

# QCD Studies at the Tevatron

**Simona Rolli  
Tufts University**

On behalf of the CDF and D0 Collaborations

**Les Rencontres de Physique  
de la Vallée d'Aoste**


La Thuile, Aosta Valley, Italy  
February 28 - March 6, 2010



# Introduction


The Tevatron is the world highest energy “proton-antiproton” collider ....

- Located about 30 miles west of Chicago, IL
  - 1.96 TeV in the C.M.
- Data are continuously recorded with very high efficiency (85-90%)
- The machine and the detectors (CDF and D0) are performing very well
  - Both experiments have collected already > 6 fb<sup>-1</sup> on tape
- **Measurements are becoming very precise**
  - Top quark mass known with precision < 2%
- **New analyses are now looking for the needle in the hay stack**
  - low cross section phenomena
  - The search for Higgs
  - Physics beyond the Standard Model



Still, an hadron machine is the perfect place to test **QCD!**

# QCD at Hadron Colliders



Test of the Standard Model (pQCD)  
Search for new physics  
Inform/check/tune Monte Carlo and theory predictions

# The physics objects




**Hadronic jets** are reconstructed using several algorithms:

Cone, Midpoint, KT etc..



- From the calorimeter measurement to the parton-level jets, via several corrections
- Currently the jet energy scale is the major source of uncertainty (< 3% over the entire jet energy range)

**Heavy Flavor-jet identification** is implemented via:

- Displaced vertices with  $L_{xy}/\sigma$  cut (CDF)
- Vertex mass separation (CDF)
- Vertex properties and displaced track info combined with NN algorithms



**Photons** are selected with stringent isolation criteria to minimize QCD fragmentation effects






# In This Talk



- Selected topics
  - Jets and dijet inclusive production
    - PDF's constraint and measurement of the strong coupling constant
    - Search for quark substructure in dijet angular distribution
    - Search for new particles decaying into dijets
  - Photons final states
    - Inclusive photon cross section
    - Photon + jet(s)
  - Vector bosons + jet(s)
  - The future outlook: TeV vs LHC

# Jets




Collimated sprays of particles originating from quark and gluon fragmentation

Jets measurements probes the highest momentum transfer in particle collisions

$$d\sigma_{jet} = \underbrace{\sum_a \sum_b f_{a/p}(x_1, \mu_F^2) f_{b/\bar{p}}(x_2, \mu_F^2)}_{\text{PDFs}} \otimes \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{a,b}(p_1, p_2, \alpha_s, Q^2 / \mu_R^2, Q^2 / \mu_F^2)}_{\text{Hard Scatter}}$$


## Sensitive to:

- Hard partonic scattering
- strong coupling constant
- proton's parton content
  - unique sensitivity to high-x gluon
- dynamics of interaction
  - validity of approximations (NLO, LLA, ...)
  - QCD vs. new physical phenomena






# Inclusive Jet Cross Section



Phys. Rev. D 78, 052006 (2008)  $p_T$  (GeV/c)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 062001 (2008)  $p_T$  (GeV/c)


Measurements span over 8 order of magnitude in  $d\sigma^2/dp_T dy$

Highest  $p_{T}^{\text{jet}} > 600$  GeV/c

Jet energy calibration  $\pm 1\%$

- $\rightarrow \pm(5 - 10)\%$  central x-section
- $\rightarrow \pm(10 - 25)\%$  forward x-section

# Inclusive Jet Cross Section



—■— CDF Data ( $1.13 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ) / NLO  
 — PDF Uncertainty  
 ····· MRST 2004 / CTEQ6.1M  
 ■ Systematic uncertainty  
 ■ Including hadronization and UE


Midpoint:  $R=0.7$ ,  $f_{\text{merge}}=0.75$

Both CDF and D0 measurements are in very good agreement with NLO predictions

- Precision now exceeds that of PDF uncertainties
- Data can inform the PDF fits: suggestive of softer gluons at high-x


data are used in PDF fits:

- included in MSTW2008 PDFs
- at work: forthcoming CTEQ PDFs





# Strong Coupling Constant



Measurement uses the  $P_T$  dependence of the jet x-section  
-  $\chi^2$  minimization of data/theory points


- 22/110 points in the inclusive jet cross section used

-  $50 < P_T < 145$  GeV/c,

- high points excluded to minimize PDF  
uncertainty correlations

- NLO+2 loop thresholds corrections

- MSTW2008NNLO PDF's



Phys. Rev. D 80, 111107 (2009)

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1161^{+0.0041}_{-0.0048}$$

Most precise result at hadron-hadron collider

CDF results in Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 042001



# Dijet Mass Spectrum



Old fashion mass bump hunt...

- Choose events with two high- $p_T$  jets with rapidity less than 1.0. Look for an excess in the dijet mass spectrum for masses above 180 GeV
  - Possible signals include excited quarks,  $W'$ ,  $Z'$ , and Randall-Sundrum gravitons
  - Find functional form of dijet spectrum in pythia and herwig, fit to data. Look for “bumps” in the data minus fit plot
- 
- No significant resonant structure is observed, so limits are set on various models
  - Excludes (at 95% CL) excited quarks from 260-870 GeV,  $W'$  from 280-840 GeV, and  $Z'$  from 320-740 GeV

D0 has also a new result out ([arxiv: 1002.4594](https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.4594))






# Dijets Angular Distribution



Dijet angular distributions is measured in bins of dijet mass:

- **First differential cross section measurement at partonic energies >1 TeV!**
- Small experimental and theoretical uncertainties.
- Sensitive to New Physics (95% CL limits)



Consistent with  
NLO pQCD




## Limits on Compositeness & LED

- Quark Compositeness  $\Lambda > 2.9\text{TeV}$
- ADD LED (GRW)  $M_s > 1.6\text{ TeV}$
- TeV-1 ED  $M_c > 1.6\text{ TeV}$

Most stringent limits to date!

Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 191803 (2009)




# Photons

## - Inclusive photon cross section

direct photons emerge unaltered from the hard subprocess  
 → direct probe of the hard scattering dynamics  
 → sensitive to PDFs (gluon!) ...but only if theory works

Phys. Rev. D80, 11106 (2009)




Data/pQCD in agreement at high PT  
 enhancement at low PT

Region where effects of  
 resummation might be  
 higher as well as  
 fragmentation



Phys. Lett. B 639, 151 (2006)




Not yet sensitive to PDF's: experimental and theoretical  
 uncertainties still dominate

# Photons


## -Isolated Photon + jet

-Probe of the gluon pdf and of the dynamics of hard QCD over a wide range of  $x$  and  $Q^2$



Central

Forward




- NLO predictions do not describe the shape over the full range of  $P_T$
- Scale variations cannot describe normalization simultaneously for the 4 rapidity ranges
- Measuring ratios reduces some uncertainty, but disagreement still present



Phys. Lett. B 666, 435 (2008)

*Need for an improved and consistent theory description of the process*




Theory:Aurenche et al. Nucl. Phys. B297, 661 (1988)  
Aversa et al. Nucl.Phys.B327, 105 (1989) - JETPHOX




# Photons



## - Photons + heavy flavor production



Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 192002 (2009)



Sensitive to HF-content of proton  
Bkgd for many BSMs

### Photon + b

good agreement on all  $P_T$  range


### Photon + c

agreement only up to  $P_T^\gamma < 50$  GeV/c  
increasing disagreement at higher  $P_T^\gamma$   
Using PDF intrinsic charm improves  
theory  $P_T$  dependence, but data  
disagreement still remains

Photon  $p_T$ : 30 – 150 GeV/c  
Rapidities:  $|y^\gamma| < 1.0$ ,  $|y^{jet}| < 0.8$

Theory: T.Stavreva, J.F.Owens,  
arXiv:0901.3791v1(2009) -  
phase space slicing




CDF has a recently submitted result  
with similar results for Photon + b  
[arXiv:0912.3453](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.3453)



# Vector bosons + jets

## Prerequisite for top, Higgs, SUSY, BSM

- major “universal” background



## Test of pQCD and various Monte Carlo Models

NLO pQCD calculations are available up to 2(3) jets

Many Monte Carlo tools are available


- LO + Parton shower Monte Carlo (Pythia, Herwig, )
- MC based on tree level matrix element + parton showers, matched to remove double counting: Alpgen, Sherpa, etc..

These calculations and tools need “validation” by experimental measurements

# W + jets



Measure  $\sigma$  at hadron level as function of  $E_T^{\text{jet}}$  cf. LO, NLO predictions



Phys. Rev. D 77, 011108(R) (2008)

**NLO:** MCFM (W + 1 and 2 jet available)


**LO:** ME+PS + nonpQCD correction:

**SMPR:** Madgraph, CTEQ6L, Pythia, S. Mrenna and P. Richardson, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2004) 040.

**MLM:** Alpgen, CTEQ5L, Herwig, J. Alwall et al., arXiv:0706.2569.

**Agreement with NLO good.**

**LO low.**







# Z+jets



Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 102001 & update

Z → ee channel being updated and Z → μμ added




**Good agreement with NLO (MCFM + CTEQ6M, corrected for UE, fragmentation)**

# Z+jets angular distributions



- Test of theory predictions (LO and NLO) and Monte Carlo generators
- These measurements test the best predictions for V+jet production at hadron colliders
- These measurements can be used as inputs in tuning event generators
- Sensitive to extra QCD radiation and its modeling ( $\Delta\phi(Z\text{-jet})$ ,  $\Delta y(Z\text{-jet})$ )
  - Does not require observation of extra jets



Sherpa is chosen as the common denominator for all ratios as it provides the best description of the shape of data in most distributions

Phys.Lett.B682:370-380,2010

# Z+b-jet



Z+b-jet is an important background to Higgs searches in ZH channel



Z+b-jet is sensitive to the b-content of the proton

Data are corrected to the hadron level and compared to predictions from PYTHIA, ALPGEN, HERWIG and NLO QCD.

Ratio of cross-section allows for several sources of uncertainties to cancel



	data	Alpgen	Pythia	MCFM ( $Q^2=m_Z^2+p_{TZ}^2$ )	MCFM ( $Q^2=<p_{T,jet}>^2$ )
$\frac{\sigma(Z+b)}{\sigma(Z)}$	$(3.32 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-3}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-3}$
$\frac{\sigma(Z+b)}{\sigma(Z+j)}$	$(2.08 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.34) \%$	1.5 %	2.2 %	1.8 %	2.2 %



PRD 79 052008 (2009)

Several kinematical distributions show the level of (dis)agreement with theory prediction at LO and NLO

Awaiting for a complete NLO prediction ( $qq\bar{q} \rightarrow Zb\bar{q}$ )



# W+b-jet



data-driven measurement of a difficult-to-predict background for single top and Higgs searches.



Jets consistent with the decay of long-lived hadrons are selected by identifying a displaced decay vertex. The invariant mass of the charged particle tracks associated with the vertex is sensitive to the flavor of the decaying hadron and is used to determine the fraction of jets from b-quark production.

## Results:


in events with a  $p_{T>20}$  GeV/c,  $|\eta| < 1.1$  electron or muon, a  $p_{T>25}$  GeV/c neutrino, and 1 or 2  $E_{T>20}$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.0$  jets regardless of species.

**Data:**  $\sigma_{\text{bjets}}(W + b \text{ jets}) \times \text{BR}(W \rightarrow l\nu) = 2.74 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.42 \text{ pb}$

**LO: ALPGEN:**  $\sigma \cdot \text{BR} = 0.78 \text{ pb}$

**LO factor 3 low (nb. high scale)**

• awaiting NLO comparison.





arXiv:0909.1505v2  
(Submitted to PRL)

# W+charm



s-quark initiated process (dg and bg heavily suppressed)  
 Charge correlation of the leptons used to identify events  
 Soft Lepton tagger used to identify charm-jet  
 and NN algorithms



$$\sigma_{Wc} \cdot BR(W \rightarrow l\nu) = 9.8 \pm 3.2 \text{ pb}$$

Theory prediction:  $11.0^{+1.4}_{-3.0}$  @NLO (mcfm)

[Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 091803 \(2008\)](#)




A new CDF measurement is in process

$$\frac{\sigma[W + c - \text{jet}]}{\sigma[W + \text{jets}]} = 0.074 \pm 0.019^{+0.012}_{-0.014}$$




Theory:  $0.044 \pm 0.003$   
 Alpgen+Pythia




[Phys. Lett. B 666, 23, July 2008](#)



# Underlying Events and Fragmentation



- The multiplicity distribution of charged particles is measured in inelastic non-diffractive collisions.
- The analysis is part of a systematic and detailed set of measurements of minimum-bias events.
- This data have the highest precision and the largest range extension ever reached in the pseudorapidity range  $|\eta| \leq 1$ .




**NEW!**

Important for MC tunings

- Complement the underlying event study in hard-scattering events
- Actively used for recent MC tunes



# Future Outlook: Inclusive Jets



## PDF sensitivity:

→ compare jet cross section at fixed  
 $x_T = 2 p_T / \sqrt{s}$

## Tevatron (ppbar)

>100x higher cross section @ all  $x_T$


>200x higher cross section @  $x_T > 0.5$

## LHC (pp)

- need more than  $2400 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  luminosity to improve Tevatron@ $12 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- more high-x gluon contributions
- but more steeply falling cross sect. at highest  $p_T$  (=larger uncertainties)
- Relatively high JES uncertainty in early data impact (5-10% for jets below 1TeV) might seriously limit the physics potential of the data (D. Clements, DIS 2007).

→ Tevatron results will dominate high-x gluon for some years

# Future Outlook: Photons




$P_{T,\gamma}$  cross-section for  $\gamma$ +jet events predicted by NLO QCD for Tevatron and LHC kinematic range

- The jet energy scale does not apply to direct photon events so they will have a much smaller uncertainty
- This should allow the differences in the  $\eta$  spectrum of different PDF's ( $\sim 10\%$ ) to be observed

Some experimental issues (ATLAS)

- Photon mis-identification
  - low fake probability but high jet rate
- Large fraction of photons will convert before reaching the calorimeters (70%)
- Photon Purity (very high at the Tevatron)



T.I.Hollins CERN-THESIS-2007-061

# Future Outlook: V+jets



	Inclusive jets	B-jets	C-jets
Z-boson	Agree with MC or theory predictions so far	Discrepancies observed	No planned measurement so far
W-boson	Agree with MC or theory predictions so far	Discrepancies observed	Update in progress
Photon	D0 observes discrepancies	D0 results agrees with predictions Same diagrams as for Z+b (different $Q^2$ )	D0 observes discrepancies. Provide information about c-pdf's


- Perform all V+jets analyses with full Run-2 dataset
  - All these processes are backgrounds to New Physics and Higgs
- Great interest in Tevatron measurements from theoretical community
  - They need our detailed results for tuning MC and checking calculations
- It will take considerable time for LHC measurements to compete with Tevatron results

# Future Outlook: soft physics




## First results on Minimum Bias data(CMS)

measurements of inclusive charged-hadron transverse-momentum and pseudorapidity distributions



Still very low track  $P_T$  compared to the range measured at the Tevatron



Phys. Rev. D 79, 112005 (2009)

Might still need some time to perform more accurate measurements

- Integrate all detector
- Accumulate enough luminosity to be in the cross-section reach
- Tune the simulation appropriately



# Conclusions




- The Tevatron is providing for precision QCD physics
  - Consistency between CDF and D0 results
- Jet Production (inclusive, dijet mass, dijet angle)
  - Tests pQCD
    - PDF,  $\alpha_s$
  - constraints new physics,
- Photons and Photons + (heavy flavor) jets
  - Some disagreement ( $\gamma$ +jet) and need for more theory feedback
- Vector bosons + jets
  - Very active area, universal background to many other processes
    - W/Z + jets in very good agreement
    - W/Z+ b, W+charm
  - Testing of Monte Carlo tools and schema
- Underlying events and fragmentation studies
  - MC tuning
- Community looks forward to the re-discovery of QCD at LHC
  - But more to come from the Tevatron...

# Backup: Peak Lumi




## Tevatron Peak Luminosity



# Backup: Jet Algs



Jet Algorithms: association of objects to cluster based on proximity in space coordinates (cone algorithms) or proximity in momentum space ( $K_T$  algorithms)



Comparison between midpoint and  $K_T$  results

# Backup: Photon + Jets




## -Photon + Jet

investigate source for disagreement  
in data/theory incl. photon pT shape:

measure more differential:

- tag **photon and jet**  
→ reconstruct full event kinematics
- measure in 4 regions of  $y^\gamma / y^{\text{jet}}$ 
  - photon: central
  - jet: central / forward
  - same side / opposite side
- different PDF sensitivity in different  $y^\gamma / y^{\text{jet}}$  regions

→ look at ratios for quantitative statement ...




Need for an improved and  
consistent theoretical  
prediction




# Backup: Photons



## -DiPhotons




- Signature for very interesting physics processes
- Invariant mass distribution can be measured with good precision
- The direct measurement of the transverse momentum of the  $\gamma\gamma$  system ( $q_T$ ) is sensitive to initial state soft gluon radiation




Phys.Rev Lett. **95**, 022003 (2005)

A window on new physics: diphoton + X as an example of model independent searches





Nominal high  $E_T$  object identification and kinematic selections are used. The observed event counts is reported as well as SM prediction for various kinematic distributions



# Backup: Dijet Mass spectrum



The dijet mass spectrum is measured in 6 different rapidity regions



Experimental uncertainties are similar in size to PDF and scale uncertainty, suggesting that the measurement might constraint future theoretical predictions

# Backup: Photon Purity

