SVT BACKGROUNDS PRESENT KNOWLEDGE

E.P. For the Bkg. Simulation Team

⊗ pairs: 16980 Events seen by L0 from 388300	*CKGROUND SOURCES				
	CH 2009 PARAMETERS				
	Cross section	Evt/bunch xing	Rate		
Beam Strahlung	~340 mbarn (Eγ/Ebeam > 1%)	~850	0.3THz		
e⁺e⁻ pair production	~7.3 mbarn	~18	7GHz		
e ⁺ e ⁻ pair (seen by L0 @ 1.5 cm)	~0.3 mbarn	~0.8	0.3GHz		
Elastic Bhabha	O(10 ⁻⁴) mbarn (Det. acceptance)	~250/Million	100KHz		
Ύ(4S)	O(10 ⁻⁶) mbarn	~2.5/Million	l KHz		
	Loss rate	Loss/bunch pass	Rate		
Touschek (LER)	4.1kHz / bunch (+/- 2 m from IP)	~3/100	~5 MHz		

a reason in the set of the set of the set of the

SVT BACKGROUNDS PREDICTIONS

BEAM STRAHLUNG

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma \quad (\gamma \sim \parallel e^-)$

- The process "per se" is not dangerous:
 - outcoming particles are almost collinear with the beam lines
 - Interplay between machine optics/ material
 - PEP-II and SuperB are very different
 - SuperB will be by far more SVT friendly (no BI)

VERY VERY PRELIMINARY

- Based on the ~last IR design (Mike P3) assuming we will be able to build the magic QD0
- Simulating 1600 bunch crossing (~ one night CPU time on my desktop)
- Sample too small to capture the fine details of the distribution (i.e. no clear sign of hot spots)

reliminary

Electron Rates

Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	0:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	1:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	2:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	3:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	4:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	5:
Positron		Rates	5	-	

Mean hit rate on layer 0: Mean hit rate on layer 1: Mean hit rate on layer 2: Mean hit rate on layer 3: Mean hit rate on layer 4: <u>Mean hit rate on layer 5:</u> 0.090992 MHz/cm² 0.022707 MHz/cm² 0.013902 MHz/cm² 0.013939 MHz/cm² 0.001678 MHz/cm² 0.003832 MHz/cm²

0.059760 MHz/cm² 0.012165 MHz/cm² 0.019589 MHz/cm² 0.001186 MHz/cm² 0.000186 MHz/cm² 0.000126 MHz/cm²

Scm thick Tungsten shielding assumed

Small dedicated production needed to extract the details of the spatial distribution

PAIR PRODUCTION

42

G. Montagna et al. / Nuclear Physics B 547 (1999) 39-59





0.022 mbarn

State Barth State

Fig. 1. One of the sixteen bremsstrahlung graphs representing the leading t-channel dynamics.



Fig. 2. One of the eight Feynman diagrams for multiperipheral dynamics.





PAIR PRODUCTION PECULIARITY

Particles produced by this process can have enough pt to enter directly into the detector acceptance

 No interplay among particle production mechanism and machine optic

 Magnetic field and L0 radius enough to make a rough "thumb-o-metric" estimate (cfr. SuperB CDR).

BACKGROUND GENERATION

12 mm

15 mm

Si

Be

Beam pipe intercept low pt particles and divert in into LO. Lesson learned: place the Beam Pipe as close as possible to LO

SHIELDING UNDESIRED EFFECTS

Primary reflected by the Tungsten shielding

reliminary

Electron Rates

Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	0
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	1:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	2:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	3
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	4:
Mean	hit	rate	on	layer	5:
Posi	tron	Rates	5		

Mean hit rate on layer 0: Mean hit rate on layer 1: Mean hit rate on layer 2: Mean hit rate on layer 3: Mean hit rate on layer 4: Mean hit rate on layer 5: 5.581095 MHz/cm² 0.312809 MHz/cm² 0.147186 MHz/cm² 0.032746 MHz/cm² 0.006877 MHz/cm² 0.003729 MHz/cm²

3.881121 MHz/cm² 0.217557 MHz/cm² 0.102656 MHz/cm² 0.024569 MHz/cm² 0.001767 MHz/cm² 0.000752 MHz/cm²

L0 inner radius: 1.5 cm Hit multiplicity included (50 mum x 50 mum) Beam Pipe outer radius 1.5 cm Imum Gold foil + Imm Be



SINGLE BEAM

the state of the second state of the

Touschek scattering

- particles inside the same bunch collides: transverse momentum transferred onto the longitudinal direction
- Beam gas
 - Particles inside a bunch interact with the residual gas in the vacuum chamber
 - Angular deflection and/or energy loss

TOUSCHEK

- Beam collimation & primaries generation by Manuela
- Analysis by Giovanni Marchiori @ Elba in 2008

Layer	e- from LER	e+ from LER
0	12.8±1.4 kHz/cm ²	1.3±0.1 kHz/cm ²
1	5±2 Hz/cm ²	2.9±1.5 Hz/cm ²
2	6±2 Hz/cm ²	2.9±1.3 Hz/cm ²
3	324±80 Hz/cm ²	8.4±1.5 Hz/cm ²
4	127±35 Hz/cm ²	0.05±0.01 Hz/cm ²
5	19±5 Hz/cm ²	5±1 Hz/cm ²

preliminary

at the sature to the

e- from HER	e+ from HER
537±17 kHz/cm ²	170±10 kHz/cm ²
50±3 kHz/cm ²	20±2 kHz/cm ²
16±1 kHz/cm ²	7.2±0.9 kHz/cm ²
6.4±0.5 kHz/cm ²	0.8±0.1 kHz/cm ²
1.2±0.1 kHz/cm ²	0.12±0.03 kHz/cm ²
0.56±0.06 kHz/cm ²	~0 Hz/cm ²

BEAM GAS

and the second of the

- Preliminary studies made by Manuela
- Dedicated beam scrapers not yet in place
- Work in progress

HOW TO USE THESE FIGURES?

a server the second second and the second second

- With lot of care!
- What is missing?
 - Beam gas (work in progress)
 - Beam halo (challenging)

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Backgrounds does not depend solely on beam currents and luminosity

- Beam gas: vacuum condition can be far from ideal
- Touschek: machine imperfection and tuning
 - Safety factor have to accomodate for "real life" accidents

PEP-II EXPERIENCE (B.AAGAARD PETERSEN)

Bkgd. rate <u>measured</u> on dedicated runs All data for one ROS (ROS1=FL1M1=FE:MID):

Varying HER - ROS001 (FL1M01-φ)



LER=1890 mA LER=1200 mA LER=0 mA HER=0 mA HER=850 mA HER=1190 mA

SINGLE BEAM CONTRIBUTION



B.Aagaard Petersen courtesy

The second second with the second of the second second second second second second second second second second

"LUMINOSITY" TERM

Subtracting single beam terms, we obtain 2-beam contributions (includes: luminosity, beam-beam and 'cross-term')

ROS001 (FL1M01-φ) - S.B.



B.Aagaard Petersen courtesy

ANISTOPIC LUMINOSITY BACKGROUND

The second se



"LUMINOSITY" BACKGROUND IN PEP-II

- Non linear with "luminosity"
- peculizr azimuthal distribution
 - My 2 cents opinion

 significant contribution from beam-beam effects on the beam halo (non linear dependence on luminosity) rather than beam-strahlung

CONSIDERATION

and the second second for the second state of the second second second second second second second second second

• Uncertainties on these numbers

- Cross sections (primaries generation)
- Model of the detector & machine (Geant 4)

Real life "accidents" (vacuum leaks, imperfections, errors in the above outlined 2 steps...)

HARD TO QUANTIFY UNCERTAINTIES AT THIS STAGE

Source Worst occupancy Cross section Model Accidents

Beam Strahlung	0.16 MHz/cm ²	Infrared divergences (50% ?)	significant	moderate
e ⁺ e ⁻ pair production	9.6 MHz/cm ²	Radiative corrections (20%)	moderate	none (almost)
Touschek (L0)	0.6 MHz/cm2	negligible	significant	hard to quantify
Touschek (LI-L5)	0.07 MHz/cm2	negligible	significant	hard to quantify
Beam gas	work in progress (expect ~Touschek)	negligible	significant	
Beam halo	???	negligible	significant	hard to quantify

CONCLUSIONS

- Detailed Geant4 simulation of pairs produced @ IP done
- More statistics needed to identify hot spots (if any) in beam strahlung background
- Beam gas simulation in progress