

IS QUANTUM THEORY EXACT? LNF 28.04.2014

Local quanta, unitary inequivalence, vacuum entanglement

M.Rodríguez, M. del Rey, H Westman, J.L.



Juan León Instituto de Física Fundamental, Madrid

CSIC IFF Instituto de Física Fundamental

Quinfog	Activities	Publications	Research	Members	Resources	News	QfieldsQi2013	
OID	Eng							

Quantum Information and Foundations Group



You have arrived at the page on Quantum Information and Quantum Foundations of the Instituto de Física Fundamental, at CSIC. We form the group called Quinfog, created around 2006, and whose members you can find at the staff page.

Juan León

Instituto de Física Fundamental c/Serrano 113b, Madrid, Spain



YouTube channel Quinfog has now a YouTube channel



Local Quantum Theory

Quantum Information and Quantum Fields at Facultad de Físicas. 2nd, 3rd and 4th December!!



IF IT IS NOT HERE, IS IT ZERO?

NO!

IF IT IS NOT HERE, THERE IS THE VACUUM

burt....

This leaves no way for strict localization

Quantum springboards

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} (p_i^2 + q_i^2) + \lambda q_i q_{i+1} \quad \rightarrow \quad H = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} (P_i^2 + Q_i^2) \\ & & & \\ \hline m & & \\ \hline m & & \\ i & & i+1 \\ \hline q_i, p_i) \text{local d.o.f.} \end{split}$$

$$\hat{A}_N = \hat{Q}_N + i\hat{P}_N, \ \hat{A}_N^{\dagger} = \hat{Q}_N - i\hat{P}_N, \ \rightarrow H = \sum_N \hbar\Omega_N \hat{A}_N^{\dagger} \hat{A}_N$$

All oscillators are present in the vacuum Local excitations are not particles, Global are (standard Fock Space)

Vacuum entanglement: what you spot at q_i depends on q_j

Hegerfeldt Theorem



Take
$$A = \int_{V} |x\rangle \langle x|$$
 as $\langle x|e^{-iHt}|\psi\rangle$ analytic in $0 \ge \text{Im } t$

Either ψ is in V forever $(P_V(t) \neq 0 \forall t \in \mathbf{R})$

or ψ is never in V ($P_V(t) = 0 \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$)

Instantaneous spreading \implies causality problems in RQM and QFT Prigogine: KG particle $|\psi\rangle = \int_0^\infty d\omega_k \psi(\omega_k, x) a^{\dagger}(k) |0\rangle$

initially localized in $V, \ \psi(\omega_k, x) = 0 \text{ if } x \notin V$

box splits and expand at the speed of light



Antilocality of $\hat{\omega}_k : \psi(t, x) = 0$, and $\hat{\omega}_k \psi(t, x) = 0 \forall x \in I \Rightarrow \psi(t, x) \equiv 0$

A simplified version of Ree-Schlieder th.

Even if $\psi(t=0,x)=0, \forall x \notin V$, necessarily $\dot{\psi}(t=0,x) \neq 0$ for $x \notin V$

We localized a Fock state just to discover that It instantaneously spreads everywhere

Wave function and its time derivative vanishing outside a finite region requires of positive and negative frequencies What happens when a photon,

produced by an atom inside a cavity,

escapes through a pinhole?



Eventually the photon will impact on a screen at $\,t=d/c^{-1}$

But at t=0 $\phi \neq 0$ only at the pinhole, and the photon energy is positive (*back to Prigogine*)

According to Hegerfeld + antilocality the photon will spread everywhere almost instantaneously

As this is not the case,

we have to abandon Fock space for describing the photon through the pinhole

Cauchy surface *t*=0

Local quanta given by
$$\phi=0=\dot{\phi}$$
 out of r

Modes $u_l(x,t)$ initially localized in r $u_l(x,0) = 0$ $x \notin r$

Operators $a_l, a_l^{\dagger}, [a_l, a_m^{\dagger}] = \delta_{lm}$

Two types of quanta

r

global $\Omega_N > 0$ eigenstatescannot vanish outside finite intervalslocalboth frequencieslocalized within finite intervals

Photon emerging through the pinhole as a well posed Cauchy problem

with initial values for ϕ and ϕ vanishing outside the hole.

1+1 Dirichlet problem where the global space is a cavity $\{x \in [0, R]\}$ and the initial data vanish outside an interval of the cavit yL = [0, r], r < R

Cauchy data can be written as $\phi(x) = \sum_k c_k u_k(x), \quad \dot{\phi} = \sum_k \dot{c}_k \dot{u}_k(x)$

$$u_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r\omega_k}} \sin \frac{\pi kx}{r}, \quad \dot{u}_k(x) = -i\omega_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{r\omega_k}} \sin \frac{\pi kx}{r}, \quad k = 1, 2...$$



We follow a similar procedure for the case finite Cauchy data out of the interval:

$$x \in \overline{L} = [r, R], \ \overline{r} = R - r$$
$$\overline{u}_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r\overline{\omega}_k}} \sin \frac{\pi kx}{\overline{r}}, \ \dot{\overline{u}}_k(x) = -i\overline{\omega}_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{r\overline{\omega}_k}} \sin \frac{\pi kx}{\overline{r}}, k = 1, 2...$$

Global modes for all times:

$$U_N(t,x) = U_N(x)e^{-i\Omega_N t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R\Omega_N}}\sin\frac{\pi Nx}{R}e^{-i\Omega_N t}$$
 modes

How sums of $\, u_l(x)$ and $ar u_s(x)$ build up $\, U_N(x)\,$ at t=0



Local modes are superpositions of positive and negative frequencies

$$u_k(x,t) = \sum_N \left((\omega_k + \Omega_N) e^{-i\Omega_N t} - (\omega_k - \Omega_N) e^{i\Omega_N t} \right) \mathcal{U}_N(x) \mathcal{V}_{kN}$$

 $ar{u}_k(x,t) = \sum_N \left((ar{\omega}_k + \Omega_N) e^{-i\Omega_N t} - (ar{\omega}_k - \Omega_N) e^{i\Omega_N t}
ight) \mathcal{U}_N(x) ar{\mathcal{V}}_{kN}.$

Field expansions

$$\hat{\phi}(x,t) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(U_N(x,t)\hat{A}_N + U_N^*(x,t)\hat{A}_N^\dagger \right), x \in R$$
$$\hat{\phi}(x,t) = \sum_l \left(u_l(x,t)\hat{a}_l + u_l^*(x,t)\hat{a}_l^\dagger \right), \quad x \in L$$
$$\hat{\phi}(x,t) = \sum_l \left(\bar{u}_l(x,t)\hat{\bar{a}}_l + u_l^*(x,t)\hat{\bar{a}}_l^\dagger \right), \quad x \in R - L$$

Bogoliubov transformations

$$a_m = \sum_N (u_m | U_N) A_N + (u_m | U_N^*) A_N^{\dagger} \qquad a_m^{\dagger} = \sum_N (U_N | u_m) A_N^{\dagger} + (U_N^* | u_m) A_N$$
$$\bar{a}_m = \sum_N (\bar{u}_m | U_N) A_N + (\bar{u}_m | U_N^*) A_N^{\dagger} \qquad \bar{a}_m^{\dagger} = \sum_N (U_N | \bar{u}_m) A_N^{\dagger} + (U_N^* | \bar{u}_m) A_N.$$

Canonical conmutation relations

$$[a_m,a_n^\dagger]=\delta_{mn} \qquad [a_m,ar{a}_n^\dagger]=0 \qquad [ar{a}_m,ar{a}_n^\dagger]=\delta_{mn}$$

Local quantization

$$a_{m} = \sum_{N} (u_{m} | U_{N}) A_{N} + (u_{m} | U_{N}^{*}) A_{N}^{\dagger}$$
$$\bar{a}_{m} = \sum_{N} (\bar{u}_{m} | U_{N}) A_{N} + (\bar{u}_{m} | U_{N}^{*}) A_{N}^{\dagger}$$

$$[a_m, \bar{a}_n] = 0, \quad [a_m, \bar{a}_n^{\dagger}] = 0$$

$$a_m |0_L\rangle = \bar{a}_m |0_L\rangle = 0 \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

Local vacuum

$$|n_1, n_2, \dots\rangle = \prod_m \frac{(a_m^{\dagger})^{n_m}}{\sqrt{n_m!}} |0\rangle_L, \qquad |\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \dots\rangle = \prod_m \frac{(\bar{a}_m^{\dagger})^{\bar{n}_m}}{\sqrt{\bar{n}_m!}} |0\rangle_L$$

Unitary Inequivalence

$$\sum_{m} \langle 0_G | n_m + \bar{n}_m | 0_G \rangle = \sum_N \langle 0_L | N_N | 0_L \rangle = \sum_{m,N} |(U_N^* | u_m)|^2 + |(U_N^* | \bar{u}_m)|^2$$

$$\langle 0_L | N_N | 0_L \rangle = \sum_m |(U_N^* | u_m)|^2 + |(U_N^* | \bar{u}_m)|^2 = \infty$$

global number operators N_N are ill defined in the local Fock space

$$\langle 0_G | n_m + \bar{n}_m | 0_G \rangle = \sum_N |(U_N^* | u_m)|^2 + |(U_N^* | \bar{u}_m)|^2 < \infty$$

Positivity of energy

 $H^{G} = H - \langle 0_{G} | H | 0_{G} \rangle \quad H^{L} = H - \langle 0_{L} | H | 0_{L} \rangle \quad \mathcal{E} \equiv \langle 0_{L} | H^{G} | 0_{L} \rangle$

$$egin{aligned} &\langle m_l, ar{0} | H^G | m_l, ar{0}
angle &= m_l \sum_N \Omega_N \left(|(u_l | U_N)|^2 + |(u_l^* | U_N)|^2
ight) + \mathcal{E}_{+} \ &\langle m_l, ar{0} | H^L | m_l, ar{0}
angle &= m_l \sum_N \Omega_N \left(|(u_l | U_N)|^2 + |(u_l^* | U_N)|^2
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Energy of the local quanta: $\epsilon_l = \sum_N \Omega_N \left(|(u_l|U_N)|^2 + |(u_l^*|U_N)|^2 \right)$

Exciting the vacuum with local quanta $|0_G\rangle ightarrow a_m^{\dagger}|0_G angle$

Normalized one-local quantum state

$$|\psi
angle = rac{a_m^\dagger |0_G
angle}{\sqrt{1 + \langle 0_G |n_m |0_G
angle}}$$

If
$$|\psi
angle$$
 were strictly local $\langle\psi|ar{n}_m|\psi
angle - \langle 0_G|ar{n}_m|0_G
angle$ should be zero

N.B. in the local vacuum

 $\hat{a}_l |0_L\rangle = 0$

then the states $|\phi_L
angle=\hat{a}^\dagger|0_L
angle$

Become strictly local
$$\langle \phi_L | \bar{n}_m | \phi_L \rangle - \langle 0_L | \bar{n}_m | 0_L \rangle = 0$$

This is not the case in global vacuum

$$\langle \psi | \bar{n}_m | \psi
angle - \langle 0_G | \bar{n}_m | 0_G
angle =$$

$$=\frac{corr(n_l,\bar{n}_m)}{1+\langle 0_G|n_l|0_G\rangle}$$

due to vacuum correlations

 $corr(n_m, \bar{n}_l) \equiv \langle 0_G | n_m \bar{n}_l | 0_G \rangle - \langle 0_G | n_m | 0_G \rangle \langle 0_G | \bar{n}_l | 0_G \rangle$

Strict localisation on the local vacuum

 $|\psi\rangle$ is said to be *strictly localised* | within a region of space \mathfrak{R} if the expectation value of any local operator $\mathcal{O}(x)$ outside that region (i.e. $x \notin \mathfrak{R}$) is identical to that of the vacuum, i.e.

In **QM** there are conjugate operators **Q**, **P** and conjugate representations

They are unitarily equivalent (Stone Von-Neumann, Pauli).

In ∞ QM there are conjugate operators $\phi(t,x)$, $\pi(t,x)$ and conjugate representations

They may be unitarily inequivalent (infinite degrees of freedom) (Unruh, de Witt, Fulling)

Wigner representations global elementary exitations

Localized representations local elementary excitations

Quantum vacuum is global **—** vacuum entanglement

In **QM** there are conjugate operators **Q**, **P** and conjugate representations

They are unitarily equivalent (Stone Von-Neumann, Pauli).

In ∞ QM there are conjugate operators $\phi(t,x)$, $\pi(t,x)$ and conjugate representations

They may be unitarily inequivalent (infinite degrees of freedom) (Unruh, de Witt, Fulling)

Wigner representations global elementary exitations

Localized representations local elementary excitations

Quantum vacuum is global **—** vacuum entanglement

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!