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1920 Channels $\rightarrow$ ASIC readout 16 channels per chip


## HiRA Scientific Results (2005-2011)

- Mass of $r p$-process waiting point nucleus ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Br}$ (Andy Rogers)
- Transfer reactions: ${ }^{34,46} \mathrm{Ar}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}),{ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}),\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\right),{ }^{84} \mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d})$ (Jenny Lee, Alisher Sanetullaev, Tilak Ghosh)
- Proton knockout reactions (Danel Bazin)
- Particle unbound state: 2 p decay in ${ }^{10} \mathrm{C} \& 4 \mathrm{p}+\alpha$ decay in ${ }^{9} \mathrm{C}$.
- (Bob Charity \& Lee Sobotka)
- Spectra and two particle correlations (Micha Kilburn et al)



## First HiRA exp.: rp- process nucleo-synthesis



## ${ }^{68}$ Se waiting point

- $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=35.5 \mathrm{~s}$ and ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Br}$ is unbound.
- Bypass of waiting point via sequential 2 p -capture to ${ }^{70} \mathrm{Kr}$.
- $S_{p}$ determines proton-capture and ( $\gamma, \mathrm{p}$ ) processes.

- Two proton capture through ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Br}$ depends exponentially on proton separation energy $S_{p}$.


## Experimental Technique

## Direct Measurement of ground-state one-proton decay from ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Br}$



## Experimental Setup



Separation energy is determined by calculating the decay energy $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rel }}$ in the $\mathrm{p}+{ }^{68} \mathrm{Se}$ C.M. system, where: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}=-\mathrm{E}_{\text {rel }}$

## Detectors and Calibrations



Beam Tracking
Beam Resolution ~ 1mm


PID using $\triangle \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}$
Si Resolution: $\approx 70 \mathrm{keV}$ FMHM
CsI Resolution: $\approx \mathbf{8 0 0} \mathbf{~ k e V}$

$$
{ }^{70} \mathrm{Se} \rightarrow \mathbf{2 n}+{ }^{69} \mathrm{Br} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}+{ }^{68} \mathrm{Se}
$$



## Heavy Products

PID using $\Delta \mathrm{E}$-ToF method.
Good isotopic separation.
Measurement of $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P}$, and $\theta$

## Decay spectrum in the $\mathrm{p}+{ }^{68}$ Se system



- The peak corresponds to the proton separation energy of ${ }^{69} \mathbf{B r}$, assuming the structure of its mirror, ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Se} . \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathbf{- 7 8 5} \pm \mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{~ k e V}$
- The large (negative) $S_{p} \rightarrow$ rp-process probably terminates at ${ }^{68} \mathrm{Se}$


## Nuclear Structure Study with Transfer Reactions

## Transfer Reactions:

$\checkmark$ Determine masses and excitation energies from complete kinematics reactions
$\checkmark$ Obtain spectroscopic information such as $\ell$-values from angular distributions
$\checkmark$ Extract Spectroscopic Factors $\rightarrow$ single-particle strengths \& correlation effects


Establish a systematic way to extract consistent SF's using 50 year of transfer reaction data

$$
\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {EXP }}=S F_{E X P}\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {Theo }} \sim \text { ADWA }
$$

$S F_{\text {exp }} / S F_{S M}<1 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Some correlations missing in the } \\ & \text { interactions (shell model)? }\end{aligned}$
Large Basis Shell Model
$H=\underbrace{\sum_{i}^{\left(\frac{\vec{p}_{i}^{2}}{2 m}+U\left(r_{i}\right)\right)}}+\underbrace{\sum_{i<j} V_{N N}\left(\vec{r}_{i}-\vec{r}_{j}\right)-\sum_{i} U\left(r_{i}\right)}$
How much? What is the Asymmetry Dependence of nucleon correlations?

[^0]
## Transfer Experiment Setup

$$
\begin{gathered}
A+p \rightarrow d+B \\
A+d \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}+B
\end{gathered}
$$

d or ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$

2007 campaign
$\left.p\left({ }^{34,36,46} \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{d}\right)\right)^{33,35,45} \mathrm{Ar} ; \mathrm{p}\left({ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{d} d{ }^{55} \mathrm{Ni} ; \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A} \sim 35 \mathrm{MeV}\right.$
2010 campaign
$p\left({ }^{86} \mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{d}\right){ }^{85} \mathrm{Kr} ; \mathrm{p}\left({ }^{84} \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{d}\right){ }^{83} \mathrm{Se} ; \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A} \sim 40 \mathrm{MeV}$
$p\left({ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{d}\right){ }^{55} \mathrm{Ni} ; \mathrm{p}\left({ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{d}\right){ }^{55} \mathrm{Ni} ; \mathrm{d}\left({ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni},{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\right)^{55} \mathrm{Co} ; \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A} \sim 80 \mathrm{MeV}$


## Nuclear Structure Study with (p,d) reactions

(Alisher Santullanev, 2011)

|  |  | Magic number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| If $\bullet-\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet-\bullet$ | 72 | N=28 |
| ${ }_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{1 \mathrm{~d}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c} \bullet-\bullet- \\ \bullet-\bullet-0- \end{array}\right.$ | $3 / 2$ $1 / 2$ $5 / 2$ | $\mathrm{N}=20$ |
| $1_{p}-C_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |
| 1s | 1/2 | $\mathrm{N}=2$ |

Single Particle States in ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}$

- ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}$ is the end product in many astrophysical models.
- First double magic nucleus that is unstable!
- How good is ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}$ a double magic nucleus?
- ${ }^{55} \mathrm{Ni} \rightarrow$ No spectroscopic information about the first excited state at 2.09 MeV .



Kinematics and Q-Value


|  | $\mathrm{SF}(\mathrm{ex})$ | $\mathrm{SF}(\mathbf{S M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g.s. | $7.0 \pm 0.7$ | 6.78 |
| 2.09 MeV <br> (unknown) | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ | 0.18 |

Evolution of Neutron hole states in $\mathbf{N}=50$ closed shells Rutgers + VECC+ MSU

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A}=45 \mathrm{MeV} \\
{ }^{86} \mathrm{Kr}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}+{ }^{85} \mathrm{Kr} \\
{ }^{84} \mathrm{Se}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}+{ }^{83} \mathrm{Se}
\end{gathered}
$$



Comparison of Spectroscopic Factors from (p,d) and Knockout

VECC + MSU collaboration

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A}=37 \mathrm{MeV} \\
{ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}+{ }^{55} \mathrm{Ni} \\
\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A}=80 \mathrm{MeV} \\
{ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}+{ }^{55} \mathrm{Ni}
\end{gathered}
$$

Comparison of proton and neutron spectroscopic factors in ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}$

VECC + MSU collaboration

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A}=80 \mathrm{MeV} \\
{ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}+{ }^{55} \mathrm{Ni} \\
{ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}+\mathrm{d} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}+{ }^{55} \mathrm{Co}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Asymmetry Dependence of Shell Occupancies?

Neutron correlations in Ar isotones


## Asymmetry Dependence of Shell Occupancies?

Neutron correlations in Ar isotones

J. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 104, 112701 (2010)

## Transfer Reactions:

Weak Asymmetry dependence of nucleon correlations

## Asymmetry Dependence of Shell Occupancies?

Neutron correlations in Ar isotones


Neutron correlations in $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 8}$ isotones (add more protons)


HiRA Data: $\mathbf{p}\left({ }^{46} \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{d}\right), \mathbf{p}\left({ }^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{d}\right)$
Effects of Neutron-Proton pairing Correlations?

## Reaction Mechanism of Knockout Reactions



Results agree with Eikonal model
$\rightarrow$ Loosely-bound nucleon systems

[^1]
## Overview of ${ }^{8} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Be}$ decays

1. The excitation of an unbound species can be reconstructed from the relative energy of the decay fragments.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }^{8} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \alpha+p+p+p+p \\
\mathrm{E}^{*}\left({ }^{8} \mathrm{C}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{\text {TKE }}(\alpha+4 \mathrm{p})-\mathrm{Q}_{\text {decay }}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. If ${ }^{8} \mathrm{C}$ decays via $\quad{ }^{8} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow\left[{ }^{6} \mathrm{Be}\right]+2 \mathrm{p} \rightarrow[\alpha+2 \mathrm{p}]+2 \mathrm{p}$ there will be two protons from the first step and two from the second.
How to prove experimentally?
$\rightarrow$ Evidence of ${ }^{6}$ Be decay from $\alpha+2 p$ in detected $\alpha+p+p+p+p$ event

$$
\mathrm{E}^{*}\left({ }^{6} \mathrm{Be}\right)=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{TKE}}(\alpha+2 \mathrm{p})-\mathrm{Q}_{\text {decay }}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Reference data needed:

$$
{ }^{6} \mathbf{B e} \rightarrow \alpha+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}
$$

| Continuum Decay Spectroscopy |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathbf{u})$ | $\rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}(70 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow{ }^{6} \mathrm{Be} \rightarrow \alpha+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ |
| ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathbf{u})$ | $\rightarrow{ }^{9} \mathrm{C}(70 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow{ }^{8} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \alpha+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ |

## January 2010

WU: R. J. Charity, L. G. Sobotka, J. Elson, R. Shane NSCL: Bill Lynch, Betty Tsang, Zibi Chajecki, Daniel Coupland, Tilak Ghosh, Jenny Lee, Alisher Sanetullaev, Jack Winkelbauer, Mike Youngs WMU, VECC, Rutgers University



## Physics with HiRA Summary - Present and Future (Highly configurable)

- Mass of rp-process waiting point nucleus ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Br}$ (Andy Rogers) - ${ }^{73} \mathrm{Rb}$
- Transfer reactions: (J. Lee, Alisher Sanetullaev, Tilak Ghosh)
- ${ }^{34,46} \mathrm{Ar}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d})$ at $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A}=70 \mathrm{MeV}$; (d,p) to investigate particle states
- Proton knockout reactions (D. Bazin) - $1 \mathrm{p} \& 2 \mathrm{p}$ knockout from ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Mg}$
- Particle unbound state. 2 p decay in ${ }^{10} \mathrm{C} \& 4 \mathrm{p}+\alpha$ decay in ${ }^{8} \mathrm{C}$.
(Bob Charity \& Lee Sobotka) - ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~B},{ }^{12} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{16} \mathrm{~F}$
- Spectra and two particle correlations (Micha Kilburn et al)



[^0]:    Mean field Residual interactions

[^1]:    D. Bazin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 232501 (2009)

