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## Outline

## - Motivation:

- CKM matrix;
$\checkmark$ Plan of the talk: $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}\right|,\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|,\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{td}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}}\right|$ and $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$;
- $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}\right|$ from $B$ decays:
$\checkmark$ Inclusive $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{l} v$;
$\checkmark$ Exclusive $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \pi \mathrm{lv}$;
- $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c b}}\right|$ from $\mathbf{B}$ decays;
$\checkmark$ Exclusive $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Dlv}$;
- $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{td}} / \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ts}}\right|$ from $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{s} \gamma \mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ decays;
- $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ from $\tau$ decays;
- Conclusions.


## Weak interaction and CKM Matrix

- In the Standard Model, the mass eigenstates and the weak eigenstates do not coincide and a unitary transformation connects the two sets using the Cabilbbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix (CKM);

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
d^{\prime} \\
s^{\prime} \\
b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=V_{C K M}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
d \\
s \\
b
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CKM}}$ could be expressed in terms of three angles and one irremovable complex phase (source of $C P$ violation).

$$
\boldsymbol{V}_{C K M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{u d} & V_{u s} & V_{u b} \\
V_{c d} & V_{c s} & V_{c b} \\
V_{t d} & V_{t s} & V_{t b}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## In this talk

Only a few of the most recent BaBar and Belle measurements will be presented:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \rightarrow S, \frac{B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow K^{-} \mathcal{V}_{\tau}\right)}{B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \mathcal{\nu}_{\tau}\right)} \\
& B \rightarrow \pi l v, B \rightarrow X_{u} l v \\
& B \rightarrow X_{d} \gamma, B \rightarrow X_{s} \gamma \\
& B \rightarrow D^{(*)} l v, B \rightarrow X_{c} l v
\end{aligned}
$$

## [ $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}$ | from B decays

## Inclusive $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{IV}^{2}$

$$
\Gamma\left(\bar{B} \rightarrow X_{u} \ell \bar{\nu}\right)=\underbrace{\frac{G_{F}^{2}\left|V_{u b}\right|^{2} m_{b}^{5}}{192 \pi^{3}}}[1+\underbrace{\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)}}+\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(1 / m_{b}^{2}\right)}+H . C .] \quad \mathbf{5 \%} \text { uncertaintly }
$$

free quark perturbative non perturbative decay

## correction

$\frac{\Gamma(b \rightarrow u \ell \nu)}{\Gamma(b \rightarrow c \ell \nu)} \approx \frac{\left|V_{u b}\right|^{2}}{\left|V_{c b}\right|^{2}} \approx \frac{1}{50} \bullet \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{u}}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}$ different kinematics


## Belle Multivariate analysis

The irreducible uncertainties in the measurements to date are related to limited phase space;

No need to place stringent, hard cuts that result in zero efficiency!
$\checkmark$ Signal side: reconstruct high momentum lepton ( $\mathrm{p}_{\text {сns }}>1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ );
$\checkmark$ Boosted Decision Tree cut with many input parameters (20 event parameters) : $\mathrm{M}_{\text {miss }}^{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{\text {total }}, \mathrm{Q}_{\text {lepton }}, \mathrm{N}_{\text {lepton }}, \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{B}), \mathrm{D}^{*}$ partial reconstruction etc...;
$\checkmark 2 \mathrm{D}$ fit to $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{q}^{2}$ with background and signal floated to determine background yield;
$\checkmark$ Measure absolute rate.

PRL 104:021801 (2010)

$B_{\text {TAG }}$ : from hadronic decays


## Belle Multivariate analysis: results

## PRL 104:021801 (2010)


$\sim 1035 B \rightarrow X_{u}$ l v events



657M $B \bar{B}$

$$
\Delta B\left(B \rightarrow X_{u} l v ; p_{l}>1.0 \mathrm{GeV}\right)=1.963 \times\left(1 \pm 0.088_{\text {stat }} \pm 0.081_{\text {syst }}\right) \times 10^{-3}
$$

| Theory | $\left\|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}\right\| 10^{3}$ | Stat.\% | Syst.\% | Ther.\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLNP | 4.45 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
| DGE | 4.53 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| GGOU | 4.47 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.0 |

## $\mid \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}$ | from exclusive $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \pi(\rho) \mid \mathrm{v}$

$-\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}\right|$ can be extracted by studing $\mathrm{B}^{0++} \rightarrow \pi^{-10}\left(\rho^{-10}\right) l^{+} \nu$ decays;

- Needed input from the theory in the calculation of the form factor:
$\frac{d \Gamma\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{-} l^{+} v\right)}{d q^{2} d \cos \theta w_{l}}=\left|V_{u b}\right| \frac{G_{F}^{2} p_{\pi}^{3}}{32 \pi^{3}} \sin ^{2} \theta w_{l}\left|f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|^{2}$
PRELIMINARY
Submitted to PRD arXiv:1005.3288v1
$m_{E S}=\sqrt{s / 4-p_{B}^{2}}$
-Neutrino 4-momentum inferred from the total energy and momentum in the event; ${ }^{\bullet}$ Backgrounds (from $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow$ light quarks, charm and non-resonant $b \rightarrow u$ ) reduced by means of neural networks;
- Binned Maximum Likelihood fit to $m_{E S}$ and $\Delta E$ in bins of $\mathrm{q}^{2}$. Four channel ( $\pi^{-}, \pi^{0} \rho^{-}, \rho^{0}$ ) are fitted simultaneously imposing isospin.
$\Delta E=E_{B}-\sqrt{s} / 2$
377M $B \bar{B}$




## $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\text {ub }}\right|$ from exclusive $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \pi(\rho) \mid v$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{-} l^{+} v\right)=(1.41 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4} \\
& B\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{-} l^{+} v\right)=(1.75 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $q^{2}$ Range <br> $\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ | $\Delta \zeta$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{ps}^{-1}\right)$ | $\left\|V_{u b}\right\|$ <br> $\left(10^{-3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ |  |  |  |
| LCSR [15] | $0-16$ | $5.44 \pm 1.43$ | $3.63 \pm 0.12_{-0.40}^{+0.59}$ |
| HPQCD [22] | $16-26.4$ | $2.02 \pm 0.55$ | $3.21 \pm 0.17_{-0.36}^{+0.55}$ |
| LCSR [15] | $0-26.4$ | $7.72 \pm 2.32$ | $3.46 \pm 0.10_{-0.43}^{+0.68}$ |
| HPQCD [22] | $0-26.4$ | $9.35 \pm 3.22$ | $3.14 \pm 0.09_{-0.43}^{+0.68}$ |
| $B \rightarrow \rho \ell \nu$ |  |  |  |
| LCSR [16] | $0-16.0$ | 13.79 | $2.75 \pm 0.24$ |
| LCSR [16] | $0-20.3$ | 17.15 | $2.58 \pm 0.22$ |
| ISGW2 [14] | $0-20.3$ | 14.20 | $2.83 \pm 0.24$ |

..or we can simultaneously fit the data and theoretical predictions:

$$
\left|V_{u b}\right|=(2.95 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3} \text { FNAL / MILC }
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}$ extracted integrating the FF's predictions


## | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}$ | summary

## UTfit

HFAG inclusive

HFAG exclusive


| Source | $\mid \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}} \mathrm{l}\left(10^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | Error (103) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B \rightarrow \pi \mid v$ | 2.95 | 0.31 - |
| $B \rightarrow X_{u} \mid v$ | 4.37 | 0.39 - |
| UTFit | 3.48 | 0.16 |

## [ $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ | from B decays

## $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|$ from exclusive $B \rightarrow D / v$

«Exclusive determination of $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|$ through:

$$
\frac{d \Gamma(B \rightarrow D l v)}{d \omega}=\frac{G_{F}^{2}}{48 \pi^{3} \hbar}\left(m_{B}+m_{D}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left|V_{c b}\right|^{2} G(\omega)
$$

$\omega=\frac{m_{B}^{2}+m_{D}^{2}-q^{2}}{2 m_{B} m_{D}} \quad q^{2}=\left(p_{B}-p_{D}\right)^{2}$
${ }^{\bullet} G(w)$ is a form factor, we use the Caprini et al parametrization; - $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|$ is extracted extrapolating the differential decay at $w=1$, exploiting lattice QCD calculation;
-Data sample: 460 millions of $B B$ pairs; $\bullet B \rightarrow D l v$ events searched for the recoil of fully reconstructed hadronic $B$ decays; 20 -Discriminant variable: $\mathrm{m}_{\text {miss }}^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}$


## $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|$ from exclusive $B \rightarrow D / v$

$\bullet \chi^{2}$ fit of $G(1)\left|V_{d}\right|$ and $\rho^{2}$ in 10 bins of $\mathrm{w}(1<\mathrm{w}<1.6)$;

$\bullet$ Results:
PRL 104, 011802(2010)

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(1)\left|V_{c b}\right| & =(43.0 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3} \\
B\left(B^{-} \rightarrow D^{0} l^{-} v\right) & =(2.31 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.09) \% \\
B\left(B^{0} \rightarrow D^{+} l^{-} v\right) & =(2.23 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.11) \%
\end{aligned}
$$

«Extraction of $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|$ :
Unquenched LQCD, Nucl.Phys 140,461

$$
\left|V_{c b}\right|=(39.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}
$$

Quenched LQCD, Phys. Lett. B655, 45
Extrapolating at w=1 - $\left|V_{c b}\right|=(41.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ Interpolating around $\mathrm{w}=1.2 \rightarrow\left|V_{c b}\right|=(41.4 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}{ }_{14}$

## $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|$ summary



> Exclusive $\left|V_{c b}\right| \sim 2 \sigma$ lower than inclusive $\left|V_{c b}\right|$

## $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{td}} / \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ts}}\right|$ from B decays

## $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{d} \gamma$ and $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{s} \gamma$ decays and $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{td}} / \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ts}}\right|$

$\bullet$ The decays $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{d} \gamma$ and $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{s} \gamma$ are one loop electroweak penguin diagrams ${ }^{\bullet}$ In the SM the rate $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{d} \gamma$ is suppressed relative to $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{s} \gamma$ by a factor $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{td}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}}\right|^{2}$ ${ }^{\star}$ In theories beyond the SM , new particles
 may appear in the loop (probe for NP) $\bullet$ Reconstructed 7 decay modes for $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}$ :

| $B \rightarrow X_{d \gamma}$ | $B \rightarrow X_{s} \gamma$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma$ | $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma$ |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \gamma$ | $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ |
| $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \gamma$ | $B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \gamma$ |
| $B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma$ | $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma$ |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}{ }_{\eta \gamma}$ | $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+}{ }_{\eta \gamma}$ |

(arXiv:1005.4087v1 submitted to PRL)
-Signal yields extracted with a 2D maximum likelihhod to the $\Delta E$ and $m_{E S}$ in two hadronic mass bins:
$>0.5-1.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ (dominated by $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow(\rho, \omega) \gamma$ and $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{*} \gamma$ resonances ${ }^{\nu} 1.0-2.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ (non-resonant region)





$$
\frac{B(b \rightarrow d \gamma)}{B(b \rightarrow s \gamma)}=0.040 \pm 0.009_{\text {stat }} \pm 0.010_{\text {syst }}
$$

Measurements of $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{*} \gamma, \mathrm{~b} \rightarrow \mathrm{~s} \gamma$ and $B \rightarrow(\rho, \omega) \gamma$ all compatible with previous results.

## | $\mathbf{V}_{\text {us }}$ | from $\tau$ decays

## B factories as $\tau$ factories

- B factories are also $\tau$ factories:

$$
\sigma_{\tau \tau}=0.9 n b, \sigma_{B B}=1.1 n b
$$

- Area of physics with recent results:
*Precise $\tau$ branching fractions;
- $\tau$ mass;
-Constraint on Lepton Flavor Violation;
$-\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ from $\tau$ decays
${ }^{\nu}$ Inclusive $\tau \rightarrow$ s decays;
$>$ Ratio of BR


## $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ from inclusive $\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{s}$ decays

$\tau$ decay rate into hadrons:
$R_{\tau}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\tau \rightarrow \text { hadrons } \mathcal{\nu}_{\tau}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\tau \rightarrow e \bar{\nu}_{e} \mathcal{\nu}_{\tau}\right)}=R_{\tau, \text { strange }}+R_{\tau, \text { non-strange }}$
Branching fractions are experimental inputs for $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ determinations:


| hadronic system in $\tau \rightarrow X_{\text {s }}$ v | ICHEP08 averages (\%) | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K^{-}$[from $\tau$ decay] <br> [indirect, from $K$, ] | $\begin{aligned} & 0.690 \pm 0.010 \\ & (0.715 \pm 0.004) \end{aligned}$ | PDG 2006 + BAB4R 2008 prelim. Gamiz et al., PoSKAON:008,2008 |
|  | $0.426 \pm 0.016$ | BAB4F 2007 |
| $\bar{K}^{0}{ }^{-}$ | $0.835 \pm 0.022(S=1.4)$ | Belle 2008, B4B4R 2008 |
| $K^{-} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ | $0.058 \pm 0.024$ | PDG 2006 |
| $\bar{K}^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{-}$ | $0.360 \pm 0.040$ | PDG 2006 |
| $\underline{K-\pi \pi^{+}}$ | $0.273 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.009$ | Phys. Rev.Lett. 100:011801,2008 I. |
| ( $\bar{K} 3 \pi)^{-}$(est'd) | $0.074 \pm 0.030$ | ALEPH 2005 |
| $K_{1}(1270) \rightarrow K^{-} \omega$ | $0.067 \pm 0.021$ | ALEPH 2005 |
| ( $\mathrm{K} 4 \pi)^{-}$(est'd) | $0.011 \pm 0.007$ | ALEPH 2005 |
|  | $0.016 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.09$ | Phys. Lett. B672:209-218,2009 |
| $K^{*-\eta}$ | $0.013 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.09$ | Phys.Lett.B672:20)-218,200) |
| $K^{-}{ }^{-}$ | $0.0037 \pm 0.0003(S=1.3)$ | Belle 2006, BABAR 2007 |
| TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8447 \pm 0.0688 \\ & (2.8697 \pm 0.0680) \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Strange $\tau$ decays

-Lepton tag used to identify one hemisphere-other hemisphere contains signal particle -High $\pi^{0}$ energy required in CMS $\rightarrow$ high purity (93\%) $\pi^{0}$ trajectory within $90^{\circ}$ of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \pi^{0}$ momentum $B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \nu_{\tau}\right)=0.342 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.015$


## Alternative $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ determination

-Can obtain $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ through the BF ratio:
$\frac{B\left(\tau \rightarrow K v_{\tau}\right)}{B\left(\tau \rightarrow \pi v_{\tau}\right)}=\frac{f_{k}^{2}|V u s|^{2}\left(1-m_{k}^{2} / m_{\tau}^{2}\right)^{2}}{f_{\pi}^{2}|V u d|^{2}\left(1-m_{\pi}^{2} / m_{\tau}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(1+\delta_{L D}\right)$

- $\delta_{\text {ID }}$ long distance EW correction $\cdot\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ from allowed beta decays -Ratio $\mathrm{f}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{K}} / \mathrm{f}^{2}{ }_{\pi}$ from lattice QCD *Select $\tau \tau$ events with:
(M.Roney CIPANP 2009)


## Branching Ratios (Preliminary)

| $B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \cdot v_{\tau}\right) / B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} v_{\tau} \bar{v}_{e}\right)$ |
| :---: |
| $(5.945 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.061) \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow K-v_{\tau}\right) / B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} v_{\tau} \bar{v}_{e}\right)$ |
| $(3.882 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.056) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $B(\tau)$ |

$(5.945 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.061) \times 10^{-1}$
$467 f^{-1}$



${ }$ One $\tau$ decaying into 3 pions ${ }^{\circ}$ The other into the signal decay
$B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow K \cdot v_{\tau}\right) / B\left(\tau^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \cdot v_{\tau}\right)$
(6.531 $\pm 0.056 \pm 0.093) \times 10^{-2}$

By measuring ratios, benefit from systematic uncertanty cancellation
Measure: $\frac{B F\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{-} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\tau}\right)}{B F\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\tau} \bar{\nu}_{e}\right)} \quad \frac{B F\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{-} \rightarrow K^{-} \nu_{\tau}\right)}{B F\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \nu_{\tau} \bar{\nu}_{e}\right)}$
$\frac{B F\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{-} \rightarrow K^{-} \nu_{\tau}\right)}{B F\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{-} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\tau}\right)}$

## $\left|\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ status


(*) indirect $\tau \rightarrow \mathrm{K} v$
Use precise measurement of $\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mu v(\gamma)]$ to get indirect measurements of $\mathrm{B}[\tau \rightarrow \mathrm{Kv}(\gamma)]$
Rev.Mod.Phys 78 1043(2006)

| Unitarity | $0.2255 \pm 0.0010$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| inclusive $\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{s}$ | $0.2151 \pm 0.0026$ |
| $\frac{B F(\tau \rightarrow K v)}{B F(\tau \rightarrow \pi v)}$ | $0.2255 \pm 0.0023$ |

$-\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ from inclusive $\tau \rightarrow \mathrm{s}$ decays results in $3 \sigma$ discrepancy from unitarity;
-However, still need to complete the program of $\tau \rightarrow \mathrm{s}$
measurements
$\rightarrow \mathrm{Next}:(K 3 \pi)^{-}, K 3 \pi^{0}, K 4 \pi^{0}$;
$-\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ from branching fraction
ratio compatible consistent with unitarity.

## Conclusions

- $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}\right|$ determinations (incl/excl) differ by 2.7 $\mathbf{\sigma}$; latest updates have increased this discrepancy
$-\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}\right|$ exclusive: $2.95 \pm 0.31$;
- $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ub}}\right|$ inclusive: $4.37 \pm 0.39$;
- $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right|$ determinations (incl/excl) differ by $\sim 2.3 \sigma$; their average is $(40.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$;
- $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{td}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}}\right|$ compatible with previous measurements
- $\left|\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{us}}\right|$ :
$>$ inclusive $\tau \rightarrow$ s decays results in $3 \sigma$ discrepancy from unitarity; however, still need to complete $\tau \rightarrow \mathrm{s}$ measurements $»$ BF ratio compatible consistent with unitarity.


## Backup slides

## The B factories

Integrated Luminosity(cal)


