

Nuclear astrophysics at LNL: ${}^{10}B(p,\alpha){}^{7}Be$ A. Caciolli University of Padua

Targets preparation and analysis

Target Lab produces targets with the evaporation technique
Implanter that was used for ²²Ne targets with good stability
Reactive Sputtering setup (TiN and CrN)
RBS, NRA, ERDA, Micro-beam facilities at AN2000, and CN
SIMS facility at the Padua University

dedicated to study ¹²C target with a isotopic ratio ¹²C/¹³C above 10⁵ to be used at LUNA-MV to study the ¹²C(α,γ)¹⁶O reaction



¹⁰B(p,α)⁷Be study: ¹⁰B/C target analysis with RBS



target characterisation and study of contaminant

Tazús LUNA largels



Ta₂05 enriched targets $^{17}0$ up to 69% (with 5% $^{18}0$) $^{18}0$ up to 96%

backing treated with acid citric and cooled to 25 °C during the anodisation process

targets from 110 nm up to 550 nm

stoichiometry and isotopic oxygen abundances checked by RBS and SIMS techniques



Tazús LUNA largels



target stability monitored and checked up to 20 C irradiated charge. After that value stoichiometry and isotopic ratio differs from the expected value

study of 25 Mg(a,n)28si

The detection of ²⁶Al in our Galaxy and in pre-solar meteorites is a direct proof of "recent" nucleosynthesis

²⁶Al is mainly produced by explosive C/Ne burning in stars with M > 8 MO (Limongi and Chieffi 2006)





Reaction ^b]	Rate Mu	ltiplied H	By	Source ^c	Uncertainty ^d
	10	2	0.5	0.1		
25 Mg(α ,n) ²⁸ Si	0.10	0.49	1.8	4.0	nacr	18%
24 Mg $(n,\gamma)^{25}$ Mg	5.2	1.6	0.61	0.24	ka02	
${}^{26}\mathrm{Al}^t(n,p){}^{26}\mathrm{Mg}$	0.14	0.58	1.6	3.2	present	
25 Mg(p,γ) 26 Al ^t	1.7	1.4	0.58	0.14	i110	4%
${}^{30}\text{Si}(p,\gamma){}^{31}\text{P}$	0.51	0.77	1.3	2.0	i110	14%
20 Ne(α,γ) 24 Mg	1.8	1.4	0.64	0.28	i110	11%

lliadis et al, APJSS 2011

25 Mg(a,n)²⁸si experiment



- **Beam Energy: 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5 MeV**
- Beam Current: 200 pnA pulsed beam
- Target MgO/Au (70µg/cm², ²⁵Mg 95.75%)
- 2 Si detectors @ 150°
- 2 LaBr₃(Cr) for γ-detection
- RIPEN placed from 17.5° to 106°





We measured the angular distribution at five energies from 3 up to 5 MeV and we observed discrepancies with respect to the fitting assumptions made in a previous paper

Caciolli et al. EPJA2014

A. Caciolli, T. Marchi, R. Depalo, S. Appannababu, N. Blasi, C. Broggini, M. Cinausero, G. Collazuol, M. Degerlier, D. Fabris, F. Gramegna, M. Leone, A. Lombardi, P. Mastinu, R. Menegazzo, G. Montagnoli, C. Rossi Alvarez, V. Rigato, and O. Wieland

1°B(p, a) Be study

- It is important for BBN and as contaminant in new generation fusion reactors
- a data in literature shows discrepancies in the energy region of the AN2000 and high uncertainties
- Indirect methods
 needs new data with
 high precision for
 normalisation

Angulo et al. normalised previous data from Youn et al by a factor 1.83



1°B(p, a) Be experiment



Setup for activation method

The target were irradiated with proton beam for several hours.

Cross section determined by counting the decays of the ⁷Be (T_{1/2} ~ 57 d) produced during the irradiation. The low level counting facility of the LNL laboratories were used for this purposes. The measurements at the AN2000 were done in December 2014 and the irradiated samples were counted until March 2015

E = 250 - 1190 keVI = 200 - 300 nA

Target surrounded by a 0.2 mm thick Al catcher to collect backscattered ⁷Be nuclei



1°B(p, a) Be experiment



 $E = 250 - 1190 \ \text{ke}$ I = 200 - 300 M

Target surrounded by a c Al catcher to collect back. nuclei

¹⁰B(p,α)⁷Be study: ¹⁰B/C target analysis with RBS 10B 60ug/cm2 on C 20 ug/cm2 nominal ¹²C (substr.) 600 Y Axis ³C (substr.) 400 ¹¹B 200 500 550 600 650 700 X Axis target characterisation and study of contaminant

0

20

40

The target were irradiated with proton beam for several hours.

Cross section determined by counting the

decaus of the ⁷Be (T_{1/2} ~ 57 d) produced ¹⁰B/C target ith RBS ^{2 nominal} ²C (substr.) ²C (substr.) ² (substr.) ³ (subst





10B(p, a) Be results

A. Caciolli, R. Depalo, C. Broggini, M. La Cognata, L. Lamia, R. Menegazzo, L. Mou, S. M. R. Puglia, V. Rigato, S. Romano, C. Rossi Alvarez, M. L. Sergi, C. Spitaleri, A. Tumino – EPJ A 52 (2016) 136



E_X	E_R	J^{π}	Γ_p	Γ_{α}	Γ_{tot}
[MeV]	[MeV]		[MeV]	[MeV]	[MeV]
8.699	0.01	$5/2^{+}$		0.015	
9.2	0.50	$5/2^{+}$	0.0018	0.501	0.503
9.645	0.96	$3/2^{-}$	0.031	0.222	0.252
9.78^{a}	1.09	$5/2^{-}$	0.018	0.221	0.239
$9.97^{a,b}$	1.28	$7/2^{-}$			
10.083	1.39	$7/2^{+}$	0.187	0.047	0.234
10.679	1.99	$9/2^{+}$	0.106	0.098	0.204

^a The α decay of this level is not reported in the literature.

^b This level is not used in the *R*-matrix fit.

non resonant contribution of 6 MeV b

the R1 channel



2 detectors for gamma detection Si for target analysis E = 300 - 2000 keV



A. Caciolli - 5/7/2017 LNGS



A. Caciolli - 5/7/2017 LNGS



A. Caciolli - 5/7/2017 LNGS

Comparison with recent THM new data



and with data from Notre Dame



M. Wiescher et al. PRC 95, 044617 (2017)









Experimental S-factor much larger than the non-resonant

Rate determination (1.5 – 2 times larger)

Bumps at: ≈ 0.38 MeV → Breuer+THM

- ≈ 0.25 MeV 2' ⇒ ¹⁰O(α.α...)
- 0.2 MeV → THM
- interference between 2* states at 0.2. and 0.25 MeV



¹⁹F(p,α0)¹⁶0 @ NASPERA

S – factor at low energies \Rightarrow non-resonant extrapolations (NACRE) based on high energy data



Maxwellian distributed neutron beams at BELINA and LENOS.

A. Caciolli - 5/7/2017 LNGS

New experiments BELICOS: study of the $7Be(n,\alpha)\alpha$ with THM



study of the 6Li(p, Y)?Be at LUNA and LNL



two complementary techniques: prompt-gamma detection and activation method

Cover a wide energy range to better constraint the R-matrix and to study the resonance parameters



- The S-factor of the ${}^{10}B(p,\alpha)$ Be has been measured from 250 keV up to 1.2 MeV and the results is a factor of 2 higher than the previous measurements of Youn et al.
- Still remains some tension with new published data
 and the need to complete the region above 1.5 MeV
- New nuclear astrophysics studies are planned for the end of this year and the 2018 to continue our nuclear astrophysics program at LNL