Gravity-related spontaneous collapse in bulk matter

Lajos Diósi

Wigner Center, Budapest

29 Apr 2014, Frascati





Acknowledgements go to:

Hungarian Scientific Research Fund under Grant No. 75129 EU COST Action MP1006 'Fundamental Problems in Quantum Physics'

- Schrödinger Cats, Catness
- Different catness in CSL and DP
- Master equation of spontaneous decoherence
- Decoherence of acoustic d.o.f.
- Decoherence of acoustic modes
 - Center of mass decoherence
 - Universal dominance of spontaneous decoherence
 - Strong spontaneous decoherence at low heating
- Concluding remarks

Schrödinger Cats, Catness

Well-defined spatial mass distributions f_1 , f_2

$$|\mathrm{Cat}\rangle = \frac{|f_1\rangle + |f_2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Catness: squared-distance $\ell^2(f_1, f_2)$ [dim: energy] Standard QM: Cat collapses immediately if we measure f In "new" QM: we postulate spontaneous collapse

$$|\mathrm{Cat}\rangle \Longrightarrow \text{ either } |f_1\rangle \text{ or } |f_2\rangle \text{ with collapse rate } \ell^2/\hbar$$

Testable consequence: spontaneous decoherence (of $\hat{\rho}$)

$$|\mathrm{Cat}\rangle\langle\mathrm{Cat}|\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}|f_1\rangle\langle f_1|+\frac{1}{2}|f_2\rangle\langle f_2|$$
 with decoherence rate ℓ^2/\hbar

I discuss spontaneous decoherence (collapse would come easily).

Different catness in CSL and DP

$$\ell^{2}(f_{1}, f_{2}) = C_{11} + C_{22} - 2C_{12}$$

$$CSL: C_{ij} = \Lambda \int f_{i}(\mathbf{r}) f_{j}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \quad DP: C_{ij} = G \int \int f_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) f_{j}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \frac{d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2}}{r_{12}}$$

Spatial cut-off σ is needed (by Gaussian g_{σ} of width σ):

$$f(\mathbf{r}) = m \sum_{a} g_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{x}_{a})$$
 $CSL: \ \sigma = 10^{-5} cm, \ ^{a} D(P): \ \sigma = 10^{-12} cm$

- DP: 'nuclear' σ , weak G; CSL: 'macroscopic' σ , strong Λ
- DP and CSL: same (similar) collapse for c.o.m. of a bulk
- DP: too much spontaneous heating, CSL: tolerable heating
- DP: significance for acoustic modes, CSL: no significance
- DP: large scale dominance; CSL: -



Master equation of spontaneous decoherence

$$rac{d\hat{
ho}}{dt} = -rac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}[\hat{H},\hat{
ho}] + \mathcal{D}\hat{
ho}$$

Key quantity: $\hat{f}(\mathbf{r}) = m \sum_{a} g_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{a})$ Dynamics of $\hat{\rho}$'s diagonalization in f at rate ℓ^2/\hbar :

$$\mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} = -\frac{G}{2\hbar} \iint [\hat{f}(\mathbf{r}_1), [\hat{f}(\mathbf{r}_2), \hat{\rho}]] \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_1 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_2}{r_{12}}$$

$$\left[CSL : \mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} = -\frac{\Lambda}{2\hbar} \int [\hat{f}(\mathbf{r}), [\hat{f}(\mathbf{r}), \hat{\rho}]] d\mathbf{r} \right]$$

Useful detailed Fourier form:

$$\mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} = -\frac{\textit{Gm}^2}{2\hbar} \int \frac{4\pi \mathrm{e}^{-\mathbf{k}^2\sigma^2}}{\textit{k}^2} \sum_{a,b} \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{k}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a}, \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathbf{k}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_b}, \hat{\rho} \right] \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}$$

Decoherence of acoustic d.o.f.

Elasto-hydrodynamics (acoustics) in homogeneous bulk Displacement field $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r})$, canonically conj. momentum field $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\hat{H} = \int \left(\frac{1}{2f^0} \boldsymbol{\hat{\pi}}^2 + \frac{f^0}{2} c_\ell^2 (\nabla \boldsymbol{\hat{u}})^2\right) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r},$$

 $f^0 = M/V$ is mass density; c_ℓ is (longitudinal) sound velocity. Recall \mathcal{D} , insert $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_a + \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_a)$; $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_a$ are fiducial positions. Assume $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}) \ll \sigma$, $\exp[i\mathbf{k}\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_a)] \approx 1 + i\mathbf{k}\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_a)$; etc.

$$\mathcal{D}\hat{
ho} = -rac{1}{2\hbar} f^0(\omega_G^{
m nucl})^2 \int [\hat{f u}({f r}), [\hat{f u}({f r}), \hat{
ho}]] \mathrm{d}{f r}.$$
 $\omega_G^{
m nucl} = \sqrt{Gf^{
m nucl}} \sim 1 \mathrm{kHz}$

i.e.: frequency of Newton oscillator in density

$$f^{
m nucl} = m/(4\pi\sigma^2)^{3/2} \sim 10^{12} {
m g/cm}^3$$

Decoherence of acoustic modes

Fourier modes in rectangular bulk:

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}, \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}$$

Hamiltonian and decoherence:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{1}{f^0} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}} + f^0 c_{\ell}^2 k^2 \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \ \mathcal{D} \hat{\rho} = \frac{-1}{2\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f^0 (\omega_G^{\text{nucl}})^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}]]$$

Master equation of acoustic modes spontaneous decoherence:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{f^0} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] - \mathrm{i} f^0 c_{\ell}^2 k^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] - f^0 (\omega_G^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}]] \right)$$

Recall: summation over acoustic wave numbers k.

Note: CSL would have $\mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} \sim \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}]]$.

Center of mass decoherence

C.o.m.dynamics: $\mathbf{k} = 0$ acoustic mode

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}} = rac{1}{\sqrt{V}}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{P}} = \sqrt{V}\hat{m{\pi}}_{\mathbf{0}}$$

(we set the fiducial c.o.m. to the origin) Identify c.o.m. part in master equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{f^0} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] - \mathrm{i} f^0 c_{\ell}^2 k^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] - f^0 (\omega_{\mathsf{G}}^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}]] \right)$$

Get closed master equation for c.o.m.:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{c.o.m.}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\mathbf{\hat{P}}^2}{2M}, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{c.o.m.}} \right] - \frac{1}{2\hbar} M(\omega_G^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 [\mathbf{\hat{X}}, [\mathbf{\hat{X}}, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{c.o.m.}}]],$$

Full accordance with old derivations in DP-model.

Compare it to richness of acoustic mode spontaneous decoherence!

Universal dominance of spontaneous decoherence

Inspect long wavelength feature of master equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{f^0} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] - \mathrm{i} f^0 c_{\ell}^2 k^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] - f^0 (\omega_G^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}]] \right)$$

Harmonic potential and decoherence terms: quadratic in $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Although structures are different, they compete, decoherence wins if:

$$c_{\ell} k \ll \omega_G^{
m nucl} \sim 1 {
m kHz} \quad \Longrightarrow 1/k \gg 1 {
m m (e.g. in solids)}$$

The master equation for these modes:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \sum_{1/k \gg 1m} \left(\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{f^0} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] - f^0 (\omega_G^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}]] \right).$$

Wavelength $\gg 1$ m: 'free motion' plus spontaneous decoherence.

Example: Bulk of rock as big as 100m, sub-volume about a few m's

⇒ C.o.m. moves and decoheres like free-body.

Strong spontaneous decoherence at low heating

Side-effect of spontaneous decoherence: spontaneous warming up:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}}{dt} = \mathcal{D}\hat{H} = N \times \dot{\epsilon}$$
 (N: number of d.o.f.)

For a single acoustic mode $\hat{u}_{j\mathbf{k}} \equiv \hat{u}, \hat{\pi}_{j\mathbf{k}} \equiv \hat{\pi}$, heating rate:

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \mathcal{D} \frac{\hat{\pi}^{\dagger} \hat{\pi}}{2f^0} = \frac{-f^0}{2\hbar} (\omega_G^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 \left[\hat{u}^{\dagger}, \left[\hat{u}, \frac{\hat{\pi}^{\dagger} \hat{\pi}}{2f^0} \right] \right] = \frac{1}{2} \hbar (\omega_G^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 \sim 10^{-21} \mathrm{erg/s}$$

In M=1g, the # of d.o.f. $N\sim10^{23} \Longrightarrow N\dot{\epsilon} \sim 100 \text{erg/s}$: far too much! Refine DP-model: Spontaneous collapse for modes $1/k \gg \lambda$ only:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{-\mathrm{i}}{2\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{1}{f^0} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] + f^0 c_{\ell}^2 k^2 [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}] \right) - \frac{f^0}{2\hbar} (\omega_G^{\mathrm{nucl}})^2 \sum_{1/k \gg \lambda} [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, [\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\rho}]]$$

E.g.: $\lambda=10^{-5}$ cm, # of d.o.f. $N\sim10^{14} \Longrightarrow N\dot{\epsilon} \sim 10^{-7}$ erg/s: fairly low! DP-collapse of macroscopic acoustic modes (c.o.m., too) remains.

Concluding remarks

We

- killed Cats by collapse or just by decoherence
- compared spontaneous decoherence in DP and CSL
- derived G-related spontaneous decoherence of acoustic modes
- derived spontaneous decoherence master eq. for $\hat{\rho}$
- showed spontaneous DP-decoherence dominates at large scales
- reduced spontaneous heating in DP, kept macrosopic predictions
- spared spontaneous collapse stoch. eqs. for $|\psi\rangle$
- claimed spontaneous decoherence is the only testable local effect
- claime spontaneous collapse is untestable global effect for DP, CSL, GRW....
- mention spontaneous collapse becomes testable in extended DP-model

E-print: arXiv1404.xxxx

