancel
    - No immediate test to disentangle many simultaneous Yukawa deviations
- Non-SM $W^{\pm}h$ charge asymmetry measurement is smoking gun signature for enhanced light quark Yukawas – only current direct probe

Atomic force probes

- Analogous to DM direct detection scattering, consider short-range Higgs force in isotope shifts of atomic physics enhanced by Yukawas
  - Delaunay, Ozeri, Perez, Soreq [1601.05087]
  - Frugiuele, Fuchs, Perez, Schlaffer [1602.04822]
  - Delaunay, Soreq [1602.04838]
  - – Needs electron Yukawa, strong bounds possible

$$V_{\text{Higgs}}(r) = -\frac{y_e y_A}{4\pi}\frac{e^{-rm_h}}{r}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &\simeq 7.7 y_u + 9.4 y_d + 0.75 y_s + 2.6 \times 10^{-4} c_g \,, \\ y_p &\simeq 11 y_u + 6.5 y_d + 0.75 y_s + 2.6 \times 10^{-4} c_g \,, \end{aligned}$$