## TMD Parametrizations

Probing Strangeness in Hard Processes Frascati, October 18-21, 2010



Stefano Melis Universita del Piemonte Orientale INFN, Sezione di Torino&G.C. Alessandria ECT\*, Trento





In collaboration with M. Anselmino, E. Boglione, V. Barone, U. D'Alesio, F. Murgia, A. Kotzinian, A. Prokudin

#### Summary

# Role of sea quarks in the Sivers asymmetry in SIDIS

#### Transversity & Collins function

Boer-Mulders functions

#### Polarized SIDIS $lp^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l'h+X$ Sea quarks sivers functions

#### Extracted Sivers Functions



Anselmino et al. Eur. Phys. J. A39,89 (2009)

✓ Valence guark

•  $\Delta^N f_{u/p^{\uparrow}} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow f_{1T}^{\perp u} < 0$ 

$$\bullet \Delta^N f_{d/p^{\uparrow}} < 0 \quad \Longrightarrow f_{1T}^{\perp d} > 0$$

✓ Sea quarks

 $\bullet \Delta^N f_{\bar{s}/p^\uparrow} > 0 \quad \Longrightarrow f_{1T}^{\perp \bar{s}} < 0$ 

$\chi^2/d.o.f=1$		
$N_u = 0.35^{+0.078}_{-0.079}$ $N_{\bar{u}} = 0.037^{+0.22}_{-0.24}$ $\alpha_u = 0.73^{+0.72}_{-0.24}$	$N_d = -0.9^{+0.43}_{-0.098}$ $N_{\bar{d}} = -0.4^{+0.33}_{-0.44}$ $\alpha_d = 1.1^{+0.82}$	$N_{s} = -0.24^{+0.62}_{-0.5}$ $N_{\bar{s}} = 1^{+0}_{-0.001}$ $\alpha_{sss} = 0.79^{+0.56}$
$\beta = 3.5^{+4.9}_{-2.9}$	$M_1^2 = 0.34^{+0.3}_{-0.16} \text{ GeV}^2$	$a_{seu} = 0.47$

#### **Extracted Sivers Functions**

**HERMES** Proton Target







HERMES Collaboration (M. Diefenthaler), arXiv:0706.2242 [hep-ex].

#### **Extracted Sivers Functions**

**COMPASS** Deuteron Target





COMPASS Collaboration (A. Martin), Czech. J. Phys. 56, F33 (2006) COMPASS Collaboration (M. Alekseev et al.), arXiv:0802.2160 [hep-ex].

#### New HERMES and COMPASS DATA!

#### New data-old fit

HERMES Proton Target-2009





•A. Airapetian et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 152002



### New data-old fit

**COMPASS** Proton Target



**COMPASS** Proton 0.15 h<sup>+</sup> h<sup>+</sup> h<sup>+</sup> 0.1 AUT<sup>sin(∳<sub>h</sub>-∳s)</sup> 0.05 0 -0.05 -0.1 -0.15 0.15 h h<sup>-</sup> h 0.1 A<sup>sin(¢<sub>h</sub>-¢<sub>S</sub>) UT</sup> 0.05 0 ÷ ÷ -0.05 -0.1 -0.15  $10^{-2}$  $10^{-1}$ 0.1 0.5 0.7 0.9 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.3 P<sub>T</sub> (GeV) Х Z

Statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature, no scale error

arXiv:1005.5609

### New data-old fit

#### **COMPASS** Proton Target



**COMPASS** Proton 0.15 h<sup>+</sup>  $h^+$ h<sup>+</sup> 0.1 AUT<sup>sin(∳<sub>h</sub>-∳s)</sup> 0.05 0 -0.05 -0.1 -0.01 -0.01 -0.15 -0.01 +0.01+0.01+0.010.15 h h h<sup>-</sup> 0.1 A∪T<sup>sin(∳<sub>h</sub>-∳s)</sup> 0.05 0 **∔ ∔** -0.05 -0.1 -0.15  $10^{-2}$  $10^{-1}$ 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.1 0.5 0.7 0.9 0.3 P<sub>T</sub> (GeV) Х Z

Statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature + scale error

## New data-new fit!



Valence only, no sea quarks



Valence + sea



















 $\chi^2$  /dof=1.07

 $\chi^2$  /dof=.91

















# COMPASS proton







#### Conclusions I

The old fit still describes well new HERMES and COMPASS data

Sea quarks can improve the description of the data but are not well constrained

> A large anti-strange contribution is not more required

#### Azimuthal asymmetry in polarized SIDIS



$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_S)} \equiv 2 \, rac{\int \! \mathrm{d}\phi \, \mathrm{d}\phi_S \, [\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\uparrow} - \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\downarrow}] \, \sin(\phi+\phi_S)}{\int \! \mathrm{d}\phi \, \mathrm{d}\phi_S \, [\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\uparrow} + \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\downarrow}]}$$



Parametrization of Transversity function:



 $N_{q}^{T}, \alpha, \beta$  free parameters

Parametrization of the Collins function:



#### Simultaneous fit of HERMES, COMPASS and BELLE data



2002-2005 HERMES preliminar  $\begin{array}{c} {{{{\rm{sin}}}\left( {{\varphi _h} + {\varphi _s}} \right)}_{\rm{UT}}}{{{\rm{sin}}\left( {{\varphi _h} + {\varphi _s}} \right)}_{\rm{UT}}} \end{array}$ 0.1  $A_{UT}^{sin (\phi_h^{} + \phi_s^{})}$ -0.0 0.1 0.2 03 04 05 06 0.2 04 0.6 0.8 P<sub>T</sub> (GeV) х z

♦ M. Diefenthaler, (2007), arXiv:0706.2242

 $\mathbf{A}_{\text{UT}}^{\sin\left(\varphi_{h}^{+}+\varphi_{s}^{+}+\pi\right)}$ 0.1 COMPASS 2003-2004  $A_{UT}^{sin (\phi_h^{+} + \phi_s^{+} + \pi)}$ 0.1 π 1.5 10-2 10<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>T</sub> (GeV) х z

◊ M. Alekseev et al., (2008), arXiv:0802.2160



COMPASS

HERMES

Anselmino et. al arXiv: 0812.4366v1

 $0.3 < z_1 < 0.5$ 

0.7 < z₁ < 1

0.8

 $\mathbf{Z}_2$ 

0.2

0.4

0.6 0.8

 $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ 



BELLE A<sub>12</sub> (FIT)

 $\diamond$  R. Seidl et al., Phys. Rev. D78

Anselmino et. al arXiv: 0812.4366v1

# Polarized SIDIS& e+e- data: K<sup>+</sup> (us̄) Kaons?



 Kaons production mainly driven by u quark fragmentation
Favored & Unfavored kaon Collins functions both positive



#### Conclusions II

Extraction of u and d transversity functions

Extraction of the pion Collins functions

Coming next: extraction of the kaon Collins functions (rough extraction! No BELLE data!)

# Boer-Mulders function extraction from $A^{\cos 2\phi}$ in unpolarized SIDIS

#### Extraction of the Boer-Mulders functions

The angular distribution in the unpolarized SIDIS can be written as

$$d\sigma = A + B\cos\phi + C\cos 2\phi$$

 $ullet A=\propto f_1\otimes D_1$  is the usual  $\phi$ -independent contribution

 $ullet C \propto h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + rac{1}{Q^2} f_1 \otimes D_1$  BM effect+Twist-4 Cahn effect

$$A^{\cos 2\phi} = 2\frac{\int d\sigma \cos 2\phi}{\int d\sigma} = \frac{C}{A}$$

#### Extraction of the Boer-Mulders functions

The angular distribution in the unpolarized SIDIS can be written as

$$d\sigma = A + B\cos\phi + C\cos 2\phi$$

•  $A = \propto f_1 \otimes D_1$  is the usual  $\phi$ -independent contribution •  $C \propto h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + \frac{1}{Q^2} f_1 \otimes D_1$  BM effect+Twist-4 Cahn effect Unpolarized PDF&FF gaussian as in Anselmino et al. [1]

#### Extraction of the Boer-Mulders functions

The angular distribution in the unpolarized SIDIS can be written as

$$d\sigma = A + B\cos\phi + C\cos 2\phi$$

•  $A = \propto f_1 \otimes D_1$  is the usual  $\phi$ -independent contribution

 $ullet C \propto h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + rac{1}{Q^2} f_1 \otimes D_1$  BM effect+Twist-4 Cahn effect

Collins function as in Anselmino et. al arXiv: 0812.4366v1
The angular distribution in the unpolarized SIDIS can be written as

$$d\sigma = A + B\cos\phi + C\cos 2\phi$$

•  $A = \propto f_1 \otimes D_1$  is the usual  $\phi$ -independent contribution

 $ullet C \propto h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + rac{1}{Q^2} f_1 \otimes D_1$  BM effect+Twist-4 Cahn effect

BM that we want to extract from the fit of  $A^{\cos 2\phi}$  data

Simple parametrization of the Boer-Mulders functions:

•
$$h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = \lambda_q f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})$$
 for valence quarks  
• $h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = -|f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})|$  for sea quarks

V. Barone, S. Melis and A. Prokudin Phys. Rev. D81, 114026 (2010)

Simple parametrization of the Boer-Mulders functions:

•
$$h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = \lambda_q f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})$$
 for valence quarks  
• $h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = -|f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})|$  for sea quarks

► Inspired by models:  $h_1^{\perp q}(x, k_{\perp}) = \frac{\kappa_T^q}{\kappa^q} f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_{\perp})$ Burkardt, Phys. Rev. D72, 094020 (2005) Gockeler, Phys.Rev.Lett.98:222001,2007.

Simple parametrization of the Boer-Mulders functions:

•
$$h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = \lambda_q f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})$$
 for valence quarks  
• $h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = -|f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})|$  for sea quarks

>Models inspired:

$$h_{1}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = \frac{\kappa_{T}^{q}}{\kappa^{q}} f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})$$
  
•  $h_{1}^{\perp u}(x,k_{\perp}) \simeq 1.80 f_{1T}^{\perp u}(x,k_{\perp}) < 0$   
•  $h_{1}^{\perp d}(x,k_{\perp}) \simeq -0.94 f_{1T}^{\perp d}(x,k_{\perp}) < 0$ 



HERMES proton and deuteron target (x,z,P<sub>T</sub>) charged hadrons

COMPASS deuteron target (x,z) charged hadrons

>2 free parameters:

 $\lambda_u \lambda_d$ 

✓GRV98 PDF

✓DSS FF

✓Gaussians: <k<sup>2</sup>→=0.25 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> <p<sup>2</sup>→=0.20 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> (from Cahn effect)

$$\checkmark h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = \lambda_q f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})$$

$$\checkmark h_1^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp}) = -|f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_{\perp})|$$

Sivers functions from Anselmino et al. Eur. Phys. J. A39,89





 $\Rightarrow h_1^{\perp d}$  and  $h_1^{\perp u}$  both negative

Compatible with models predictions





- Cahn effect (Twist-4)comparable
   to BM effect
- Same sign of Cahn contribution for positive and negative pions
- BM contribution opposite in sign for positive and negative pions

HERMES, Giordano:arXiv:0901.2438





Cahn effect (Twist-4)comparable
 to BM effect

 Same sign of Cahn contribution for positive and negative pions

BM contribution opposite in sign for positive and negative pions

Data in  $\boldsymbol{p}_{T}$  not included in the fit

COMPASS, Kafer: arXiv 0808.0114

The Cahn effect is a crucial ingredient

✓Gaussians: <k<sup>2</sup>>=0.25 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> <p<sup>2</sup>>=0.20 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>

From Ref.[\*]: analysis of Cahn  $\cos\phi$  effect form EMC data

COMPASS

HERMES

<p<sup>2</sup>/<sub>1</sub>>=0.25 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> <p<sup>2</sup>/<sub>1</sub>>=0.20 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>

~EMC

<p<sup>2</sup>/<sub>1</sub>>=0.18 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> <p<sup>2</sup>/<sub>1</sub>>=0.20 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>

~HERMES MC

[\*] Anselmino et al. Phys. Rev. D71 074006 (2005)



Better description of HERMES but the BM is unchanged



hermes



HERMES Proton



New HERMES data! Presented at SPIN2010 See talk by Francesca Giordano



New COMPASS data! Presented at SPIN2010 (Sbrizzai) See Anna Martin's talk

MD



 Kaons production mainly driven by u quark fragmentation
 Favored & Unfavored

kaon Collins functions both positive

New HERMES data! Presented at SPIN2010 See talks by Francesca Giordano



## Conclusions III

u and d BM functions have the same sign. They are compatible with models

Twist-4 Cahn effect cannot be neglected at HERMES and COMPASS.

Different average transverse momenta for different experiments?

Coming next: new fit HERMES & COMPASS

Coming next: Kaons?



# Boer-Mulders function extraction from v in unpolarized DY processes



General expression for the dilepton angular distributions in the dilepton rest frame:

 $\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{3}{4\pi(\lambda+3)} \Big[ 1 + \lambda\cos^2\theta + \mu\sin2\theta\cos\phi + (\nu/2)\sin^2\theta\cos2\phi \Big]$ 

General expression for the dilepton angular distributions in the dilepton rest frame:



General expression for the dilepton angular distributions in the dilepton rest frame:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{3}{4\pi(\lambda+3)} \Big[ 1 + \lambda\cos^2\theta + \mu\sin2\theta\cos\phi + (\nu/2)\sin^2\theta\cos2\phi \Big]$$



>We performed an analysis of E866 data on pp and pD Drell-Yan

$$\nu \propto \frac{h_1^{\perp a} \otimes h_1^{\perp b}}{f_1^a \otimes f_1^b}$$

>We performed an analysis of E866 data on pp and pD Drell-Yan

$$\nu \propto \frac{h_1^{\perp a} \otimes h_1^{\perp b}}{f_1^a \otimes f_1^b}$$

Saussian smearing for PDFs

•
$$f_{q/p}(x,k_{\perp}) = f_q(x) \frac{1}{\pi \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle} e^{-k_{\perp}^2/\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}$$
[\*] $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.25 \; (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ 

[\*]Anselmino et. Phys. ReV D71, 074006 (2005)

>We performed an analysis of E866 data on pp and pD Drell-Yan

$$\nu \propto \frac{h_1^{\perp a} \otimes h_1^{\perp b}}{f_1^a \otimes f_1^b}$$

🕙 u and d Boer-Mulders functions as extracted from SIDIS

•
$$h_1^{\perp q}(x, k_{\perp}) = \lambda_q f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_{\perp})$$
[\*]  
 $\lambda_u = 2.0 \pm 0.1$   
 $\lambda_d = -1.11^{+0.00}_{-0.02}$ 

[\*]Sivers functions from Anselmino et al. Eur. Phys. J. A39,89

>We performed an analysis of E866 data on pp and pD Drell-Yan

$$u \propto rac{h_1^{\perp a} \otimes h_1^{\perp b}}{f_1^a \otimes f_1^b}$$

💫 ū and d Boer-Mulders parametrized similarly:

$$h_1^{\perp \bar{q}}(x, k_{\perp}) = \lambda_{\bar{q}} f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_{\perp})^{*}$$

[\*]Sivers functions from Anselmino et al. Eur. Phys. J. A39,89

Results of the analysis of E866 data on pp and pD Drell-Yan

$$h_1^{\perp \bar{q}}(x, k_{\perp}) = \lambda_{\bar{q}} f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_{\perp})_{[*]}$$



х

[\*] Sivers functions from Anselmino et al. Eur. Phys. J. A39,89

х







Can we safely assume that the average transverse momentum is the same in SIDIS and in DY?

Gaussian smearing for unpolarized PDFs

• 
$$f_{q/p}(x,k_{\perp}) = f_q(x) \frac{1}{\pi \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle} e^{-k_{\perp}^2/\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}$$

From SIDIS:  $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.25 \; ({\rm GeV}/c)^2$  –

Typical DY :  $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle \simeq 0.5 - 1 \ (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ 

Let us try to change this value

Notice taht BM functions are proportional to the unpolarized pdf

$$h_1^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2) = \lambda_q f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2) = \lambda_q \rho_q(x) \eta(k_T) f_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$$

$$unpolarized PDF$$

[\*]Sivers functions from Anselmino et al. Eur. Phys. J. A39,89

As an exercise let us assume different average transverse momentum in the unpolarized PDF.



as Fit I but with 
$$\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle \simeq 0.64 \; ({
m GeV}/c)^2$$
 [\*]

[\*] U. D'Alesio and F. Murgia, Phys. Rev. D67,

$$\lambda_{\bar{u}} = 5.5 \pm 1.5 \qquad \chi^2_{d.o.f} = 1.24$$
$$\lambda_{\bar{d}} = -0.25 \pm 0.20 \qquad \chi^2_{d.o.f} = 1.24$$

#### Same description of the data!

**FIT II** 



Conclusions IV

➤u and d BM functions are different from zero but not well constrained from E866 data alone.

Different average transverse momenta for different processes? We need more theory and more experiments!





## New data-old fit

#### **COMPASS** Proton Target





Statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature, no scale error
## New data-old fit







Statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature, no scale error

## Polarized SIDIS: Extraction of the Sivers Function

> 11 free parameters:  $N_u = N_d = N_{\overline{u}} = N_{\overline{d}} = N_s = N_{\overline{s}}$   $\alpha_u = \alpha_d = \alpha_{sea}$  $\beta = M_1$ 

HERMES (2002-5) (x,z,P<sub>T</sub>) π&K

COMPASS (2004) (x,z,P<sub>T</sub>) π&K

•[1] Anselmino et al. Eur. Phys. J. A39,89

 ✓GRV98 PDF
 ✓DSS FF
 ✓Gaussians: <k<sup>2</sup><sub>⊥</sub>>=0.25 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> <p<sup>2</sup><sub>⊥</sub>>=0.20 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> (from Cahn effect)
 ✓ Simulated evolution (unp.)

$$\checkmark \Delta^{N} f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}(x, k_{\perp}) = 2 \mathcal{N}_{q}(x) h(k_{\perp}) f_{q/p}(x, k_{\perp})$$
$$\checkmark \mathcal{N}_{q}(x) = N_{q} x^{\alpha_{q}} (1-x)^{\beta_{q}} \frac{(\alpha_{q} + \beta_{q})^{(\alpha_{q} + \beta_{q})}}{\alpha_{q}^{\alpha_{q}} \beta_{q}^{\beta_{q}}}$$
$$\checkmark h(k_{\perp}) = \sqrt{2e} \frac{k_{\perp}}{M_{1}} e^{-k_{\perp}^{2}/M_{1}^{2}}$$

## Polarized SIDIS: Extraction of the Sivers Function

Gaussian smearing for both unpolarized PDF and FF

$$f_{q/p}(x,k_{\perp}) = f_q(x) \frac{1}{\pi \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle} e^{-k_{\perp}^2 / \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}$$
  
GRV98 set [\*] $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.25 \; (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ 

[\*] Anselmino et. Phys. ReV D71, 074006 (2005)

## Polarized SIDIS: Extraction of the Sivers Function

Simple parametrization of the Sivers function

 $N_q, lpha_q, eta_q$  &  $M_1$  free parameters



$$W^{2} = \frac{1 - x_{B}}{x_{B}} Q^{2} + m_{p}^{2} \qquad \qquad y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot \ell} = \frac{Q^{2}}{x_{B} (s - m_{p}^{2})}$$

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle B} = \frac{Q}{2P \cdot q} = \frac{Q}{W^2 + Q^2 - m_p^2}$$