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## 4321 model

Cornella, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori 1903.11517]

$$
\stackrel{\cup}{U(1)_{(B-L)_{h}}} \stackrel{\stackrel{\downarrow}{1})_{Y}^{k+}}{U( }
$$

$$
+\begin{aligned}
& U_{1} \sim(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{2 / 3} \\
& G^{\prime} \sim(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})_{0} \\
& Z^{\prime} \sim(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$



Accidental $U(2)$ flavor symmetry

LHC bounds:
$M_{G^{\prime}} \gtrsim 3-3.5 \mathrm{TeV}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Third-family quar } \\
S U(3)_{h} \rightarrow S U(3)_{c}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

[Cornella, Faroughy, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori, Neubert, 2103.16558]

## 4321 fermion content (I)

1st \& 2nd families

$$
q_{L}^{1,2}, \ell_{L}^{1,2} \quad u_{R}^{1,2} \quad d_{R}^{1,2}, e_{R}^{1,2}
$$

3rd family $\quad \psi_{L} \sim\binom{q_{L}^{3}}{\ell_{L}^{3}} \quad \psi_{R}^{+} \sim\binom{u_{R}^{3}}{\nu_{R}^{3}} \quad \psi_{R}^{-} \sim\binom{d_{R}^{3}}{e_{R}^{3}}$


## 4321 fermion content (II)




$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{L}^{3} & \rightarrow c_{\nu} \nu_{L}^{3}+s_{\nu} S_{L} \\
\left(s_{\nu}\right. & \left.=y_{\nu} v_{\mathrm{EW}} / m_{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Relevant parameters for this analysis

- $\Lambda_{U} \longrightarrow \sqrt{2} m_{U_{1}} / g_{4}$
- $Y_{+}, s_{q} \longrightarrow$
- $\chi \longrightarrow \begin{gathered}3-\mathrm{VLF} \\ \text { mixing }\end{gathered}$
- $m_{Q, L} \longrightarrow$ 2, L
- $m_{R} \longrightarrow\left(\nu_{R}, S_{L}\right)$
$\cdot m_{U_{1}, G^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}} \longrightarrow U_{1}, G^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}$


## Phenomenology

- $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ physics $\left(R_{D^{(*)}}, R_{\Lambda_{c}}\right)$
- EWPO
- LFUV in $\tau$ decays
[Allwicher, Isidori, Selimović, 2109.03833]
- $\operatorname{High} p_{T}$ at LHC
- Other $q_{3} \rightarrow q_{2}$ transitions:
- $B_{s} \rightarrow \tau \tau, B \rightarrow K \nu \nu, B \rightarrow K \tau \tau, B_{s}$ mixing, etc $\ldots$
[Cornella, Faroughy, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori, Neubert, 2103.16558]
- $q_{2} \rightarrow q_{1}$ transitions:
- $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu, K, D$ mixing

[Crosas, Isidori, JML, Selimović, Stefanek, 2203.01952]
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## $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ data




## $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ data

$$
\Lambda_{U}=\sqrt{2} m_{U_{1}} / g_{4}=1.5 \mathrm{TeV}
$$


$s_{q}$

## SMEFT

- One-loop matching in $g_{4}, Y_{+}, y_{t}, g_{s}$ to the relevant SMEFT operators:

Tree level matching:

$\rightarrow C_{f f}\left(\bar{f}^{3} f^{3}\right)\left(\bar{f}^{3} f^{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow C_{\text {Hu }}\left(H^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} H\right)\left(\bar{t}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} t_{R}\right) \quad \rightarrow C_{H \ell}\left(\left(H^{\star} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} H\right)\left(\bar{e}_{L}^{3} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{L}^{3}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\left(H^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{t} H\right)\left(\bar{e}_{L}^{3} \tau_{1} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{L}^{3}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Running at one loop from UV scale to EW.
- To keep consistency with the one-loop matching, calculation of the EW observables at one-loop in $y_{t}, g_{s}$ :



## EW: Universal contributions

$\left(C_{H u} \rightarrow C_{H D}\right)$ + 1-loop matching

$$
C_{u u}+C_{q q} \rightarrow C_{H u}+C_{H q}^{(1)} \rightarrow C_{H D}
$$



## EW: Universal contributions



VLF sector
Coloron sector

## EW: Non-Universal contributions

$$
\mathscr{L} \supset C_{H l}^{(1)}\left(H^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} H\right)\left(\bar{\ell}_{L}^{3} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{L}^{3}\right)+C_{H l}^{(3)}\left(H^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I} H\right)\left(\bar{\ell}_{L}^{3} \tau_{l} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{L}^{3}\right)
$$



$$
\left(y_{\nu}=c_{\chi} y_{t}-s_{\chi} Y_{+}\right)
$$

Tree-level +LL running $\left(C_{H \ell} \rightarrow C_{H \ell}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
C_{H \ell}^{(1)}=-C_{H \ell}^{(3)} & \text { (Only broken by small } g_{2} \text { effects } \\
\text { and negligible NLL) }
\end{array}
$$


[Allwicher, Isidori, Selimović, 2109.03833]

## Global fit

- Global likelihood:

$$
\chi^{2}=\chi_{b \rightarrow c \tau \nu}^{2}+\chi_{\mathrm{EWPO}}^{2}+\chi_{\tau-\mathrm{LFU}}^{2}+\chi_{\mathrm{high}-p_{T}}^{2}
$$

- Fixed parameters:

$$
\chi=60^{\circ}, m_{L}=1 \mathrm{TeV}, m_{R}=1.5 \mathrm{TeV}, m_{U}=3 \mathrm{TeV}, m_{G^{\prime}}=3.5 \mathrm{TeV}, m_{Z^{\prime}}=3 \mathrm{TeV}
$$

- Two fits:

| $m_{W}$ without CDF |  |  | $m_{W}$ with CDF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{W}^{\exp }=(80.379 \pm 0.012) \mathrm{GeV}$ |  |  | $m_{W}^{\exp }=(80.410 \pm 0.015) \mathrm{GeV}$ |  |  |
| Parameter | Best-fit point | $1 \sigma$ interval | Parameter | \|Best-fit point $\mid$ | $1 \sigma$ interval |
| $\Lambda_{U}$ | 1.61 TeV | [1.46, 1.86] TeV | $\Lambda_{U}$ | 1.46 TeV | $[1.32,1.68] \mathrm{TeV}$ |
| $m_{Q}$ | $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ | $[2.31, \infty) \mathrm{TeV}$ | $m_{Q}$ | 2.08 TeV | [1.43, 4.72] TeV |
| $Y_{+}$ | 0.36 | [0.26, 0.56] | $Y_{+}$ | 0.65 | [0.43, 0.83] |
| $\chi_{\mathrm{SM}}^{2}-\chi_{\mathrm{BFP}}^{2}=12.3(2.4 \sigma)$ |  |  | $\chi_{\mathrm{SM}}^{2}-\chi_{\mathrm{BFP}}^{2}=15.4(2.9 \sigma)$ |  |  |



$$
y_{\nu}=y_{t} \cos (\chi)-Y_{+} \sin (\chi)
$$

## Global fit

$m_{W}$ without CDF
$95 \%$ CL CMS $p p \rightarrow \tau \tau$


## Global fit



## Conclusions

- Quark-lepton unification of the third family at the TeV scale could be the infrared limit of a natural solution to the flavor puzzle.
- Apart from a rich B-physics pheno, the model has an interesting impact on EW physics.
- We find that the new colored states generate large universal contributions at the loop level.
- Higher order effects can play a key role.
- These results have a wider range of applicability: VLF, extended gauge groups, or inverse-seesaw mechanism.

Thank you!

## Backup: Global fit





## $m_{W}$ with CDF



## Backup: Other EW observables



## Backup: EW observables

| Observable | Experimental value | SM prediction | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{Z}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $2.4955 \pm 0.0023$ [4, 28] | 2.4941 | $\sum_{f} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow f \bar{f})$ |
| $\sigma_{\text {had }}$ [nb] | $41.4802 \pm 0.0325 \quad[4,28]$ | 41.4842 | $\frac{12 \pi}{m_{Z}^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right) \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})}{\Gamma_{Z}^{2}}$ |
| $R_{e}$ | $20.804 \pm 0.050$ [4] | 20.734 | $\frac{\sum_{q} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})}{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)}$ |
| $R_{\mu}$ | $20.785 \pm 0.033$ [4] | 20.734 | $\frac{\sum_{q} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})}{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)}$ |
| $R_{\tau}$ | $20.764 \pm 0.045$ [4] | 20.781 | $\frac{\sum_{q} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})}{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-}\right)}$ |
| $A_{\text {FB }}^{0, e}$ | $0.0145 \pm 0.0025$ [4] | 0.0162 | $\frac{3}{4} A_{e}^{2}$ |
| $A_{\text {FB }}^{0, \mu}$ | $0.0169 \pm 0.0013$ [4] | 0.0162 | ${ }_{1}^{3} A_{e} A_{\mu}$ |
| $A_{\mathrm{FB}}^{0, \tau}$ | $0.0188 \pm 0.0017$ [4] | 0.0162 | $\frac{3}{4} A_{e} A_{\tau}$ |
| $R_{b}$ | $0.21629 \pm 0.00066$ [4] | 0.21581 | $\frac{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow b \bar{b})}{\sum_{\Gamma} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})}$ |
| $R_{c}$ | $0.1721 \pm 0.0030 \quad[4]$ | 0.17222 | $\sum_{q}(Z(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})$ $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow c \bar{c})$ $\left.\sum \Gamma(Z) q \bar{q}\right)$ |
|  |  | 0.1722 | $\sum_{q} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})$ |
| $A_{b}$ | $0.0996 \pm 0.0016$ [4, 29] | 0.1032 | ${ }_{3}^{3} A_{e} A_{b}$ |
| $A_{c}^{\text {FB }}$ | $0.0707 \pm 0.0035 \quad[4]$ | 0.0736 | $\frac{3}{4} A_{e} A_{c}$ |
| $A_{e}$ | $0.1516 \pm 0.0021$ [4] | 0.1470 | $\frac{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e_{L}^{+} e_{L}^{-}\right)-\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e_{R}^{+} e_{R}^{-}\right)}{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)}$ |
| $A_{\mu}$ | $0.142 \pm 0.015$ [4] | 0.1470 | $\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \mu_{L}^{+} \mu_{L}^{-}\right)-\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \mu_{R}^{+} \mu_{R}^{-}\right)$ |
| $A_{\mu}$ |  | 0.1470 | $\begin{gathered} \Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \\ \Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \tau_{L}^{+} \tau_{L}^{-}\right)-\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \tau_{R}^{+} \tau_{R}^{-}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| $A_{\tau}$ | $0.136 \pm 0.015$ [4] | 0.1470 |  |
| $A_{e}$ | $0.1498 \pm 0.0049$ [4] | 0.1470 | $\frac{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e_{L}^{+} e_{L}^{-}\right)-\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e_{R}^{+} e_{R}^{-}\right)}{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)}$ |
| $A_{\tau}$ | $0.1439 \pm 0.0043$ [4] | 0.1470 | $\frac{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \tau_{L}^{+} \tau_{L}^{-}\right)-\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \tau_{R}^{+} \tau_{R}^{-}\right)}{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-}\right)}$ |
|  | $0.923 \pm 0.020 \quad[4]$ | 0.935 | $\frac{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow b_{L} b_{L}\right)-\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow b_{R} b_{R}\right)}{\Gamma(Z) b \bar{b}}$ |
| $A_{c}$ | $0.670 \pm 0.027$ [4] |  |  |
| $A_{c}$ | $0.670 \pm 0.027$ [4] | 0.668 | $\frac{\Gamma(Z)}{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow c \bar{c})}$ |
| $A_{s}$ | $0.895 \pm 0.091$ [30] | 0.936 | $\frac{\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow s_{L} \bar{s}_{L}\right)-\Gamma\left(Z \rightarrow s_{R} \bar{s}_{R}\right)}{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow s \bar{s})}$ |
| $R_{u c}$ | $0.166 \pm 0.009$ [9] | 0.1722 | $\frac{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow u \bar{u})+\Gamma(Z \rightarrow c \bar{c})}{2 \sum_{q} \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q \bar{q})}$ |


| Observable | Experimental value | SM prediction |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $m_{W}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $80.379 \pm 0.012[9]$ | 80.356 |
| $\Gamma_{W}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $2.085 \pm 0.042[9]$ | 2.088 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow e \nu)$ | $0.1071 \pm 0.0016[5]$ | 0.1082 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \mu \nu)$ | $0.1063 \pm 0.0015[5]$ | 0.1082 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ | $0.1138 \pm 0.0021[5]$ | 0.1081 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \mu \nu) / \operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow e \nu)$ | $0.982 \pm 0.024[32]$ | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \mu \nu) / \operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow e \nu)$ | $1.020 \pm 0.019[12]$ | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \mu \nu) / \operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow e \nu)$ | $1.003 \pm 0.010[13]$ | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \tau \nu) / \operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow e \nu)$ | $0.961 \pm 0.061[9,31]$ | 0.999 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \tau \nu) / \operatorname{Br}(W \rightarrow \mu \nu)$ | $0.992 \pm 0.013[14]$ | 0.999 |
| $R_{W c} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(W \rightarrow c s)}{\Gamma(W \rightarrow u d)+\Gamma(W \rightarrow c s)}$ | $0.49 \pm 0.04[9]$ | 0.50 |

[V. Breso-Pla, A. Falkowski, M. Gonzalez-Alonso, 2103.12074]

## Backup: Running

$$
\mathcal{A}(\mu)=\frac{y_{t}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{t}+\frac{g_{s}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{s}+\frac{g_{L}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{L}+\ldots
$$

- RGE: $\quad \mu \frac{d}{\mu} \mathcal{C}(\mu)=\mathcal{A}(\mu) \mathcal{C}(\mu)$
- Integration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(\mu) & =\mathcal{P} \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} \exp \mathcal{A}(\mu) d \log \mu \mathcal{C}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbb{1}+\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d \log \mu \mathcal{A}(\mu)+\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d \log \mu_{1} \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu_{1}} d \log \mu_{2} \mathcal{A}\left(\mu_{1}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(\mu_{2}\right)+\ldots\right) \mathcal{C}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Top Yukawa running: $\quad \mathcal{C}(\mu)-\mathcal{C}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{t} \mathcal{C}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} y_{t}(\mu)^{2} d \log \mu$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\bar{y}_{t}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{t} \mathcal{C}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \log \frac{\mu}{\mu_{0}}, \\
\bar{y}_{t}^{2}=\frac{1}{\log \frac{\mu}{\mu_{0}}} \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d \log \mu^{\prime} y_{t}^{2}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) \quad & \quad \bar{y}_{t} \approx 0.87
\end{aligned}
$$

## Backup: $R_{\left.K^{*}\right)}$

$$
R_{K^{(*)}}=\frac{\operatorname{Br}\left(B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu \mu\right)}{\operatorname{Br}\left(B \rightarrow K^{(*)} e e\right)}
$$



## Backup: $R_{D^{(*)}}$

$$
s_{q} \tan (\chi)=0.1 \approx 2.4 V_{c b}
$$



## Backup: $b \rightarrow s \mu \mu$

$$
B \rightarrow K^{*} \mu \mu
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{L} \supset \frac{2}{v^{2}} V_{t s}^{*} V_{t b} C_{9}\left(\bar{s}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} b_{L}\right)\left(\mu \gamma_{\mu} \mu\right) \\
C_{9}^{\mathrm{NP}}=-0.75 \pm 0.23(\sim 3.4 \sigma)
\end{array}
$$


$\sim \frac{s_{q}}{\Lambda_{U}^{2}} \times$ loop $\quad$ (Universal)


$$
\sim \frac{s_{q} s_{l}^{2}}{\Lambda_{U}^{2}}
$$


$b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ preferred regions for $s_{q} \tan (\chi)=0.1$

## Backup: Flavor bounds on NP



Observable

## Backup: Multiscale flavor

- Safe solution to the flavor puzzle: multiscale origin of the flavor hierarchies.



## Backup: Composite models

- Example in composite models/RS:

$\underset{\text { Dangerous dipoles (among others) }}{\text { generated at the IR scale }} \sim \frac{g_{*}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \frac{m_{e}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}} \bar{e}_{L} \sigma_{\mu \nu} e_{R} F^{\mu \nu}$


## Backup: Deconstructing flavor


(Universal)


## Backup: Deconstructing flavor



## Backup: Deconstructing flavor



- Only rotations in the LH sector


# No RH or scalar FCNC 

[Crosas, Isidori, JML, Selimović, Stefanek, 2203.01952]

## Backup: Gauge deconstruction

- From the TeV scale, we see...

- Emerging flavor symmetry:

$$
U(2)
$$

(Only broken minimally in the LH sector)
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