

SuperB Touschek and beam-gas background

M. Boscolo



3rd SuperB Collaboration Meeting Frascati March 19th – 23rd 2012

Outline

Introduction

- Touschek generator
- Collimation system (horizontal)
- Touschek simulation results for LER & HER
- Beam-gas generator
- Collimation system (vertical)
- Beam-gas simulation results for LER & HER



Introduction

- The main sources of loss rates are under control and secondaries tracked into sub-detectors and their effects evaluated
- In the next months we will freeze lattice design: if needed, we'll update backgrounds simulations, some minor changes are expected
- Present Status on Touschek and beam-gas lifetime & loss rates estimates for
 V12 lattice with *realistic* IR layout from M. Sullivan (optics with the whole ring rematched, PAC11)







About Touschek Simulation

- Calculated lifetime and rates are dependent on the:
 - Lattice energy acceptance
 - physical aperture -elliptical shape
 - Dynamical aperture accounted for with non-linear elements in tracking
- stable results with few (~5) machine turns
- stable results with about 10⁶ macroparticles
 - 500particles x 2 (DE/E>0, DE/E<0) every 3elements out of 2300 (≈0.8e6 tracked)



Parameters used in the IR designs

(Mike Sullivan, Dec. 11)

Parameter	HER	LER
Energy (GeV)	6.70	4.18
Current (A)	1.89	2.45
Beta X* (mm)	26	32 (26)
Beta Y* (mm)	0.253	0.205 (0.274)
Emittance X (nm-rad)	2.00	2.46
Emittance Y (pm-rad)	5.0	6.15
Sigma X (µm)	7.21	8.87
Sigma Y (nm)	36	36
Crossing angle (mrad)	+/- (30



HER Optics: zoom of Final Focus



 $beta_x^* = 2.6cm$ $beta_y^* = 0.27mm$ $beta_x^* = 2.6cm$ $beta_y^* = 0.27mm$





Physical aperture

circular pipe



everywhere but at IR

- At IR elliptical pipe:
- horizontal







HER / LER Final Focus collimation system





Collimators – basic idea

The technical design will be addressed in the near future

our plan is that they should:

Intercept the Touschek particles in the final focus upstream the IR that otherwise would be lost at the QF1

So, in principle, the good collimators set corresponds to the same Beam Stay Clear , in sigmax units, that we have in the IR

Collimator jaw insertion = 0.9* phys. aperture(QF1) $\sigma_{COL} / \sigma_{QF1}$



in the simulations an optimal position close to this value has been set

Collimators design

- The proposed horizontal collimation system results very efficient from simulations.
- Idea is to model the beam pipe at the longitudinal positions of the primary horizontal collimators (two hor. Sextupoles) with a horiz. physical aperture corresponding to the one needed for the jaws to efficiently intercept the scattered particles that would be lost at the QF1, and add two movable jaws as a further knob to tune IR backgrounds.



This design has been implemented in DAFNE recently for the two most effective scrapers

Touschek IR background rates

|s|< 2 m

HER (e+):

no collimators = 2.5 MHz × 978 bunches = 2.4 GHz/beam with collimators = 6.95 kHz × 978 bunches = 6.8 MHz/beam



Collimator set: (mm)			
	internal / external		
Col1	-9 / +12		
Col2	-9 / +25(out)		
Col3	-18 / +12		
Col4	-12 / +18		
(pipe is -25 /+25 mm			

no collimators $\tau_{TOU} = 26$ minutes with collimators $\tau_{TOU} = 22$ minutes



HER v12modif Touschek Trajectories



found by minimizing IR rates and maximizing lifetime real set will be found experimentally



Loss probability of HER Touschek particles as a function on $\Delta E/E$





HER IR losses (|s|< 2 m)



IR rates for the LER

$l_b = 2.5 \text{ mA}$ $\epsilon_x = 2.4 \text{ nm}$

no collimators = 17.2 MHz × 978 bunches = 16.8 GHz/beam with collimators = 93 kHz × 978 bunches = 90 MHz/beam

no collimators $\tau_{TOU} = 610 \text{ s} (10.1 \text{ minutes})$ with collimators $\tau_{TOU} = 470 \text{ s} (7.9 \text{ minutes})$



Collim	ator set: (mm)		
internal / external			
Col1	-10 / +14		
Col2	-10 / +18		
Col3	(out)-25 / +12		
Col4	-12 / +16		

careful study of secondaries into sub-detectors indicated these rates were a bit too high

IR lost particles of the LER



Loss probability of LER Touschek particles as a function on $\Delta E/E$





nt= machine turn number

LER Touschek IR background rates $_{b}=2.5 \text{ mA}$ |S| < 2 m With IBS: $\varepsilon_{x} = 2.4 \text{ nm}$ With a 1.3 IR rates reduction

with collimators = 73.3 kHz/bunch × 978 bunches =72 MHz/bear

with collimators $\tau_{TOU} = 420 \text{ s} (7 \text{ minutes})$



Collimator set: (mm)			
internal / external			
Col1	-9 / +12		
Col2	-10 / +18		
Col3	(out)-25 / +12		
Col4	-12 / +16		

Touschek particles hitting the pipe: full geometry before tracking



Touschek particles hitting the pipe: full geometry before tracking

Zoom within 4 m

LER

Z vs X profile (pipes)



Beam-gas scattering

The same MonteCarlo approach as for Touschek simulation is used by substituting the elastic/ inelastic differential cross-section to the Touschek cross-section



Beam-gas bkg –general considerations

 Particle losses expected vertically, at the QD0 beam pipe is assumed circular all along the ring But at the IR:



Beam-gas is very much dependent on how good vacuum is:

P=1nTorr constant up to now,

different pressures along ring, especially at IR, planned



Vertical COLLIMATORS in the Final Focus

To be added to the Horizontal ones, placed to intercept Touschek scattered particles





Following the same criteria used for horizontal collimators:

Vertical Collimators upstream the IR

Intercept the scattered particles in the final focus upstream the IR that otherwise would be lost at the QD0

Collimator jaw insertion = 0.9* phys. aperture(QD0) $\sigma_{COL} / \sigma_{QD0}$

IR losses are greatly reduced by these Vertical collimators placed with this criteria



Reshaping of Beam pipe as collimators

A vertical beam pipe at the longitudinal position where the vertical Collimator should be placed (Vertical Sextupoles) could be modeled by the same aperture needed to collimate particles that would be lost at the QD0, and add two movable jaws as a further knob to tune IR backgrounds.





HER Beam-gas Coulomb scattering

P = 1 nTorr constant along ring, Z = 8

HER	τ (s)	IR losses/beam	
no collimators	4590	10.5 GHz	About a factor 950 in
with vertical Collimators	3040	3.7 MHz	IR losses ↓ reduction

no collimators =10.8 MHz/bunch × 978 bunches=10.5GHz/beam with collimators = 3.8 kHz/bunch × 978 bunches= 3.7 MHz/beam

Collimato	r set: (mm)	
in	ternal / external	
HCol1	-9 / +12	
HCol2	-9 / +25(out)	Set of values optimized for Touschek
HCol3	-18 / +12	
HCol4	-12 / +18	
VCol1	-4.5 / +4.5	
VCol2	-4.5 / +4.5	



Coulomb particles hitting the pipe: full geometry before tracking

HER IR within 15 m

Z vs X profile (pipes)





Coulomb particles hitting the pipe: full geometry before tracking

HER

Zoom: IR within 4 m

Z vs X profile (pipes)





LER Beam-gas Coulomb scattering

P = 1 nTorr constant along ring, Z = 8

LER	τ (s)	IR losses/beam	
no collimators	2520	25 GHz	About a factor 700 in
with vertical Collimators	2350	36 MHz	IR losses

10 collimators = 26 MHz/bunch × 978 bunches = 25.4 GHz/beam with collimators = 36.7 kHz/bunch × 978 bunches=36 MHz/beam

Collimator set: (mm)		
	internal / external	
HCol1	-10 / +14	
HCol2	-10 / +18	
HCol3	(out)-25 / +12	
HCol4	-12 / +16	
VCol1	-6 / +6	
VCol2	-6 / +6	

There is margin of further IR rate reduction, As for the HER, Vcol set may be re-checked if secondaries not satisfactory (we still have margin in lifetime)

Coulomb scattered particles lost at IR

Trajectories of scattered particles eventually lost at IR



Lifetime summary

	HER	LER
Touschek lifetime	τ _{του} (min)	τ _{του} (min)
No collimators, nominal ϵ_x (no IBS)	26	7.4
No collimators, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{x}$ with IBS	26	10.2
With Collimators, ϵ_x with IBS	22	7
Coulomb	50 min	39 min

72 hrs

77 hrs

Bremsstrahlung



IR rates summary

|s|<2 m

Touschek	HER	LER
No collimators, ε_x with IBS	2.4 GHz	17 GHz
With Collimators, $\epsilon_{\rm x}$ with IBS	6.8 MHz	72 MHz

Coulomb No collimators, ε_x with IBS	10.5 GHz	25 GHz
Coulomb with collimators, ϵ_x with IBS	3.7MHz	36 MHz
Bremsstrahlung with coll	130KHz	450KHz



Comparison with superKEKB

	superkekb	superb
Touschek lifetime	τ _{TOU} (min)	τ _{του} (min)
LER Touschek no collim.	10	10.2
LER With Collimators, ε_x with IBS		7

LER Coulomb	37 min	39 min
HER Coulomb	54 min	50 min



Conclusions

- Monte Carlo for Touschek lifetime and backgrounds is a solid simulation tool
- Background rates at IR are under control with an efficient Horiz & vert. Collimation system in the Final Focus
- More beam-gas simulation studies under variable pressure along ring are on the way
- Technical Design of realistic collimators is planned







Estimation status of each BG

• Touschek BG

Reduced down to ~0.2GHz(LER/HER) thanks to horizontal/vertical collimators (Apr. 2011)

• Beam-gas BG

- Reduced down to ~0.1GHz(LER/HER) thanks to vertical collimators. (Nov. 2011)

• Synchrotron BG

Reduced down to few order smaller than PXD requirement thanks to collimation on incoming beam pipe (Jul. 2010, toy study) Full detector simulation has just started. (Jan. 2012)

Radiative Bhabha

 Most of spent electrons/positrons are lost outside detector thanks to independent final Q magnet (Aug. 2010). But few GHz are still lost in |s|<4m (Nov. 2011).

• 2-photon process

- Small enough according to KoralW simulation, which is confirmed with BELLE-I machine study (Nov. 2010).
- (Beam-beam)
 - Computational study ongoing by accelerator group

LER horizontal collimators



Compared to KEKB, we add more collimators (H5-H8) just before IP (-200m~-18m). Collimators are located where beta function or dispersion is large.

Final Touschek loss in IR



Concept of horizontal collimators



H. Nakano K. Beam-gas Coulomb lifetime Kanazawa



Belle-II focused review (Nov. 11th, 2011)

H.Nakayama (KEK)

Beam-gas summary

- Coulomb >> bremsstrahlung
- Larger <β_y> and narrower IR aperture make Coulomb BG much severer at SuperKEKB than at KEKB
- Vertical collimators , placed at small beta_y, can reduce beamgas BG down to <u>~0.1GHz for LER/HER</u>.
- Beam instability for such collimators is confirmed to be tolerable, performing tracking simulation with realistic collimator shape
- Vacuum level at large beta_y affects beam-gas lifetime.
- Simulation using "SAD" is in preparation
- R&D ongoing for collimator which can resist ~100GHz loss

Design of key components_11

- Movable mask (collimator)
 - Indispensable in order to reduce background noise of BELL-II
 - Long R&D history in KEKB
 - Stealth type was proposed, but not yet realized.
 - For SKEKB,
 - High thermal strength against wall heating (~ 1 mm from beam for vertical type)
 - Low beam impedance (ex. Against TMC instability)
 - Fitting to antechamber scheme
 - Robust against impact of beam in case
 - Placed at both sides of the ring
 - HOM absorbers (near to masks)
 - Concept of Ver.4 in KEKB will be available, at least in the beginning stage: how to fit to antechamber scheme?

One candidate: PEP-II type







Concept of vertical movable mask

