# Total Ionization Dose effects in the FE-I4 front-end chip of the ATLAS Pixel IBL detector

Karola Dette1,2 on behalf of the ATLAS Pixel Collaboration 1TU Dortmund, Germany; 2CERN, Geneva, Schwitzerland



# The IBL (Insertable B-Layer)



## **Observations during operation**



 low voltage current increase and drift of IBL calibration parameters (Threshold and ToT) observed after some months of operation

Impact on data taking:

IBL was switched off during one LHC fill due to safety concerns, in addition some modules were switched off that reached the current limit.

BUT: FEs still functional, effect needs to be understood to prevent further disruptions





- nominal Threshold value (2500e) drifts upwards with increased integrated luminosity
- nominal ToT value (10BC) drifts downwards with increasing integrated luminosity
- Each color corresponds to a new re-tuning performed to get back to target value



# **NMOS radiation damage**



Top view of a NMOS transistor Channel formation from S to D



After ionizing irradiation:

08.09.2016

Channel formation due to electrical field of positive charges trapped in silicon oxide of the STI.

→ current increases due to positive oxide charges

## Cross section before irradiation



Cross section at indicated line including Shallow Trench Isolation (STI) Si to SiO2 interface transition:

dangling atomic bonds, acting as charge carrier traps.



Positive charge carriers move to SiO2-Si interface. Interface traps become active in the Si. Electrons are trapped and compensate the electrical field of the positive charges in the STI.

→ Leakage current decreases

Karola Dette

## Questions to be answered for operation

- How high is the expected maximum current
- What is the lowest temperature safe for operation
- Will the positive oxide charges or the interface traps anneal / at what temperature does annealing happen

→ created task force to investigate current behavior in dedicated lab measurements



# X-ray irradiation setups



08.09.2016



- Seifert RP149 machine and XRAD-iR-160
- Variable dose rate

tu

- Bare FEI4b onto PCB
- Room temperature and cooled measurements

Karola Dette

## **Irradiation results**



## Shift in calibration parameters



- Irradiation performed at 0 C and 10 krad/h dose rate (comparable to IBL operation conditions)
- Threshold and ToT values show the same trend as the shift observed in scans performed on IBL during interfills



#### **Basic assumptions**



10

## **First conclusions**



- First measurement is giving "boundary current"

- I<sub>0</sub>: Unirradiated current consumption
- $I_1$ : Current consumption at high TID
- $I_1$  is technology + design dependent
- $\rightarrow$  Density of dangling bonds
- $\rightarrow$  Density of interface traps
- $\rightarrow$  Density of traps in the oxide
- $\rightarrow$  Saturation of positive charges
- $\rightarrow$  Current increase during first 1–2 Mrad of each irradiation step

A paper to describe the model in detail will be published soon by Malte Backhaus



**Overview of LV current consumption** 



- After 2015 observations, decision was taken to increase temperature and decrease digital voltage
- Results of lab measurements led to decision to reduce the temperature and increase the digital voltage to 1.2V



#### Summary

- 2015 observation related to LV current increase was very concerning for operation in 2016 with increased peak luminosity
- In addition to the current increase a drift of calibration parameters was observed
- Test results confirmed hypothesis of NMOS leakage current at low TID as origin of LV current increase
- Irradiation data from X-ray and 18 MeV proton beam is giving comparable results
- Results from task force and lab investigations allowed to operate IBL without safety concerns
- IBL accumulated ~9 Mrad so far, maximum peak of current behind us



# BACKUP



#### Measurement at expected LHC fluence



• current increase first peak in the order of 250 mA

 $\rightarrow\,$  would multiply to a current increase of 1 A for one IBL module group and not exceed safety limits

• IBL chips already went through some annealing times

 $\rightarrow$  should rather see a lower increase (second current peak) which was measured after 4 h of annealing.



## **Current consumption during/after irradiation**



- The current consumption of the chip decreases after stopping the X-ray beam
- For this test the chip was powered during the recovery time
- similar trend observable for IBL modules during interfill periods

LV current consumption during and <u>after</u> stopping of irradiation

Blue line for comparison: accumulated TID for IBL during first year of operation



08.09.2016

## **Previous measurements on NMOS transistors**



Evolution of the leakage current with TID for different NMOS transistor size, up to 136 Mrd. The last point refers to full annealing at 100 C.

The first point to the left is the pre-rad value.



Threshold voltage shift with TID for different NMOS transistor size, up to 136 Mrd. The last point refers to full annealing at 100 C

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, VOL. 52, NO. 6, DECEMBER 2005 2413 "Radiation-Induced Edge Effects in Deep Submicron CMOS Transistors"



## **Uniformity check of current increase**



After 10min of FE operation (no irrad)



After 60h of irradiation (TID ~ 8Mrad) and FE operation

- Right picture was taken after 9.4 Mrad
- no unexpected hot spots observed
- $\rightarrow$  points to uniform current increase

