



## **Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS**

## Le Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste

5-11 March 2023 – La Thuile, Aosta Valley, Italy

#### **Caterina Aruta**<sup>1</sup>

on behalf of the ATLAS and the CMS Collaborations

<sup>1</sup> University of Florida

## Outline

- **>** Search for Higgs LFV decay  $H \rightarrow e\mu$  NEW!
- **>** Search for Higgs LFV decays  $H \rightarrow e\tau$  and  $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$  NEW!

- Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states NEW!
- > Search for CLFV  $\mu \tau q t$  interactions in top-quark production and decay NEW!

> Search for heavy resonances and QBH in  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  final states (



## Introduction – Lepton Flavor Violation

- Three lepton families (flavors) exist in the standard model (SM) of particle physics, and the number of leptons of each family is conserved in their interactions.
- Nevertheless, this conservation is not postulated by any fundamental principle of the theory (is an *accidental symmetry*), and neutrino oscillations indicate that processes violating this conservation do occur in nature.
- According to current knowledge, lepton-flavor-violating (LFV) processes in charged-lepton interactions can occur via neutrino mixing but are too rare to be detected by current experiments.
   An observation of these would be an unambiguous sign of physics beyond the SM!





## Search for Higgs LFV decay $H \rightarrow e\mu$

95% CL limits

8 10

Observed

Expected  $\pm 1\sigma$ 

Expected  $\pm 2\sigma$ 

14

12



## Search for LFV decay H→eµ: introduction and state of the art

- LFV Higgs decays forbidden in SM but arise in several BSM theories (with more than one Higgs boson doublet, models with flavor symmetries, the Randall–Sundrum model, composite Higgs models, certain susy models, etc.)
- In BSM theories, **LFV decays** can occur **through off-diagonal LFV Yukawa couplings**  $\Upsilon_{e\mu}$ ,  $\Upsilon_{e\tau}$ ,  $\Upsilon_{\mu\tau}$  (coupling Higgs with leptons of different flavor)

✓ ATLAS search ( $\mathcal{L} = 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ ), set observed (expected) limit \*

 $\mathcal{B}(H \to e\mu) < 6.2 \ (5.9) \cdot 10^{-5}$  @95% C.L.



or BSM Higgs boson (X) with  $m_X$  of 110-160 GeV decaying to  $e\mu$ 

\* Bonus: <u>ATLAS search</u> of  $Z \rightarrow e\mu$  ( $\mathcal{L} = 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ ):  $\mathcal{B}(Z \rightarrow e\mu) < 2.62 \cdot 10^{-7}$ 

Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023

PAS HIG-

22-002

 $\mathcal{L} = 138 \, f b^{-1}$ 

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

3

## Search for LFV decay H→eµ: analysis strategy

 $\frac{\text{PAS HIG}}{22-002}$   $\mathcal{L} = 138 \, fb^{-1}$   $\sqrt{s} = 13 \, \text{TeV}$ 

4

- This analysis targets dominant production modes of Higgs at LHC: ggH and VBF.
- Two levels of **categorization** to maximize analysis' sensitivity:
  - 1) categorization to target each production mode
  - 2) categorization to separate events into samples of different sgn-to-bkg ratio, based on BDTs score
- The m<sub>eµ</sub> distributions of H(125) → eµ or X → eµ signal from MC are fit with a parametric model and interpolated btw generated m<sub>H</sub> and m<sub>X</sub> mass points in each category; m<sub>eµ</sub> distributions of bkg are modeled directly from data.
- Simultaneous fit of sgn and bkg models to data performed to extract an UL on either  $B(H(125) \rightarrow e\mu)$  or on the cross section  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow X \rightarrow e\mu)$  for a BSM Higgs.
  - ∘ constraint on B(H(125) → eµ) translated to UL on  $Y_{e\mu}$  (assuming only H(125) → eµ contributes additionally to SM Higgs total decay width)

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023

## Search for LFV decay H→eµ: multivariate analysis

- BDTs trained separately for ggH and VBF categories
- For both BDTs, mixture of simulated sgn evts used in training
  - $_{\odot}~$  H(125)  $\rightarrow$  eµ and X  $\rightarrow$  eµ at m\_{X} =110-160 GeV, in step of 10 GeV from both production modes
  - $_{\circ}$  dominant sources of bkg from fully leptonic decays of  $t\bar{t}$  and WW diboson evts used in training

#### Missing transverse momentum is the most discriminating variable in both ggH and VBF cat.



Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023



02

0.8

VBF BDT discriminant

### Search for LFV decay H→eµ: results

No significant excess observed for SM Higgs with mass ~125 GeV

∘ observed (expected) UL:  $\mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow e\mu) < 4.4$  (4.7) × 10<sup>-5</sup> @95% C.L.

most stringent limit set thus far from direct searches

An excess of events over the expected bkg observed at eµ inv. mass of ~146 GeV with a global (local) significance of 2.8 (3.8) σ







6

# Search for Higgs LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$





ATLAS



## Search for LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$ : bkg estimation and mva analysis

#### > Two independent methods to estimate bkg:

- $\ell_{\text{Thad}} \circ \text{MC template method: uses templates from MC and a}$  $\ell_{\text{Tel}}$  data-driven estimate of the 'misidentified bkg'
- Symmetry method: prompt-lepton bkg in SM are assumed to be symmetric under exchange electrons-muons.
   Used to derive data-driven bkg estimate for main bkgs

- **Two MVA techniques** to separate sgn from bkg:
  - BDTs for MC-template method
  - deep NNs for Symmetry method



#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

arXiv:2302.

 $\mathcal{L}=138\,fb^{-1}$ 

## Search for LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$ : statistycal analysis & results (I)

 $\frac{05225}{\mathcal{L} = 138 \, fb^{-1}}$  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \, \text{TeV}$ 

9

arXiv:2302.

Independent search for  $\mathcal{B}(H \to e\tau)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(H \to \mu\tau)$ 

Statistical analysis uses a likelihood function  $\mathcal{L}(\mu, \theta)$ , built as product of Poisson probability terms over all bins considered

- Separate fit with single POI  $(H 
  ightarrow e au, H 
  ightarrow \mu au)$  for each search
- Each search combines  $\ell_{\tau_{had}}$  channel and **non-VBF** category of  $\ell_{\tau_{\rho'}}$  from **MC-template method**

with **VBF** category of the  $\ell_{\tau_{e'}}$  channel from **Symmetry method** 







## Search for LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$ : statistycal analysis & results (II)

#### Simultaneous measurement of $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$

MC-template method used for simultaneous measurement

 $_{\circ}$  two POIs estimated in simultaneous fit of  $e au_{\mu}, e au_{had}, \mu au_{e}$  and  $\mu au_{had}$  final states





arXiv:2302.

 $\mathcal{L}=138\,fb^{-1}$ 

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

10

#### Compatible with SM within 2.1 $\sigma$





## Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states

## Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states - introduction

- LHC provides best sensitivity to CLFV searches in 2 or 3 body decays of heavy particles,  $X \rightarrow \ell \ell'(Y)$ , and in heavy particle production,  $pp \rightarrow \ell \ell'(X)$ 
  - $_{\odot}$   $\,$  CLFV processes involving top quark predicted to have  $\,$  competitive sensitivity at the LHC  $\,$

✓ CMS <u>previous search</u> for CLFV in top in final states with 2 OS leptons

CMS-PAS-

 $\mathcal{L} = 138 \, f b^{-2}$ 

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

08 - 03 - 2023

)P-22-005

11

- $\blacktriangleright$  In this search: final states with exactly 3 charged leptons ( $\ell$ ), either **electrons** or **muons** 
  - 1 lepton originates from leptonic decay of the SM top quark
  - o other 2 leptons originate from CLFV interactions
  - selected events have at least 1 jet and at most 1 jet associated with a b quark



CLFV signals parametrized with dim-6 EFT operators

## Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states – analysis strategy

#### SM bkg categorized into 2 groups:

- prompt bkg: including SM processes that produce at least 3 leptons via decays of ew bosons
  - $\Rightarrow$  modelled with **MC**
- nonprompt bkg: all other processes (such as Drell-Yan production)
  - ⇒ modelled with a **data-driven technique**

Kinematic distributions of final-state particles very different in top decay production CLFV interactions

- presence of high-p<sub>T</sub> lepton in top production sgn
- flavor of up-type quark in LFV interaction have minor impact on kinematics
- MVA techniques (BDTs) used to distinguish btw CLFV signals and the SM bkg
- **BDT output** used to construct **binned likelihood function**, for stat. analysis

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023



12

 $\mathcal{L}=138\,fb^{-1}$ 

# Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states - Results

- Results are consistent with the SM expectation
  - upper limits set @95% C.L.

CI EV coupling	I orontz structuro	$C_{e\mu tq}/\Lambda^2$ (TeV <sup>-</sup>	-2)	${\cal B}({ m t} ightarrow{ m e}\mu{ m q}) imes10^{-6}$		
CLIV Coupling	Lorentz structure	$\exp(-\sigma, +\sigma)$	obs	$\exp(-\sigma, +\sigma)$	obs	
	tensor	0.019 (0.015, 0.023)	0.020	0.019 (0.013, 0.029)	0.023	
eµtu	vector	0.037 (0.031, 0.046)	0.041	0.013 (0.009, 0.020)	0.016	
	scalar	0.077 (0.064, 0.095)	0.084	0.007 (0.005, 0.011)	0.009	
	tensor	0.061 (0.050, 0.074)	0.068	0.209 (0.143, 0.311)	0.258	
eµtc	vector	0.130 (0.108, 0.159)	0.144	0.163 (0.111, 0.243)	0.199	
	scalar	0.269 (0.223, 0.330)	0.295	0.087 (0.060, 0.130)	0.105	

#### most stringent limits on these processes to date

Assuming a linear relationship btw  $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow e \mu u)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow e \mu c)$  in the case of nonvanishing signals, 2D limits can also be obtained through interpolation



#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

 $\mathcal{L} = 138 \, f b^{-1}$ 

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 



ATLAS

CLFV μτqt interactions in top-quark production and decay



Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023

## CLFV $\mu\tau qt$ interactions in top-quark production and decay: analysis strategy $\int_{\overline{y}}^{L=139} fb^{-1} \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$

- Two **signal regions** (SRs):
  - ∘ **SR1** targeting **cLFV** in **decay** diagrams  $\rightarrow$  > 1 jet (one b-tagged)
  - ∘ SR2 targeting cLFV in production diagrams  $\rightarrow$  exactly 1 b-tagged jet

#### Main **backgrounds**:

- $t\bar{t}$  events with **non-prompt muons** from HF decays inside jets,
- associated top production processes ( $t\bar{t} Z, t\bar{t} W, t\bar{t} H$ ),
- $\circ$  diboson events (*WZ*, *ZZ*),
- events with jets misidentified as  $\tau$  leptons («**fake**  $\tau$ »).

#### ✓ prompt lepton bkg modelled by MC

- ✓ yield of **non prompt muon bkg** determined through a **template fit** in dedicated **CR** containing dilepton  $t\bar{t}$  events with  $1e + 1\mu$ , and additional NP muon from hadronic decay
- $\checkmark$  contribution of **fake**  $\tau$  **bkg** determined with a **data-driven scale factor method** in a dedicated CR, targeting 2 OS muons +
- one hadronically decaying  $\tau$ , designed to be enriched in events with a jet misidentified as a  $\tau$  lepton.

Signal contribution in SRs estimated with a binned profile-likelihood fit, with syst. uncertainties modelled as nuisance parameters

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023



08 - 03 - 2023

15

## CLFV $\mu\tau qt$ interactions in top-quark production and decay: results

Likelihood function constructed as product of Poisson probability terms over all bins considered
 depends on signal strength parameter, μ<sub>cLFV</sub>, for sgn cLFV processes, and nuisance parameters, θ, encoding effect of systematics



#### Profile-likelihood fit to data under sgn+bkg hp, maximizing likelihood function over $\mu_{cLFV}$ and $\theta$

Expected and observed **95% CL UL on Wilson coefficients** corresponding to **2Q2L EFT operators** which could introduce cLFV top decay in  $\mu\tau$  channel

		95% (	CL uppe	er limits	on Wils	son coeff	cients	$c/\Lambda^2$ [Te	$eV^{-2}$ ]
		$c_{lq}^{-(ijk3)}$	$c_{eq}^{(ijk3)}$	$c_{lu}^{(ijk3)}$	$c_{eu}^{(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{1(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{1(ij3k)}$	$c_{lequ}^{3(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{3(ij3k)}$
<	Previous (u) [22]	> 12	12	12	12	26	26	3.4	3.4
Ň	Expected (u)	0.47	0.44	0.43	0.46	0.49	0.49	0.11	0.11
JI'V	Observed (u)	0.49	0.47	0.46	0.48	0.51	0.51	0.11	0.11
$\sim$	Previous (c) [22]	14	14	14	14	29	29	3.7	3.7
Å	Expected (c)	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.8	0.35	0.35
NV.	Observed (c)	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.9	0.37	0.37

Reinterpretation of previous ATLAS FCNC search

A fit using two inclusive MC samples with all EFT operators activated simultaneously used to determine an inclusive BR limit

Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

Expected and observed **95% CL UL on BR** using specific Wilson coefficients corresponding to 2Q2L EFT operators

		95% CL	upper 1	imits or	$BR(t \rightarrow$	$ \mu \tau q )$	$(\times 10^{-7})$	
	$c_{lq}^{-(ijk3)}$	$c_{eq}^{(ijk3)}$	$c_{lu}^{(ijk3)}$	$c_{eu}^{(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{1(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{1(ij3k)}$	$c_{lequ}^{3(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{3(ij3k)}$
Expected (u)	4.6	4.2	4.0	4.5	2.5	2.5	5.8	5.8
Observed (u)	5.1	4.6	4.4	5.0	2.8	2.8	6.4	6.4
Expected (c)	54	51	51	52	35	35	61	61
Observed (c)	60	56	56	57	38	38	68	68

	95% CL upper limits on ${ m BR}(t o \mu au q)$			
	Stat. only	All systematics		
Expected	$8 \times 10^{-7}$	$10 \times 10^{-7}$		
Observed	$9 \times 10^{-7}$	$11 \times 10^{-7}$		

08 - 03 - 2023

16



NS

Search for heavy resonances and QBH in  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  final states

# Search for heavy resonances and QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ final states - intro

- Extensions of SM can accommodate heavy particles undergoing LFV decays
- Analysis designed to be as model-independent as possible Results are also interpreted in terms of characteristics of following possible states:
  - o a au sneutrino ( $ilde{
    u}_{ au}$ ), lightest SUSY particle in RPV SUSY models
  - a heavy Z' gauge boson in LFV models
  - quantum black holes (QBH) in ADD model (n=4)

Only one *eμ* and *eτ<sub>h</sub>* or *μτ<sub>h</sub>* pair considered per evt:
 if > 1 candidate, pair with highest inv. mass selected

 $\lambda'_{311} \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \lambda_{i3}$ 

Statistical interpretations performed by comparing observed inv mass shapes for different final states with those expected for sgn and bkg

QBH

Z'

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

arXiv:2205. 06709

 $\mathcal{L} = 138 \, f b^{-1}$ 

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

17

# Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : invariant mass distributions



ο **RPV SUSY model** ( $\lambda = \lambda' = 0.01$ ) τ sneutrino mass of 1.6 TeV

- LFV Z' ( $\mathcal{B} = 0.1$ ) boson with a mass=1.6 TeV,
- o QBH signal expectation for n=4 and a threshold mass of 1.6 TeV

#### No significant deviations from expected SM bkg

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

18

## Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : UL for BSM models



## Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : results

#### No evidence is found for physics beyond the SM

- > Upper limits set @95% C.L. on the product of the cross section and BR for LFV signals:
  - o Resonant  $\tau$  sneutrinos excluded for masses up to:
    - **4.2 TeV** in the *e*µ channel,
    - **3.7 TeV** in the  $e\tau$  channel,
    - **3.6 TeV** in the  $\mu\tau$  channel.
  - A Z' boson with LFV couplings is excluded up to a mass of for masses up to:
    - 5.0 TeV in the *e*μ channel,
    - 4.3 TeV in the eτ channel,
    - **4.1 TeV** in the  $\mu\tau$  channel.
  - Quantum black holes in the ADD benchmark model excluded up to the threshold mass of:
    - 5.6 TeV in the *e*μ channel,
    - **5.2 TeV** in the  $e\tau$  channel,
    - **5.0 TeV** in the  $\mu\tau$  channel.
- Model-independent limits also extracted for comparisons with other models for same final states and similar event selections

Most stringent limits from collider experiments for heavy particles that undergo LFV decays

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

20

## **Backup slides**

## Search for Higgs LFV decay $H \rightarrow e\mu$



## Search for LFV decay H→*e*µ: BSM models

- Combined CMS results constrained inclusive BR of potential BSM Higgs decays to be B(H → BSM) < 0.16 @95% C.L. still well-motivated to search for BSM decays of Higgs, including LFV ones!</p>
- Several BSM theories allowing LFV Higgs decay: models with more than one Higgs boson doublet, models with flavor symmetries, the Randall–Sundrum model, composite Higgs models, certain susy models, etc.
- $\blacktriangleright$  LFV decays can occur through off-diagonal LFV Yukawa couplings  $\Upsilon_{eu}$ ,  $\Upsilon_{e\tau}$ ,  $\Upsilon_{u\tau}$ 
  - $_{\circ}$  they enhance processes (e.g. µ → 3e, µ → e conversion, µ → eγ) that could proceed via virtual Higgs boson exchange
  - In particular, most stringent limit on B(H(125) → eµ) obtained indirectly from limit on µ → eγ to be < 10<sup>-8</sup>
  - However, indirect limit on  $H(125) \rightarrow e\mu$  assumes SM values for not yet tightly constrained Yukawa couplings  $\Upsilon_{\mu\mu}$  and unmeasured  $\Upsilon_{ee}$ . Direct search for  $H(125) \rightarrow e\mu$  remains important!

Apart from SM Higgs, LFV decays could also arise from extra BSM Higgs bosons in the Type-III two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) or other exotic resonances decaying to eµ.

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023

## Search for LFV decay H→eµ: analysis strategy

- This analysis targets the two dominant production modes of Higgs at LHC: ggH and VBF.
   final state of interest in both modes: prompt, oppositely-charged electron-muon pair
- > Distribution of  $m_{e\mu}$  would exhibit a peak around  $m_H$  or  $m_X$  on top of smoothly falling bkg dominated by fully leptonic decays of  $t\bar{t}$  and WW events, and Drell-Yan (DY) events with a misidentified lepton.
  - smaller bkg include leptonic decays of single top, single W, and electroweak W events with jets misidentified as a lepton
  - events of H(125) decaying to a τ or a W pair, diboson processes (WZ, ZZ), and EW Z also provide a small contribution

All bkg are simulated with MC samples, along with signals:  $H(125) \rightarrow e\mu$  and  $X \rightarrow e\mu$  ( $m_{\chi}$  from 110 – 160 GeV, in steps of 10 GeV)

- Sensitivity optimized by first categorizing events to target each production mode.
- > Then, further categorizations to separate events into samples of different sgn-to-bkg ratio based on BDTs.
- The m<sub>eµ</sub> distributions of H(125) → eµ or X → eµ signal from MC are fit with a parametric model and interpolated btw generated m<sub>H</sub> and m<sub>X</sub> mass points in each category; m<sub>eµ</sub> distributions of bkg are modeled directly from data.
- Simultaneous fit of sgn and bkg models to data performed to extract an UL on either  $B(H(125) \rightarrow e\mu)$  or on the cross section  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow X \rightarrow e\mu)$  for a BSM Higgs boson.

 $_{\circ}$  constraint on B(H(125) → eµ) translated to UL on Y<sub>eµ</sub> (assuming only H(125) → eµ contributes additionally to SM Higgs total decay width)

## Search for LFV decay H→*eµ*: systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties	ggH mode (%)	VBF mode (%)
Muon identification, isolation, and trigger	< 1	< 1
Electron identification, isolation, and trigger	2	2
b tagging efficiency	< 1	< 1
Jet energy scale	1–8	1–3
Unclustered energy scale	2–6	1–6
Trigger timing inefficiency	< 1	< 1
Integrated luminosity	< 2	< 2
Pileup	< 2	< 2
Parton shower	-	3–11
Ren. and fact. scales	4	1
$PDF + \alpha_S$	3	2
Effect of the ren. and fact. scales on the acceptance	1–10	< 2
Effect of the PDF + $\alpha_{\rm S}$ on the acceptance	< 1	< 1

Systematic uncertainties in expected signal yields from different sources for ggH and VBF production modes. All uncertainties are treated as *correlated among categories*.

08 - 03 - 2023

# Search for Higgs LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$





ATLAS

### Search for LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$ : event selections and bkg estimation

- > Analysis channels defined accordingly to  $\tau$  decay mode:
  - $\circ$  Evts in  $\ell_{ au_{
    ho \prime}}$  contain exactly 2 light leptons of OS charge
  - Evts in  $\ell_{\tau_{had}}$  contain 1 light lepton +  $\tau_{had-vis}$  with OS charge
  - Evts containing  $\tau_{had-vis}$  are vetoed in  $\ell_{\tau_{\rho'}}$  channel
- > 2 independent methods exploited to estimate bkg in  $\ell_{\tau_{a'}}$ 
  - **MC template** method: uses templates from MC and a datadriven estimate of the 'misidentified bkg'.
    - in  $\ell_{\tau_{had}}$  only the MC-template method is used



08 - 03 - 2023

• **Symmetry method**: assumes that prompt-lepton bkg in SM are symmetric under the exchange of electrons and muons to derive data-driven bkg estimate for main bkgs.

> MC-template method targets measurement of actual values of  $\mathcal{B}(H \to e\tau)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(H \to \mu\tau)$  individually > Symmetry method is sensitive to the difference of BRs

In stat. analysis, MC-template method in  $\ell_{\tau_{had}}$  final state combined with both methods in  $\ell_{\tau_{\ell'}}$  final state. Method with higher expected sensitivity is chosen. In simultaneous determination of signals, MC-template method used for both final states.

## Search for LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$ : multivariate analysis

- Two MVA techniques used to separate sgn from bkgs
   BDTs for MC-template method
  - deep NNs for Symmetry method
- Different MVA techniques are used for non-VBF and VBF categories, to exploit the VBF topology

#### **3 BDTs** used in $\ell_{\tau_{o'}}$ of **MC-template** method

- $e au_{\mu}$  and  $\mu au_{e}$  combined in the BDT training
- BDT scores combined using linear weighted sum; weights optimised using expected sgn significance as figure-of-merit

#### **2 BDTs** used in $\ell_{\tau_{had}}$ of **MC-template** method

- except for non-VBF category in  $e\tau_{had}$  (3 BDTs)
- BDT scores combined using linear weighted sum in non-VBF categories, and quadratic weighted sum in VBF ones.

#### **D NNs** used in $\ell_{\tau_o}$ of **Symmetry** method

- non-VBF cat.: multiclass classifier with 3 output classes
- VBF cat: 3 single binary classification networks, whose outputs are combined linearly



### Search for LFV decays $H \rightarrow e\tau$ and $H \rightarrow \mu\tau$ : systematic uncertainties

1 POI	Impact on ob	served [10 <sup>-4</sup> ]	2 POI	Impact on ob	served
Source of uncertainty	$\hat{\mathcal{B}}(H \to e \tau)$	$\hat{\mathcal{B}}(H\to\mu\tau)$	Source of uncertainty	$\hat{\mathcal{B}}(H \to e\tau)$	$\hat{\mathcal{B}}(E)$
Flavour tagging	0.6	0.4	Flavour tagging	0.7	
Misidentified background $(\ell \tau_{had})$	2.1	1.5	Misidentified background $(e\tau_{had})$	2.1	
Misidentified background $(\ell \tau_{\ell'})$	2.9	1.6	Misidentified background $(e\tau_{\mu})$	5.8	
Jet and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	1.1	1.1	Misidentified background ( $\mu \tau_{had}$ )	0.6	
Electrons and muons	0.2	0.5	Misidentified background ( $\mu \tau_e$ )	0.9	
Luminosity	0.6	0.5	Jet and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	1.2	
Hadronic $\tau$ decays	0.9	1.0	Electrons and muons	1.4	
Theory (signal)	0.9	0.7	Luminosity	0.6	
Theory $(Z + jets processes)$	1.0	1.2	Hadronic $\tau$ decays	0.9	
Theory (top-quark processes)	0.3	0.3	Theory (signal)	0.8	
Theory (diboson processes)	0.4	0.7	Theory $(Z + jets processes)$	0.8	
$Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$ normalisation	0.2	0.7	$Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$ normalisation $(e\tau)$	<0.1	
Symmetric background estimate	0.2	0.1	$Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$ normalisation ( $\mu \tau$ )	0.2	
Background sample size	4.2	2.4	Background sample size	3.7	
Total systematic uncertainty	5.3	3.9	Total systematic uncertainty	5.1	
Data sample size	2.9	2.7	Data sample size	3.0	
Total	6.1	4.7	Total	5.9	

Uncertainties affecting observed  $B(H \rightarrow \ell \tau)$  and their impact as computed by independent fits (1 POI) and simultaneous fit (2 POI) Values in the table are multiplied by a factor **100** to improve their readability

- Exp. uncertainties for reco objects combine eff. and energy/momentum scale and reso uncertainties.
- 'Background sample size' includes bin-by-bin stat. uncertainties in simulated bkg as well as stat. uncertainties in misidentified bkg, which are estimated using data.



## Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states

## Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states: Theoretical framework

CLFV signals parametrized with dim-6 EFT operators

 $_{\circ}$  indices i and j are lepton flavor (i,j= 1, 2 with i != j); k and I are quark flavor indices with condition that one of them is 3 and the other one runs from 1 to 2.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{
m SM}^{(4)} + rac{1}{\Lambda^2}\sum_a C_a^{(6)} O_a^{(6)} + O(rac{1}{\Lambda^4}),$$



Stat. analysis: binned likelihood function  $L(\mu, \theta)$  constructed using BDT discriminator distributions. The parameter of interest (POI), denoted by  $\mu$ , is the signal strength that governs the cross section of the top quark production and decay signals simultaneously

$$\mu(C/\Lambda^2) = \frac{\sigma_{\rm CLFV}(C/\Lambda^2)}{\sigma_{\rm CLFV}(1\,{\rm TeV}^{-2})} \propto (C/\Lambda^2)^2.$$

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023

## Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states: event selections & bkg contributions

#### Two types of signal-free regions within the eee/μμμ channel

- non prompt bkg validation region (VR)
- WZ control region (CR)
- Additional validation region defined in the  $e\mu\ell$  channel, inverting mass requirement used to define the SR

Channel	Region	OnZ	OffZ	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 20 { m GeV}$	# jets $\geq 1$	# b jets $\leq 1$
000/11/11	VR	-	-	-	-	-
eee/μμμ	WZ CR	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	SR	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
eµl	VR	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	-
	WZ CR	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Expected background contributions a	nd
number of events observed in data	

Process	$m(e\mu) < 150 \text{GeV}$	$m(e\mu) > 150 \text{ GeV}$
Nonprompt	$351\pm92$	$146\pm38$
ŴZ	$275\pm 64$	$145\pm35$
ZZ	$33.2\pm6.5$	$13.1\pm2.6$
VVV	$17.0\pm8.5$	$12.0\pm6.0$
tĪW	$47.6\pm10.0$	$40.0\pm9.1$
tīZ	$39.1\pm7.9$	$25.8\pm5.4$
tīH	$28.2\pm4.5$	$10.0\pm1.6$
tZq	$5.5\pm1.1$	$2.5\pm0.5$
Other backgrounds	$7.3\pm3.7$	$4.5\pm2.3$
Total expected background	$805\pm123$	$398\pm57$
Data	783	378
CLFV	$239\pm14$	$6195\pm305$

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

#### 08 - 03 - 2023

#### Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states: **Analysis strategy**

- SM background processes entering the final selection are categorized into 2 groups:
  - **prompt bkg**: including SM processes that produce at least 3 leptons via decays of ew bosons  $\Rightarrow$  modelled with **MC**
  - **nonprompt bkg**: all the other processes (such as Drell-Yan production)  $\Rightarrow$  modelled with a **data-driven technique** 0
- MVA techniques (BDTs) used to separate between CLFV signals and the SM bkg
- Full BDT output used to construct a binned likelihood function, used for the statistical analysis



## Search for CLFV in top quark sector in trilepton final states : MVA analysis

- Kinematic distributions of final-state particles very different in 2 sgn regions: top production and decay CLFV interactions
  - presence of high-p<sub>T</sub> lepton in top production sgn
  - o flavor of up-type quark in LFV interaction (up vs charm) have minor impact on kinematics



- Most important input variables to the BDT model targeting the top quark
  - decay: inv mass of Z boson candidate, number of b-tagged jets, and inv mass of the flavor-violating top quark
  - production: invariant mass of the flavor-violating eµ pair, pT of flavor-violating electron, and pT of the flavor-violating muon

08 - 03 - 2023



ATLAS

CLFV μτqt interactions in top-quark production and decay

## CLFV μτqt interactions in top-quark production and decay: EFT framework

- Top production and decay processes under study, are described by  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  dim-6 EFT operators
  - o list includes all relevant 2Q2L operators that contribute
  - <sup>o</sup> Convention used: l,q: LH lepton and quark doublets, u,e are RH up-type quark and charged-lepton singlets. Indices i, j represent LF generations; k, l are the quark flavor generations.
- > Wilson coefficients may be assigned to each operator in table.

• Non-zero Wilson coefficients lead to a large cLFV single-top-quark production cross-section and so this process dominates search sensitivity

Operator	Lorentz Structure	
$O_{lq}^{1(ijkl)}$	$(ar{l}_i\gamma^\mu l_j)(ar{q}_k\gamma_\mu q_l)$	Vector
$O_{lq}^{3(ijkl)}$	$(\bar{l}_i \gamma^\mu \sigma^I l_j) (\bar{q}_k \gamma_\mu \sigma^I q_l)$	Vector
$O_{eq}^{(ijkl)}$	$(ar{e}_i \gamma^\mu e_j) (ar{q}_k \gamma_\mu q_l)$	Vector
$O_{lu}^{(ijkl)}$	$(\bar{l}_i\gamma^{\mu}l_j)(\bar{u}_k\gamma_{\mu}u_l)$	Vector
$O_{eu}^{(ijkl)}$	$(\bar{e}_i\gamma^{\mu}e_j)(\bar{u}_k\gamma_{\mu}u_l)$	Vector
${}^{\ddagger}O_{lequ}^{1(ijkl)}$	$(\bar{l}_i e_j) \varepsilon(\bar{q}_k u_l)$	Scalar
${}^{\ddagger}O_{lequ}^{3(ijkl)}$	$(\bar{l}_i\sigma^{\mu\nu}e_j)\varepsilon(\bar{q}_k\sigma_{\mu\nu}u_l)$	Tensor

$$\Gamma(t \to \ell_i^+ \ell_j^- q_k) = \frac{m_t}{6144\pi^3} \left(\frac{m_t}{\Lambda}\right)^4 \left\{ 4|c_{lq}^{-(ijk3)}|^2 + 4|c_{eq}^{(ijk3)}|^2 + 4|c_{lu}^{(ijk3)}|^2 + 4|c_{eu}^{(ijk3)}|^2 + 4|c_$$

The range of Wilson coefficient limits set by <u>re-interpretation</u> of a previous ATLAS FCNC search in the tZq channel corresponds to minimal and maximal BR limits: BR( $t \rightarrow \mu \tau u$ ) < 3.5 × 10<sup>-5</sup> and BR( $t \rightarrow \mu \tau c$ ) < 3.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> for respective operators

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

#### 08 - 03 - 2023

## CLFV μτqt interactions in top-quark production and decay: theoretical cross sections

Theoretical cross sections, for single-top production and top decays through cLFV interactions involving vector, scalar and tensor EFT Wilson coefficients

	Cross section $\sigma_{-\text{scale}}^{+\text{scale}} \pm \text{PDF}$ (fb)			
	$c_{ m vector}^{(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{1(ijk3)}$	$c_{lequ}^{3(ijk3)}$	
<b>Production</b> $\ell \ell' u t$	$118^{+24}_{-19} \pm 1$	$101^{+21}_{-16}\pm1$	$2150^{+410}_{-320}\pm20$	
Production <i>ll</i> ct	$7.9^{+1.2}_{-1.0} \pm 1.6$	$6.1^{+1.0}_{-0.8}\pm1.5$	$153^{+21}_{-18}\pm29$	
Decay $\ell \ell' q t$	$6.9^{+1.8}_{-1.3}\pm0.1$	$3.46^{+0.90}_{-0.66}\pm0.03$	$166^{+43}_{-32}\pm 2$	



Signal cross-section **dominated** by the  $gu \rightarrow t\ell^{\pm}\ell'^{\mp}$  process which leads to stronger limits on  $\mu\tau ut$  interactions than  $\mu\tau ct$ 



## CLFV μτqt interactions in top-quark production and decay: systematic uncertainties

- Systematic effects may change expected numbers of evts from sgn and bkg and shape of fitted distributions in SRs and CRs
- These effects are evaluated by varying each source of syst. uncertainty by ±1σ and considering resulting deviation from the nominal expectation as uncertainty
- Cross-section uncertainties motivated by SM predictions are applied to each bkg process

Process	<i>CR</i> $ au$ (1p)	<i>СRτ</i> (3р)
Z + fake $\tau_{\text{had-vis}}$	$1060 \pm 110$	$341 \pm 68$
$t\bar{t}$ + fake $\tau_{\text{had-vis}}$	$99 \pm 22$	$36 \pm 15$
Other fake $\tau_{had-vis}$	$24.9 \pm 2.4$	$8.12 \pm 0.81$
$Z + NP\mu$	$0.050 \pm 0.068$	$0.04 \pm 0.12$
$t\bar{t} + NP\mu$	$1.95 \pm 0.57$	$0.38 \pm 0.36$
tīH	$0.828 \pm 0.094$	$0.257 \pm 0.034$
$t\bar{t}W$	$0.63 \pm 0.32$	$0.22 \pm 0.12$
$t\bar{t}Z$	$15.2 \pm 1.9$	$4.77 \pm 0.61$
WZ	$21.8 \pm 6.7$	$6.4 \pm 2.0$
ZZ	$11.1 \pm 3.7$	$3.0 \pm 1.0$
t+X	$11.7 \pm 1.3$	$3.39 \pm 0.41$
VVV	$0.45 \pm 0.23$	$0.077 \pm 0.042$
Other	$16.8 \pm 8.5$	$5.7 \pm 2.9$
Total	$  1270 \pm 120$	409 ± 71
Data	1314	356



- Largest systematics relate to  $t\bar{t}$  modelling and NP muon estimation (highly correlated due to composition of CR $t\bar{t}\mu$ ).
- · Signal modelling uncertainties found to have negligible impact

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

#### 08 - 03 - 2023

## CLFV μτqt interactions in top-quark production and decay: **post-fit event yields**

- Post-fit evt yields for each analysis region entering the fit, with correlations on the full syst. uncertainties taken into account as determined in the fit under a sgn+bkg hp.
- The "fake electron" category collects small contributions primarily from  $t\bar{t} \gamma$  and  $Z\gamma$  which enter the event selection due to photon conversions.

Process	SR1	SR2	<b>CR</b> <i>ttµ</i>
$t\bar{t} + NP\mu$	$8.2 \pm 3.3$	$4.0 \pm 1.5$	$166 \pm 15$
$Z + NP\mu$	$0.20~\pm~0.10$	$0.025 \pm 0.013$	$1.80 \pm 0.87$
Fake $\tau_{had-vis}$	$2.54 \pm 0.54$	$0.288 \pm 0.066$	-
Fake electron	-	-	$5.8 \pm 3.0$
tīH	$2.90~\pm~0.75$	$0.179 \pm 0.077$	$1.25 \pm 0.18$
$t\bar{t}W$	$2.8 \pm 2.0$	$0.92 ~\pm~ 0.67$	$1.08 \pm 0.54$
$t\bar{t}Z$	$2.43 \pm 0.65$	$0.254 \pm 0.054$	$0.88 \pm 0.24$
WZ	$2.24 \pm 0.81$	$0.91 \pm 0.31$	$7.3 \pm 2.3$
ZZ	$0.266 \pm 0.095$	$0.222 \pm 0.081$	$1.75 \pm 0.55$
t+X	$1.58 \pm 0.13$	$0.611 \pm 0.070$	-
W + jets	$0.27 \pm 0.14$	-	-
VVV	$1.35 \pm 0.67$	$0.49 \pm 0.25$	$0.47 \pm 0.24$
Other	$0.080~\pm~0.040$	-	$1.11 \pm 0.55$
Total	$26.7 \pm 4.5$	$9.1 \pm 2.2$	$201 \pm 14$
Signal (production)	$1.4 \pm 5.4$	$0.6 \pm 2.4$	$0.008 \pm 0.030$
Signal (decay)	$0.05 \pm 0.22$	$0.007 \pm 0.028$	$0.009 \pm 0.034$
Data	28	8	202



NS

Search for heavy resonances and QBH in  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  final states

# Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : event selections

#### *e*μ final state

Events with at least:

- 1 prompt, isolated electron ( $p_T > 35$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.5$ )
- 1 prompt, isolated muon ( $p_T > 50$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.4$ )

Only one *e*μ and *eτ* or μτ pair is considered per event:
 if > 1 candidate, pair with highest inv. mass selected)

#### $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ final state

- Events with at least:
  - 1 prompt, isolated light lepton (electron or muon, with same requirements as for  $e\mu$  channel)
  - 1 prompt, isolated  $\tau_h$  (p<sub>T</sub> > 50 GeV, |η|<2.3)
  - $\circ$  m<sub>T</sub> > 120 GeV (to reject misidentified  $\tau_h$  bkg)
- > Muon veto applied in  $e\tau$  final state to remove overlap with the  $\mu\tau$  and  $e\mu$  final states

08 - 03 - 2023

Leptons forming the pair are not required to have opposite electric charges:
 o to prevent loss in sgn efficiency from misidentification of the sign of the lepton charge at large p<sub>T</sub>



Statistical interpretations performed by comparing shapes of observed invariant mass (for  $e\mu$ ) and collinear mass distributions (for  $e\tau$  or  $\mu\tau$ ) with those expected for the sgn and bkg:

- o the collinear mass provides an estimate of the mass of new resonance or QBH based on their observed decay products
- $\circ~$  since sgn mass scale >> m\_{\tau}, \tau decay products are highly Lorentz boosted in  $\tau$  direction
- $m_{coll} = m_{vis} / \sqrt{x_{\tau}^{vis}}$  with  $m_{vis}$ : inv mass of visible  $\tau$  decay products,  $x_{\tau}^{vis}$ : fraction of energy carried by visible  $\tau$  decay products

# Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : UL as sneutrion mass in RPV SUSY



## Expected and observed 95% CL UL on the product of the cross section and the BR as a function of the $\tau$ sneutrino mass in an RPV SUSY model

- Observed (expected) limits shown in black solid (dashed) lines for the  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  channels.
- The shaded bands represent 68% and 95% uncertainties in expected limits.
- The **red** and **blue** solid lines show predicted product of the cross section and the BR as a function of the tau sneutrion mass for 2 different values of the couplings.

08 - 03 - 2023

# Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : UL for LFV Z' boson



## Expected and observed 95% CL UL on the product of the cross section and the BR for a Z' boson with LFV decays

- Observed (expected) limits shown in black solid (dashed) lines for the  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  channels.
- The shaded bands represent 68% and 95% uncertainties in expected limits.
- The red solid lines show predicted product of the cross section and the BR as a function of Z' mass (with  $\mathcal{B} = 0.1$ ).

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023

# Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : UL for QBH production



## Expected and observed 95% CL UL on the product of the cross section and the BR for QBH production in an ADD model with n = 4 extra dimensions

- Observed (expected) limits shown in black solid (dashed) lines for the  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  channels.
- The shaded bands represent 68% and 95% uncertainties in expected limits.
- The red solid lines show predicted product of the cross section and the BR as a function of QBH threshold mass.

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023

# Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : exclusion limits on RPV SUSY



Exclusion limits @ 95% CL on the RPV SUSY model in the plane of  $\tau$  sneutrino mass and  $\lambda'$  coupling, for 4 values of  $\lambda$  couplings

08 - 03 - 2023

The regions to the left of and above the curves are excluded.

# Search for heavy resonances & QBH in $e\mu$ , $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ : model-independent UL



#### Model-independent UL @ 95% CL on the product of the cross section, the BR, acceptance, and efficiency

- Observed (expected) limits shown in black solid (dashed) lines for the  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$  channels.
- The shaded bands represent 68% and 95% uncertainties in expected limits.

#### Flavor Violation at ATLAS and CMS – La Thuile 2023

08 - 03 - 2023