Wilson loops, Scattering amplitudes and Integrability in N=4 SUSY gauge theory

Author: Bonini Alfredo

Based on: D. Fioravanti, S.Piscaglia, M. Rossi arXiv:1503.0879 A.Bonini, D. Fioravanti, S.Piscaglia, M. Rossi arXiv:1511.05851

Universitá di Bologna

20 May 2016

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- Gluons $(SU(N) \text{ with } N \to \infty) \to \text{Helicity, color and momentum}$
- The color can be disentangled from the rest \rightarrow Color ordered amplitudes
- Maximum helicity Violation (MHV) $\rightarrow n 2$ with positive (negative) helicity
- Dependence on the momenta and the coupling constant $\mathcal{A}_n^{MHV}(p_1, \cdots, p_n; g)$
- We define the dual coordinates

$$p_i \equiv x_{i+1} - x_i$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- The loop integrands exhibit dual conformal symmetry
- BDS ansatz, works for $n \le 5$ at any coupling (Bern, Dixon, Smirnov)
- What is yet to be determined is the remainder function R_n for $n \ge 6$

- Bosonic Wilson loops, with a polygonal contour
- Defined by its vertices $x_{i=1,\dots,n}$
- $(x_{i+1} x_i)^2 = 0$ null sides
- Conformal symmetry \rightarrow Up to n = 5 is fixed
- $n > 5 \rightarrow 3n 15$ conformal ratios τ_i, σ_i, ϕ_i

 $\mathcal{W}_n(\tau_i, \sigma_i, \phi_i; g), \quad n > 5$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM $\stackrel{AdS/CFT}{\longleftrightarrow}$ IIB String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Planar limit $(N \to \infty)$ with $\lambda = g^2 N$ (t'Hooft coupling) fixed
- $\bullet\,$ Strong coupling in gauge theory \to Classical string theory
- String moving in AdS_5 attached to a polygonal contour on its boundary \rightarrow Wilson loop and Scattering amplitude (Alday, Maldacena)
- Classical string solution \rightarrow Minimal area A_n
- The problem is recast into a set of TBA-like equations (free energy \rightarrow area)

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \mathcal{A}_n^{MHV} = e^{-rac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}A_n + \cdots}$$

Duality Wilson loops/amplitudes

• Identification $p_i \equiv x_{i+1} - x_i \rightarrow \text{conjecture:}$ (Alday, Maldacena, Korchemsky, Drummond, Sokatchev)

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \mathcal{A}_n^{MHV}$$

- Strong coupling equivalence from AdS/CFT
- Loop computations \rightarrow They are the same at weak coupling as well
- Conformal symmetry \leftrightarrow Dual conformal symmetry (up to n = 5)
- Non-trivial correspondece for n > 5
- It can be extended to the NMHV amplitudes (SUSY Wilson loops)

Non perturbative approach to the Wilson loops

 Conformal Field Theory → An Operator Product Expansion for the Null Polygonal Wilson loops (Alday, Gaiotto, Maldacena, Sever, Vieira)

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Wilson loop \rightarrow Evolution of a flux-tube from the bottop to the top
- The flux-tube is sustained by two Wilson lines
- $OPE \rightarrow Pentagonal approach (Basso, Sever, Vieira)$
- The method gives the remainder function

Pentagonal approach



- $\bullet \ Cusp \to Transition$
- n < 6 is trivial in this picture
- Propagation phases $e^{-E_{\psi_i}\tau_i + ip_{\psi_i}\sigma_i + im_{\psi_i}\phi_i}$

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

- Transition amplitudes $P(\psi_i | \psi_j)$
- ψ are the flux-tube excitations
- The Wilson loops is written as a (OPE) series

$$\mathcal{W}_{n} = \sum_{\{\psi\}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-5} e^{-E_{\psi_{i}}\tau_{i} + ip_{\psi_{i}}\sigma_{i} + im_{\psi_{i}}\phi_{i}} P(0|\psi_{1}|) P(\psi_{1}|\psi_{2}) \cdots P(\psi_{n-5}|0)$$

2D World:Integrability

- Flux-tube states: GKP string excitations in string theory, or large spin operator $TrZD_{+}^{s}Z$ in gauge theory $(S \to \infty)$
- Gauge theory picture: operator insertion \rightarrow Excitation of the flux-tube

$$TrZD_{+}^{s-s_{1}}\hat{O}D_{+}^{s_{1}}Z,\qquad \hat{O}=F,\bar{F},\Psi^{a},\bar{\Psi}^{\bar{a}},\Phi^{i}$$

- Gluons, fermions (antifermions) and scalars; they are representation of the *SU*(4) R-symmetry, respectively 1,4, $\bar{4}$,6
- N = 4 is asymptotically integrable → Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz equations (Beisert, Staudacher)
- The ABA equations are useful for the excitations on the BMN vacuum (ferromagnetic)
- They have been derived for a different vacuum, GKP ↔ antiferromagnetic (Piscaglia, Rossi, Fioravanti, Basso)
- ψ are then multiparticle states (gluons and bound states, fermions, scalars)
- Integrability → Scattering matrices S_{a,b}(u, v), pentagonal transitions P and dispersion relations E_a(u), p_a(u)

- Two different contributions, perturbative and non-perturbative (string theory picture)
- The perturbative is related to the classical string regime, the non-perturbative is given by the string dynamics on *S*⁵

- In the OPE series, the perturbative comes from the gluons and fermions, by rescaling the rapidities with the coupling constant
- The non perturbative is due to the scalars (Basso, Sever, Vieira)

- Fermions contribute through bound states $\psi \bar{\psi}$ (SU(4) singlet)
- They are not real bound states, but effective excitations at $\lambda = \infty$
- Relativistic dispersion $E = m \cosh \theta$, $p = m \sinh \theta$
- Gluons, mesons are singlet under SU(4) → The transitions are just product of two particles terms

$$P(0|u_1, \cdots, u_n)P(u_1, \cdots, u_n|0) = \prod_{i < j} \frac{1}{P(u_i|u_j)P(u_j|u_i)}$$

• $P_{a,b}(u|v)$ are known at strong coupling for any particles a, b

Saddle-point analysis

- Consider for simplicity the hexagonal Wilson loop \mathcal{W}_6
- We define two quantum fields X_a (a = gluon, meson) by their propagators

$$\frac{1}{P_{a,b}(u|v)P_{b,a}(v|u)} = e^{\langle X_a(u)X_b(v)\rangle}$$

• Gaussian integrals identity applied to the path integrals \rightarrow Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

$$\mathcal{W}_6 = \int DX_1 DX_2 e^{-S[X]}$$

- The action is an integral over the rapidity *u* (one dimensional) → Like a (non-local) quantum mechanics
- S is proportional to $\sqrt{\lambda} \rightarrow$ very large
- Saddle point equations \rightarrow The same TBA-like equations and free energy
- The generalization to n > 6 is similar, and shows agreement with the classical string result

- The scalars acquire a non-perturbatively generated mass $m \sim \lambda^{1/8} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}}$
- Their dynamics is encoded in the O(6) sigma-model
- For the hexagon:

$$\mathcal{W}_6(z) \simeq \langle \hat{\phi}_p(z) \hat{\phi}_p(0) \rangle_{O(6)} \simeq C z^A (\log 1/z)^B, \quad z \to 0$$

- Relativistic invariance of the O(6): depends only on the combination $\rightarrow z = m\sqrt{\tau^2 + \sigma^2}$
- $\hat{\phi}_p \rightarrow$ Pentagonal operator (twist field), which satisfies $\langle 0|\hat{\phi}_p(0)|\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n\rangle \equiv P(0|\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n)$
- Physical consideration on the twist fields lead to a conjecture for the coefficients *A*, *B*, and for the Wilson loop we have $W_6 \simeq f_6 \lambda^{-\frac{7}{288}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{144}}$ (Basso,Sever,Vieira)
- Contribution of the same order of the classical one, and similar considerations for *n* > 6

• In the strong coupling limit, the scalars contribution enjoys the Form factors expansion

$$\mathcal{W}_6 = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \int \prod_i d\theta_i |P(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n)|^2 e^{-z \sum_k \cosh \theta_k}$$

• The transition is factorizable in two parts

$$|P(\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n)|^2 = \prod_{dyn}(\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n)\prod_{mat}(\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n)$$

In this limit |P|² depends only on the differences θ_i − θ_j, and we can capture the divergence for z → 0 from the series

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- The coefficient A enjoys a series expansion (analytical formula)
- Some analytical results about A,B,C

- $\Pi_{mat} \rightarrow$ is represented as a multiple integral over auxiliary variables *a*, *b*, *c*
- It does not depend on λ and on the dynamics of the theory, encodes the SU(4) symmetry
- Therefore it is a very general object
- Some similarities with the Nekrasov Partition Function in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ appear
- Sum over Young Tableaux configurations for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ (Nekrasov, Okunkov)

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

• This expansion seems possible for the Π_{mat} too

- Integrability, along with the pentagonal approach, allowed us to find some results in the strong coupling limit for the Null Polygonal Wilson loops
- These results are the same obtained from the classical string theory
- From the OPE series, a correction to the minimal area result is predicted

- We are finding some analytical results to confirm this contribution
- Some interesting analogies with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ should be analized deeper